

THESES SIS/LIBRARY R.G. MENZIES LIBRARY BUILDING NO:2 THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY CANBERRA ACT 0200 AUSTRALIA TELEPHONE: +61 2 6125 4631 FACSIMILE: +61 2 6125 4063 EMAIL: library.theses@anu.edu.au

## **USE OF THESES**

This copy is supplied for purposes of private study and research only. Passages from the thesis may not be copied or closely paraphrased without the written consent of the author.

#### AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

#### THESES

This is a restricted thesis. It is issued to you personally. No other person may read it without first applying to the Reference Desk.

It must not be left unattended. If you have not finished reading the thesis and you want to leave the Library, please take the thesis to the Reference Desk. It will be kept at the Desk and be re-issued to you when you return, if you inform the staff there that you will need it again.

You may not copy any part of this thesis

If you want to have some pages photocopied, we will write to the author asking for permission to do this for you. The normal photocopying charges will apply.

When you have finished reading the thesis, please return it to the Reference Desk.

Reader Services Librarian

Menzies Building

# AN ENGLISH TRANSLATION of the

### ĀDĀB - I - 'ĀLAMGĪRĪ

THE PERIOD BEFORE THE WAR OF SUCCESSION being

The Letters of Prince Muhammad Aurangzīb Bahādur

to

Muhammad Shihābu'd-dîn Shāh Jahān Ṣāhib-i-Qirān-i-Ṣānī Emperor of Hindūstān

by

Vincent John Adams Flynn

1974

A Thesis submitted to the Australian National University in partial satisfaction of its requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

#### Acknowledgements and Declaration

Coming from the practice of law to the study of India, I owe particular gratitude to two men. Professor A.L. Basham has encouraged my efforts, and tolerated my shortcomings; Dr S.A.A. Rizvi has taught me all I know of the Persian language, and has guided my study and understanding of Islam. Alone, I could not have hoped to begin this work.

Yet the entire thesis is my own. I have been saved from many mistakes; but for those which remain, the whole responsibility is mine.

> V.J. A. Flynn Chrishnas Seve. 1974

#### CONSPECTUS OF THE WORK

This thesis, the first part of a projected English translation of the whole of the Adab-i-'Alamgiri, contains the letters written by Prince Aurangzīb to his father Shāh Jahan between the middle of 1650, when he was viceroy of Multan, and the beginning of 1656, when he began his campaign against Golconda. The translation is preceded by a brief introduction sketching the early life and character of Aurangzīb, the style in which the letters are written and the reason for its adoption, and a short view of the prevailing and contradictory opinions upon Aurangzīb. religious policy when Emperor is briefly referred to; but with the conclusion that the Adab-i-'Alamgīrī offers no direct evidence that he had framed any part of it in his mind while yet Prince. Indeed, no sign of any particular Islamic piety can be seen in the letters, and the structure of the state set up by Akbar seems to enjoy Aurangzīb's entire support. Its external incidents are accepted by Aurangzīb with equanimity, and apparent enthusiasm; even those which some modern orthodox claim to be abhorrent to Islām.

The value of the letters lies in two main fields; illustration and explanation of narrative history, and elucidation of details of administration and management of the Mughul empire, and the careers and character of its officers. The great but wasted effort to recapture Qandahār in 1652; the embellishment of the palace at Shāhjahānābād, and the repair and maintenance of the "luminous tomb" of Mumtāz Maḥal at Āgra; the entire reconstruction of the assessment of the revenue of the Mughul Deccan; the enticement of Mīr Jumla to leave his hazardous hopes of further greatness in Golconda, and perhaps independent dominion, and accept the Mughul service; these are the most interesting subjects of the letters. But almost no letter is without other lesser details, and these, too, can be of the first importance.

Each letter is introduced by a note, setting out the theme, and commenting generally. Full notes are provided to the translation, containing textual criticism, comments

or explanations relating to particular words, topographical elucidations, biographical material upon the men mentioned, references to contemporary documents wherever possible, and remakes upon the importance of the leading events.

Because the notes are so copious, the introduction is brief and general. The bibliography is select, confined only to books actually consulted. Those of consequence in the understanding of the leading schools of thought upon Aurangzīb have been discussed in the introduction.

There is a full table of contents; a note on chronology; and an annotated table of the dates on which the letters were written.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Acknowledgemen             | t and Declaration                          | ii           |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Conspectus of the Work ii. |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Table of Conte             | ents                                       | v            |  |  |  |  |
| Note on Transl             | iteration                                  | vii          |  |  |  |  |
| List of Abbrev             | riations                                   | viii         |  |  |  |  |
| Introduction:              | birth and character of Aurangzīb           | x            |  |  |  |  |
|                            | his career begins                          | хi           |  |  |  |  |
|                            | sources for his early life                 | xi-xii       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī                       | xii-xiii     |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the manuscripts of the Adab                | xiii-xvi     |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the style of the letters                   | xviii-xviii  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Emperor addressed as if a <u>SufI</u> sain | nt xviii-xx  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | reasons for this practice                  | xx-xxi       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the Mughuls and Īrān                       | xxi          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | chronology and arrangement of              |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the letters                                | xxii         |  |  |  |  |
|                            | how the letters were carried -             |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the dak-chaukī and                         |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | its functionaries                          | xxiii-xxiii  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Aurangzīb assessed : Muslim                |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | orthodox scholarship                       | xxv          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | a representative of Enthusiasm             | xxvi         |  |  |  |  |
|                            | evidence in the Adab for Aurangzīb'        | S            |  |  |  |  |
|                            | religious policies                         | xxvii-xxviii |  |  |  |  |
|                            | - for his political                        |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | acumen                                     | xxviii       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Aurangzīb and Dārā                         | xxviii       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Aurangzīb's own orthodoxy                  | xxx          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | the War of Succession                      | xxxii        |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār                        | xxxiii       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Aurangzīb assessed: the earlier            |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | British view                               | xxxiii       |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Hindu liberal scholarship : Aurangz        | īb xxxiii-   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | and his Hindu subjects                     | xxxviii      |  |  |  |  |
|                            | The Muslim counter-blast                   | xxxviii      |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Value of the Adab-i-'Alamgiri              | xxxviii      |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Aurangzīb: his character and his           |              |  |  |  |  |
|                            | destiny                                    | xxxviiii     |  |  |  |  |
|                            |  |              |  |  |  |  |

|  | Augit     |            |  |  |
|--|-----------|------------|--|--|
|  | Letters   | Pages      |  |  |
| The Letters : Part I                   |           |            |  |  |
| Viceroy of Multan                      | 1 to 7    | 1 to 21    |  |  |
| Preparations against Qandahār          | 8 to 15   | 22 to 48   |  |  |
| March against Qandahār                 | 16 to 24  | 49 to 75   |  |  |
| Qandahar besieged                      | 25 to 33  | 76 to 125  |  |  |
| Retreat from Qandahār                  | 34 to 37  | 126 to 144 |  |  |
| March to the Deccan                    | 38 to 48  | 145 to 186 |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
|  |           | -          |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
| Part II                                |           |            |  |  |
| Viceroy of the Deccan                  |           |            |  |  |
| - at Burhānpūr                         | 49 to 56  | 187 to 214 |  |  |
| - at Daulatābād and Aurangāb           | oād:      |            |  |  |
| administration;                        | 57 to 69  | 215 to 257 |  |  |
| manşabs & mangoes                      |           |            |  |  |
| Mīr Jumla                              | 70 to 76  | 258 to 282 |  |  |
| Deogarh and Jawar                      | 77 to 82  | 283 to 300 |  |  |
| Śrī Ranga Rayal                        | 83 to 85  | 301 to 312 |  |  |
| Mīr Jumla again                        | 86 to 94  | 313 to 332 |  |  |
| Golconda                               | 95 to 98  | 333 to 345 |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
| - march against Golconda               | 99 to 102 | 346 to 358 |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
| Appendices - A; Concordance of the Let | ters      | 359        |  |  |
| B: Chronology of the Lett              | ers       | 362        |  |  |
| Note on Dates                          |           | 370        |  |  |
| C. Analysis of Chah Johan              | 1.0       | 373        |  |  |
| C: Analysis of Shah Jahan              | 1 5       | 373        |  |  |
| Letters to Aurangzīb                   |           | 270        |  |  |
| Classes of Letters                     |           | 378        |  |  |
| Select Bibliography                    |           | 379        |  |  |
|  |           |            |  |  |
| Errors and Corrections                 |           | 385        |  |  |

#### NOTE ON TRANSLITERATION

The scheme adopted adheres closely to that used by Steingass in his Dictionary:

Many Hind I words and names occur, in a Persian dress. The Nāgarī alphabet is thus represented:

Urdū letters, used to reproduce Hindī sounds not found in the Persian alphabet:

The Hindī dipthong in such words as Bā'ī has been indicated by an apostrophe.

The system of Platts has been followed for Indian words, except that  $\mathcal{T}$  is not  $\acute{c}$  but ch, and  $\grave{c}$  is not ch but chh; and  $\grave{c}$  is not g but gh.

It has proved impossible to distinguish between an inverted comma for 'ain , and an apostrophe for hamza; the type-writers required use the same mark ' for both. Should this thesis be printed in any form, this deficiency would be remedied.

Steingass preserves the copula -o- in idiomatic phrases such as <u>ab-o-hawā</u>. With the exception of <u>sar-o-pā</u>, which is almost a single word, this course has not been followed, as it is not always possible to distinguish with certainty between popular compounds (such as <u>kh</u>wush o khurram) and words brought together adventitiously.

Single Persian and Indian words in the text and the notes have been underlined. Phrases (for the most part quoted from the original text) have not. Italics, rather than an underline, would be preferable in any printed version.

I have preferred to transliterate Persian and Indian words wherever possible; hence Agra, Burhanpur, Kabul, Multan; though, all those names are well known in English in that same form, but without diacritical marks. Hence Qandahar rather than Kandahar; Haidarabad rather than Hyderabad, although the conventional spelling of the Deccani city is used in a modern context. Delhi, Lahore and Tattal are exceptions; so is Rao; so are the Indus and the Jumna; so also is the Nerbudda. I have not followed Professor Boyle's example with cadi, Koran, and vizier2; although in phrases of conventional praise such as wazīr-i-sā'ib tadbīr I have reflected the stylization by using the conventional English spelling, I have retained wazīr for both the man and his office. In India, an amīr may be an ameer, but never an emir.

The Indian environment of Aurangzib's letters has not been reflected in any attempt to preserve the pronunciation current in his day. Vocalic waw was almost always majhūl; so, very often, was vocalic yā. Irvine was accurate as to pronunciation when he transliterated "'arābahāe", "ba jāe" for 'arābahā'ī, "ba jā-i", but to follow him now would be eccentric. By the time he published his monumental edition of Manucci's Storia, he was beginning to change his mind. Steingass has been followed with such words as pesh, nauroz, and tola.

As the diacritical marks have had to be supplied by hand, it is certain that some will have been missed. For this I beg pardon.

<sup>1.</sup> Tatta occurs in the mss as Thatha, Tatha, Thatta, Thaththa, etc: to standardise the English form seems best. On contemporary coins it is Tatta without tashdīd.

<sup>2.</sup> History of the World-Conqueror, XXXVI.

<sup>3.</sup> Army of the Indian Moghuls, 113, 233.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

| Ahkām | Ahkām-i-'Ālamgīrī, | ed. | and | trans. | by | Sir | Jādūnāth |
|-------|--------------------|-----|-----|--------|----|-----|----------|
|       | Sarkār             |     |     |        |    |     |          |

- Aurangzīb History of Aurangzib (5 vols) Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār
- B Asiatic Society of Bengal's ms of the Ādāb-i-Ālamgīrī (used by Nadwī)
- B.M. British Museum ms. Or 177 of the Adab-i-Alamgīrī
- Ch. 'Abdu'l Ghafur Chaudhurī's edition of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī, Lahore, 1971
- Hyd. Sir Sālār Jang Library ms. of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī
- I.O. India Office Library ms. of the Adab-i-'Alamgīrī
- M Madrasa-i-Muḥammadīya, Āgra: ms. of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī used by Nadwī
- M.A. Muḥammad Sāqī Musta'idd Khān's Ma'āsir-i-'Ālamgīrī (A.S.B.(Bib. Ind.) 1871
- M.U. Samsamu'd daula Shāh Nawāz Khān's Ma'āsiru'l Umarā: 3 vols, A.S.B. (Bib. Ind.) 1888-1891
- Manucci Storia do Mogor: 4 vols, ed. and trans. by William Irvine, London, 1907-08
- N Saiyid Najīb Ashraf Nadwī's selections from the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī, styled Ruq 'āt-i-'Ālamgīr
- R Rizā Library Rāmpūr, ms. of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī
- S Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's copy of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī, said to be "very correct copy" of a ms. once owned by Khudā Bakhsh and used by Nadwī
- Tuzuk Tuzuk-i-Jahangīrī trans. and ed. by Rogers & Beveridge (Delhi reprint, 1968)
- 'U ms. of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī owned by Nadwī's friend Abū 'Umr Salāh Yāfe'i, used by Nadwī

#### INTRODUCTION

As Nūru'd-dīn Jahāngīr, Emperor of Hindūstān, was marching from Ahm.adābād to Ujjain after the rains of 1618, he halted at Dohad, on the frontiers of Gujarat and Malwa; and that evening, on Saturday 3 November 1618, "the Giver of Blessings gave my prosperous son Shah Jahan a precious son by the daughter of Asaf Khan. 1 The Emperor halted for three days. Three weeks later, the imperial train had reached Ujjain, and Shah Jahan<sup>2</sup> entertained his father to a party "with brimming cups"; offering many jewels, and fifty elephants, he presented the baby and asked a name for him. "Please God it will be given him in a favourable hour", said the Emperor; and later he was named Aurangzīb. None could foresee that this, the most single-minded, tenacious, and long-lived of the race of Timur, would live ninety years, rule for fifty, and weaken beyond repair the foundations of that vast and varied empire which Akbar had founded, and Jahangir and Shāh Jahān were to maintain.

The child's first eight years were spent in the train of his father, first as a successful general and then as a rebel. In 1625 he and his eldest brother Dārā were sent to Jahāngīr's court as hostages for their father's good conduct, when Shāh Jahān realised he could no longer continue in arms; and with Jahāngīr they remained, enduring the episode of Mahābat Khān's control of the Emperor's person, until after Jahāngīr's death in October 1627 they were brought down to Āgra to meet their father, the new Emperor. They were reunited with him early in March, 1628.

Aurangzīb was nine and a half. He continued to live the luxurious but disciplined life of a Mughul prince, under regular tuition in letters, theology, and the duties and manners of one born to greatness; he learned Arabic; he acquired mastery of the three principal styles of hand-writing; and, though no authentic sources detail the stages of his education, nor the men who taught him, he must now have acquired the foundations of that rock-firm Islamic orthodoxy, to which he held throughout his long life.

<sup>1.</sup> Tuzuk (R.&B.) II, 47.

<sup>2.</sup> Prince Khurram had been given this unprecedented title in October, 1617: ibid, I, 395.

<sup>3.</sup> naskh, nasta'līq, and shikasta: M.A., 532.

On 7 June, 1633, at an imperial elephant fight, he was thrown from his horse by a furious elephant, and confronted it on foot with his sword. Rescued, with his brother Shujā' playing a not unworthy part, he coolly confronted his anxious father with the remark that there was no dishonour in what he had done: "the shame lay in what my brothers did". 1

In December 1634, he received his formal admission into the ranks of the <u>mansabdārs</u>, the "rank-holders" who whether in civil or military command were the administrators of the Mughul empire. None could hold any post, unless admitted formally into imperial service; and each man's rank and salary was regulated by a number, between 20 and 7000. This was called his <u>zāt</u>, "personal status"; and its rise and fall, and even its total cancellation, were at the absolute discretion of the Emperor. A royal prince, above even the highest noble in status if not in power or responsibility, Aurangzīb began his official life as a <u>manṣabdār</u> of 10000, and thenceforth, with only the slightest intermission, Aurangzīb was strenuously engaged in affairs of state.

An active career of upwards of seventy years cannot fail to stir even the most stolid mind; and the severe and unrelenting character of Aurangzīb the Emperor has impressed itself so deeply upon history, that few have not heard his name. The career of Aurangzīb the prince, almost five and twenty years of strenuous and varied activity from the hot dry Deccan plains to the sandy shores of the Oxus, falls into the back-ground; men tend to forget, that he was no mere youth, but a man of forty and one of the most experienced commanders of the Islamic world, when in 1658 he triumphed in Sāmugaṛh and imprisoned his once-respected father in the fort of Āgra.

The formative years of such a man should surely command our attention, and our interest. The primary sources for "the period of princehood" (zamān-i-pādshāhzādagī) as writers of the years after his accession call it, are of three classes. First is the official history of Shāh Jahān's reign known as the Pādshāhnāma, written by 'Abdu'l Ḥamīd Lāhorī

<sup>1.</sup> Ahkām-i 'Ālamgīrī (ed. and tr. Sarkar) 1. p.31.

<sup>2.</sup> sc., his controversial and imperfectly documented "retreat" (inziwā) in 1644, after being recalled from his viceroyalty of the Deccan.

and continued by Muhammad Waris, Waris Khan (d.1680), and works derived from it or relying upon it, such as the 'Amal-i-Salih of Muhammad Salih Kamboh. Second are the surviving official papers of Shah Jahan's reign. Of these the largest collection seems to be at Haidarabad in the Deccan, in the hands of the Andhra state government, in succession to the dismantled dominions of the Nizāmu'l Mulk. Valuable selections from them were published in the 1950's under the title "Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign" and "Selected Waqā'i of the Deccan". Third are letters of contemporaries, gathered in such collections as the Adab-i-Alamqīrī. And fourth are the administrative handbooks such as the Dasturu'l 'Amal-i-Shāhjahānī, and the collections of farmans, private letters, descriptions of Court ceremonies, and such like, of which genre the Chahar Chaman-i-Brahman of Ra'i Chandra Bhan (c.1600-c.1665), and his Inshā'-i-Brahman ("The Brahman's Letters" are examples).

The Pādshāhnāma was printed in the last century, and the 'Amal-i-Ṣāliḥ in the earlier part of this. Selections from the Chahār Chaman-i-Brahman were apparently printed at the beginning of the last century. Other material of this type has remained unprinted.

The Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī has survived in numerous manuscripts; selections were printed in India in 1930, and an edition, based apparently on a single manuscript, was put out in Lahore in 1971. It is not merely curiosity about an aspect of Aurangzīb's early career that has ensured, by a multiplicity of manuscripts, the survival of this particular collection of letters; but the literary taste of an age which saw all around it the signs of its own decline.

Aurangzīb was famous, even while he lived, as a writer of elegant and accurate Persian; his mordant wit was celebrated, and anecdotes of his old age were collected, published, and widely read. One such miscellany, known as Aḥkām-i-Ālamgīrī, has been published, and translated into English by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār; it is the work of Ḥamīdu'd-dīn

<sup>1.</sup> This vagueness is due to the fact that the extract provided by the India Office Library, relating to Shāh Jahān's court routine, lacks all bibliographical details. The British Museum possesses mss. of the Chahar Chaman-i-Brahman (Add. 1892) and the Insha'-i-Brahman (Add. 26141).

Khān Nīmcha. <sup>1</sup> It was well known, that Aurangzīb himself revised all letters sent out in his name, and composed many of them; in 1704 (1115 H.) <sup>2</sup> the Ādāb-i-Ālamgīrī was published by one Ṣādiq Muṭṭalibī of Ambāla in the Panjāb. <sup>3</sup> He had collected copies of the Prince's letters, made by the secretary who had despatched them. The Emperor would certainly have tried to suppress the publication had he known of it; but Ṣādiq probably relied upon Aurangzīb's great age, and the declining vigour of his administration; and on the probability, that in the distant Deccan he was unlikely ever to learn of it. <sup>4</sup>

The letters in this collection were copied or written by Munshī Abū'l Fath, of Tattah, who on his master's accession was ennobled as Qābil Khān, and when his eyes began to fail, retired, about July 1659. He was given a pension of Rs.5000 a year, after 26 years' service, twelve of them under Aurangzīb. He was thought a fine writer, "elegant and facile". The collection of letters enjoyed considerably popularity, as much for their subject-matter as for their style; for letters written by Aurangzīb in 1657 and 1658 on

<sup>1.</sup> Ahkām actually means "orders, decrees, commands". Since every word of the Emperor was represented as a command, the English title is quite appropriate.

<sup>2.</sup> The chronogram is: "gul-i-bagh-i-jan", "a rose from the garden of life" B.M. 4A.

<sup>3.</sup> In his introduction to the letters, Sadiq mentions Ambala as a dependency of Sirhind, of which he writes:

Sirhind magū ki rashk-i-Chīn ast
Khuldast barīn, ke bar zamīn ast
The letters were collected for the benefit of one Muhammad Zamān,
"azīztar az jān", a son or a nephew. I.O., 2A, R., lB. In
a note written across the last page of the B.M. ms., we read:
"The date of the death of the revered Shaikh Muḥammad Ṣādiq
was the lOth Muḥarram in the fifth year of Farrukhshahar (sic)
equivalent to ll29 Hijri." Below this is a correction: "On
Friday, 'Ashūra day, ll28". This fits the calendar, (Friday,
27 December 1716) and the official regnal year of Farrukhsiyar.

<sup>4.</sup> cf the remarks to this effect by Iftikhar Ahmad in his bibliography, 160.

<sup>5.</sup> Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī (text) 26. His rank was 1000 zāt; cf Athar 'Alī, 215. His brother Muḥammad Sharīf got his title Qābil Khān in 1674 (M.A.140), and dismissed and deprived of his hoards, gained through bribes, in 1680 (ibid, 190-191).

<sup>6.</sup> Sarkar, Aurangzīb, I, 102.

the "design of acquiring the throne" are numerous, and popular imagination was at once repelled and fascinated by such high points as the solemn agreement, impressed with the mark of his five fingers (but later broken) by which he agreed to divide the empire with his younger brother Murād Bakhsh; and the series of sanctimonious letters to Shāh Jahān, justifying his deposition and imprisonment by the canonical duty to defend Islām and save his own soul.

The collection was augmented by the addition of 131 letters written by Sādiq the publisher as munshī to Aurangzīb's son Prince Muḥammad Akbar, during his Rājpūt campaign of 1680; these end just before he rebelled on 1 January 1681. Sādiq has added a history of the war of succession, compiled by himself, mostly out of the voluminous 'Ālamgīrnāma of Muḥammad Kāzim. This and most of his other sources having survived, the work has only secondary value.

Three manuscripts of the Ādāb-i-Ālamgīrī have been used in preparing this translation. The oldest, and probably the best, is that in the Rizā Library of the quondam princely state of Rāmpūr in Rohilkhand, where a line of Shī'ī' Nawābs accumulated a splendid collection of excellent manuscripts, and housed them in a luxurious library in a free adaptation of the Second Empire style. It appears to have been transcribed in 1120 H/1708-9, and may be presumed to keep as closely to the original as any other. The splendid clarity and precise calligraphy of the original are but imperfectly reproduced in the grey and dingy photographic copy supplied by the National Archives of India. I had seen the original in February, 1972;

<sup>1.</sup> Sarkar's adaptation (Aurangzib, I, 308) of the copious yet imprecise language of Aurangzīb's undertaking ('ahdnama) to divide the empire with Murad Bakhsh: I.O. 104A; B.M. 94A. Iftikhar Ahmad, 123, merely reproduces Sir Jādūnāth's version, with immaterial alterations.

<sup>2.</sup> Abstracts are offered by Sarkar, I, 308-309 and Faruki, 78-79. Faruki 79-84 (esp.84) and Iftikhar Ahmad (123-4, 144) think that Murad alone was guilty, and that his arrest was justified by his "insincerity". But the insincerity was on both sides. The real reasons why Aurangzib broke the compact were reasons of state, as Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad admits, in rather anachronistic language - "yet it was expedient no doubt and meant an immunity to the masses from one more calamitous battle". (144).

<sup>3.</sup> Ādab 260a - 264a; abstracted by Sarkār, III, 131-137; not inaccurately summarised by Manucci, II, 19-21. Sarkār considers Khāfī Khān, II, 101-106, unreliable.

I received the copy (which lacked many pages) in June, 1973. By then a text had been established by the aid of the two other manuscripts, to which I now turn.

These are the manuscripts of the India Office Library and the British Museum. That in the British Museum (Or.177) was transcribed in Shahjahanabad in Ramazan, 1125/September-October 1713. This is the manuscript which has been used by Dr. Irfan Habib, the only scholar except Sarkar to make systematic use of the Adab. This neatly and uniformly written manuscript deserves high authority, as the scribe says that he made it on the "original draft". The manuscript in the India Office Library (Ethé 371), written in 1151/1738-9, bears the impression of a seal dated 1175 (1761-62) and has a colophon dated 1197 (1780-81); it consists of 427 quarto sheets, closely written on both sides in a somewhat slovenly clerkly hand, exhibiting many varieties, and sometimes almost neat, with between 18 and 24 lines, each of 15 to 20 words, on each page; the whole was bound up as a book early in the last century, and was inherited by the India Office from the East India Company.

This India Office manuscript was used by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār (1870-1958) in his monumental History of Aurangzīb - or rather, what he calls "a cheap rotograph" (Aurangzib, I, xvii). From this he must have had transcribed a further copy, as the pagination in his references varies from the manuscript, and Nadwī has noticed many, mostly inferior readings, different from the original manuscript, in the one he attributes to Sir Jādūnāth.

At Haidarābād, in the newly re-housed Sir Sālār Jang Library, there is a manuscript of the Ādāb-i 'Ālamgīrī written in 1194H/1780. This is of interest for the variant readings it supplies; but I was able to make only a few hurried transcripts in May, 1972. The promised photographic copy has never come to hand. An example of the use which might be made of this manuscript can be seen in the notes to Letter 7.

Other manuscripts are demonstrably inferior. None of those used by Nadwī in his selections is free from blemish, but the editor was discriminating, and established a text which is on the whole good; but the single manuscript used in the recent Lahore edition is almost as defective as Nadwī's worst. One manuscript only appears to deserve further special

attention: that of the 'Abdu's-salām collection in the 'Alīgarh Muslim University Library, which I could not see. Speaking generally, the text depends upon the four manuscripts at Rāmpūr and Ḥaidarābād, in the British Museum, and in the India Office. The other manuscripts in India, except perhaps that at 'Alīgarh, are of secondary importance.

Final establishment of the text has of course been materially helped by the two printed versions. That of Saiyid Najīb Ashraf Nadwī was printed by the Dāru'l Muṣannifīn at 'Azamgarh without a date (but in 1929 or 1930) under the title Ruqa'āt-i 'Ālamgīrī; (the India Office copy was accessioned on 30 March 1932) and contains all the letters translated here, arranged for the most part in proper chronological order, and selections from Aurangzīb's other correspondence as Viceroy of the Deccan. The work is lithographed; the calligraphy is elegant but often minute, and the careful footnotes indeed require careful attention, the arrangement being entirely traditional. There is no commentary, that having been provided in a diffuse Urdū "Muqaddima", published separately.

The greatest single advantage of the Saiyid's collection is his painstaking collection of variant readings - painstaking but not complete, as the frequency of the phrase: "N. notes no variant", occurring in the notes to these letters, will testify. Yet it is sufficient to show, that apart from the possible exception of the Ḥaidarābād manuscript (which he did not use) there is one uniform manuscript tradition. Errors are due to haste, ignorance of proper names or unusual words, or inability at once to distinguish the correct word from among similar-seeming possibilities. An almost classic example is I.O.'s regular imsāl for imsāl. Another fortunate fact is, that a high proportion of the variant readings occur in the least important part of the letters, the formal introductions.

'Abdu'l Ghafūr Chaudhurī has recently published an edition of the Ādāb in two volumes; it was issued in Lahore in 1971, from the Pakistan Historical Society (Idāra-i-Taḥqīqāt-i-Pākistān) of the University of the Punjab. This body has recently issued useful editions of many works, and

this edition is also useful; but it suffers from several grave defects. The greatest is its reliance upon one single manuscript, of which it is really a revised copy; Nadwi's labours have been ignored. The editor gives particulars of this manuscript on page 16; it is in the Public Library of Lahore, consists of 381 sheets  $12^{1}/_{2}$ " by 8", is written in well-formed and elegant nasta'līq, and was completed on 28 Rabī'II 1211/31 October 1796. It is thus two generations later than the latest of the three principal manuscripts. On occasions, Chaudhurī has corrected an obviously wrong reading, but in many cases he has simply let the worse reading stand, without comment. The text is further burdened with numerous printing errors, the greater part of which do not appear in the errata sheets; 1 the type used is occasionally damaged, resulting in further want of clarity. The other source of error is in the introductory notes to the letters themselves. Laconic to the point of uncommunicativeness, these quite often miss the point altogether (e.g. Letter 47); sometimes contain errors readily correctable, and perhaps accidental (e.g. Letter 38) and occasionally lapse into the most glaring absurdity. One example is the introduction to the first letter in the collection addressed to Shah Nawaz Khān<sup>2</sup>: "Shāh Nawāz Khān was the father of Dilras Bānū Begam ... Princes Mu'azzam and Akbar, Zebu'n-nisā, Zīnatu'n-nisā, and others were from the womb of this princess". The third letter announces the birth of a son, a grandson to Shah Nawaz Khan on "12 Sha'ban". This was the date of the birth of 'Azam in 1063/1653; but Chaudhurī says that the letter "probably concerns the birth of Akbar" who was not born on that date. $^4$ 

<sup>1.</sup> pp.649-50 at the end of the first volume, and 1247-48 at the end of the second.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch. prints this letter on page 575. See I.O. fo.152 B.

<sup>3.</sup> Dilras' children were three girls, Zebu'n-nisā(1638-1703) Zīnatu'n-nisā (1643-1721) and Zubdatu'n-nisā (1651-1707); and two sons, 'Āzam (1653-1707) and Akbar (1657-1704). It is well known that the imperious 'Āzam purported to despise his brother Mu'azzam (1643-1712) because born of Nawāb Bāi, of stock inferiorin his opinion to the Safawī lineage of his own mother.

<sup>4.</sup> Akbar was born on 12 Zī Ḥijja, 1067/22 September 1657. His mother, aged about 39, died of the effects of the birth on 12 Muharram 1068/21 October 1657. There would have been no place or time for congratulations. Aurangzīb was otherwise occupied. The dates of the birth and death of all Aurangzīb's ten children are given in M.A., 539-540. (text)

Chaudhurī's text, therefore, must be used with circumspection and constantly compared with the older manuscripts; while his notes must be read, and used, with the greatest caution.

The style of the letters has always been highly regarded in India; but it shows clear signs of that somewhat stylised flatulence, characteristic of post-classical Persian; although plays upon words and apposite verses (so often used, to make a high point of rhetoric) are few, towering piles of synonymous phrases and epithets remain.

Naturally, the "bladder'd bombast" of the style is most striking in the formal introductions. A letter from the Emperor is "a royal missive, glittering with light"; one is not merely pleased to receive them; one feels a sense of exaltation at the arrival of such a treasure of glory and pride. 2 The Emperor is not informed of events; they are "brought to the glorious and resplendent sense of hearing". He does not read letters; they "pass beneath the luminous gaze". 4 The Emperor does not write; for a "musk-scattering pen traces lines of bliss"; 5 the pen, indeed, is sometimes "jewelled", sometimes even "miracle working". 6 Mere decisions are guite beneath a being, so exalted: ... "the royal mind, which resembles the sun, is the source of sacred illumination and the place from which invisible light descends .. ... Your Majesty, (the shadow of God) perceives and understands better than any man, with the aid of Your god-given discernment and far-seeing wisdom; everything which the kingdom-adorning mind decides ... is the marrow of good policy and the essence of prudence".7

<sup>1.</sup> Such as Khān-i-sa'ādat-nishān, "the Khān, the pattern of felicity". The root sa'd means "auspiciousness, prosperity, felicity"; Sa'dullāh Khān (c.1590-1656), was the famous wazīr, serving from 1645 to his death. His title means "the felicity (granted by) God".

<sup>2.</sup> Letter 19.

<sup>3.</sup> Ba musāmi'-i-jāh wa jalāl is the standard formula.

<sup>4.</sup> Aurangzīb is not above using such language of himself, and for the same reason: a letter to him from Multafit Khān has, he says, "passed, through the mediation of the partakers in (my) sacred assembly, under (my) luminous gaze (az nazr-i-anwar guzasht) I.O. 199A.

<sup>5.</sup> Letter 16.

<sup>6.</sup> Letter 14.

<sup>7.</sup> Letter 15, page 46.

Imperial orders are not merely issued and received; having acquired nobility by being issued, they scatter the brilliance of their arrival. An imperial officer is "a slave of the Court, the refuge of rulers" and "a grace-scatterer of the refulgent Court". The imperial army is always dignified by lofty compound epithets: "resembling heaven"; "having traditions of victory"; "inseparably associated with conquest"; indeed, defeat is unthinkable because of the "imperishable imperial good fortune".

Superfluous it appears to us, and even absurd; yet this exalted language is a reflection of the hieratic magnificence with which the Mughuls sedulously surrounded themselves. The more exactly defined and jealously guarded the various degrees of rank, the more effectively would the zeal of the officers of state uphold them, and the more securely would the Emperor's state and splendour appear established.

Particularly striking is the style used by Aurangzīb in speaking of himself. He is always "this servant", "this murīd"; scarcely ever "I". In his father's communications (which are often quoted at length, by way of acknowledment) he is not "you", but "that murīd".

Now the disciple of a Sūfī saint is a murīd; 2 likewise, in figurative mystic writings, murīd can mean "lover". When Akbar, in organising the nobility, provided four degrees of loyalty - the highest being readiness to sacrifice property, honour, faith, and life in the Emperor's service - it became common for those admitted to the highest favour to be called murīds. 3 Nor was this a hollow formula; the emperors insisted, that the duties of a disciple be taken seriously. Once a noble of Jahāngīr's court, 'Abdu'llāh Khān, told the Emperor that a holy man of the Naqshbandī order, Khwāja Khwānd Maḥmūd, was trying to make him his murīd, even though he knew that he was the Emperor's murīd. 4 The emperor burst

<sup>1.</sup> Letter 46 provides an exception.

<sup>2.</sup> Literally, "one who desires".

<sup>3.</sup> These "four degrees of sincerity" were accepted in the oath of loyalty taken by those most closely attached to the Emperor: Mullā Abdu'l Qādir Badā'ūnī, Muntakhab-ut-tawārīkh, Bib. Ind., Vol.II, 304. Akbar sought Mān Singh's interpretation of the oath in 1587, and the Rāja replied that he would become a Muslim if the Emperor wished it.

<sup>4.</sup> Rizvī, Muslim Revivalist Movements, 183-184. The incident probably arose out of a quarrel between the Khan and the Khwaja; but the significant thing is the Emperor's attitude.

into wrath, and a somewhat sophistical theological argument was required, to assuage his displeasure at the  $\underline{Kh}$  $\psi\bar{a}$ ja's presumption.

Externally, at any rate, Aurangzīb accepted his position as a disciple, as well as a subject. The Emperor is his "saintly preceptor", pīr-i-dastgīr, just as a holy man is the pīr of the little circle of initiated murids; not only is he a preceptor, he is a guide (murshid), a term pregnant with theological and mystic meaning, for it is by a murshid that a murīd is set upon the path (tariqa) towards true knowledge of God. In exorbitant language, the Emperor's prudence, experience, and wisdom are flattered; his mind is "the heart of angelic penetration ... the seat of sacred illuminations and the destination of heavenly communications", and even "the sacred heart". Yet all this fits perfectly a disciple, who has obeyed the requirements of mystic discipline, and put himself body and mind at the disposal of his "true preceptor and guide" (pīr wa murshid-i-haqīqī).

While Muslim orthodoxy admitted all these claims on behalf of a pīr and murshid, it insisted that he be learned in Islamic theology, and an exact follower, in every detail, of the Muslim law. The Mughul emperors were neither; yet, despite Islamic doctrines about the subordinacy of kings to Muslim law, they were absolute rulers. The realities of the situation allowed no alternative. There is a tale that Sultān 'Alāu'd-din Khaljī of Delhi (1296-1316) once told Qāzī Mughīṣu'd-dīn: "I do not know, whether my laws are sanctioned by our faith; I decree what I consider good for the state." Other rulers might have repudiated the crude language, but would have applauded, and followed, the Sultān's sentiments.

Aurangzīb accepted the situation as he found it; and it is more than doubtful whether he ever felt the slightest qualms, although in his fight for the crown, and throughout his long reign, he put himself forward as a champion of the strictest Sunnī orthodoxy. He did not hesitate to speak grandly of his readiness to hazard his life in the Emperor's service; when he himself was Emperor, he expected similar professions from those in his own service, yet would sometimes laugh at them when made. At all times he uses the very language which a few of the orthodox in his day, and many in ours, have asserted to be so objectionable in the courtiers of Akbar.

It is perhaps worthy of remark, that no historian has dwelt upon this fundamental fact of Aurangzīb's epistolary style. Granted that the language of Sufic discipleship is unacceptable to the strictly orthodox for use in secular conversation, ought not the question be posed, why Aurangzīb did not when young protest against it, when older abandon it, and when Emperor suppress it? I think the answer, though clear, has been avoided because it runs against accepted views of him as either a religious bigot, or a paragon; Aurangzīb was enough of a realist to welcome any practice which helped to hold the state together. There can be no doubt, that this particular mode of Court etiquette served to exalt the Emperor in the public eye, and raise him far above that primacy among equals which had, in theory, been the position of the early Muslim rulers.

One further religious point remains to be noted. Though it seems a little excessive, to those of modern tastes, to call rebels, turbulent men, and even honourable enemies "worthless", "accursed", and "abandoned by God", it was the accepted practice of the age; but a deeper significance underlies the abuse hurled at Persia and its Shāh. The state religion of that country was Shī'ism, but in India, the majority of Muslims has always been Sunnī; holding that the "orthodox" caliphate came to 'Alī through the choice of the faithful, and that his predecessors Abū Bakr, 'Umr and 'Uṣmān held the office by as good a right as he. Indian Sunnis have always tended to shower the Shias with odium theologicum.

Moreover, the Shāh of Persia claimed a spiritual headship over all his subjects; a claim, which Sunnī orthodoxy must reject. Even his historic title, Shāhanshāh (King of Kings) was denied him; the pious claim, that only God is "King of Kings". In official Mughal language he is merely "the ruler of Īrān". The Shāh was descended from a line of Ṣūfī saints; Shāh Isma'īl Ṣafawī, the founder of the dynasty (1500-1524) and the first monarch for centuries of Persian race, was recognised as a spiritual leader, and his mantle fell on each of his successors. Political rivalry, as well as orthodox prejudices, demanded that the Shāh be spoken of with disdain.

There are considerable difficulties in the way of a a correct chronological arrangement of Aurangzīb's letters, especially those written in the Deccan in 1654 and 1655. Of the few remaining from his vice-royalty of Multān, before the second Qandahār campaign engrossed his attention, the first two have no indication of date; of the thirty-seven Deccan letters written between Aurangzīb's state entry into Daulatābād on 6 December 1653, and the beginning of the Golcanda campaign, only one (Letter 88) has any indication of a date; one other (Letter 66) can be dated within a week or two by reference to a paper fortuitously seen and noted by me in the vast but almost untouched accumulations of the former Āsaf Jāhī government.

On the march, however, Aurangzīb sometimes gives the date of writing (Letters 44, 45, and 47) and acknowledges the date of receipt of the Imperial communication he is answering. Occasionally he makes it clear that he is replying forthwith; in no case would he have delayed an acknowledgement beyond a day or two. Thus the greater part of his letters to Shah Jahan are datable with tolerable accuracy. A few others are incidentally dated by Aurangzīb while writing (Letters 26 and 29; also Letter 88, dated simply "Tuesday" but datable by reference to a letter to Jahānārā). Speaking broadly, all the letters relating to the preparations, the march, and the campaign against Qandahār are dated satisfactorily; so are those relating to the march to Kabul, and thence to the capital of the Deccan; and also those touching upon the Golconda; but the bulk of the Deccan letters are not.

It has thus proved possible to alter somewhat the order of the letters as set out in the manuscripts, at least in the earlier part. The Deccan letters are set out almost exactly as Nadwī arranged them. Transpositions are possible; Letters 83, 84, and 85 should perhaps be placed between Letters 66 and 67; Letters 74 and 87 might perhaps be brought closer together. On the whole, however it seems better to postpone a final arrangement of the Deccan letters until full use can be made of Mr Ziā'u'd-dīn Aḥmad's work at the Central Records Office of the Āndhra government.

His catalogue promises to be as useful as the compilations made under Dr Yūsuf Husain Khān in elucidating questions of identity, topography, and chronology, and will be an essential aid to any study of the considerable changes and consolidation effected in the Mughul Deccan under Shāh Jahān.

The table provided as Appendix B sets out the date and place of writing of each letter, and a brief note of the reasons why the date was assigned.

The method of carrying the letters calls for some comment. They were entrusted to the <u>dak-chaukī</u>, the Imperial post-office, an organisation reserved for the Emperor's own use which in its speed and efficiency impressed contemporary travellers. Very little direct evidence of the organisation of the service survives, but it is clear that the relays were generally run and not ridden, and that sixty miles a day was a fair average.

From Aurangzīb's letters a useful addition may be made to our knowledge of the imperial posting-system. He generally acknowledges the manner in which the letter was brought him. Thus we have <u>yasāwals</u>, <u>jalaudārs</u>, <u>gurzburdārs</u>, <u>qarāwals</u>, <u>chelas</u>, and even Afghān foot-soldiers. The first two belonged to the postal service; the <u>gurzburdārs</u> were "mace-bearers", men whose arrival might strike an ordinary officer with dread, as their duty was to indicate imperial displeasure, to enforce imperial commands, and often escort an officer in disgrace to Court, or even to prison. 3

<sup>1.</sup> dak: "Post (for the conveyance of letters), the mail; disposition of a relay of horses, or runners, or palki-bearers along a road (for the conveyance of the post or travellers .... dak-cauki ... a post-station; a station or stage at which a relay (of horses or runners, etc.) is posted for the conveyance of the mail, or travellers" Platts, s.v. dak, 562 Cf. Wilson, Glossary, 119: "... Relays of men or cattle along the road ...." (p.119) The usual name for a postal system in Muslim countries has been barid, probably derived from the Low Latin veredus, which is of Gaulish origin; cf Steingass s.v., 182.

<sup>2.</sup> Pressed into this service by Aurangzīb when writing from Lakia west of the Indus on his march to Qandahār; see Letter 23.

<sup>3.</sup> See note 2 to page 94 (Letter 28) and also page 339 (Letter 97); a  $\underline{\text{farman}}$  is sent to Qutbu'l Mulk with two gurzburdars.

Qarāwals were military scouts. Chelas were the Emperor's private servants, really his slaves; it is well known how Akbar had introduced this term setting aside the word banda because it was wrong that a man should be a slave to any but God.

The letters carried by the various messengers would have been brought in a bamboo tube (na'l) stopped at either end (sar ba muhr) or a sealed embroidered bag or purse (kharīta) such as continued to be used for ceremonial communications until the end of the native states in India. Gifts of jewels, rings, and other small objects would have come in elegant boxes; the bulkier gifts of ceremonial robes, in parcels done up in cloth and sealed all around. The horse Shāh Jahān sent at the beginning of the Qandahār campaign, which gave Aurangzīb so much pleasure, would have been despatched by easy stages in the change of a groom (sā'is) with the same care as Aurangzīb took to send the tribute-elephants from Golconda.

As well as these ceremonial gifts, there was a regular traffic of fruit for the Emperor's tables and of goods from the <u>kārkhānas</u> of Jahānārā and the princes. We do not read of Aurangzīb executing any commissions for Dārā; but he does attend to things for his sister, <sup>4</sup> and sends goods from his own <u>kārkhānas</u> to the Emperor. <sup>5</sup> The <u>kārkhānas</u> of the imperial family were under the direct oversight of a member, whenever possible; and the despatch of their produce was his responsibility.

In all these cases, the state service and its officers could be used; but the <u>dāk-chaukī</u> and its ancillary arrangements were strictly prohibited to <u>mansabdārs</u>, and even princes, for their private purposes without the Emperor's express leave.

<sup>1.</sup> Khil'at, an outer garment; sar-o-pā, a full suit, usually comprising seven items.

<sup>2.</sup> Letter 17.

<sup>3.</sup> Letter 88.

<sup>4.</sup> Fuller particulars can be gleamed from his correspondence with her, to be edited later.

<sup>5.</sup> Cf. Letter 11.

It is disappointing, though (alas) not surprising, to observe, that political ambitions, and racial and religious bias, have induced recent authors to falsify history. In effect, they are carrying on the traditions of the more rigid and severe men of the period they describe. In India and Pakistan alike, enthusiasts and revivalists, dreaming of vanished glories which scarcely existed but in the visions of poets and pavegyrists, have allowed their feelings of what ought have been to drown their instinct of what was.

Islamic orthodoxy is gratified by presenting Aurangzīb as a paladin of the faith. Such a view has not been unpalatable to seekers of salve to a pride that regrets vanished grandeur. The reverence accorded Aurangzīb is accorded in modified degree to Shāh Jahān, who was personally pious and occasionally bigoted, even though he deserved to be put away by such a one as Aurangzīb. Jahāngīr is not esteemed; he was lax in matters of personal orthodoxy, capricious in rewarding and favouring Muslim holy men - shaikhs and 'ulamā particularly - and he showed favour to Hindu ascetics as well as adopting or countenancing Hindu practices. Akbar can barely be mentioned without a shudder; by his eclecticism, he is believed to have shaken Islām to its foundations. If Muslim orthodoxy did not rule in every department of state, the state must fall; there could be no

<sup>1.</sup> Mentioned only to be forgotten are the remarkable productions of the voluble P.N. Oak, late of Poona and now of Delhi, whose writings on the essential Hindu quality of every Muslim building and institution are as extraordinary as they are prolific. As President of the Institute for Rewriting Indian History, he has published, in "Some Blunders of Indian Historical Research" (Delhi, 1966) an essay seeking to prove that the Tāj Maḥal is a "Rajput Palace" (ibid, 33-45; cf 336-7). Only the "scribblings" thereon are Muslim! To conclude his argument, he quotes one Flagmeier, of Minneapolis (ibid, 44-45). On this aspect of the Hindu renaissance, see N.C. Chaudhurī, "Autobiography of an Unknown Indian (London, 1951), 203. Mr. Oak would (if he knew of it) condemn Letters 44 and 45 in this collection as malicious forgeries; cf p.121 of the above-cited work.

co-operation with Hindus except as subordinates, no adaptation of their habits, no absorption of their ideas. This view is firmly but temperately stated by Dr. Riyāzu'l Islām, one of the foremost Pakistani historians, and is a view which must be held by anyone who accepts the ideology which supported the emergence of Pakistan, and sustains it to this day.

It is therefore found distasteful to analyse any aspect of the career of Aurangzīb dispassionately. His place in history has already been assigned by his own unimpeachable orthodoxy, and sleepless attention to every injunction of "the firm faith".

An example of this missionary attitude is "The War of Succession between the Sons of Shah Jahan", by Dr Iftikhār Aḥmad Ghaurī (Lahore, 1964). This works sets out to prove that the conflict was essentially religious: the good, the holy, and the Sunnī supported Aurangzīb, while the looseliving, the luke-warm, and the Shī'I supported Dārā. Striking in this analysis is the relegation of Shāh Jahān to obscure senility: Aurangzīb's pretence that his father's illness in September 1657 had unfitted him to rule is taken for granted. Dārā's accession would destroy Sunnī Islām, and therefore Dārā himself must be destroyed.

There can be no doubt that Dārā had personal enemies, as well as opposition from those who feared for Islām; there is also no doubt that Aurangzīb was regarded as capable, and his military and administrative efficiency must have looked very well when compared with Dārā's incapacity at Qandahār in 1653. But is this the whole story of the civil war?

Were there not other causes? And the Ādāb-i-Ālamgīrī provide a clue?

<sup>1.</sup> In History of the Freedom Movement, pp.66-67 and 68-69. His chapter is entitled Symptoms of Decline.

<sup>2.</sup> I have not yet come across any scholarly attempt to explain the eruption and separation of Bangla Desh; nor of the extraordinary severity used by the Pakistan Army in trying to suppress the revolt. Many conversations have given a hint: Bengalis had been imperfectly Islamised, or had submitted to Hindu influences, or had fallen away from true orthodoxy. The continuity is instructive.

<sup>3.</sup> op.cit. p.152. The last paragraph states the position in unambiguous religio-political terms. Cf also 159-60.

Unfortunately, it does not. To those who would argue that Aurangzīb was under the influence of Shaikh Ahmad Sirhindī and his successors, it tends to prove that he had no special interest in them. It certainly does not show any single suggestion that any private postures of piety influenced his administration, or his choice of men. Only in one instance does he directly allude to a religious matter; as the letter is not among those addressed to Shāh Jahān, it ought perhaps be considered here.

In 1655, the qanungo of Bihar, one Chhabila, a Hindu (and probably a Brahman, zunnadar) had insulted the Prophet. The local officers investigated, found the charge proved, and beheaded him; unlike Akbar, Shāh Jahān may not have insisted upon confirming all sentences of death. Aurangzīb had had letters that the brothers of Chhabīlā had petitioned at Court for redress. Writing to Sa'du'llah Khan the wazīr, Aurangzīb demands that any petition for justice against Chhabila's executioners be disregarded. That such an appeal to the Emperor would be made, is natural; so too for Aurangzīb to wish it ignored: "Do even more than your colleagues to see that all avenues of complaint are closed to these misguided However strong Aurangzīb's feelings might be against Hindus, he goes no further than the conventional language of the pious; the Persians are more volubly abused, in the letters on the siege of Qandahar. Aurangzīb's intervention goes no further than recommending that an administrative decision not be reversed. No other evidence

<sup>1.</sup> Letters 5 and 6; see especially the notes to Letter 6.

<sup>2.</sup> cf Letter 64, on his appointment of Rao Karan; Letter 72, refuting allegations of conduct not Islamic, rather tends to suggest that Aurangzīb kept his private opinions entirely out of his administrative acts.

<sup>3.</sup> The city now known as Bihār Sharīf, 30 miles southeast of Patna.

<sup>4.</sup> The letter may be seen in I.O. fo.136B; B.M. fo.120A Sir Jādūnāth has abstracted it in Aurangzib, I, 154-5. He mistakes the names of the Mīr 'Ādil (Shaikh Muḥammad 'Alī) and the  $\underline{\text{muft}}$ , Shaikh 'Abdu'l Mu'īn.

<sup>5.</sup> That is, that the relatives of the deceased be prevented from exacting retaliation (qisās) upon the officers who executed him.

of Aurangzīb's private views exists in the Ādāb, and certainly none is disclosed to his father outside conventional limits of denigrating the enemy. Had Aurangzīb really displayed such tendencies, he might well have been recalled. Shāh Jahān intended no fundamental disturbance to the equilibrium Akbar had attained, based on peace with all his subjects. Later, when Aurangzīb after twenty years' rule began to disturb it, he was actuated by further motives than "propagating the law of Islām".1

Much more use can be made of the Ādab in tracing Aurangzīb's attempt to attract and hold in his service, or in posts under his control, men of talent and ability. He made no distinction of sect or race, except what Mughul tradition regarded as prudent. All were welcome to Aurangzīb's service and favour; and after he won the crown he was generous to all who had stood by him in the manner he had expected. In writing to his father, Aurangzīb must needs be discreet; but he opened his heart a little further to his sister, and never hesitated to solicit the wazīr to support a promotion, secure a new posting, or retain by his side a good man called to Court. Dārā, on the other hand, had angered many of the greatest nobles, by the folly and arrogance that was mixed, so unfortunately for him, with his cordial and open manner. Aurangzīb treated the leading men of the state

l. The turning point was the re-imposition of jizya in April 1679 (M.A. 174; cf. 529). That the long-term results were ruinous can hardly be denied; but Aurangzīb wished to raise more money and yet act as a model Muslim sovereign. For twelve years or so after 1679, his policies appeared vindicated. It was in his last years that he saw all turn to dust.

<sup>2.</sup> e.g., Jalal, an Afghan of the Kakar tribe, was regarded as unfit to administer the pargana of Ellichpur - Letter 63 and note.

<sup>3. &</sup>quot;My experienced servants are being detached from me, enrolled in the imperial service, and honoured with high mansabs. If this goes on, none will wish to remain with me, and the group of [supporters] I have gathered over a space of twenty years will be broken up. How then will I be able to perform the duties of my position?" (I.O. 251A). This long and important letter mentions the calling to Court of Malik Husain: hitherto Aurangzīb's servant, not the Emperor's.

<sup>4.</sup> Letter 42, note, gives some particulars.

with conspicuous and perhaps exaggerated deference. Manucci, in a passage often referred to, sums up Dārā's attractions — and his weaknesses. Aurangzīb's weaknesses were not of a kind likely to excite disapproval, and in later life he rigorously disciplined himself. Only one even faintly discreditable or indecorous episode touches his private life.

It is difficult to penetrate the origins of Aurangzīb's poisonous hatred of Dara. From the little known of the Princes' childhood, scarcely more than notices of their presence in the train of their father or their grandfather, nothing positive can be gleaned. Did Dara enjoy more parental love and affection? Did the ceaseless and often comfortless marching of Shah Jahan during his rebellion harden Aurangzīb's heart against father and eldest brother? there some strain between the now adolescent Dara and the yet small Aurangzīb while they were together between the end of 1625 and 1627, hostages for their father in the hands of Nur Jahan? We can hardly expect to know, and speculation is out of place. It is certain, that by 1633 Aurangzīb was inflamed against both his elder brothers; and his hatred of Dara burned on for three quarters of a century. 4 By it his ambition was spurred; by the memory of it, he was reminded never to relax the high and harsh standard he had set himself. To hatred of Dara must be ascribed the principal motive in Aurangzīb's design for the crown, which it seems certain was finally formed as he marched away from Kabul to the Deccan, and saw his two other brothers, and his sisters.

<sup>1.</sup> Ahkām-i-'Ālamgīrī (ed. and tr. Sarkār) § 3, 33-35 is the <u>locus classicus</u>. Shāh Jahān's reproach, and Aurangzīb's ostentatiously self-deprecating reply, are not in this correspondence.

<sup>2.</sup> I, 221-222. For his consorting with the Jesuits, I, 223-4, Dr Ghaurī purports to quote the first passage (op.cit. 36) but mangles it remarkably, culminating in a misrepresentation that must be deliberate. Manucci's "He was very fond of music and dancing ..." becomes: "He was fond of wine and dancing women". The Doctor might have noted Manucci's remarks about Dara's discreet drinking with the Jesuits (ibid, 224). Few in Pakistan will have access to Irvine's four volumes.

<sup>3.</sup> See Letter 52 (note).

<sup>4.</sup> cf his alleged "last will", probably authentic in the broad; Ahkām, 8, 48-49, and also a last letter to Kām Bakhsh, Sarkār, Aurangzīb, V, 212.

I disregard Dārā's interest in astrology, in Jesuits, in Christianity, in yogis and in Hindu mysticism; these tendencies can hardly have been apparent in childhood, and would not have prevented Dārā from succeeding to the throne as his irreproachably orthodox father's chosen successor, had there not been an Aurangzīb to dispute it with him. Dārā's unorthodox theological works, and the company he kept, are important for the use Aurangzīb made of them in justifying his revolt, his pursuit of Dārā, and his putting him to death. Had these deviations from the strict externals of orthodoxy been thought important while Shāh Jahān yet sat secure, Aurangzīb would have mentioned them in his frank and very critical correspondence with Jahānārā.

But Aurangzīb was also sustained by a rigid and enthusiastic orthodoxy, and a firm belief in the One God. For its origin too we are compelled to speculate; there is no authentic information upon the details of his education. Anecdotes of his veneration for this or that saint appear to have originated with holy men anxious to edify the faithful, and not in official records or contemporary histories. The institution of the censor (muhtasib), which he imposed as Emperor in 1659, had little effect on daily life, except by fits and starts; the sumptuary reforms of 1668 and 1669 affected the Court rather than the people; jizya was not imposed until the 22nd year of the reign; and, throughout the reign, the observance of the severer rules was only sporadically enforced, the collection of jizya alone excepted. Not even

l. Aurangzīb, I, 3-4; see also 4-7. The story told by Manucci of Aurangzīb's rebuke in open Court, given to one Mullā Ṣāliḥ, may have a kernel of truth (Manucci, II, 29-33); but the Emperor's forceful speech against his traditional and obscurantist teacher seems like a Livian oration. There was a Mullā Ṣāliḥ Badakhshānī presented to Aurangzīb in 1647, during the "muhimm-i-Balkh".

<sup>2.</sup> Manucci, II, 7-8 writes divertingly on the men of they Muhtasib's department cutting everybody's beard to "four finger-breadths".

<sup>3.</sup> Ma'āṣir-i-'Ālamgīrī, (text) 174.

the sons of the righteous monarch followed him; so it is mentioned as thing remarkable, that when his wife Jahān-zeb Bānū Begam (daughter of Dārā) died of cancer in 1705, Prince Shāh 'Āzam "gave up hearing music and seeing dances, of which he (had been) very fond from his youth".

As Dr Qureshī says, 2 "it is not easy to see the problems in a perspective other than the one to which one has been used"; we should follow the path of prudence, which would lead us to ascribe the delay in imposing the full external approaches of orthodoxy to the maturing of Aurangzīb's thoughts, as well as to his sense, however, defective, of what was politically expedient. Aurangzīb, in 1656, used the conventional vocabulary of abuse 3 to urge his father to annex the lands of the "heretic" king of Golconda; but this was one reason among many; the wealth of the Qutb Shāhī kingdom was clearly the real reason. 4

The events of the war of succession are well enough known; Shāh Jahān's sudden illness, strangury and obstruction of the bowels, on 16 September 1657; his inability to appear in public, Dārā's close watch over him, and loving care, and the rumours that at once began to circulate; Dārā's assumption of all authority; the refusal of the three brothers to believe that the Emperor was still a free agent; the hints that he might be imprisoned or dead; the disorders that arose on all sides, even to a raid on northern Bengal by the Rāja of Kūch Bihār; and Shāh Shujā's assumption of the sovereignty of the entire empire in October, 1657, under the name of Abū'l Faiz Nāṣiru'd-din Muḥammad Ṣāḥib-i-Qirān-i-ṣānī Shāh Shujā' Bahādur Ghāzī. The Ādāb tells us what Aurangzīb thought of

Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī, (text) 494.

<sup>2.</sup> The Muslim Community of the Indo-Pakistan Sub-Continent, 162.

<sup>3.</sup> e.g., Letter 101.

<sup>4.</sup> Letter 97.

<sup>5.</sup> cf the coin illustrated on the supplementary plate of Whitehead's Catalogue of the Coins in the Panjab Museum, Lahore: Volume II, Mughal Emperors (Oxford 1914).

these events, and what measures he took; especially his correspondence with his brother Muḥammad Murād Bakhsh, viceroy of Gujarāt.

The Ādāb contains the negotiations for an accord between Aurangzīb and Murād Bakhsh to divide the empire. 

It alludes to Murād's murder of 'Alī Naqī, the dīwān of the province, early in October 1657; it incorporates the actual agreement between the brothers, setting out the share that was to fall to Murād Bakhsh. 

2

In his correspondence with Murad, Aurangzīb uses the standard stock of abusive language, when speaking of Dara; but he discloses no special religious fervour. Much has been made of a visit the prince made to Shaikh Burhan, of the Shattarī order, just before he marched out of Burhānpur on the way to  $\bar{A}$ gra, on 1 April 1658. Aurangzīb besought the blessing of this holy man upon the enterprise of crushing Dārā, who had "abandoned Islām and turned his footsteps into the desert of error"; who "called disbelief and Islam twin brothers". The Shaikh declined: "What is the use of the blessing (fatiha) of fagirs like us? You are king; recite the fatiha yourself with an intention to do justice and protect the people". This conventional blessing implies neither praise nor blame. To call it a fatwa, a declaration of the law, is wrong. 7 Not only is there no evidence that the Shaikh issued a fatwa; to do so is in fact the prerogative of a muftI, a man qualified to expound the law. SufI saints do not usually claim that status.

<sup>1.</sup> Aurangzīb reports meeting with Murād to Shāh Jahān in January 1653: Letter 47.

<sup>2.</sup> The agreement is in I.O.; ff. 104A-105A.

<sup>3.</sup> The three letters are in I.O. ff. 238B-239A.

<sup>4.</sup> Ma'asir-i'Alamgīrī, 5; it was on 25 Jumāda II, 1068, that he marched.

<sup>5.</sup> Abū'l Fazl Ma'mūrī, "Tārīkh-i-Shāhjahānī", quoted by Rizvī, op.cit. 363n (Persian).

<sup>6.</sup> Khāfī Khān: Muntakh-u'l-lubāb, II, ll (Rizvī, 371 n. (Persian)

<sup>7.</sup> Ghaurī, op.cit. 71, makes this mistake, and draws from it inferences that are quite erroneous.

<sup>8.</sup> Even the Mujaddid sought conformation of his interpretations of the law, from muftis of high standing.

Dr Iftikhār Aḥmad asserts that the Shaikh predicted victory for Aurangzīb; 1 but neither in Khāfi Khān nor in the continuation of Ṣādiq Khān do we find any ground for that allegation. Unfortunately, Dr Iftikhār Aḥmad reprimands Dr Śrī Rām Sharma for denying the prediction. 2 Regrettably, it is he, not Dr Sharma, who has fallen into error.

So vast was the erudition, so patient the industry, and so clear the conclusions of Sir Jadunath Sarkar, that since the first publication of his great History of Aurangzib almost all scholars have stood in his shade. The Cambridge History of India, indeed, has two chapters by Sir Jadunath on the Emperor's career; the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan's volume on the Mughuls, which might be expected to present the views of the vanguard of the Hindu Renaissance, has not yet appeared. have not come across any recent historian (apart from the neo-Islaimicists) who has deviated substantially from Sir Jādunāth's judgement; where one might hope for a new interpretation of Aurangzīb's rise to power (as in Muhammad Mujeeb's "The Indian Muslims", 4 published in 1967 after twenty years of labour<sup>5</sup>) one finds a discreet silence. Maulana Shibli wrote, over sixty years ago, (1912) Aurangzib 'Alamgir par ek nazr; this Urdu work appears to contain all that the neo-Islamists have to say, without their apparatus of references to support it; Aurangzib was a perfect Muslim; therefore a perfect man, and a perfect king. 6

See the brief remarks of Prof. A.B.M. Habību'llāh in

"Historians of India..." (ed. Philips) 490-491.

<sup>1.</sup> Iftikhar Ahmad, 78.

<sup>2.</sup> ibid, 78 n.2.

<sup>3.</sup> Chapters VIII & IX of vol. IV, which was published in 1937.

<sup>4.</sup> Mujeeb has been vice-chancellor of the Jami'a Millia University at Delhi, and is a faithful Congressman.

<sup>5.</sup> Oral communciation from Dr Rizvī, to whom the author is well known.

<sup>6.</sup> For example, the Maulana finds that Akbar prevented the Hindus from stepping out of place (p.58) but that the selfish laxity of Jahangir, exemplified by his favour to the murderer Bir Singh, led to usurpation of mosques, and ravishing of women, which Shah Jahan stopped (p.60); yet Dara's "heresy" encouraged a recrudescence, culminating in destruction of mosques, and Muslim boys being taught idolatry in Hindu schools. (p.62) Even this scandal was not touched until Aurangzīb had reigned twelve years.

Opposed to this simple but comforting opinion, still held by the tradition-bound Muslim masses who see in Aurangzīb's life and reign an epoch of unparalleled glory in which they can vicariously partake, is a cooler and less uncritical opinion, which has taken its impression from the view of Aurangzīb formed by the earlier British historians. Culminating in the well-termed paragraphs of Lane-Poole, which for two generations were read by almost every student of history in Indian universities, this school of thought holds, in effect, that Aurangzīb's nature and character ensured that he could be nothing but a harsh tyrant towards his Hindu subjects. "There was nothing but his own conscience to prevent Aurangzīb from adopting the eclectic philosophy of Akbar, the luxurious profligacy of Jahangir, or the splendid ease of Shah-Jahan ..... Aurangzib did none of these things ... he chose [his] course, and adhered to it with unbending resolve through close on fifty years ..."1 One may admire, but ought not sympathise with such unbending and uncomfortable obstinacy.

Among the liberally-educated and western-minded Hindu authors who were presented at their Universities with this unattractive picture of Aurangzīb, the prolific and industrious Śrī Rām Sharma claims most attention, and represents a more explicitly Hindu orientation than Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār. Thirty years younger than Sir Jādūnāth, he published in 1940 "The Religious Policy of the Mughal Emperors". His deep study of all the printed sources available, and his familiarity (still normal then) with the history of Europe restrain him from the enthusiastic

l. Lane-Poole, Aurangzib, 65 ff. Fine writing has its own attractions, and the broad effect of Lane-Poole's picture is not totally inaccurate. The pioneer Mountstuart Elphinstone (1779-1859) was his exemplar: see for an evaluation of his work from an "Anglicist" standpoint, Philips' own contribution to "Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon", 217-229, especially 222-225; cf. his remarks on pp. 7 & 8. Elphinstone wrote for a small public, men interested in India; Lane-Poole wrote for a generation anxious to learn of the Empire "wider than has been." Both found Aurangzīb an unattractive personality; his attitudes were founded in beliefs disagreeable to prevalent ideas both of religious and political morality.

<sup>2.</sup> The second and revised edition (used by me) appeared in 1962.

condemnation of the Mughul treatment of Hindus which might have been heard without surprise from a veteran of the Arya Samāj; but his attitude is dispassionate, dry, and almost detached. 2 His careful gathering of examples of Aurangzīb's attitude to the observance of the Muslim law, to the employment of Hindus, and to the destruction of temples and levying of the jizya 3 is not to be set aside by indignant denunciation; but equally carefully, Śrī Rām Sharma points out that the evidence for Aurangzīb's motives is largely conjectural, however superficially convincing; 4 and that apparently his conception of his Islamic duty bulked larger in his mind than any wish to degrade his Hindu subjects. This conclusion is certainly appropriate for the last quarter-century of Aurangzīb's career; but a mere desire to enforce the holy law is not thought by all to be an adequate explanation, for it ignores the strong but not specifically religions Muslim tradition of positive solicitude for the moral and physical well-being of the ra'āya.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> cf his modest pride in the masses of "Hindu India", keeping their faith "amidst so many temptations and such persecution; op.cit., 169.

<sup>2.</sup> And occasionally almost unrealistic: "He was a great scholar and a profound writer ... He is still honoured as a great writer, scholar, and religious leader". Thus does he appraise Shaikh Ahmad Sirhindī; op.cit., 69. The point is also missed in the remark "Sri Ranga III of the Carnatic was attacked by 'Adil Khan. Pressed in from all sides he was promised safety on the renunciation of his religion and conversion to Islam." (ibid, 92) Though he refers to an unspecified ms of the Adab, Dr Sharma overlooks that Śrī Ranga offered himself as a convert, and ultimately received no promises. See Letters 83-85.

<sup>3.</sup> In four separate chapters: pp. 106-115, 118-123, 129-144 and 152-158.

<sup>4.</sup> op.cit., 176-177; cf.158.

<sup>5.</sup> Hence, probably, Shibli's attempt to explain away the order that Hindus not be employed. Such a general order was no doubt issued (M.A., 528 (text): "at a single stroke of the pin", Hindu writers were turned out. How far the order was enforced, whether sporadically or consistently, we cannot positively say. Shibli, quoting a particular order of 1082/1671-2 preserved by Khāfī Khān, seeks to exclude the fact of a general order; he then excuses the order that the Hindu peshkārs and dīwāns of the sūbahdārs and ta'luqa'dārs be

Dr Sharma notices that Aurangzīb did not consider pomp and display incongruous with piety<sup>1</sup>, though later in his reign he modified many extravagant practices<sup>2</sup>. He notices also, that Aurangzīb's adhesion to the externals of Islamic law was really in the tradition of Muslim rulers, who accepted the law governing the personal status of their subjects, and in India did not (except perhaps once) attempt to change it<sup>3</sup>. Aurangzīb's innovation was to remit to the

(footnote continued from previous page)

be dismissed, by saying: "It is obvious that in these posts served many Kāyasthas, a race famous for taking bribes. The order had nothing to do with any religious difference." (op. cit., 62) The gratuitous ascription of motives has its pitfalls. In any case, the order soon lapsed (ibid. 63). The near-contemporary M.A. gives no clue (beyond generalities) of any particular attitude taken by Aurangzib to Kāyasthas, or any other Hindu group. Indeed, Aurangzīb probably never intended to "extirpate" any Hindu group (cf. Ahkam, §30, p.88) and was well aware of the shortcomings of some of his Muslim subjects (ibid. §32, pp.78-79; cf. clause 9 of his possibly genuine will, p.48).

- l. The sequel to the present work will show how Aurangzīb asserted to his officers his dignity as a prince. He used of himself the same language as Court etiquette prescribed for the Emperor with the exception of zill ilahī and similar phrases. One example will serve for many. In a letter to Multafit Khān, he says that the Khān's report has "passed before Our luminous gaze", (I.O., fo., 198B) and that "that loyal servant's petition has become known to Our refulgent and resplendent mind" (ibid., 199A) A little later, he mentions Aurangābād (laid out by himself when first vice-roy of the Deccan on the site of Khirkī) as "Aurangābād of fortunate foundation; aless ebullient phrase certainly, but reflecting his own opinion as well as epistolary convention. A luminous gaze, and a refulgent mind, are attributes of the Creator, rather than of His creation.
- 2. Those put down in 1677 (M.A. 162) are mentioned; op.cit., 109, and cf. 112.
- The one occasion was Shāh Jahān's order in 1633-34 that no Hindu family might place obstacles in the way of a convert to Islam op.cit., 90-91 and 180. This modified the Hindu law relating to distribution of property within a joint Under legislation of independent India, enacted in 1955, the rights of a member of a joint family are once again lost if he becomes a Muslim, a Christian, or a Buddhist - but Sikh converts were saved by a lateramendment. The parallel between the Mughul ruler's position and Divine Right as understood in contemporary Europe is convincingly refuted by Dr Sharma: op.cit., 178-179. See also 183-184 and note, 186, for Auranqzīb's attempt to subject himself to the Muslim law as he saw it. He did not always purport to rule his nobles' conduct, nor even his family's : cf. his attitude to toladan or wazn, abolished for himself but permitted for others: op.cit., 108.

 $\underline{\text{'ulama}}$  the ruler's right of selecting the precedent to be followed.

But this respect to the expounders of the law did not reflect any new upsurge of morality among the masses, who went their way unaffected by their ruler's example. No wishful thoughts by the modern orthodox can disguise the degeneration of the eighteenth century, when Muslim society sank into inexorable decay, uninfluenced alike by the inanity of successive Emperors and the lonely grandeur of their Puritan ancestor.

The recent work of Waldemar Hansen is the first new general study of the Mughul Empire to appear in many years. Though a lively and readable conspectus, based upon an overhauling of sources in English, it seems to me to rely too much upon psychological insights, and offers no lead to a solution of any problem of Aurangzīb's policy, or his character. Hansen seems sympathetic to the Empire, but not to Aurangzīb, and must be classed generally as a follower of Sharma - insofar as he consciously follows any particular school.

<sup>1.</sup> op.cit., 181. The very proper point is made that officers, generally, were not enthusiastic for positive indulgence to be shown to Hindus, nor likely to positively oppose Islamic pietism in their ruler: ibid., 152-53.

<sup>2.</sup> Rizvī, Muslim Rivivalist Movements, 412-414, and cf. 375 for some remarks on Aurangzīb, presenting a milder picture of his true proclivities. Mujeeb's cautious presentation of the theme of Aurangzīb's inability to influence his subjects towards Islamic virtue is in Indian Muslims, 382-83.

<sup>3.</sup> Sadly but not unsympathetically described by Mujeeb: Indian Muslims, 381; 383-88. It is worth reflecting upon, that the eighteenth century - not the days of Akbar, not the days of Aurangzīb, still less the strenuous times of the expansion of the Delhi Sultanate - are looked on by the Muslim masses as the golden age of Muslim India, living on in song, story, and film. Aurangzīb is revered; but he is a hero of antiquity, impossible to imitate. Positive identification with the best ideals of the Mughuls is impossible. They and their loyal officers are ancient tales, remembered for trifles, not achievements. Cf. remarks in Rizvī & Flynn, "Fathpūr-Sīkrī": 1, 35-36, 54, 63.

<sup>4.</sup> The Peacock Throne: the Drama of Mughul India (London, 1972).

<sup>5.</sup> Thus he is aware of the existence of the Adab-i-'Alamgīrī, though perhaps only as an album of letters to Shāh Jahān. He refers to certain letters translated in an Oriental miscellany published at Shrewsbury in 1800 by Jonathan Scott (1754-1829) which I have been unable to see. Might not these be the letters exchanged between the imprisoned Emperor and his son?

The work of Zahīru'd-dīn Fārūqī (Faruki) of Bombay, published in 1935, is descended at one remove from Shiblī's school. A lawyer, he felt obliged to rescue Aurangzīb's reputation from the stigma of obstinacy and ultimate failure with which Sir Jādūnāth had (he thought) marked it. His book is essentially a re-working and re-presentation of the materials in his predecessor's great work, of which the last volume had appeared in 1930. To it he has added some notes of his own, some of value; but whatever is new relates to Aurangzīb as Emperor.

All in all, it is a measure of the deep and seemingly insuppressible conflicts that surge beneath the surface in India and Pakistan, and of the unfortunate identification of history with religion - or at least with an attitude towards religion - that so little has been done to analyse the origins and course of that Islamicist revival in politics which Aurangzīb initiated and which, for better or for worse, led to the ruin of the Mughul empire and the ultimate emergence of the present political configuration of the subcontinent. An essential tool in such an analysis will be an annotated translation of the entire Adab-i-'Alamgīrī, the most useful and informative, indeed inequalled source for the earlier career of the principal mover of the fateful events of 1658. I hope I may be able to complete the task.

There is far more benefit to be had from such a translation, and its notes, than the elucidation of motives and attitudes. The careers of the nobles, high and less exalted, who attended upon Aurangzīb, served him, or merely and crossed his path will become further better known, which will allow a better-proportioned study of their influence and importance, and form a preface to Dr Athar 'Alī's valuable "The Mughul Nobility under Aurangzib" (Bombay, 1966). The details of the Qandahār campaign, so carelessly provided for and so irresponsibly managed, will enlarge our knowledge of Mughul military history, and perhaps allow some informed deductions to be made about the catastrophic decline in discipline and mobility over which Aurangzīb during fifty years as commander-in-chief, presided with apparent complacency,

l. I have seen a Delhi reprint of 1972, but have used the original edition.

but deep inward concern. The most informative part of all will be the minute details of revenue and administration in the Deccan. To these Aurangzīb's letters to his father provide a synoptic introduction; even further detail will be found in his letters to his subordinates. Together with a thorough overhaul of the most fortunately-preserved documents of the dissolved Daftar-i-Dīwānī of Haidarābād, an acceptable supplement could be made to the monumentally painstaking work of Dr'Irfān Habīb, "The Agrarian System of the Mughul Empire" (Bombay, 1963). Every aspect of the history of the period will be more fully lit by a patient study of the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī.

Aurangzīb himself remains. Tense, controlled, watchful; quick and decisive in organisation, jealous of his honour and reputation, direct and forcible in speech and writing. His dour tenacity shows forth from every page; yet he is not without some softer feelings, mingled though they are with a tincture of self-justification, almost self-pity. This correspondence of the last years of his youth shows the same character as the experienced, uncontradictable ruler who in the last days of his life, half a century later, retained the strength and fortitude to oversee all, to direct all. The better-known but little-studied collections of letters of Aurangzib's declining days - scarcely anything of the intervening forty odd years remains - and the letters of the Adab display an identical, fully developed character.

"The Devil himself knoweth not the thought of man":
none can say exactly when Aurangzīb resolved that he was to be
Emperor. When he faced the elephant in 1633, his selfconfidence must have been nearly complete; in 1644, when he
sought to resign his father's service, he must have known his
own worth; and by the end of 1652, as he marched away to the
Deccan after meeting his sisters and his brothers, he must have
chosen and resolved his destiny:

I know my fortune in extremes does lie
The sons of Indostan must Reign, or die<sup>2</sup>
One son saw the prize, assessed it, resolved to have it, and won
it; nor was his grasp ever weakened, nor his will shaken.

<sup>1.</sup> Letters 36, 52, 64, and 72 exemplify different aspects of Aurangzib's armour of self-defence.

<sup>2.</sup> Dryden, "Aureng-zebe", II, 539-40.

This letter, the first of the series, was written early in July, 1650. It probably was preserved because it forms a complete episode in itself. The trouble given to settled government by the lawless tribes from Baluchistan to the Himalayas was the same in Mughul days as in modern times.

It is probable that Isma'ıl Haut duly submitted and gave no more cause for concern. By 1674 he seems to have been absorbed into the mansabdarı structure.

But Aurangzīb had another aim in mind. In British days, tribesmen were conciliated to protect settled lands against raids; the Mughuls were concerned, in the brief moments that they held the great trading mart of Qandahar, to secure free passage for caravans; and when they did not hold it, they never forgot the possibility of recovering it, by a coup de main if not by regular siege. Hence the reference, at the end of this letter, to the next "victorious expedition" and the help a contented and conciliated Haut chieftain might be able to give.

Memorial. (arzdasht<sup>1</sup>) The humble suppliant, having brought the face of his supplication towards the threshold of that much-desired <u>qibla</u>, whose outer aspect and inner thoughts are the theatre of majesty; and having fulfilled the required formalities of submission and devotion [to that <u>qibla</u>] which is the guarantor of the felicity of the two worlds; begs to submit:

When this humble suppliant first had leave to depart from the seat of government at Lahore to Multan, he had made submission about Isma'Il Hūt , who had been dependent in the past (az qadīm) upon the province of Multan, and who had recently claimed to be connected with the governor of the Panjab. A noble order then had the honour to issue, [to the effect that] he should remain dependent upon the province of Multan, as in the past (ba ham ba dastur).

<sup>1.</sup> This word actually appears here, in all mss; but never again. All subsequent letters to the Emperor are rubricated: ba-hazrat zill ilahī.

<sup>3.</sup> Sir Jadunath Sarkar has Hot; other modern authorities spell the name of this vanished tribe Hut. In I.O. (but not B.M. or Rampur) a fatha and a jazm are clearly placed above the waw, making Haut.

<sup>2.</sup> He was there between 10 & 26 November 1649, after the first unsuccessful siege of Qandahar.

When he reached the vicinity of Multan, this humble suppliant appointed men to summon the <u>zamindars</u> [of the province], and sent one to Isma'il too. But Isma'il set up a letter of Dādā Bhāil as an authority, and did not come.<sup>2</sup>

This <u>murid</u> wrote an account of the affair to Sa'du'llah Khan, so that he might lay it before your Majesty and send a reply; but, since no reply was received, the right moment for admonishing him went by; so his punishment was postponed for the time being (dar an ayyam).

This fact became the cause of [further] insolence and boldness, (jasarat wa diliri) and he stretched his trespassing hand into the territory (wilayat) of Mubarak Beluch. Three of Mubarak's forts were occupied by him. When Mubarak informed this <u>murid</u> of Isma'il's high-handedness (tazallum), Basad, an Afghan who was already on the way to Babri to investigate [this affair], was ordered to put an end to the dispute. On arrival, he took the forts by force, restored them to Mubarak, and made Isma'il undertake not to repeat such conduct (dīgar piramun-i- in harkat nagardad).

Before Mubarak Beluch returned to see this <u>murid</u>, Isma'il, whose mind had become disturbed through the neated fumes of his conceit, (bukhar-i-pindar) and who had not got the punishment he deserved (ba saza-i-kardar-i-khud narasida bud', was incited by certain men to break the pledge he had just given, and once again seized from Mubarak's men the control of the forts already mentioned. Isma'il's recalcitrance had now exceeded all limits; the smoke of his pride had concealed from the sight of his eye the path of rectitude; and Mubarak became, through his oppression, a petitioner for justice once again.

l. Aurangzib always refers thus to Dara Shikoh (when he names him at all) and the usage was obviously that of the family. Dada in Hindi means both a grandfather and an elder brother (Platts, 499-500, s.v.) and is still a term of deep respect.

<sup>2.</sup> Isma'il later presented Dara with a valuable horse; Sarkar, Aurangzib I, 270, n., quoting Wāris, 85a. An "Isma'il Hut, "zamindar of Multan" was sent back (from near Hasan Abdal) to his home on Tuesday, 23 June 1674/29 Rabi'I 1085, and received the title of Khan and a horse" Ma'āsir-i-'Ālamgīrī, (text) 132.

This <u>murid</u> commissioned his servant Shaikh Mīr¹ to go with an army to chastise him severely [lit., to chastise and punish him] and to awaken him from his dreams of heedlessness and seize the forts. But when Shaikh Mīr [lit., "the aforesaid",] entered that territory with his force [lit., "companions"]. Isma'īl could not summon up (nadīda) courage to face him, and abandoned those forts. Through the divine guidance he consented to an interview with Shaikh Mīr, and expressed his intention of visiting Multan.

Shaikh Mir gave Isma'il hopes of pardon for his criminal faults (jura'im wa taqsirat); and on the first day of the revered month of Rajab<sup>2</sup> he brought Isma'il with him to this servant.

Because he is a celebrated zamīndar, and his lands are very well populated, bordering the territory of the Nauhānīs; because he keeps a strong force by his side; and because he entirely regrets (nadim wa pishman) his past offences; Isma'īl, if an order couched in conciliatory language (farman-i-'inayat 'anwan) should obtain the honour of issue upon the subject of reconciling him, might perform prodigies of valour (murasim-i-jan-fishanī ba-ja awurda) in expeditions against the Nauḥanīs. And he might also make great efforts in bringing up provisions when we launch our victorious expedition against Qandahār (dar yasāq-i-zafar masāq-i-Qandahār)<sup>3</sup>.

[This letter lacks a formal ending].

<sup>1.</sup> Shaikh Mir, Khwa fi "a Saiyid of pure lineage", was Aurangzib's "teacher" (Manucci, Storia I, 230) and confidant. He was told off to arrest Shah Nawaz Khan Safawi, Aurangzib's father-in-law, when Aurangzib set out to fight for the crown; he was killed in battle against Dārā's forces at Deorai on 23 March 1659. Throughout his life Aurangzib referred to him with affection, and was always ready to show kindness\_to the natives of Khwaf. There is a life in Ma'asiru'l'Umara, II, 668-670. His brother, Saiyid Mir, became Amir Khan under Aurangzib, and died as Governor of Kabul in 1081 H/ 1670-71: ibid, II, 476 ff.

<sup>2. 30</sup> June 1650. 1649 is out of the question, as Aurangzib was at Qandahar.

<sup>3.</sup> Chaudhurī omits Qandahār. Does he imagine that the sentence referred only to a punitive expedition against the Nauhānīs?

This letter must be dated after the previous one describing the submission of Isma'īl Haut, yet before the next one, which was written on or after 7 December 1650 and acknowledges the summons to an audience at Court. As it expressly says "now is not the time for campaigning", it probably belongs to the weeks before the end of September, while the heat in the rough, bare, and waterless hills of the ranges north of Kalāt - the Brāhui, Toba, and Kākar ranges - is at its zenith, and the difficulties of finding forage most acute.

The procedure Aurangzīb outlines for "bringing in" an independent tribal chieftain was standard in Mughul and British times both; the conciliatory letter from the man in authority, the request that an envoy be sent to bear and carry back an oral message as well as a sense of respect for the power behind it; the intervention of an influential fellow-countryman, already mediatised; and behind it all the threat of force. Being summoned to Court, and then directly concerned with building up his forces for the second Qandahār campaign, Aurangzīb was unable to chastise Ālam in the following cold weather; but he does not forget him.

In the manuscripts, and in Chaudhurī's edition, this and the next letter are transposed. The correct order is restored here.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God.

This <u>murid</u> begs to submit, that the exalted <u>farmān</u>, written by a <u>munshī</u> and sealed ("adorned") with the imperial sign-manual, which had acquired the honour of being issued in response to his petition, has scattered at a grace-revealing hour the brilliance of its arrival. After complying with the formalities of salutation [this <u>murīd</u>] found himself gratified upon the receipt of that singular gift. How can he return thanks for the arrival of the exalted <u>farmān</u>, which was issued in conformity with his desires? Or for the [imperial] munificence, the abounding (lit., "special choice of"), graciousness, and the tenderness to servants which are brought forth out of a store of fatherly affection and solicitude for the most insignificant beings?

Having sent the felicitous <u>farman</u> to the aforesaid for the sake of augmenting his own dignity and honour, through a trusted person [this <u>murid</u>] wrote a <u>nishan</u> to him, in accordance with the imperial command, on the matter of conciliating 'Alam Nauhani, saying that he should send one of his associates with [this <u>murid</u>'s] messengers so that this <u>murid</u> might send them back to 'Alam Nauhani, with a view to directing him to the path of good fortune, and causing him to set off for Multan, by making him acquainted with the principles of hope and fear.

Through Isma'īl's mediation, 'Alam Nauhānī had previously sent this <u>murīd</u> a petition, asking that a conciliatory <u>nishān</u> should be sent to him too; so that, becoming confident [of a good reception] he might depart for Multan with the feel of submission and obedience.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the world and its inhabitants! The real state of the Nauḥānī road, described in detail in the exalted <u>farmān</u>, were not known to this <u>murīd</u> before its arrival ("up to this time"). Otherwise, when the spring crops were yet unharvested and when an army was being sent to the territories of Isma'īl Haut to suppress the uprising of Mubārak Belūch, a force would have been sent against 'Ālam too. He would have been brought willy-nilly before this <u>murīd</u>, just as Isma'īl was; or else he would have been made an exile in the wilderness of misfortune; <sup>3</sup> and the victorious army would have passed by that way to the reduction of Qandahār without any fear. <sup>4</sup>

But now is not the time for campaigning (alhal chun hangam-i-suwari nīst); and, besides, the aforesaid 'Ālam, who all this time has been drinking water without restraint (āb bī-lajam khwurda), would not condescend to come to this murīd all at once.

<sup>1.</sup> ba mumīalaihi. Almost certainly Isma'īl Haut. An imperial <u>farmān</u> was probably sent to him (with a letter to Aurangzīb, not preserved) when the Emperor received news of his submission in July, 1650.

<sup>2.</sup> sc, Isma'īl Haut.

<sup>3.</sup> u ra nīz kam na kam miawurdand, ya awara'-i-saḥra'-i-idbar mīsakhtand. When Aurangzīb recurs to this subject over a year later, in Letter 9, he uses almost the same language.

<sup>4.</sup> sc, of marauding attacks by 'Alam's men.

Were an imperial order, to the effect that part of the victorious army should move towards Qandahār through the <u>mahāls</u> of his <u>zamīndārī</u>, obtain elegance by being issued, this <u>murīd</u> will despatch an army to march against him in two or three months, when the campaigning season returns. (ki vaqt-i-suwārī barasad) Thus he will be brought to Multān by force; (khwāh nā khwāh) or else he will be thrust forth from his territories (az sarzamīn-i-khwūdash badār kunand).

When his business is brought to a satisfactory end (lit., "when the heart reaches a condition of tranquillity on his part") the victorious armies might proceed by that route; however, what Your Majesty commands will be done (lit., "whatever is the exalted order").

l. From what has gone before, it is clear that 'Alam was not a mansabdar, nor was his territory under Mughul administration. He was an independent tribal leader. The language of settled government is used of him because the Mughuls conceived of themselves as the only fount of honour; to admit a title to land or dignity not derived from the Emperor would derogate from the Imperial claim to absolute power.

This letter begins with an announcement that Aurangzib has begun a march to the new capital of Shahjahanabad for an audience with his father. Permission was doubtless already granted, though the letter acknowledging receipt of the eagerly sought permission is not preserved.

But there is more interest in Aurangzīb's account of the successful punitive expedition led by Malik Husain against unruly elements in the Kirthar and Pab ranges, north-west of Tatta. The names of the various protagonists - unknown to history but for these letters - show that the process of Islamisation in these tracts was still not complete.

The brief notice of the expedition of Amir Khan's sons against a petty Cutchi freebooter seems likewise to have been a success. Cutch was never in fact administered by the Mughuls, but they had a faujdar in Jūnagarh, and a not very prolific mint. A disputed succession at Junagarh was settled by the faujdar's intervention in 1663 (Ma'asir-i-'Alamgiri, (text) 42). The name Islamnagar, which Aurangzib then ordered given to Jūnagarh, did not survive, as his coins alone prove.

It is submitted that his longing to obtain the happiness of kissing the exalted threshold, and of prostrating [himself] at that lofty portal which is the main object (qibla) of the attention of the kings of the inhabited earth, (rub'-i-maskun) has deprived this murid of patience and endurance to such an extent that he has been made a seeker, like a speck of dust [in a beam of light], for the light of the world-illuminating With his desire alone he is inevitably dissatisfied; therefore out of genuine loyalty and sincere devotion, he has made his outer aspect and inner thoughts ready to receive the superabundant blessings of his true preceptor and guide in temporal and spiritual matters. He has conscientiously arranged (khatir ...wa pardakhta) for the welfare and good government of these territories [during his absence]; and on the 14th  $z\bar{i}$ 'l Hijja he put on the ceremonial garment for circumabulating that angel-guarded portal [which resembles] the holy Ka'ba; and came forth from the town [of Multan].

<sup>1.</sup> The date was 7 December 1650 and the Hijri year 1060. Chaudhuri has an unacknowledged misprint in his introductory note: 1040.

He hopes, that the astrologers of the stirrup of felicity will select, in conformity with the world-obeyed commands, an hour for paying his respects [and offering] unfeigned worship; so that this devoted murid, who recognizes that to follow the will of that preceptor and guide of mortal men is the capital of the fortune of the two worlds, might, at the auspicious time, having made the forehead of his sincerity luminous with the sijdas of servitude, fulfil the wishes of two worlds.

Hail to the qibla of the world and of all who dwell in it! This murid had previously informed your Majesty by letter that the rebellious Nuhmardis and Jugias, who inhabit the hilly tracts of the province of Tatta, had not exhibited adequate obedience to any former governors; and their regular pursuit is robbery and plunder (lit., "they daily cause to happen perpetual robbery and plunder"). 1

Malik Husain<sup>2</sup> went to chastise and punish them with such forces as he had; and it became clear, while he was away ("all this time"), [both] from his own reports and [those of] the local news-writer, that he had penetrated ten marches beyond the boundaries of [the province of] Tatta, to Kahirah and Bela, which are the refuges of the Nuhmardi and Jugiah tribes; and caused to be proclaimed [there] the khutba of your sacred Majesty's renowned name and title.

3. Bela lies some 75 miles N.-W. of the modern Karachi, then an inconsequential village.

<sup>1.</sup> This and the remaining paragraphs of the letter are translated by Dr. Mu'Inu'l Haq in his Prince Awrangzib, pp.25-26.

<sup>2.</sup> Like Shaikh Mir, he was a Saiyid and a native of Khwaf, in the south-western part of Khurasan. He was born about 1615, and was foster-brother (Koka, Kokaltash) to Aurangzīb; that is, he was taken into the prince's household, as Mughal tradition required, as a slightly older play-mate & friend. When Aurangzib rebelled, he was ennobled as Bahadur Khan; in 1673, he was advanced to the higher title of Khan-i-Jahan. He died in 1697; his death is related in the Ma'asir-i-(text, 237) and by Manucci, Storia, III, 425-6, with a curious and possibly genuine anecdote of Aurangzib's visit to his death-bed. Anecdotes of his harsh government, pride, bold speech, and aggressively unintellectual tastes are numerous. One such is in Aḥkam-i-'Alamgiri, ed. & trans. Sarkar, para. 37, p.83. The older he grew, the more difficult he seemed to be (cf Manucci, Storia, III, 421-2; Ma'āṣir-i-Alamgiri (text) 168. His life, with further anecdotes, is in Ma'asiru'l Umara, I, 798-813, under his final title Khan-i-Jahan Bahadur Zafar Jang Kokaltash. As he was called to Court in Shah Jahan's 27th year, with the lowish rank of 800/100, he does not appear in the Deccan correspondence.

And at that place Harun, and Khatarmal the brother of Kanhu, and Majar, [all] leaders of the Nuhmardis, submitted, together with the supporters of the chief men of the Jugiahs, and agreed to pay tribute. Ja'far the Nuhmardi, who is the son-in-law of Man Singh, zamindar of Banjor, Kich and Makran; [and] from his tribe and sons of "Ali and Karani, who are notables of those parts, Haji Roncha, Jam, and a large number of the leading men of that territory, who from the time of the Tarkhans until now had acknowledged no overlord, placed the head of submission upon the letters of command.

A Nuhmardi named Maddah<sup>2</sup>, who belonged to ("is dependent upon") the hill-country of the province of Qandahar, was the leader of the disaffected in this district. He had crossed his own boundaries for the sake of plunder and had come towards Kahirah and Bela. Upon the arrival of the victorious army he fled to the mountains and wilderness. Malik Husain therefore sent a detachment from the army to chastise him, guided by the <a href="maindars">maindars</a> of those places; which reached his encampment after a forced march of 70 <a href="maindars">cos</a>, early that morning. Maddah came out to fight; but he became, with his followers, the food of the blood-drinking sword. Forty odd people, including his daughter, were captured; and the victorious army, taking possession of the prisoners and the cattle, returned, conquering and triumphant, to its camp.

Meanwhile Man Singh, who has been mentioned already, reported both by his agents and by letters that in Banjor and Kich and Makran the khutba of the rule of your Imperial Majesty's name had been proclaimed ("became delightful to the sense of hearing").

l. az'ahd-i-hukkam-i-Tarkhan. B.M. has a gloss: Badshahan-i-Tatta. The last ruler of the line, established by his grandfather in 1553, was Jani Beg, who was deposed by Akbar in 1001/1592-3.

<sup>2.</sup> This seems a better form than "Madh" adopted by Dr. Mu'Inu'l Haq ("Prince Awrangzib", 25).

<sup>3.</sup> This is probably Panjgur, at the western end of the valley between the Siahan and Central Makran ranges in Beltchistan. The ms. reading Banjor should be replaced by Panjor.

After gaining this victory through the imperishable good fortune of the guide and preceptor of mortals, the triumphant army returned from that region towards Tatta.

Hail to the gibla of the faith and of the world! Sata, the son of Jam Hala the zamindar of Kakrala1, who through the divine guidance had seen Malik Husain, set off at this time for Multan, for the sake of improving his condition. His brother, the accursed Kahba, who lives on the periphery of Cutch, out of his own ill-nature and through the seductive blandishments of the local zamindar, collected a force, and entered the said He was planning to plunder it; but the slaves of parganah. the lofty threshold (especially Ziyā'u'd-dīn Yusuf and Abu'l Makarim the sons of Amir Khan) marched rapidly with a military detachment, which Malik Husain had left in the city as a precautionary measure<sup>2</sup>, and gun-boats (ghurabha) to expel that God-forsaken wretch. His courage failing, he fled (u tab niyawurda rah-i-idbar pesh girift.) Peremptory orders were issued to them to devote all their energies to extirpating the seeds of that accursed man's sedition.

[This letter lacks a formal ending].

<sup>1.</sup> Dr. Mu'īnu'l Ḥaq makes his name out as Sattahālah, following Nadwī, whose text is corrupt: Sattahāla wald-i-zamīndār-i-Kakrāla. Chaudhurī's ms appears to read Mastāhāla wald-i-Zamīndār-i-Kakrāla, but he has emended to Mastā wald-i-Jām Hāla. Mastā could possibly be right; but all three of my mss render the name as Satā. Jām is a common title of petty potentates in Cutch and Kathiawar; the Jām Ṣāḥib of Nāvanagar, a state on the north-west of Kathiawar, facing the Gulf of Cutch, was a Muslim. The title was possibly derived from the notion of "birth" (janam): succession from father to son. Hāla is rendered by Sarkār as "Halar",: Aurangzib, III, 40.

<sup>2.</sup> The reference must be to the city of Tatta. Bhūj, then the principal city of Cutch, was not under Mughul administration. The words of the text are: "... juma'ī az sipāh, ki Malik Ḥusain barāye iḥtiyāt dar shahr guzashta..." The precaution was justified; the freebooting chieftain appeared again about five years later, bold as ever. Munshī Abu'l Fath writes to inform his master: "A ship which was building [for you] at Tatta under the charge of Mughul Khān was half finished, when it fell into the hands of the Zamīndar of Kakrāla pargana. Taken [from him], it was confiscated to the Khāliṣa." I.O., fo. 262 A-B. The Munshī continues with a proposal to recompense Aurangzīb. The reference is an important addition to the evidence of princes' private trading; it shows that even when he had no direct authority in Tatta, Aurangzīb was able to order a ship built there, and have an imperial manṣabdār supervise the work. the sūbaḥdār himself. See the introductory note to Letter 9.

In all the mss, in Nadwi's selections, and in Chaudhuri's edition, the following letter 4 and letter 5 are transposed. Reference to the dates, and the stages of the march, show this arrangement to be mistaken. In his introductory note to the next letter (4 in his numbering) he dates Aurangzīb's departure from Multan to 14 Zī'l Hijja 1040 - a regrettable misprint.

It is worth noting that Aurangzīb did not cut across the Indus towards Bhatinda and Hiṣār, and so to Delhi; that march, of about 275 miles, would have taken him through a route dry at the best of times, in use during the Delhi Sultanate, but now of secondary importance and unprovided with the amenities of the route north-east to Lahore (about 175 miles) and south-east again to Delhi (some 225 miles, whether by way of Bhatinda or Sirhind). Even when marching to the Deccan in 1652, under order to make the greatest speed, Aurangzīb was concerned at the danger his family and dependants might run in avoiding the swing to the east along the high road through Sirhind, and cutting due south from Ludhiāna through the Lakhī Jungle; see Letter 43, infra.

Since this letter reports the progress of Aurangzīb's march to the audience he had so eagerly solicited, it is confined to commonplaces. Aurangzīb's suggestion that he was delayed in starting from Multān by the "ill-provisioned" (bi-sāmānī) state of the army raises the possibility of complaint; from which, it would appear, the Emperor forebore.

The Harappa at which the Emperor's letter, disclosing the moment of audience, reached Aurangzib on 19 December, 1650 is the well-known site of the Indus valley city. Not yet plundered for bricks for the Lahore-Multan railway, its mounds must have been conspicuous objects. The Court's minute reliance upon astrologersin which Aurangzīb acquiesces, will be met with again.

The pomegranates requested by the Emperor would have graced his morning levée; and the rock-melons, although inferior, might have been distributed to countries of lower rank. In adding something of his own to what he had been commanded to send, Aurangzib is offering a courtesy that was almost expected.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

This devoted servant informs your most sacred Majesty, that the exalted and felicitous <u>farmān</u> addressed to him, through [your Majesty's] perfect benevolence and solicitude for the most insignificant beings, together with papers, [disclosing] the grace-revealing hour which the astrologers of the refulgent court had chosen for that [expression of] entire obedience, his [proposed] audience, distributed the nobility of arrival on the happy evening of Monday, the 24th Zi'l Hijja, at the station (manzil) of Harappa. They came at a time when the clouds of divine compassion were puring forth mercy; and they lavished mercy upon mercy.

He has performed with true sincerity and loyalty the ritual prostrations of gratitude to God (may his name be magnified!) and the salutations due to the benevolence of his true [temporal] lord (may his shadow be lengthened!).

A noble order received magnificence by being brought, that if this <u>murīd</u> would show speed in coming, he would be able to obtain the good fortune of an audience at an auspicious hour.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the world and its inhabitants! Since this poor forsaken <u>murīd</u> was overcome by the desire to kiss the lofty threshold, he wished to hasten to the attainment of this supreme boon, and arrive at the earliest moment possible for the audience with your Majesty.

But an imperial order was issued, that he should approach stage by stage; and delay and procrastination have occurred, because of several matters relating to the administration of the state; besides, the army and men have fallen into an ill-provisioned state. (wa bī-sāmānī-i-lashkar wa mardum 'alāwa-i-ān gashta).

<sup>1. 19</sup> December, 1650.

<sup>2.</sup> In the mss Harapa without tashdid.

Through the generosity of the Lord Almighty and the benevolence of the preceptor and guide of heaven and earth he hopes, that an auspicious hour, and on an occasion which the astrologers ("star-gazers") of the sublime court will select, he may fulfil his desire of performing the ceremony, associated with prosperity, of kissing the [imperial] foot, and succeed in acquiring the good fortune of the two worlds.

The world-obeyed order which was attached [to the exalted farman] commanded this least of murids to send to the court, the refuge of rulers, the seedless pomegranate of Tattah, which is superior to the Jalalabad pomegranate. This pleasure-promoting message made him delighted and exultant. The rockmelon (kharbūza) of Bhakkar is almost as bad this year as the Kabul variety (imsāl dar zabūnī ba kharbūza-i-Kābul nazdīk ast); however your humble servant sends [some], out of his devotion to the all-joyful court.

He [now] finishes [his petition] with prayers, recognizing that further words would overstep the bounds of good manners.  $^{\rm l}$ 

<sup>1.</sup> Ziyada bī-adabī az adab dūr dīda, ba du'a khatam namūd. This form of conclusion, resembling the polite formulae of elegant Urdū, is unusual in Aurangzīb's correspondence.

On the first day of the Hijrī year 1061 (Christmas Day, 1650) Aurangzīb received a robe of honour at Icchrah, a suburb north-east of Lahore. He had marched approximately 100 miles in six days. The two gardens he visited appear not to survive. They are not to be identified with those now known as Shālimār, which contained the tomb of Jahāngīr and Nūr Jahān, and would not have had an "auspicious royal bedroom". Rather, like the tomb of Akbar at Sikandra, or that of Aurangzīb's mother at Āgra (Letter 45, infra) would it have been regarded as a shrine.

'Alī Mardān Khān, who had betrayed Qandahār to Shāh Jahān in 1638, and who was <u>Sūbahdār</u> of Kashmīr, was doubtless writing in Lahore; as he had been when he set off on his last journey, in April 1657. Dārā Shikoh was <u>Sūbahdār</u> of Lahore; of Letter 1, supra. It would have been 'Alī Mardān Khān's duty, even as the senior noble of the Empire, to wait upon the Prince as he passed through; hence he accompanied him in his perambulations through the Imperial gardens.

To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

This [murīd] informs your Majesty, that at the station of Icchrah on the morning of Sunday, the first of the venerable month of Muḥarram, he was honoured by the arrival of a special robe of honour for the winter, a gift of perfect favour and kindness. Having offered the formal greetings of submission and the salutations of true devotion, he has sweetened his tongue with the acknowledgment of the kindesses and favours of his true preceptor and guide. Since the hour of the wholly fortunate audience was near, and his craving to attain [the honour of] kissing the feet of your Majesty had become dominant, he set out without delay on the following day. He spent a little time viewing the Faiz Bakhsh and the Farāh Bakhsh gardens, which are above praise and beyond description, and moved on to the [next] stage. The Arm of the Caliphate,

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. Incchra. Chaudhuri has "Ichhra jo Lahor ke muzafat men se hai" in a footnote, and the same spelling in his text.

<sup>2. 25</sup> December, 1650.

'Alī Mardān Khān, the Amīru'! Umara', who accompanied [this murīd] in promenading through the gardens, took his leave there.

Hail to the <u>giblah</u> of the world and its inhabitants! It was not the time of the garden's [greatest] luxuriance, or the full greeness of the trees; but the trefoils (sih barg)<sup>2</sup> and narcissus flowers were flourishing; the fountain and the cascade were playing splendidly, and the pleasure-embodying buildings looked billiantly white; it was not less [beautiful] than [at the time of] the bounties of spring.

Near the auspicious royal bedroom, (khwabgah-i-mubarak) mandarins and oranges were growing in profusion, and there was a riot of colour on the left and right sides of the two raised walks. In several places, the stone-carving on the building (naqqash-i-'imarat) had been damaged (zabūn shuda) and had lost (az raunaq uftada) its beauty; men are now busy, in accordance with the exalted and lofty command, at its restoration and polishing (dastkarī wa pardākht-i-ān mushghil and).

May the ever-blooming rose-garden of the sultanate and [its] prosperity remain always green and verdant through the rivers of the Lord Almighty's bounty!

<sup>1.</sup> He died on 27 April, 1657, while returning from Lahore to his charge in Kashmīr. A life is in M.U., II, 295-298 (text).

<sup>2.</sup> Sih barg, with the figure 3 written in, occurs in B.M. & R. Is it a type of fine flowering clover?

<sup>3.</sup> One can only conjecture that frost had damaged sandstone carvings in high relief. Less likely is stone mosaic, by Shah Jahan's time already somewhat old-fashioned. Tile-work, which had reached such perfection in Persia, and of which some contemporary specimens survive in Lahore, was more liable to damage by frost; but naqqashi seems not an appropriate term for it. The only other possibility is painting; but damage to internal wall-paintings suggests either structural defect or deliberate destruction.

Aurangzīb has completed his visit to Court, where he had spent six weeks, and was returning by the same route, through Sirhind and Lahore, to Multān. On 6 March 1651, he reached Sirhind.

Sirhind contained the tomb of Shaikh Ahmad Fārūqī Sirhindī (1564-1623) perhaps the city's most famous son. As Mujaddid-i-Alf-i-Sānī, "the renovator of the second millenium", he worked up the traditions of Naqshbandī sufism, in which he had been brought up, into a sense of an uniquely personal mission to restore the minute observance of the Shāri'a exterminate heresy, and degrade and depress the Hindus. This would be achieved by influencing the leading nobles, even the Emperor himself; and the personal pre-eminence and exact obedience which the Shaikh claimed were further justified by the mystic exaltation he believed he had enjoyed.

Had his teachings or his reputation inspired in Aurangzīb any admiration, or even interest, a visit to his tomb might have been expected; Aurangzīb did not disdain to visit holy men¹, nor reject the strictly uncanonical practice of circumambulating their tombs, and when he was the Emperor regularly showed his respect for the pious, alive or dead; he even visited Shaikh Saifu'ddīn Sirhindī in 1669. But Aurangzib evidently cared little for the teachings and the personality of his grandfather, the self-styled Mujaddid; in March 1676 he was to spend two days in a garden at Sirhind, and visit other tombs, but not Shaikh Ahmad's, so now he ignored the blessings he might have earned at the Shaikh's tomb, and dallied in the garden. Perhaps it was the Nau-Lakha; but there were other gardens in Sirhind, all ravaged by the Sikhs in 1710, and all destroyed in the general ruin they brought upon the city in 1763.

<sup>1.</sup> There is the well-known example of his seeking the favour of Shaikh Burhan Shattarī of Burhanpūr, when early in 1658 he was about to set off to make his bid for the throne.

<sup>2.</sup> From the Ma'āsir-i-Alamgīrī may be gleaned a note of four visits to the famous dargāh of Mu'īnu'd-dīn Chishtī at Ajmīr: 19 February 1679 (text, 172), 25 September, 1679 (text 181), 22 March 1680 (text, 191) and finally on marching against Akbar, 13 January 1681 (text, 200). There is no mention of a last visit when starting for the Deccan on 8 September, 1681 (text, 213). Dr S.A.A. Rizvi has collected other examples of such visits: Muslim Revivalist Movements in Northern India, p. 390. Following regular practice, and fulfilling inevitable expectation, he would leave a large cash donation; five, or even ten thousand rupees.

<sup>3.</sup> Ma'asiru'l Umara (text) 84.

<sup>4.</sup> Futuhāt-i-'Ālamgīrī as referred to in Rizvi (op.cit.) 390, n.2; M.A. (text 154) does not mention the stages of the four-week journey from Lahore to Delhi.

# To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

The humble <u>murīd</u>, having exhibited the customary courtesies and made the salutations of devotion out of the sincerity of his intention and the purity of his purpose, brings the memorandum of his desire to the post of the increasers of happiness of the most sacred and lofty court, which is the summit of the desire of <u>murīds</u>.

In these times, which are the peak of good fortune (farkhunda-anjām) [this <u>murīd</u>] reached Sirhind, by the divine grace and the favour of his true preceptor and guide, on the happy morning of Monday, the twelfth of Rabī' I in the [present] year.

He there occupied himself in viewing the delight-increasing gardens, and enjoying the elegant buildings. The small garden, which has been laid out in connection with the auspicious bath-house, (ghuslkhāna-i-mubārak) is in a state of perfect freshness. Although almond-blossom time is past, (shigūfa-i-bādām guzashta ast) the peach-blossoms are splendid. The tulips, too, are most colourful and attractive.

[This <u>murīd's</u>] hope is, that [the garden] may soon obtain bloom and freshness anew, upon the fortunate arrival associated with grace, through an inspection which will have upon it the effect of alchemy (manzūr-i-nazr-i kīmīya-aṣār gashta)<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>1.</sup> Ch. omits irādat from ādāb-i-irādat wa taslīmat.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. has the form Sihrind.

<sup>3.</sup> B.M. has du shamba duazda wa yakum.

<sup>4.</sup> Ch. adds "28" but omits sanah.

<sup>5.</sup> For gashta, B.M. has guzashta.

May the rose-garden of the Sultanate, and its imperishable good fortune, remain ever green and flourishing!

<sup>1.</sup> Mss sabz: except B.M.'s marginal gloss sairab.

<sup>2.</sup> And so concludes Aurangzīb's report of his visit to Sirhind. Chaudhurī, who might have been expected to mention any possible connection between Aurangzīb and Shaikh Ahmad Sirhindī, judiciously confines his introductory note to the beauties of the gardens.

Only one Naqshbandī is mentioned in Aurangzīb's letters to his father, and he was not related, and possibly only remotely connected, with Shaikh Ahmad. This is Khwāja Barkhwurdar (c.1600 - after 1660). See Letters 66 and 70 (with notes on his career) 87, and 88. In youth, he had some share of the Shaikh's hardihood; see the note to Letter 66.

Aurangzib has now passed through Lahore and is marching down the right bank of the Ravī. He expects to cover the distance, approximately 175 miles, in nineteen days, including his halt for one day on the outskirts of Lahore. He would have encamped outside the city, without entering it; to occupy the Imperial palace, he would have had to solicit special leave, and he would not have wished to place himself in Dara's debt by using one of the mansions which Dara's agents could have placed at his disposal.

The numerous variants in the Haidarābād text of this letter, (such as "Aljhera" and "ten stages more") are of considerable interest.

To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

The devoted <u>murid</u>, having kissed the ground of service with the lip of good manners, and having performed the requirements of devotion, and offered the salutations of submission, informs the grace-scatterers of the refulgent court, which is all joy, that the exalted <u>farmān</u>, adorned with graciousness, which was written by the blessed pen out of the completeness of [Your Majesty's] solicitude for the least of beings, and tenderness towards <u>murids</u>, distributed nobility by its arrival on Tuesday, the 28th of Rabī' I, at the station of Sadhārdalū, and gave him great pleasure (sa'adat-afza gasht).

<sup>1.</sup> Down to this point, Hyd. reproduces the introduction to the previous letter.

<sup>2. 22</sup> March, 1651.

<sup>3.</sup> Hyd. has Sadharde'o; so has Ch.; so has N., without noting any variant. I.O. seems to read similarly (fo-5A, line 15) but B.M. has Sadhardalu, and R's elongated lam is unmistakable.

In what words can he give thanks for this liberality and condescension, which far exceeds any ambitions which murīds are capable of forming?

Verse: The tongue cannot return thanks to thy threshold;  $(jan\bar{a}bat)^2$ 

Not even if a hundred men like me were to make a speech with each breath.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! This sincerehearted <u>murīd</u> crossed [the Ravī] from Lahore, the capital, on the 23rd of the above month, and reached the outskirts of Abjerah. There he stayed for one day, to deal with several matters of importance; the next day he moved his camp, and passed through Panj Grāmī while hunting. At the third stage [of the journey] he boarded a boat (ba kishtī nashist). God willing, and through the favour of the [Imperial] heart, the abode of angels [this <u>murīd</u>] will reach the boundary of his <u>jāgīr</u> in two more stages; and on the 11th of Rabī' II will enter the abode of security, Multan.

After his arrival, he shall despatch without delay to the Court, the refuge of rulers, Malik Husain Abdālī, 5 accompanied by some trusted men, in accordance with imperial orders.

May the sun of the Sultanate and of [its] dominion continue to shine in the skies of its good fortune (matla'-i-iqbal) and universal victory (kishwar-satānī).

<sup>1.</sup> Hyd. hausila, with sin for sad.

<sup>2.</sup> Hyd. (and Ch.!) have jihat.

<sup>3.</sup> Hyd. has Aljhera.

<sup>4.</sup> SC., 3 April, 1651. This makes possible Hyd.'s reading ba dah manzil-i-dīgar, which the others do not follow; nor does Ch.'s Lahore ms. Nadwī notes no variant.

<sup>5.</sup> Abdalī in Hyd.

Several months after his return to Multan, Aurangzīb recurs to the question of 'Ālam Nauḥānī; hoping to arrange an expedition against him in connection with the general preparations to march on Qandahār.

It is interesting to note that there appear to be two sources of topographical information; the Court copy of a map (of which further copies might have been made available to selected officials) which Aurangzīb was invited to annotate with the latest data, and return; and the gazetteers which the provincial governors and others compiled, and sent to Court. These were not gazetteers in the true sense; there was no attempt to imitate Abu'l Fazl. They were brief notes of the principal topographical features; and with the turbulent western frontier a cause of endless concern there were probably brief notes on the leading tribal personalities as well. Based on painstaking local enquiry, these notes would have been of far greater worth than the scrappy information on which the Government of India prepared for war in Afghānistān in 1878.

# To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Your Majesty is informed, that the exalted <u>farman</u>, adorned with graciousness, which obtained the honour of issue in answer of this <u>murid's</u> petition, together with the gift of a special robe of honour brought (maṣhūb) by Imām Qulī the <u>qarāwal</u> (seargeant at arms) scattered the brilliance of its arrival on the 20th day of the great month of Sha'bān, and gave him immeasurable happiness.

Having performed the requirements of submission and offered the salutations of devotion, he has become eloquent (rutbu'l-lisan gasht) because of his thankfulness and gratitude for these gifts and marks of favour.

The detailed information upon the stages of the Nauhānī road, which had been entrusted to Imām Qulī (ba hawāla-i-mūmialai-hi), in accordance with the prayer of this murīd, has arrived.

<sup>1. 8</sup> August 1651.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! The nature of the above-mentioned road is known [to this <u>murid</u>] through the reports of Malik Husain and of the <u>zamīndārs</u> of that district; and Imām Qulī also confirms most of their statements. It is by no means fit for the victorious army to march along it. Since remarks relating to that [route] have been noted upon the map (tumān, "roll", "volume") which has come from the sublime court, they will pass under your Majesty's eye (nazr-i-aqdas).

Mālik Husain suggests, that the Chacha road is better than the Nauhānī road; but this <u>murīd</u> is not satisfied with that [statement], and does not consider it wise (munāsib namīdānad) to take the victorious army by that unknown road.

[This <u>murid</u>] considers (mugarrar sakhta) that the same route by which Bahadur Khan joined the victorious army, after passing through the Haut territories [on his way] from <u>Ghaznī</u> and Gardez, should be used to reach the destination. In fact, he is preparing to march by that road.

However, anything which the Imperial will may demand will be the very essence of wisdom, and the marrow (mahz) of good policy.

May the sun of the caliphate and of its government remain bright and shining in the sky of the good fortune of the state!

<sup>1.</sup> On the occasion of the first siege of Qandahar. Bahadur Khan Da'udza'i, also called Bahadur Khan Ruhela, was the son of Darya Khan who had perished in 1631 in a skirmish with the Imperial troops pursuing Khan-i-Jahan. But he was loyal to Shah Jahan, who on his accession accorded him the rank of 4000/2000. After distinguished service in many theatres (particularly the Balkh campaign) he died, of asthma, while serving under Aurangzīb before the Mashurī gate of Qandahār, on 19 July 1649. Life in M.U.I., ; death.

Imperial orders to march forthwith against 'Ālam Nauḥānī have been received, and Aurangzib is glad to obey a command he himself has solicited.

This letter appears to have been written shortly after the despatch of Letter 8, which was an answer to be delivered by Imām Qulī on 8 August 1651. As Imām Qulī appears to have carried back the maps and other information sent in reply, but not yet to have arrived in the Emperor's camp, we may date this letter to the end of August. In any case, Shāh Jahān would have been obliged to be over the Pīr Panjal pass before the snow began to fall in October.

Passing the winter in Lahore, Shāh Jahān hoped by his extensive preparation to redeem the disgrace of his failure in 1649, which had been partly due to insufficient (and inefficient) artillery. By beginning the despatch of cannon to Kabul before winter set in, he is clearly hoping for a partial remedy, at least, for that deficiency.

Malik Ḥusain's arbitrary behaviour was to be a feature of Mughul public life for nearly fifty years more, until he died, as Khān-i-Jahān Bahādur Zafar Jang, in 1697. Despite Aurangzīb's smooth denials, there cannot be much doubt that there was substance in the complaints which had reached the Imperial ear.

The creation of a separate province of Tattah would have reduced the area of Aurangzīb's viceroyalty; but he clearly did not regard that as an obstacle. He in recommending the change, and the Emperor in sanctioning it, were thinking of the principal object of Mughul policy at the time, the reconquest of Qandahār. In later years, Tatta was sometimes ruled from Multan, sometimes separately. It is perhaps of interest to reflect, that in Tatta right up to the end of 1659 coins were being struck dated in the Persian solar months after the manner of Akbar and Jahāngīr's issues, and dated in the solar years of Shāh Jahān's reign: the date 1069/33 is known both there and at Multan. Aurangzīb, the Islamic purist, made no move against this bid'at while Sūbahdār.

The new viceroy of Tatta, Mughul Khān, is mentioned in a later letter written by Munshī Abū'l Fath as superintending the building of a ship for Aurangzīb (Letter 10, infra and note). He may have been on the third Qandahār expedition, for in 1654 Aurangzīb was to solicit Sa'dullāh Khān for his transfer to the Deccan as a means of "escaping from his necessities" (B.M. fo. 117 A) - perhaps he had borrowed to outfit himself for the campaign, and exceeded his mansabdārī income. Whatever the reason, the posting seems not to have been made, and Mughul Khān remained, at least for the present, in Tatta. He was not among those of his men honoured by Aurangzīb after Dharmat and Samugarh.

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhurī, quoting the 'Amal-i-Ṣālih III, 134, says that Shāh Jahān reached Lahore on 23 Jumada II, 1061 (13 June 1651). This would be the appropriate time of year to be marching towards Kashmīr, not returning from it.

# To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Your Majesty is informed, that the exalted <u>farman</u>, which obtained the honour of issue in response to the petition of this <u>murīd</u>, scattered, at an auspicious moment and a praiseworthy time, the magnificence of its arrival, and gave him great pleasure.

The world-obeyed and universe-subduing command has reached him, that he should despatch, in accordance with his petition, a well-equipped force (lashkar-i-khūbī) against 'Ālam Nauhānī.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the worlds! This <u>murīd</u> has explained the actual state of the Nauhānī road, and of the other roads that run<sup>2</sup> from Multān to Qandahār, in a memorandum which he has sent through (maṣḥūb) Imām Qulī the qarāwal, and which will come to Your Majesty's notice.

Should it be determined that the victorious army should pass by that route, a fresh order might acquire the elegance of being issued, that a well equipped army, fit for punishing him, should be appointed without delay to bring him willynilly to Multan; or to make him an exile in the wilderness of misfortune. 3

May the departure of the standards of grandeur and glory from incomparable Kashm $\bar{1}$ r towards fortunate Lahore, the Abode of the Sultanate, be blessed!

May Almighty God keep the shadow of the exaltation of the slaves of your Majesty spread over the heads of the earth's inhabitants!

<sup>1.</sup> N. has a curious slip: lashkar-i-khūnī.

N. has another error - rāhā ki...mīrawad.

<sup>3.</sup> Kam na kam û ra ba Multan biyarand, ya awara-i-sahra'-i-idbar sazand: an echo of the language of Letter 2, supra.

<sup>4.</sup> Dāru's - sultanat had been the title of Lahore since Akbar's time, and remained so until the Sikh ascendancy. It would be wrong to translate it as "the capital"; which Lahore was not.

The despatch of the cannon to Kabul, which occurred to [that] heart of angelic penetration through divine inspiration, was fortunate indeed. Surely this expedition will, by the method that has been decided, be brought to an admirable termination.

There had the honour of issue an order, requiring obedience, which elevated Mughal Khan to be subahdar of Tattah, as this murid had wished; and which bestowed upon this murid cash, in accordance with the ten-monthly schedule, in exchange for his jagir; whose produce this year does not exceed the five-monthly allowance.

[His] gratitude for these gifts and favours, which resemble the divine grace, is inexhaustible. In what words can he give thanks?

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the inhabitants of the world! Whatever has reached your Majesty's [knowledge] concerning the tyranny of Mālik Ḥusain is the merest calumny (maḥz-i-iftira). In the one year that this <u>murid</u> has held the province of Tatta., Malik Ḥusain has not interfered with any of the peasantry, (mut 'ariz-i-hāl-i aḥadī az ra'āyā nagashta) apart from the chastisement of robbers and thieves. No report (khabarī) of these allegations has become known to this <u>murīd</u>; if it had been, ("otherwise") he would have punished him in accordance with what was decreed by the true preceptor and guide; and would not have suffered him to inflict harshness and tyranny. No doubt designing persons have given distorted accounts of the truth (ghāliban ahl-i-gharaz ḥaqīqatī-rā ba tafāwut ma'rūz dāshta and).

(The letter lacks a formal ending)

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwi converts the an murid of the Emperor's order, here quoted according to Aurangzib's custom, into in murid.

<sup>2.</sup> N. chīzī.

As this letter acknowledges the Emperor's answer to Letter 8, it is better placed here; although in the manuscripts, and in Chaudhurī's edition, it stands eleventh. In Nadwī's selection it is no.5/10, and has been placed in the same order as adopted here. It may be dated about the beginning of September, 1651.

The Emperor is prompt to accept Aurangzīb's suggestion for the road his forces are to march along to Qandahār; but, as we shall see, the plans are changed. Bahādur Khān, in order to pass through Gardez and Ghaznī (Letter 8, supra) would have marched through Thal and the Batai Pass (the Paiwar Koṭal). This is almost 200 miles north-west of Multān, and the advantage of that route would have been absence of harassment by the tribesmen.

But the principal interest of this letter is in Aurangzīb's spirited defence of his mangement of a new port which he had set up in his province. Its name is not stated; its future is uncertain; and it probably sank into insignificance as soon as Aurangzīb's special interest was withdrawn. Tatta's earlier prosperity had vanished; the new port might have been nearer the sea, to allow ships to reach it more readily.

It is clear that 1651 must have been the first year of the port's operation, for Aurangzīb defends himself against an allegation that he has furnished no account of its revenues by explaining that so far there have been none.

Dr Mu'īnu'l Ḥaq has subjected the letter to analysis in his "Prince Awrangzib", and suitable comments upon his annotations have been offered.

A letter of 1655, written by Munshī Abu'l Fath to Muhammad Sultān (then at Court) to represent certain matters to the Emperor, suggests that the new port had already been abandoned. After denying a suggestion that he had had a ship built at Sūrat for his private trade, the Munshi says that a ship being built at Tatta had been carried off by a robber - the "zamīndār of Kakrāla" of Letter 2. Had Aurangzīb's port been even a partial success, would he not have had his ship constructed there? (Rampur fo. 104 A, ad fin.)

### To his Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Your Majesty is informed, that the exalted farman, which had obtained the honour of issue in response to the petition which this <u>murīd</u> submitted through Imām Qulī the <u>qarāwal</u>, has vouchsafed the excellence of its arrival at a grace-diffusing hour. [This murīd], who has performed the salutation of

servitude and the requirements of submission, has been honoured by that great gift.

The world-obeyed order which has been given, saying that this <u>murīd</u> should select, for his journey (raftan) to Qandahār, the road which had been traversed (sar karda) by Bahādur <u>Khān</u>, is fortunate indeed.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! Almighty God willing, this <u>murīd</u> will join (mulhiq khwāhad shud) the conquering armies by the route that has been decided [for him] at the moment they set out in the desired direction.

The summoning of the illustrious prince, (shāhzāda-i-nāmdār) $^1$  and of those servants who had leave to go to their <u>jāgīrs</u> and the mobilisation (juma' āmadan) $^2$  of the troops glorious as heaven, was extremely fortunate.

By the aid of the Almighty, and the imperishable good fortune of your Majesty, the Shadow of God, may everything desired by [your Majesty's] sacred heart be now splendidly exhibited in the choicest style (ba ahsan wajhī) upon the platform of display; and may the bride of conquest and victory show her face in the mirror of acquisition in the most excellent manner ('arūs-i-fath wa zafr ba sūratī-i-shāyasta dar āyina-i-husūl rūī numāyad).

A sacred order acquired the adornment of arrival, that the chintz turbans of Tatta, which this <u>murid</u> had sent, were highly approved; (mustahsan uftad) and that he should despatch more of the same kind. Upon the appearance of this condescension, which is the essence of solicitude for insignificant beings, and of tenderness towards servants, be flung the cap of joy high into the sky (kulāh-i-shādī bar āsmān andākht).

<sup>1.</sup> This was not Dārā Shikoh, but Shujā', governor of Bengal; see Letter 14, infra.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī, unsupported by any ms., reads juma'-i-ān. He doubtless construed, "the gathering of these troops ..."

<sup>3.</sup> Chīrha-i-chhīnţ-i-Tatta. Chīr (Platts, 471, Monier-Williams, 399, col.3, s.v.) means, inter alia, "a strip...of cloth." Chhīnţ (the direct source of 'chintz') is derived from the root sprsh, "touch", (Platts, 469); means a spot, a splash; hence, inter alia, the speckled cloth called chintz. Later in the seventeenth century white cloth woven or printed with small repeated patterns had a great vogue. The true chintz was painted, not embroidered.

Verse: At this good news, it would be proper to sacrifice my life (badīn muzhda gar jān-fishānam rawā ast).

Several bolts (than) of turban-material (chira) have recently arrived from Tatta. However the gold-leaf work  $^{4}$ is defective (manda) and this murid considers it his good fortune to send [them] subsequently (munaqib) 5.

An order obtained the nobility of issue, that this murid should set out in writing (ma'rūz dārad) a true statement of the income of the port, which he has founded (ahdas karda) in [the province of] Tattah.

Hail to the qibla of the inhabitants of the world!6 The income of ports (banadir) depends upon (munhasir) two things; the duty of ten per cent upon trade-goods, ('ushr-imāl-i-tujjār) and on passengers' fares (naul) and freight (kirāya) 7. The ten per cent on goods has invariably (hargāh) been remitted, as a gesture of charity on the part of your Majesty; (ba tasaddug-i-farg-i-mubārak) 8 and one ship,

Noted in R. as a masra, but not in other mss.

Platts, 346 s.v.; from its primary meaning 'place' is derived... "cloth or silk, etc, in the piece; a piece ..., a unit, a single one."

<sup>3.</sup> B.M. chira; so perhaps I.O., and certainly R.; but chira is correct.

<sup>4.</sup> Kār-i-basma, adopted by N. and Ch., I.O., extremely ill-scrawled at this point (fo.6B, ad calc.) has basta.

5. Sc., after the gold-leaf is stamped on again, and better.

6. On p.28 of "Prince Awrangzib", Dr Mu'inu'l Haq offers

a translation of the two following sentences, and an abstract of the remainder.

<sup>7.</sup> Mu'īn'l Ḥaq transliterates the ten per cent duty as "ushri-ml i tujjr", and translates naul as "presents", which is probably not the meaning here. Clearly the duty fell on goods (on the one hand) and on fares and freight (on the other). A duty on "presents" (peshkash) was not likely.

<sup>8.</sup> This phrase doubtless refers to the practice of waving an object about a man's head, and then giving it in charity in his name. While Jahānārā lay ill in 1644, a thousand rupees a day were distributed in this manner to the poor of Agra.

(yak manzil-i-jahāz) belonging to this <u>murīd</u>, which had been in Sūrat, was brought to this [new] port this year, but has made no voyage so far. The ship "Bād-āwurd", which belongs to the Imperial government (az sarkār-i-khāliṣa-i-sharīf girifta) is not yet fit to sail (mukammal nīst) . Moreover, ships from other ports have not yet begun to visit (āmad-u-raft ...wā nagashta) this port; nor have merchants from other places begun to throng (taraddud) there. How then can a true account of its income be given?

The things necessary for regulating a newly established port, such as building a fort, and constructing a harbour (ta'mīr-i-furzat) and so on have been suitably completed. Almighty God willing, it will soon become flourishing; (raunaq khwāhad girift) and with the passage of time it will become a source of income (ba dākhil khwahad āmad).

The true purpose (matlab-i-asli) of this <u>murid</u> in establishing this port was, that some curiosities and rare objects (tuhf wa nawadir), worthy to be offered at Court, will most probably come to hand; if not how would the income [of the port] become known? (wa illa hasil-i-an ma'lum chi khwahad shud?) [sc. it would be nothing at all]<sup>4</sup>

May the sun of the Caliphate and of its sway continue to glisten and shine from its seat in the Sultanate and in [its] universal conquests!

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;My ship having only one deck" is a mistake. The idiom seems to be akin to "panj 'adad kitab" and others where a substantive is used as a "classifier"; Lambton, Persian Grammar, 43-44.

<sup>2.</sup> The ship appears to be out of trim - not "incomplete", the literal meaning favoured by Dr Mu'inu'l Haq. The inference is, not that it is under construction - not probable at a new port - but that it has sailed to the new port and is there being refitted, perhaps after being purchased from a private trader - or even confiscated.

<sup>3.</sup> Mu'īnu'l Ḥaq seems to interpret these words as an attempt by Aurangzīb to excuse the building of the fort; he seems rather to announce it, as something obviously necessary.

<sup>4.</sup> There can be little doubt that Aurangzīb's question is purely rhetorical, although Mu'īnu'l Ḥaq translates it literally. Later, criticising the poor performance of certain cannon from Sūrat, Aurangzīb writes: "...harchand ma'lūm ast ke az zarb-i-dū top-i-Sūratī... chi qadr khwahad shud. Ammā baraye pās-i-hukm-i-gītī-muta' ..." (Letter 31) See also Letters 32 and 34.

This letter answers one received on 2 January, 1652. Accordingly it should precede the short letter which follows, and acknowledges the special gifts sent with Khusrau Chela. The conventional salutations and acknowledgements are inordinately long; does Aurangzīb feel that he has fallen somewhat in the Emperor's favour? Going further, he boasts of his bravery; Qandahār is nothing, he will take Herāt. We shall hear more of this.

Dārā Shikoh has been called to Court from his sūbah of Allahabad (Ilahābād), and Aurangzīb, his native caution once more predominant, extends tepid congratulations.

The excellent arrangements Aurangzīb has begun to prepare the roads to the crest of the Khojak pass for the troops and their equipment deserve close attention. There is no doubt that he was better prepared than the British forces were in 1878, for all that railways had been pushed well up into the hills.

Aurangzīb is naturally pleased that the Emperor should have resolved to oversee the campaign from his province of Multān. Multān itself is further from Qandahār than is Kābūl, but in the warmer weather the Emperor might have retreated up beyond Sībī to cooler country, and he would have been much nearer the scene of combat. Aurangzīb could hardly have expected the minute and officious interference the Emperor was to exercise from Kābul, after changing his mind about marching to Multān; (Letter 14); besides, he might well have hoped, with the Emperor in the southern staging-posts, that Dārā's command in Kābul would be something of a side-show.

## To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

The <u>murīd</u> of unwavering loyalty ('aqīdat kesh "with loyalty as his religion") having kissed with the lip of civility the ground of servitude, and having performed the observances of submission and loyalty brings it to your Majesty's notice ("to the ears of pomp and magnificence") that the <u>farmān</u>, adorned with grace and decorated with the blessed signature, which in the sincere and trusting eyes of this <u>murīd</u> is better than thousands of jewels and pearls, was brought by Tahmurās Chela, and distributed the nobility of its arrival on Monday, the eighteenth day of the noble

month of Muharram, 1 two months and eleven days after [the date of the letter it answers]. It was a cause of distinction and elevation and afforded complete satisfaction to the heart of this <u>murid</u>, which, during this time, had been a mirror of anxiety (a'īn-i-girān) through the late arrival of the gracious farman.

Since, in that royal letter resplendent with light, this <u>murīd</u> was remembered in one connection with the title ('anwān) of approval, he took it as a cause of spiritual and temporal felicity; and performed the duties of praise and thanksgiving [to God] (may his power be exalted) and the formalities of greeting the true preceptor and guide (may his shadow be lengthened).

It had obtained adornment by being written on this occasion that the victorious army and the arsenal were adequately organised (az qrār wāqi shuda)<sup>2</sup>. May God be praised, that in this everlasting realm there is no lack of anything; and that what the rulers of [other] parts could not obtain over long years and by great exertion (dar sālhā i dārāz ba sa'ī-i-bisyār muyassar nagardad) comes to hand in one day, through a little attention on the part of your Majesty. How could it be otherwise? (chira chunīn nashawad?)

It is hoped, that the desire of the sacred heart may be brought to an admirable conclusion, and become a necessary cause of honour and reputation. What are Qandahār and its appurtenances? (Qandahār wa muzāfāt-i-ān chi khwāhad būd?) If a sacred order were issued, this murīd would not confine himself to the taking of the fort of Qandahār and its subordinate fortresses; he would be able, with co-operation of the prudent vizier (wazīr-i-sa'īb tadbīr) to make plans to capture (fikr-i-tasakhkhīr) Herāt and its environs.

Written down in the noble <u>farmān</u> was the date of the setting-out of the prince of lofty fortune. (Dārā Shikoh) (Bādshāhzāda-i-buland iqbāl) Almighty God willing, may he

<sup>1. 18</sup> Muharram, 1062/ 2 January 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch. has waqi'a (a mistake).

<sup>3.</sup> It was not until the commencement of the 30th year of Shah Jahan's reign, late in 1655, that this title was elevated to Shah-i-Buland Iqbal, and the famous golden chair accorded him beside the Peacock Throne. The death of Shah Safī I in 1642 caused a Persian expedition against Qandahar to be abandoned, and this was the occasion of Dara's being styled Badshahzada-i-Buland Iqbal.

reach the Imperial court, ("the stirrup of felicity") and obtain his desire of [performing] the noble ceremony of kissing the foot which is the treasure of the good fortune of the two worlds.

Since Malik Husain Abdālī¹ has repeatedly submitted to your Majesty, that it was necessary that you should come to Multān, and that this <u>murīd</u> should depart by the direct road, with the army that has been appointed to go with him, [the following] world-obeyed order has arrived: "Under these circumstances it would be better for that <u>murīd</u> to go by that very road. We shall go to Multān (Mā ba daulat wa iqbāl ba Multān tashrīf arzānī farmāyīm) and shall send that eldest son (mihīn pūr) of the Caliphate to Kābul with 'Alī Mardān Khān, the Amīru'l Umrā.

Hail to the qibla of the inhabitants of the world! the matter of sending Dada Bhai and the Amīru'l Umra, whatever seems fit to the kingdom-adorning mind, which is the receptacle of mystical lights, (matrah-i-anwar-i-ghaib) is the essence of good policy, and the marrow of wisdom. Since Malik Husain and the zamindars of Duki and Chutiali (who are admitted among the servants of the Court, and are serving in Multan). have emphatically (ba jidd) told this murid, that the direct road is the shortest to the destination, and the safest, ("nearest to tranquillity") it occurs [to this murīd] that he should set off for the destination by that very route. has already sent some of his men serveral times (mukarrar) to investigate the true condition of that road. Now that the same thing miraculously (az ru'ī-i-karāmat) has cast its brilliance into the mirror of luminous thought, he shall, God willing, hasten to [his] destination by the direct road.

After making suitable preparations for that (sc., the journey) he will establish posting-houses between Multan and Qandahar after the same fashion as those between Lahore and Multan. He will level (hamwar karda) the irregularities (past wa buland) of the [surface of the] road<sup>2</sup>; at the

<sup>1.</sup> Not Aurangzīb's foster brother Mīr Malik Ḥusain Khwāfī (Letter 3, supra) but a local chieftain of the Abdālī tribe.

<sup>2.</sup> This was no easy task; see the account of the enormous labour required to prepare the Khojak Pass for camels, and for manhandling the artillery, in 1878; Official Account, 153-159, and plate opposite 160. The gigantic and inefficient Mughul guns would have been even more burdensome.

stations where water is lacking, he will dig wells; and in some places he will construct armed posts.

The departure of the prosperous cavalcade (mankib-i-iqbal) towards Multan is fortunate, and in various ways 1 fit and suitable.

Happy the inhabitants of these regions, who are to receive light and brilliance from the unfurling of the standards destined for victory!

The buildings of that place (sc., the road) have mostly been completed, in accordance with the plan (tarāḥī) which your Majesty has seen, despite the shortage of materials and the incompetence of the local masons (qillat-i-masāliḥ wa mim'arān-i-bī-wuqūf)<sup>2</sup>. Whatever remains [undone] will soon be finished.

The typical climate (haqīqat-i-āb u hawā) of this place, (sc., the road to Qandahār) as is abundantly clear to those who stand at the foot of the throne of the Caliphate, is excessively cold (kamāl-i-burūdat) in winter, and exceedingly hot (nihāyat-i-ḥarārat) in spring, [because of the] contrary winds (badhā-i-mukhtalif).

As soon as a lofty and exalted order shall receive the nobility of being issued, [this murīd] will send the khānazāds towards the fort of Bhakkar; and will turn towards the task laid down for him.

The reports from Īrān, and the accounts of the doings of the ruler of that place, (auza' -i-wālī-i-ānjā) have been entered upon a separate scroll, in the form in which they have been elucidated from the statements of those people who have come [from Persia] by the Nauḥānī and Tatta roads. They shall be brought to your Majesty's notice.

( This letter has no formal conclusion)

<sup>1.</sup> For ba chandīn jihat B.M. and R. read ba chandīn jihata.

<sup>2.</sup> Chaudhurī rather foolishly has baran-i-bī-wuquf. Not even Nadwi's worst mss contain such a blunder.

<sup>3.</sup> jima'ah in mss; but N. reads jama'at, which seems better.

<sup>4.</sup> B.M. (alone) has Tattha.

The Emperor's gift of two pearl rosaries and two armlets (to be worn on the upper arm) was a normal enough gesture at the start of the campaign. The letter acknowledging the receipt of the first gifts has not come down to us. The gifts are brought, not by a regular messenger, but by a chela; the Mughul term for a private servant, even a slave, of the Emperor.

The letter, and the gifts, reached Aurangzīb on 14 February, 1652 - 4 Rabī'u'l awwal (Chaudhurī has a misprint in his note - 1069 for 1062). In the months since Shāh Jahān returned from Kashmīr, preparations have been further advanced; and the Emperor has suggested that Aurangzīb co-operate with Dārā Shikoh. Such a prospect so disgusts Aurangzīb, that he does not even repeat his brother's name; he quotes the Imperial command at length, and briefly indicates his intention to comply - markūz-i-khaṭir-i-mubārak ba wajh-i-aḥsan ba munaṣṣa-i-zahūr jilwa-gar shawad. With this he sufficiently indicates his tepid attitude; and the Emperor does not press his proposal that "Our two murīds" march together.

The act of "taking the omens" after the first detachments marched off was probably not a public ceremony but a private one; perhaps the taking of a  $\underline{fal}$  out of  $\underline{Hafiz'}$   $\underline{Diwan}$ . A sacrifice in the Roman mode, with inspection of the entrails, was not part of Mughul practice.

## To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

The least of <u>murīds</u>, [a man] of sincere character, having performed, out of the honesty of his intentions, the salutations of devotion and submission (which are the treasure of good fortune and happiness), humbly advises your sacred Majesty that a <u>humā</u> of the mountain-top of felicity (namely, the royal letter resplendent with light) extended the shadow of its arrival on Wednesday, the fourth of the month of Rabī'I, together with two rosaries of pearls (smaranī-i-marwarīd) and two armlets of cornelian and pearl (pahunchī-i-'aqīqu'l baḥr) brought by <u>Khusrau Chela</u>; and was a cause of glory and distinction to this servant.

<sup>1.</sup> The ms text is: du smaranī-i-marwarīd wa dū pahunchī-i-'ainu'l baḥr. Nadwī repeats this without noting variants, but reads 'aqīqu'l-bahr: cornelian, "Mocha stone" - a semi-precious stone much prized by the Mughuls. Chaudhurī omits the second dū, and gives 'ainu'l hara (sic). His explanatory note reads: "a costly stone called in English catskin" (sic). Is he thinking of the "cat's eye", the pedicular opercle of a species of whelk? At all events his ms. appears to give the (footnote continued over)

[This <u>murīd</u>] offers the greetings of a disciple and the salutations of a slave [and begs to say] that the royal rosaries and the armlets, [now given] for the second time, have piled nobility upon nobility.

May the sheltering shade of the solicitude for insignificant beings, and the tenderness towards <u>murīds</u>, of the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds remain for ever over the heads of <u>murīds</u> in general and over the trusting head of this servant in particular.

In the exalted  $\underline{\text{farman}}$  it was written, that the cash salary of this  $\underline{\text{murid}}$  from the beginning of  $\underline{\text{Khurdad}}^1$  had been sent with  $\underline{\text{Mubarak }}$   $\underline{\text{Khan}}$  and  $\underline{\text{Kakar }}$   $\underline{\text{Khan}}$ .

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the present and future worlds! Upon the departure of Mubārak Khān, [but] before [that of] the other ("the collection") servants who have been appointed to serve under this <u>murīd</u>, he took the omens(tafā'ul girift) for victory and triumph. He hopes, that this fortunate expedition will be victorious, happy, and prosperous for the supporters of the Imperial Court; and that fresh victories will be accorded to the servants of the Court, the asylum of all creation, by God's grace and the perfection of His mercy.

A sacred and exalted order has scattered the brilliance of its arrival, that it was necessary that the two armies should proceed at the same time against Qandahār, and that if the accursed enemy (ghanīm-i-mardūd) should come to Qandahār before we have beseiged it, it is imperative that we two murīds make a united attack on the enemy, and, by the favour of God,

<sup>(</sup>footnote continued from previous page)

better reading, 'aqīqu'l baḥr; which he renders with the he'i-hauwaz. The emendation to 'aqīqu'l baḥr, despite the unanimous reading of B.M., R., and I.O., seems well justified. See Steingass, s.v., p.859.

<sup>1.</sup> May-June; so this payment was an advance, according to the usual custom, to help Aurangzīb in fitting out his forces.

<sup>2.</sup> Kākar Khān belonged to the Kākar tribe, still tumultuous enough to harass the British forces during the campaign of 1879-80; for their depredations at the mouth of the Khojak Pass (Aurangzīb's route) in April, 1880, see Official Account, 467-469. Shāh Jahan later suggested one Jalāl Kākar as commandant of Ellichpūr. Aurangzīb argued him out of it. (Letter 63, infra)

For Kakar Khan see M.U. III, 757-8. A valuable note about him, and the permutations of his rank is in Sel. Doc. Shah Jahan, 110, upon a memorandum of 22 July 1643.

destroy the unbelievers utterly. After that, we should beseige the fort, and occupy it. Should the victorious army arrive earlier, and should [the two murīds] consider that the fort of Qandahār could be expeditiously reduced, all the armies should beseige that fort and carry it.

Hail to the preceptor and guide of this <u>murīd</u>! Whatever occurs to the sacred mind [of Your Majesty] is the marrow of inspiration and the mirror of proper conduct. Although it seems unlikely that the faithless enemy (ghanīm-i-badkesh) could reach Qandahār before the arrival of the victorious army, it is fervently hoped that, with the grace of the Almighty, they will meet condign punishment and be quite exterminated. May the desire of the happy heart [of your Majesty] be splendidly displayed in the choicest manner upon the platform of manifestation!

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the worlds! The true facts of the dispute which has recently broken out between the ill-starred Daulat and the worthless Autar will reach your Majesty in a separate document, in the form in which it became known from the official reports of the district of Bhakkar. The <u>zamīndārs</u> of Dukī and Chutiālī and Qūshinj<sup>1</sup>, who are admitted among the servants of the Court, say that if a detachment were sent against them before the departure of the conquering army, they will despair of [help] from the detestable enemy and, by the felicitous guidance [of God], might make the ring of servitude to the Court, the refuge of rulers, the ornament of their ears. Should this fortunate result not ensue, they will be either seized or destroyed.

Therefore [this <u>murīd</u>] suggests, that it be ordered that he should despatch an army, on the date upon which he is to march, in accordance with the Imperial command; so that it, and the abovementioned <u>zamīndārs</u>, may by the divine favour occupy Qūshinj, and gather there the provisions collected in

<sup>1.</sup> Qūshinj is Pishin, some 25 miles N.-N.-W. of Quetta. R. represents it as Fūshinj.

that area; which will come up to Qandahār from the district of Bhakkar before the arrival of the victorious army, by the road through Sībī and Kanjāba.

May the world-illuminating sun of the Sultanate and Caliphate continue to strive upon the heads of <u>murīds</u> and slaves!

<sup>1.</sup> N. notes Kanjāz in his 'U. Ch. follows the tradition of the better mss here, but amends his own ms reading Sabūhī to Siwahī. There seems no authority to depart from the mss Sīwī, (or the modern Sībī), at the head of the valley which leads up to the Bolan Pass. For Qūshinj, his ms. reads Qūshah. Nadwī's mss have preserved a better tradition.

This letter reached Aurangzīb on 31 January 1652. Its traditional place as seventeenth in the collection is inappropriate, as Nadwī has perceived.

The rings with lucky stones, brought by a private attendant of the Emperor, are a further earnest of the Emperor's good will. There can be no real doubt that before this unfortunate siege, whatever happened during it, Shāh Jahān made a consistent effort to conciliate his sensitive and aspiring son; and Aurangzīb, so far as the conventional properties in which he drapes his sentiments will permit one to penetrate, seems to have responded.

The Emperor asks Aurangzīb to nominate the command he would prefer; and Aurangzīb, fully aware of the strength of Qandahār, opts for the less tedious, and possibly more glamourous, task of reducing Zamīn Dāwar and Bust.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

[This murīd], insignificant as a speck of dust kisses the ground of service with the lip of propriety, and, having performed the formalities of submission and devotion, informs your Majesty, that the exalted farmān duly issued, was brought by Farīdūn Chela. It vouchsafed the nobility of its arrival on Wednesday, the 19th of the month of Ṣafar, (may God¹ end it in well-being and victory). [The farmān] was a treasure-house of glory and raised the head of this murīd's honour to a situation resembling that of the throne of God. He makes the acknowledgements due from a true disciple, for the gift of four² rings, each one of which is adorned with a lucky stone,³ from which he has reaped the harvest (hāṣil namūda) of distinction and elevation.

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. omits God from this conventional phrase. The date is equivalent to 31 January 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. omits chahār.

<sup>3.</sup> Nagīn-i-sa'ādat. One of these would have been a turquoise (fīrūza).

In the royal missive glittering with light it was written: "We intend to divide the entire victorious army into three parts. We wish to send a body against the fort of Bust, and a contingent against the fort of Zamīn Dāwar; and to despatch a large force of soldiers to take the fort of Qandahār, so that all three of those forts will be besieged and, with the aid of the divine bounty, taken. Let that murīd choose which of these duties he wants [to perform], and write. It is most probable (zann-i-ghālib ast) that the forts of Bust and Zamīn Dāwar will be taken before the fort of Qandahār, which is exceedingly strong; (istiḥkām-i-tamām dārad) [therefore], should the God-forsaken enemy approach in battle-array, (ba jang-i-ṣaff) those two armies, which shall be besieging the forts of Bust and Zamīn Dāwar, will unite and exterminate that rabble" (mukhāzil).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! At the disclosure of this infinite condescension, which has made this murid a free agent (mukhtar) his head of distinction was exalted to the pinnacle of honour. It is clear, to the mind, comparable to the sun, that to carry out the commands and conform with the wishes of your Majesty, who is an embodiment of spiritual and worldly felicities, has constantly been to this murid an object in itself, and remains so.

Since, through tenderness to <u>murīds</u>, he has been directed to choose one of the three duties, he makes bold (jasārat namūda) to submit, that since it is not only clear from the contents of the sublime forman that the capture of the fort of Qandahār, as opposed to the other forts is not free from difficulty (<u>khālī</u> az ishkalī nīst), but is also a fact; and since, as soon as victory shall have been gained, through invisible support, at Qandahār, those two forts shall be taken quite easily; he hopes, that if the happy heart<sup>2</sup> agrees, he may be ordered to the capture of the fort of Qandahār, so that after discharging the obligation to hazard his life [in your Majesty's service] (sharā'it-i-jān-afshānī ba jā āwurda)

<sup>1.</sup> Another phrase worthy of notice, which emphasises the spiritual superiority of the absolute sovereign: 'Ala Hazrat, ki mutazammin-i-sa'ādat-i-dīn wa duniyā ast.

<sup>2.</sup> Khatir-i-mubarak. I.O. omits mubarak.

he may obtain the trophy of success (pīrāya-i-surkh-rūī). However should an order be made for him to go to the fort of Zamīn Dāwar, which is on the main route of the vile enemy's approach, and should those mean-spirited (kota andeshān) and faithless people decide to help the besieged, then by the grace of the true Giver of victory, he will give them a sound drubbing (tanbīh wa ta'dīb-i-ānhā ba wāqi' namūda), and will not suffer them to put forward the foot of audacity. The army besieging the fort of Qandahār will then be able to devote itself with an easy mind to that worthy task.

It is apparent from the language (mazmun) of the farman, to which obedience is imperative, that the New Year<sup>2</sup> will be [celebrated] at Hasan Abdal. Hail to the qibla of the two worlds! Although the arrangement of this fortunate journey is not so very difficult for the world-subduing and loftily-thinking intelligence, which is and always will be occupied with affairs of the greatest consequence, it is very burdensome (shaqq) to murīds that Your Majesty should set out in person. The good fortune of murīds lies in the fact that they should regard as a great boon (mughtanam) the ease and comfort of that sacred personage, who is the bestower of order upon the world and its inhabitants, and should bind the belt of service about the belly of their lives. By these means they enrich and cultivate their spiritual and temporal interests. It is certain, that whatever casts its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration is the essence of good policy.

l. This word is used later, as an adjective, of Qutbu'l
Mulk: e.g. Letter 101, infra.

<sup>2.</sup> The Iranian solar new year (nauroz) fell on 22 March 1652. Nauroz was the greatest festival of the Mughuls. As soon as he had been crowned Emperor, Aurangzīb suppressed it. Instead, he ordered his own coronation to be commemorated on the 'Īdu'l fitr, which fell one week after the actual date of his second and solemn enthronement. (M.A., 25 (text); cf. 22.) Later, in 1677, even this ceremony would be abolished; ibid, 162. This occasion was the nineteenth lunar anniversary of the formal coronation on 15 June, 1659; and the cause was not fanatic piety, but the need for economy. Cf. a review of Court expenditure in 1670, ibid, 100.

A noble order has obtained the honour of issue, that 1,050,000 rupees be presented to this <u>murīd</u>. "550,000 are by way of his cash salary up to the last day of Urdībihisht, 1 and 500,000 are in the nature of a subsidy (ba sīgha-i-musā'-adat). If he wants it at once We shall send it under the charge of other men; otherwise it shall be sent with Luhrāsp Khān". 2 [This <u>murīd</u>] offers his acknowledgement of this

The Ma'āsiru'l Umarā does not mention Mahābat Khān's presence at the second siege of Qandahar. He was sent to Aurangzīb in the Deccan in 1656, but did not arrive in time for the Golconda affair: cf. I.O., 167B. Campaigning against Bījāpūr, he was one of those who answered the call to Court when Shāh Jahān decided to make peace in August, 1657. Returning to Court, Mahabat Khan was made Subahdar of Kabul early in 1658. Aurangzīb accepted in silence his remonstrances on behalf of Shāh Jahān, and left him there until late in 1661, when he appointed him subahdar of Gujarat and replaced him with Shaikh Mīr's brother Amīr Khan (M.A.38, In 1668, Amir Khan was transferred, and Mahabat Khan was returned to Kabul (ibid, 72), but was superseded in 1670 by Muḥammad Amin Khān, son of Mīr Jumla (ibid, 104). In November, 1670, he was ordered, after audience, to serve with Prince Mu'azzam in the Deccan (ibid, 107), but after the famous defeat of the headstrong Muḥammad Amīn Khān at the hands of the Pathans of the Khaibar Pass in 1672, he was sent to Kabul a third time (but forbidden an interview, ibid, 122). Aurangzīb suspected him of a secret understanding with the Afghans (ibid, 129) and finally superseded him in October, 1674 (ibid, 136). He died on the way back to India on 1 January, 1675 (ibid, 140-41) and there may be truth in Manucci's assertion that Aurangzīb had him poisoned (Manucci, II, 205-207). There is no reason to doubt that adventurer's account of his interview with the sick and suspicious noble outside Manucci tells a story of him, which accords with his character (ibid, 34); when first summoned from Kābul in 1661, he shaved off his beard and moustache before appearing at Court. Aurangzīb asked him why. He replied, that he had heard old soldiers were being discharged, and that he did not wish to be thought old, (he was about fifty) wishing to remain in so great a ruler's service. Aurangzīb is said to have laughed, and taken the hint. In later years, he would not have been so agreeably complaisant.

<sup>1.</sup> Sc., 22 May, 1652: that is, his salary for the rabī' season.

<sup>2.</sup> Aurangzīb is referring to Luhrāsp (c. 1612-1675) the son of Mahābat Khān Sipahsālār of Jahangir's reign and the first Khān-i-Khānān of Shāh Jahān (d. 1634) (M.U. III 385-407). There is a life of this second Mahābat Khān in M.U. III, 590-595 (text). He was Luhrāsp Khān, and 2000/1000, at the very beginning of Shāh Jahān's regin, and by the twenty-fifth year (1650-51) was 5000/5000. In that year "his face was illumined with the exalted name of Mahābat Khān instead of Luhrāsp Khān" (M.U. III, 590 ad fin.) It is curious that Aurangzīb, writing in the 26th year, does not give him his new title: in Letter 26, written four months later, he does.

favour of a subsidy, which is the essence of generosity.

On the matter of his cash salary for the <u>rabī'</u> season, he had already written to the <u>Khān</u>, the model of felicity. [The letter] may have come to the notice of Your Majesty.

l. This is of course Sa'du'llāh Khān (c. 1590-1656) the celebrated wazīr, who entered the Imperial service in 1641. There is a life in M.U. II, 441-449, and an anecdote relating his predecessor Islām Khān's opinion of him is in that noble's biography: M.U. I, 164.

Once again, Aurangzīb's formal greetings and expressions of gratitude are lengthy.

The Emperor, apparently disregarding Aurangzīb's careful preparations along the Khojak route, directs him to march north to Kohāt, and then through Thal, the Batā'ī Pass (Paiwar Koṭal) the fertile Khost valley, Gardez and Ghaznī. This was the route Aurangzīb himself had first recommended (Letter 8); but now he argues cogently for his new proposal, and ultimately carries the day.

The Haut territories were centred upon Dera Isma'īl Khān, on the right bank of the Indus. Isma'īl Haut appears to be the eponym of the town.

The letter he is answering reached Aurangzīb on 8 Rabī'I, 1062/18 February 1652.

The least of humble <u>murīds</u> [performs] the obligations of propriety, devotion, and servitude, which are the treasure of existence and life; (sarmāya-i-hayāt wa zindagī) and, [like] a speck of dust (zarra miṣāl) informs Your Majesty that the exalted <u>farmān</u> adorned with felicity, which had obtained the honour of issue, [and was] brought by the messenger of the sublime government with a turban-ornament (sarpech) of emeralds and pearls, vouchsafed the brilliance of its arrival on Sunday, the 8th of Rabī'I, and raised the head of this <u>murīd's</u> honour and glory to the pinnacle of the highest heaven. Having offered proper and respectful greetings, he has become gratified and elevated, because of the limitless imperial bounty. May the lofty shadow of the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds remain spread perpetually over the heads of sincere and humble <u>murīds</u>!

<sup>1.</sup> For the Indian usage, see Platts, 648, cols. 1 & 2, s.v. sar. For gifts of a sarpech by Aurangzīb as Emperor, see (e.g.) M.A. (text) 157, 159 (1677); 181 (1679) Sarpech seems to have been the official term for the aigrette in a nobleman's turban. Kalgī (kalkī, kalghī, kalaghī, q.v.s.vv. in Steingass, 1042, 1043) was a more general term, perhaps for an aigrette not a badge of rank: cf the marriage of Muḥammad Isma'īl 'Itiqād Khān in 1677, M.A. (text) 158.

<sup>2. 18</sup> February, 1652.

In the royal missive glittering with light (manshur-ilāmi'u'n-nūr) it was written: "At an auspicious hour, we shall give leave for the setting-forth of the lofty standards [and] of our excellent vizier [who is adorned with all qualities) (wazīr-i-shāyista, ba hama sifāt ārasta) and the rest of the servants. It occurs to Our most sacred heart, that it would be better if that murid were to cross the river Indus (daryai-Sind) after passing from Multan through the Haut territory. After reaching Kohāt he should go up towards Qandahār by the Bangash road. In the vicinity of Ghazni, the entire victorious army should unite, and march on. Since the actual state of the direct road from Multan to Qandahar is unknown, it would be better if that murīd were to find out all he can about it, and submit a memorandum about it quickly, lest he suffer hardship on it and be unable to get provisions (ghalla wa kah). He should write in how many days he can reach Kohat from Multan."

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of this murīd! The departure of the servants at the [auspicious] hour mentioned [in the <u>farmān</u>] is fortunate indeed. It is hoped, that with the support of the Almighty (exalted be his power) victory and conquest may be the perpetual decoration of the good fortune of the supporters of the state, and that the enemies of the faith and of the state may be rooted out and accursed.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! The true state of this road is known up to the Haut country, [but] from there to Bangash little is known of it.

Malik Husain Abdalī and the other <u>zamīndārs</u> who are included in the ranks of the servants of the Court, the refuge of rulers, have repeatedly explained to Your Majesty, that water and provisions have been provided as far as possible, and [more] are being provided, for this road up to Sang Lakhshān, which is [at] the boundary [of this <u>murīd's</u> territory]. From Chutiālī to Qandahār, the <u>zamīndārs</u> abovementioned have undertaken to provide water, fodder, and

<sup>1.</sup> R. has dittography; ...an murid az Multan ba wilayat-i-Haut shuda az darya-i-Haut -i-Sind baguzarad.

provisions; perhaps Malik Husain may have informed Your Majesty of this fact. If, God willing, the spring be fine, the victorious army will not lack water and fodder on its march (az rahguzar).

Whatever way it may seem fit to the angelic heart that this <u>murīd</u> should actually join the victorious army at <u>Ghaznī</u>, a lofty and exalted order might be issued, that he should march by the route along which he had previously gone to Kohāt through Bhīra, and from there he will go up, according to the imperial order, to <u>Ghaznī</u> by the Bangash road, and join the victorious army.

But the distance from Multan to Kohat by this road is 116 cos (kroh)<sup>2</sup>, and by the time within which this humble <u>murīd</u> could reach Kohat by it, he could reach Qushinj, which is 124 cos from Multan.

In any event this <u>murīd</u> is a pattern of obedience and subordination. Every task assigned to him he considers his good fortune, and, God willing, he will perform it. May the world-illuminating sun of the sultanate and the caliphate always rise splendidly in the horizon of magnificence and prosperity!

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. (and Ch.) Ghaznīn.

<sup>2.</sup> Here the mss have a mistake, perhaps originating in the original copy: az Multan ta Kohat shanzdah kroh ast. Nadwī corrects it by adding sad o. Ch. does not follow him.

Aurangzīb begins by making lengthy acknowledgements of further gifts, made by the Emperor to show him favour and offer him encouragement.

A proposal that he co-operate with his brother Shujā', Governor of Bengal, who was being summoned to aid the great effort against Qandahār, produces an almost rapturous declaration of loyalty and ostensible submission; the Emperor's wisdom, foresight, and understanding are ranked almost equally with the attributes of God. As if this were not extravagant enough, Aurangzīb offers to sweep past Qandahār and take Herāt; a fantastic boast which (if not regarded as rhodomontade) would cast doubt upon Aurangzīb's comprehension of reality.

But vain boast it is; and Aurangzīb is not so foolish as to be unable to perceive that a chance for individual glory can be snatched from the Emperor's offer of independent command of a force sent beyond Qandahār to take Zamīn Dāwar and Bust; and he repeats the suggestion as soon as he has reached Qandahār (Letter 25). Shūjā', two years Aurangzīb's senior, would have had primacy of command, at Qandahār, were both brothers to sit down before that fort.

The letter is undated, and lacks the usual formal ending. The last days of February, 1652, or the first few days of March, are the period of its writing.

## To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

[This <u>murīd</u>], who resembles a speck of dust, having kissed the ground of propriety with the lip of servitude, and having performed the formalities of devotion and the usual ceremonies of submission, brings it to the notice of the assembly of assiduous [courtiers about] Your Majesty that the exalted <u>farmān</u>, which had acquired the nobility of being issued out of the hidden store of immeasurable kindness and grace, has thrown out the shadow of its arrival at a grace-diffusing hour.

It was a cause of distinction and elevation, because of [the gift of] perfumes and incense-dust,  $(ch\bar{u}ra)^{1}$  whose smell

<sup>1.</sup> Chūrā, powder ... a small piece, a fragment (Platts, 450) churna, powder ... dust, aromatic powder, pulvil, pounded sandal, sc; a digestive powder ... It is the second meaning that is meant. R. has chūh.

had filled the spirit of life with ambergris (ki dimāgh-i-jān az shamīm-i-ān 'ambarāgīn) and in whose praise the tongue of the pen scatters musk (mushk-afshān ast)<sup>1</sup>. He has become elevated through offering the salutations of acknowledgement. He does not know what style he should adopt, (namīdanad kudām 'ibārat paida kunad) nor what literary form he should call to his assistance (wa chi mazmūn ba dast ārad) in expounding even the smallest detail of these rarities of the times. (ta shamma az khūbhā'i-i-īn nādira-i-waqt bayān numāyad)<sup>2</sup>. [His] thanks for these gifts and presents, which resemble the limitless Divine benefits, are beyond the reach of the vast expanse of the imagination, and beyond the limits (hīta) of the [understanding of] mortal beings (chand u chūn).

May Almighty God extend the shadow of the benevolence and solicitude for the nost insignificant beings of the true guide and preceptor over the heads of humble <u>murīds</u>! May he bestow (karāmat kunād) upon them a desire to please [that] heart of angelic penetration, which is a glass in which the universe is exhibited (jām-i-jahān-numa) and a mirror of the realities of worldly things (mir'āt-i-haqāiq-i-ashyā').

An exalted order obtained the nobility of issue; "That murid should take the course of good relations with Muḥammad Shujā Bahādur, and make him pleased with him (az khwud rāzī dārad); and should not leave a stone unturned (daqīqa az daqā'iq nāmar'ī naguzārad) [to secure] co-operation [with him]".

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the world<sup>3</sup> and its inhabitants! It is plain to all men mortals that the work of your Majesty's servants is the work of God (<u>khudāsāz</u> ast), and goes on with the fullest divine assistance, with no help (sa'ī, "effort") from any creature; and that whatever each man does, he does for himself. Praised be God, that this murīd, with the

l. At the following word begins a lacuna in R. The rest of this letter, the whole of Letter 15, and the first four lines of Letter 16 are missing. There is no missing page; the lacuna begins at the foot of fo. 7A, and fo. 7B begins with Letter 16.

<sup>2.</sup> N. reads khubiha'i, and an nadira.

<sup>3.</sup> Ch. omits jahan (but not the preceding hamza or the following waw).

blessing of direction from the true preceptor and guide, knows how to distinguish (bāz dānista) what is good for him (naf', "benefit") from what will do him harm (zarar "detriment"), and that he considers the promotion of the interests of his master, gibla, lord, and benefactor the most important object of his desire. He has no purpose apart from this. He hopes, that he will make Shujā' (Īshān rā) so pleased and contented with his good behaviour that after the victorious return from Qandahār, the state of our friendship (husn-i-ittifāq) will become plain and apparent in the sight of [that] dwelling of grace, the sacred heart; and become [too] a cause of increasing the reputation of this murīd.

A lofty and sublime order was issued, that it was imperative for the royal cavalcade (maukab-i-mu'alla) to set off for Kābul, a very proper destination (ba sūb-i-bā suwāb). Hail to the saintly preceptor! Murīds who are willing to lay down their lives (jān-niṣār, "life-strewing") do not wish, that Your Majesty should go there in person (ki ān hazrat ba nafs-i-nafīs mutawajjuh shawand); yet because the [royal] mind, which resembles the sun, is the source of sacred illumination (ishrāqāt-i-qudsī) and the place from which invisible light descends; [and because] Your Majesty (the shadow of God) perceives and understands everything better than any man, with the aid of your god-given discernment and far-seeing wisdom; everything decreed by the kingdom-adorning will in this matter is the marrow of good policy and the essence of prudence.

It fell from the miracle-writing pen that one proposal (shiqq) was this, that the elder brother of this <u>murīd</u>, with the vizier of well-directed wisdom (wazīr-i-dānā'ī-i-sā'ib tadbīr) should beseige the fort of Qandahār, and take it.
"That <u>murīd</u>, with Rustam Khān and other life-hazarding servants (bandahā'i-i-jān-shiyār), who would make a total of 20,000 horsemen, should march to the fort of Zamīn Dāwar, which lies across the approach of the accursed enemy, and

<sup>1.</sup> These conventional expressions of loyalty and professions of bravery fall easily enough from Aurangzīb's pen. What he thought of them in later life appears from the introductory note to Letter 73, infra.

take it. We will send  $R\bar{a}$ ja Jai Singh and Rao Sattar  $S\bar{a}$ l and others, who would be 15,000 horsemen altogether, to take the fort of Bust.

The other proposal is, that those two brothers should beseige and take those two forts; while the wise vizier, with the co-operation of a detachment of experienced soldiers, should devote himself to beseiging the fort of Qandahār.

Of these two proposals, let that  $\underline{\mathtt{murId}}$  indicate which he prefers".

In 1656 he was sent to serve under Aurangzīb again; he took part in the successful seiges of Bīdār in 1657 (which fort the legend of his clan claimed he carried single-handed, Tod, I, 408) and Kalyānī, and was with Aurangzīb when ordered to Court. He complied at once, without taking formal leave of his commander. He died at Samugarh, impetuously charging Murād Bakhsh, who himself shot the arrow that killed him.

l. The outlines of the life of the famous Mīrzā Rāja Jai Singh Kachhwāha of Amber (c.1600-1667) are well known, and his last years have recently been documented in detail by Professor Jagadīsh Nārāyan Sarkār in The Military Despatches of a Seventeenth Century Indian General. He was already one of the highest grandees of the Empire. See M.U.II (text), 568-577.

<sup>2.</sup> Chhatra Sāl (always called Sattar Sāl in Persian sources) was the Hādā chief of Bundī. There is a life in M.U.II, 260-263 (text). He succeeded his grandfather Rao Ratan as Rāja of Bundī in 1631, and given the rank of 3000/2000. Until 1642 he served with distinction in the Deccan; he accompanied Aurangzīb to court in 1642, and remained behind, being assigned to the expedition there preparing under Dārā against Qandahār, which was abandoned on news of the death of Shāh Safī I. He was in Balkh with Murād Bakhsh, and sought to return to India with that light-headed prince, but was turned back at Peshāwar (M.U.II, 262). He returned to Balkh with Aurangzīb's force in 1647; served under Aurangzīb at Qandahār in 1649, now promoted 3500/3500; again in 1652; and under Dārā in 1653.

It is not concealed from the sight of the mind, luminous as the sun, of the true preceptor and guide, that this <u>murīd</u> knows nothing but carrying out of commands, obedience, and conformity to the imperial order. Whatever occurs to the most sacred heart is the essence of appropriateness; <sup>1</sup> [for] the <u>qibla</u> of the inhabitants of the world is the wisest [man] of the times <sup>2</sup> and the most learned of the age, and is aware of everything that happens; (jamī' umūr dānā and) and everything that occurs to the happy heart is [therefore] most proper and most fit.

It is said, "whoever is commanded has no choice of his own". This <u>murīd</u> begs to submit (in accordance with his worthless knowledge), that if it has been decided by the lofty mind (himmat-i-wālā) that the forts of Zamīn Dāwar and Bust should be taken before the fort of Qandahār, he would certainly prefer, in those circumstances, the first proposal.

After reaching Zamīn Dāwar this <u>murîd</u> will, God willing and in accordance with the imperial order, be vigilant of the God-forsaken and accursed enemy (ghanīm-i-mardūd wa maqhūr). Indeed, with [the aid of] the world-subduing good fortune, he will not draw rein (hich jā 'unān bāz nakashīda) until he reaches Herāt, and will exterminate the wretched enemy (damār az rozgār-i-mu'ānidān-i-nābakār khwāhad bar āwurd).

(The letter wants a formal ending)

<sup>1.</sup> Mahz-i-sawab ast. I.O. reads mahz-i-sipahi.

<sup>2.</sup> N. prefers to omit zaman, present in his S and 'U.

<sup>3.</sup> Ch. has muhabbat-i wālā, an odd error.

With this letter, marking the commencement of the Qandahār campaign, the practice of translating the ceremonial greetings and acknowledgements is abandoned. Sufficient has been given to indicate the content of these formalised effusious. The use of the third rather than the first person pronoun, both by Aurangzīb and of him, is retained in this translation until he enters Burhānpūr as governor on 9 February 1653 (Letter 49).

Aurangzīb emerged from Multān on Monday, 8 Isfandārmuz, equivalent to 26 February 1652 or 15 Rabī'I 1062, and tarried long in the environs, awaiting an "auspicious moment" to continue his march.

In the body of this letter, Aurangzīb refers to recent letter, delivered by the <a href="mailto:yasāwal">yasāwal</a> Muḥammad Beg, written by the Emperor himself. It is in this letter that Aurangzīb is given leave to select himself his road to Qandahār.

## To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two farmans: one dated Thursday, 4 Isfandarmuz and delivered by jalaudars on Sunday 7th; and the other dated Friday, 5 Isfandarmuz and delivered by Muhammad Sharif on the morning of [Monday] 8th. The latter came just as this murid had marched out from Multan at the hour which had been fixed (sa'at-i-mugarrar).

Brief conventional thanks.

<sup>1.</sup> These dates are, respectively, Thursday 22 February, 1652, and Sunday 25; and Friday, 23 February, 1652, and Monday 26th. Aurangzīb received the later letter "at the very moment" (dar hīnī ki) he marched out of Multān, on 26 February 1652. Chaudhurī's calculation of 14 Rabī'I 1062 is one day too soon; and that date was a Sunday. Sir Jādūnāth is right, as almost always: 16 February Old Style is equivalent to 26 February New Style (Aurangzīb, I, p.135n.)

The text of I.O. has been tampered with, and reads: roz-i-panjshamba chahār-i-zī-hijja panjum-i-Isfandār. The correct text, clear in B.M. and R., apparently clear in Ch.'s Lāhorī ms., and followed by N. without noting any variants, is: roz-i-panjshamba chahārum wa juma' panjum-i-Isfandārmuz. Has some scribe attempted a synchronism? 4 Zī-Hijja, 1061 fell on 18 December, 1651, a Saturday.

[The following] world-obeyed order obtained the adornment of being issued: Since there will not be ten thousand men altogether with that murid at present, We therefore command: 'That murid had better march from Multan by the road running to Deh-i-Shaikh, rather than by the Bhīra road, which is distant forty cos [at its nearest point from the road taken by] Our forces (in lashkar). However, let it be as that murid wishes'. The victorious army en route from Kābul, passing through Ghaznī at the beginning of Urdibihisht, [will] reach Qaudahar, God willing, on the fifteenth day. If that murid decides to go by the direct route, then he should march so as to be at Qūshinj by the fifteenth of Urdibihisht, and to reach Qandahār ten days after that."

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! As has been submitted earlier there are no proper reports of the road which connects Multān with Deh-i-Shaikh. However, this much is clear from the reports of those people who have some knowledge (qadar-i-ittilā) one stage, about twenty cos [long] is entirely devoid of water, (āb mutlaqan nadārad) and there are several other stations which are apparently ill-supplied with provisions for the victorious army.

Since in the royal missive glittering with light, which had previously scattered the brillance of its arrival [after being] brought by Muhammed Beg the yasawal, 4 it had acquired the elegance of being written by the jewelled pen, which traces lines of bliss, that that murīd should choose one of those three roads; he, considering that the command which deserves obedience (wājibu'l ittibā') was binding, wished to march by the Bhīra road, of whose features he was

<sup>1.</sup> This very crabbed sentence reads, in part: ... "mī-farmāyīm ki agar az Multān ba rāhī, ki ba Deh-i-Shaikh mīrasad, berawad, bihtar ast chi ba rah-i-Bhīra, chihal kuroh az īn lashkar dūrī uftād.

<sup>2.</sup> ghurra, the beginning of the month (initium mensis) Vullers quotes from Bahari 'Ajam: Vullers, II, 607 ad calc.

<sup>3.</sup> N. has ittilā'i: not so mss. nor Ch.

<sup>4.</sup> This letter is not one of the two Aurangzīb has just acknowledged, although Chaudhurī in his note (p.48) imagines that it is. It was probably brought before either of them, has been acknowledged in a letter not preserved, and is again being referred to. It (unlike the other two) was written by the Emperor himself.

aware.1

But at the moment this exalted order arrived, he paid no more attention to the [small] number and [poor] conditions (kammīyat wa kaifīyat) of his soldiers and camp-followers. God willing, he shall set off along that direct road, and shall reach Qūshinj, in accordance with the imperial order, on the 15th of Urdībihisht. He will send a body of men ahead with the zamīndārs who are to collect the provisions. (ki āzūqa-rā farāham āwurand)

May the sun of the Caliphate continue to shine from its seat of world subjugation!

<sup>1.</sup> This may be a politic colouring-over of Aurangzīb's real feelings. When this route was first suggested to him, he protested; his protests about the waterless stretch on the road he is being directed to take will allow him to avoid bearing all the blame, if the troops suffer.

Still further gifts are brought to Aurangzīb. By these public demonstrations of munificence, the Emperor hoped to spur his commanders to emulation, and show his lesser officers the benefits they might earn by jān-niṣārī.

The Emperor still contemplates directing the campaign, and announces his departure from Lahore, while Aurangzīb, fretting at being restrained in the purlieus of Multan while he might have been marching to Qandahār (and perhaps Herāt!) points out that had he marched when ready, by the route he preferred, he would have been in the mountains by now, at least a fortnight's march ahead.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman delivered by Muhammad Mīrak<sup>1</sup> late on Wednesday, 26 Rabī' I (7 March, 1652), with a gift of a turban-ornament of diamonds (kalgī-i-almās).<sup>3</sup>

Profuse thanks.

In the royal missive glittering with light it was written: "Shāh Nawāz Khān<sup>4</sup> and Qulīch Khān,<sup>5</sup> who are in Bhīra, have been issued with orders to report to that <u>murīd</u> as quickly as they can. We Ourselves (mā ba mabārakī) shall arrive at [a point] four cos from the river Chenāb on

<sup>1.</sup> Muḥammad Mīrak, by then a gurzburdār, was to carry a letter from Shāhjahānābād to Aurangābād in five days; see Letter 98, infra.

<sup>2.</sup> By misreading bist o shashum as bist o nuhum, Chaudhuri dates this letter 10 March 1652. Neither the mss nor the calendar support him.

<sup>3.</sup> cf the note on <u>sarpech</u> in Letter 13, supra. Ch. prefers the form kalkī with the ya'-i-majhūl.

<sup>4.</sup> Shāh Nawāz Khān Ṣafawī (c. 1590-1659) the father of Aurangzīb's wife Dilras Bānū Begam (c. 1620-1657), was to die in Dārā's ranks at Deorai in 1659. There are 32 letters to him in the Ādāb, ranging from the birth of 'Āzam to the Bījāpūr campaign. One is quoted in Letter 55, infra; in Letter 77 are further references. Life in M.U. II, 670-676 (text)

<sup>5.</sup> Bhīra was the <u>tuyūl</u> of Qulīch (or Qulīj) <u>Khān</u> Tūrānī, who died there in 1064/1653-54 (M.U. III, 94). He had been an early servant of Shah Jahan, was promoted on his

Sunday, the 21st of Rabī'I

Hail to the true perceptor and guide! Although the world-subduing and kingdom-decorating mind of your Majesty is constantly (hama waqt) engaged in great affairs, and will continue so, the undertaking of this fortunate journey, which has occurred to the happy heart through the guidance of invisible inspiration and the fullest divine support (mahz-i-tā'īd-i-ilahī) is of such a kind that it could become an ornament in the illustrious list of the great deeds (tarāz-i-ma'āṣir-i-himam) of powerful rulers. May Almighty God keep the world and its inhabitants satisfied and contented under the traditions of clime-subduing arrangements and world adorning decisions!

Had this delay<sup>2</sup> not been imposed upon him, this <u>murīd</u> would by now have reached Chacha.<sup>3</sup> After the arrival of [those] nobles, he would, with the divine favour, cross the Indus even now; and so manage the journey, that he would reach Qandahar, God willing, on the 15th of the month of Urdībihisht.<sup>4</sup>

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds! On the Thursday, above-mentioned, Muhammad Safī arrived, with a special robe of honour, two boxes (huqqa) of perfume, a female elephant, complete with harness (bā talā'ir)  $^5$  and two horses, as gifts for this <u>murīd</u>. This sincere servant offers the greetings of a [true] disciple; with these invaluable gifts, he has collected more and more nobility.

<sup>5. (</sup>contd. from previous page)

accession, and after a proud career was promoted 5000/5000 (2h 3h) on returning from the first siege of Qandahar, where he served under Aurangzīb. He was a great benefactor of Multan; he bought up and cleared away the houses crowding around the tomb of Shaikh Baha'u'd-dīn Zakarīya (c.1180-1262) and "built high roads from Lahore to Multan" (ibid. 95).

<sup>1. 2</sup> March 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., the delay of preparing for a march by a route he did not want to follow.

<sup>3.</sup> When he finally set out, Aurangzīb took seventeen days from his crossing of the Chenāb to reach Chacha, which lies in the first range of mountains to the west of the Indus.

<sup>4. 5</sup> May, 1652.

<sup>5.</sup> N. reads ba talaya, here as elsewhere. Ch. omits the word altogether. See the note upon it in Letter 50, infra.

The Arab horse is very quiet and well-broken (khailī āramīda wa khwush-jilau ast); such a horse has rarely been presented to this <u>murīd</u> in recent years. And how can he himself praise the elephant? It is very well-behaved, and of good disposition; and has all the usual accomplishments. (ba sifātī ki bāyad ārāstagī dārad).

By the power of the Almighty (honoured be his name!) may the sheltering shade of the boundless generosity and favour of the preceptor and guide of the worlds remain for ever(mustadam) over the heads of other <u>murīds</u> in general, and of this <u>murīd</u> in particular!

May the gates of conquest and triumph be thrown open before the supporters of the state so regularly victorious! (nusrat shi' $\bar{a}$ r).<sup>2</sup>

l. Aurangzīb's words are: dar īn muddat chunīn aspī ba īn murīd kamtar ināyat shuda. He also uses the phrase 'inayat shuda būd in acknowledging the gift. It seems plain, that Aurangzīb, a fine horseman who would still mount and ride fifty years later (cf Manucci, II, 324) was especially pleased with this present. That his father had not given him more of what he valued so highly reflects the yet concealed strain in their relations.

<sup>2.</sup> This is in effect a second formal conclusion, following the expressions of loyalty at the end of Aurangzīb's thanks for the Emperor's special gifts. Although it occurs in the mss, it may perhaps represent an alternative ending, drafted but not used in the original.

Astrological considerations have arisen, and Aurangzīb agrees to put off his crossing of the Chenāb for another week. It is worth noting, that he has no objection to using the Ilahī calendar himself, when the context suits it.

His piety is not so thorough-going as to rebuke his father for maintaining, in the useful solar calendar, one of Akbar's numerous uncanonical innovations.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter (mansh $\bar{u}r$ -i-karāmat zuh $\bar{u}r$ ) written by the Emperor himself on 22 Isfand $\bar{a}r^1$  and delivered by two yasāwals on Sunday, 6 Rabī'II (17 March, 1652).

Brief formal thanks.

It was written: "Since the 23rd of Urdībihisht is a most auspicious day ("moment") and since the armies, resembling heaven, which have been sent by the Ghaznī road will reach Qandahār on that day, that <u>murīd</u> ought likewise arrive there that day".

Hail to the gibla of the two worlds! Since the day of the arrival of the victorious armies at Qandahār had been previously fixed for the 15th of Urdībihisht, this loyal murīd set his sights upon that date,(nagr ba ān karda) and would have liked to leave the banks of the Chenāb on 23 March 1652/Thursday, the 2nd of Farwardīn, and cross the water. But now that the world-adorning will, brilliant as the sun, has made, through divine inspiration, this choice, [sc; of the 23rd Urdībihisht] he will remain a few days in his present encampment. Almighty God willing, this murīd, accompanied by the servants of the Court, the refuge of rulers, who have been designated to march with him, will make the journey so as to reach Qandahār at the time now fixed.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> This shorter form appears in all the mss. here. The date is equivalent to 13 March, 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> ba sā'at-i-muqarrar-i-ḥāl. Should one infer a slight tinge of exasperation?

The hope [of this <u>murīd</u>] is, that the Universal Conqueror, through his [own] grace and benevolence, and by the power of your Majesty's fortune, rising in the firmament, may make, in the fittest manner, this wonderful victory the reward of the supporters of the everlasting state.

Were Shah Jahan rebuking Aurangzib for wasting time outside Multan, instead of pushing on, we would sympathise; but the Emperor is concerned, not that Aurangzib tarried twelve days, but that he tarried in the wrong place. Aurangzib replies that he has delayed in exactly the way the Court astrologers had recommended.

The orthodox reject astrology, and the Qur'an is generally regarded as condemning it: e.g. LXVII, 5. Neither as Prince nor as Emperor did Aurangzīb permit orthodoxy to disturb a superstition so strongly held by so many of his subjects, and to all seeming so inexpensive to the state. Occasions such as this, when the advantage of a prompt start were thrown away, should have encouraged rational reflection upon their results.

It was a normal practice for an army to delay a few days outside the town at the beginning of a march, to settle the order of march and allow the camp-followers to come up; but there is no word of that here.

Aurangzīb's reaction to news of Shujā's determined march from Bengal, despite his illness, is restrained. Behind it can be seen relief at the probability that he will reach the theatre of war before Shujā'. In 1679, Aurangzīb might have recalled this occasion; he had summoned his son'Āzam from Bengal, and that young prince, unburdened by illness, marched rapidly. He covered the distance between Benares and Delhi in twelve days:
Ma'āsir-i-'Ālamgīrī, (text), 183.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a <u>farmān</u> delivered by <u>jalaudārs</u> late on Saturday afternoon, 12 Rabī'II [1062] (24 March 1652).

Brief formal thanks.

It has obtained the adornment of being written: "Two letters from that murīd arrived on Friday, which was the day of our auspicious lunar weighing. 1 The jalaudārs report,

<sup>1.</sup> On 29 Rabī'I 1062, Shāh Jahān might have celebrated his 62nd lunar birthday - the 63rd according to Indian reckoning, and the grand climacteric. He was born at Lahore on 29 Rabī'I 1000/15 January 1592 (N.S.) The tables show, however, that 29 Rabī'I 1062 was a Sunday (10 March 1652) Might the actual celebration have been held on Friday, 15 March? Shāh Jahān had indicated that it would be held at Hasan Abdāl (Letter 13, supra).

that that <u>murīd</u> was at the first station for eight days. So much delay at the first station is, in the opinion of the astrologers, (pesh-i-ahl-i-nujūm) improper. It would have been appropriate to have marched one cos further, and to have tarried there for some days".

Hail to the saintly protector! This murid, who knows the nature (mizājdān1) [of Your Majesty], and has received direction from his excellent and most perfect guide, well understands the will of that sacred soul, departed from Multan as the evening of the sixteenth of Rabī'I2, in accordance with the imperial order, at an auspicious ("chosen",) hour. One cos from the city, he halted. After halting for two days, he then, on Thursday the 19th, 3 came one cos forward from that station, and in this [new] encampment stopped for ten days. On the last day (salkh) of that month, 4 he encamped on the banks of the river [Chenab]. Departing thence, by the grace of the Almighty, on the 17th Rabī'II, 5 he will cross the river (ab) 6 and march so as to reach Qandahar on the 23rd of Urdībihisht, about the time that the armies, resembling heaven, should arrive there. The true account of the facts may have reached your Majesty's ear from the news [writer] of Multan; doubtless the jalaudars made their report in ignorance.

It was written by the jewelled pen, scattering gems:
"We had written a <u>farman</u> to the elder brother of that
<u>murīd</u>, that since he could not arrive in time because of
the illness which beset him on the way he should turn back,
and return to Bengal. [But] the sense of honour of a son of

l. A favourite term of Jahangir for confidential
advisers who knew all his moods - "who know my disposition".
See (e.g.) Tuzuk (R. & B.),

<sup>2. 26</sup> February, 1652.

<sup>3. 1</sup> March, 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> Monday, 11 March 1652. This calculation gives 29 days to Rabī'I, 1062, but only thus can the tables be reconciled.

<sup>5.</sup> Thursday, 28 March, 1652.

<sup>6.</sup> sc. the Chenab.

<sup>7.</sup> Tuesday, 14 May, 1652.

<sup>8.</sup> Shujā'.

Ours did not suffer that son to turn back, and he set off by forced marches to wait upon Us. He may perhaps arrive to attend upon Us at the very moment of the encampment of the royal cavalcade at Kābul; [if so], he will take his leave the next day, and join the victorious army at Ghaznī".

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of this <u>murīd!</u>
Whatever has cast its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration through the high spirit and fortitude of the person of the Crown Prince of Mortals (Bādshāhzāda-i-jahāniyān) is quite clear. Indeed (arī) it is imperative that life-hazarding <u>murīds</u> remain just as steadfast [as he] upon the path of devotion and service.

God be praised, that he has been blessed with a perfect recovery (sihhat-i-kamal nasīb-i-īshān shuda) through the tender care of your Majesty's mind, the dwelling-place of holy thoughts, and that he has directed his energies towards rapid marching [to attain] the honour of [being allowed to perform] the sacred ceremony of kissing [Your Majesty's] foot, (paibos-i-aqdas) which is the highest point of the ambition of the fortunate. [This murid] hopes that [Shuja'] may, after reaping abundantly the benefits of an audience, join the victorious army; so that they might be able to make a concerted effort to advance the interests of their guide and master.

May the Lord Almighty give the supporters of the everenduring state aid and victory, and cause the inhabited world to be brilliantly illuminated (rosh wa munawwar) by the light of the world-warming sun of the Caliphate.

## Letter 20

At last, Aurangzīb has crossed the Chenāb and began his march in earnest, over a month after he had quitted the city of Multān on 26 February 1652. This letter was probably written after Aurangzīb had completed his crossing of the Chenāb on 30 March, and perhaps on the evening of that day.

He reports, as in duty bound, the arrangements he has made for the administration of his subah during his absence. His harem would have accompanied him as his first short marches; but before crossing the Chenab he dismisses them, to be guarded within the walls of Multan. It does not appear that any women accompanied Aurangzīb to Qandahār, and it seems that when abruptly dismissed to the Deccan it was his entire family that he summoned from Multan (which he was forbidden to re-visit) to meet him on the road through the Lakhī Jungle (Letter 43). However, there would have been an establishment sufficient for his two sons Muhammad Sultan and Mu'azzam (aged twelve and eight). These letters say nothing of their presence; but Shāh Jahān gave the boys a special audience, and gifts, when sending their father to the Deccan from Kābul (Amal-i-Sālih, III, 153).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter (manshur lāmi'u'n-nur) delivered by jalaudārs on Thursday, 17 Rabī'II (28 March, 1652).

Brief conventional thanks.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the two worlds! On Saturday,

19 [Rabī'II] (30 March, 1652) this entirely devoted one, with
your Majesty's two household slaves set off, by the Grace
of God, from the banks of the river of Multan (sc. the Chenāb)
and safely crossed the water. To the other household slaves,
and to the servants (parastaran²) he gave leave to [return to]
the town. From among his own attendants, he left Mīr Murād
Māzandarānī, with six hundred horsemen, to take care of the
province, he appointed a thousand horsemen to the service of

<sup>1.</sup> Two days later than he had planned: Letter 19.

<sup>2.</sup> This term includes female servants, slaves, and secondary wives.

<sup>3.</sup> This man may not have accompanied Aurangzīb to the Deccan, as he is not mentioned again in the correspondence. In 1658, however, he was among those who marched from Burhanpur and was ennobled by the aspiring Aurangzīb. His title was Dilawar Khan. He probably did not survive long; others successively were entitled Dilawar Khan during Aurangzīb's long reign. The third has a biography in the Ma'asiru'l Umarā (text. II, 686-688): one Shaikh'Abdu'l-'azīz.

the <u>faujdār</u> of Bhakkar and Sīwīstān, and the remainder of his forces, of which the details will be apparent to your Majesty from the daily reports of the news-writers of the victorious army, he took along with him. God willing, he shall cross the Indus after four more stages; then, after making two halts he will move ahead in continuous marches.

Hail to the saintly protector! Several stages of the road are steep and narrow (kotalhā wa tanghā dārad) and there is not enough water and fodder to satisfy the needs of the victorious army all at once. It therefore occurs to the dull wit (khātir-i-fātir) of this murīd, that the conquering armies should effect their passage to the confines of Qandahār in detachments (pesh wa pas) and proceed thence, according to [your Majesty's] commands, in full marching order (bā tūzuk-i-tamām) to their objective.

May the true Giver of Victory (honoured be his name) associate the supporters of the ever-flourishing state with fresh triumphs, and open before the face of the good fortune of the slaves with traditions of success the gates of victory and conquest.

This letter was written immediately after Aurangzīb had crossed the Indus on 5 April 1652. He had sent a scout ahead to Qushinj (Pishin) the most considerable market on the route, and the scout has already returned with information, which has been sent on to the Emperor.

The imperial news-writers, responsible directly to the Court, were independent of even the greatest subahdars and were expected to report fully and accurately upon them. Breach of a waqā'i'-nawīs' confidentiality was a serious offence; and for a news-writer to exaggerate or mitigate, was equally punishable.

Aurangzib's careful conciliation of the Baluch and Afghan chieftains is now showing fruit. He has so well managed matters that no disturbance marked his march to Qandahar. The British, taking the same route in 1878 and 1879, were not so fortunate.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

Through the infinite favour of the Lord, this <u>murīd</u> has reached the banks of the Indus in four marches, without interruption, from the station of Langar. There he stopped for one day, that the victorious army might cross over; on the 25th of Rabī'-uṣ-ṣānī, the river was crossed. God willing, he will proceed from this position (sc. the western bank of the Indus) by continuous marches towards the objective, and will take along with him Ḥājī Roncha, Mubārak Balūch, and the Nāhars with the [other] forces detailed to attend to this business.

<sup>1.</sup> Examples of inflexible severity in enforcing these rules are in the Ahkam-i-'Alamgīrī: 13, 61, 62 and 64 in Sir Jadunath Sarkar's translation, pp.55 ll5, ll6 & ll8 respectively. An anecdote of 1695, when Shāh 'Alam was marching to his viceroyalty of Multan, relates to his nāzir, or superintendent of the harem, whose duties were similar; ibid, 14, p.57.

<sup>2.</sup> A place not located by me, but obviously in the vicinity of the modern Muzaffargarh, and perhaps connected with a Muslim holy man's tomb. Nadwī reads Lashkar; his 'U, obviously derived from an inferior original, has 'Asākir-i-fāṭir! S apparently reads Fāṭir. If really so, the blunder is incredible, since S. was copied from I.O.

<sup>3.</sup> Friday, 5 April, 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> This is the man whom Isma'il Haut had been oppressing in 1650; Letter 1.

Hail to the saintly protector! The territory belonging to the Baluchīs was found to be (ba nazr dar āmad) very well cultivated and thickly populated. There is much fine cultivation, irrigated through flooding [of rivers] (sailābī) and wells. Almost everywhere there are delightful fresh meadows.

Asad, an attendant upon this <u>murīd</u>, who had been sent ahead of the victorious army from the banks of the Chenāb, to assuage the fears (tasliyat wa dil-asay) <u>zamīndārs</u>, and to organise the collection of provisions in that area, should reach Chacha about that date (sc. 25 Rabī'-II). He will proceed thence to Qūshinj, stage by stage. (manzil ba manzil)

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of this <u>murid!</u> A true report of the market prices of goods (nirkh-i-ajnās) current at that station (sc. Qūshinj) might have reached your Majesty from the journal (roznāmcha) of the news-writer (wagā'ı) of the victorious army.

May the true Deliverer (exalted be his power) cause the supporters of the imperishable state to prevail and be victorious! May He display in the most fitting manner the desires of the sacred heart upon the stage (munassa-i-zuhūr) of manifestation!

Aurangzīb has reached Chacha in eleven days of marching from the right bank of the Indus. The mission of Aurangzīb's representative Asad continues to be successful.

The principal news in the letter is the return of the wandering melon-buyer. It seems almost incredible that the man could not have made his way back before this; perhaps he was a native of Qandahār, and did not choose to enter Mughul territory, and perhaps be disgraced by his master, from whom he had been so long away. If so, he might have imagined that to appear now, with useful information and some fine melons for the Emperor's table, would be to his advantage, and his absence might be forgiven.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Conventional saluatations.

[This murīd] informs your exalted majesty that he reached Chacha, by divine grace, on the seventh of Jumādà I<sup>1</sup>. He shall continue the journey just as he had come there, by continuous marches; God willing, he shall reach the destination at the appointed time. A report of the abundance of water at this station (sc., Chacha) and of the plenty of fodder and provisions might have reached your Majesty in detail in the news-writer's journal.

Asad, who was sent [ahead] by this <u>murīd</u>, passed through Chutiālī<sup>2</sup> on the date written [above] and marched ahead. The <u>zamindars</u> of those parts, realising that their security (najāt) depended upon their loyalty to the Court, the refuge of rulers, put forward the foot of obedience, and waited upon him (u ra dīd, "saw him").

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the two worlds! This <u>murīd</u>, the soul of devotion, had sent one of his attendants from Multān, in the days when Daulat<sup>3</sup> was honoured by being commandant of Qandahār,

<sup>1.</sup> Tuesday, 16 April, 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. carelessly has hab lī.

<sup>3.</sup> This is Daulat Khan Ma'ī, who lost his nerve and gave up the fortress to Shah 'Abbas II on 21 February, 1649. A life, with lengthy reflections on his unworthy behaviour, is in M.U. II, 24-30.

to bring [him] melons, grown on irrigated fields. <sup>1</sup> [This person], because of the perils <sup>2</sup> of the journey [fled northwards] and passed some time at Mashhad. Just now, on the third of this month he has reached Sang-i-Darra, 20 days' march from Qandahār. The news that he has divulged will be set out in a separate paper.

All the melons that were worth sending<sup>3</sup> have been despatched to the Court, the refuge of the world, by the Bhīra road. It is hoped, that they arrive safely. Further boldness would far exceed the bounds of propriety, and the letter is concluded with prayers for the permanence of the state and of its good fortune.<sup>4</sup>

May the sun of the Caliphate and of its rule continue to shine upon the heads of the inhabitants of the inhabited quarters of the globe!

<sup>1.</sup> N. kharbuza-i-kariz. His spelling has been preferred to I.O.'s kharpuza.

<sup>2.</sup> Mss. fitrat; N. khatrat, noting kharab in 'U and khatrat(sic) in S.

<sup>3.</sup> Surely the spring crop of 1652, and not of 1649!

<sup>4.</sup> This formula is more usually found in letters from ordinary subjects to officers of government.

# LETTER 23

Aurangzib can congratulate himself upon the excellent success of his commissarit arrangements. Provisions, and local chieftains, have come in; the country is quiet; writing from Dukī on 25 April 1652, he is quietly confident of success.

Under the influence, perhaps, of the fortunate course of events so far, he agrees to co-operate with Sa'du'llah Khan in besieging Qandahar, abandoning for the present his hope of gaining individual laurels in a subsidiary action.

The brief account of Chutialī is a valuable addition to topographical knowledge, and an excellent example of Aurangzib's terse concise style.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God'
Conventional salutations.

Acknowledgement of a <u>farmān</u> (farmān-i-sa'ādat-'unwān) written on 18 Rabī'II / 29 March 1652 in the Farāḥ Afza garden and delivered on Monday morning, 3 Urdībihisht / 24 April 1652, just as Aurangzīb began his march to Chutiālī.

Formal thanks.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of this <u>murīd</u>! Letters were despatched after the crossing of the Chenab, by <u>jalaudārs</u> (runners) of the sublime court, in response to the exalted <u>farmān</u>; again, after crossing the Indus, from the station of Lakia, carried by some Afghān foot-soldiers; and from Chacha as well, with news from Persia. Surely they must have been brought to your Majesty's ears by now.

l. This may have been one of the gardens of Hasan Abdal, where the Emperor might still have been tarrying. Of it Aurangzib says, ki ismī ast bā musammi.

<sup>2.</sup> Letters have been reaching Aurangzib within a week. This letter has been four weeks on the way. If Aurangzib felt that any remark was necessary, he has made it elsewhere.

<sup>3.</sup> Letter 20, probably written on 30 March 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> This is probably not Letter 21, which was apparently written from a camp close to the Indus, shortly after crossing it.

<sup>5.</sup> Sc., the report of Aurangzib's wandering melon-merchant; Letter 22.

Hail to the gibla and ka'ba of this murid! The journey from the station of Lakial to Chutiālī was effected (tai-imusafat shuda bud) by continuous marches. Because the pass was stony, 2 (sanglākh) and the road hilly, (nishīb wa farāz) the porters and beasts of burden of the victorious army suffered great hardships. So, to rest the beasts, (ba jihati-nafs rast kardan-i-dawabb) and to collect supplies a halt was made in Chutialī on Tuesday, the 14th of Jumāda I (corresponding to the 4th of Urdībihisht) 3. This letter is being written on the 15th of the above month (sc., Jumada I) and the camp has been pitched at Dukī, 4 two cos from [Chutiali]. God willing, he shall reach Qushinj from this camp in nine days. There, too, he shall halt for one day, to gather provisions; he shall arrive at Qandahār in the space of eight 5 more days, on the 23rd of Urdibihisht, corresponding to the 3rd of Jumada II.6

This <u>murid</u> will besiege the fort in accordance with the world-obeyed order, co-operating with the <u>Khān</u>, the model of felicity (sc., Sa'dullāh <u>Khān</u>). Were the well-wishers of the state to think it proper, (salāh dānand) that he should advance a few cos ahead of Qandahār and pitch his camp in the direction of Zamīn Dāwar and Bust, this <u>murīd</u> would appoint Rustam <u>Khān</u> Bahādur, Fīrūz Jang, with the army that he

<sup>1.</sup> The mss have Lakah, a better reading than that of Letter 21.

<sup>2.</sup> Sanglakh is struck out in I.O., and  $l\bar{a}kh$  written in the margin, in the same hand.

<sup>3.</sup> Tuesday, 24 April 1652 is right for 14 Jumada I, 1062, but not for 4 Urdībihisht, which comes out to 25 April.

<sup>4.</sup> Here spelt Duki in the mss.

<sup>5.</sup> N. has a clerical error: hashsh.

<sup>6.</sup> Sunday, 12 May 1652; the date fixed by the Emperor (Letter 18).

<sup>7.</sup> For daulatkhwahan N. has daulatkhahan.

<sup>8.</sup> A life of this renowned warrior, who had exchanged service with the failing Nizām Shāhī state for a splendid career under the Mughuls, is in M.U. II, 270-276. He was to fall fighting against Aurangzīb and Murād at Samugaṛh.

leads as a vanguard in accordance with the exalted order; his own men he will dispose in the left and right wings, in compliance with the previous command.

Hail to the saintly cherisher of murīds! News of the wretched enemy (ghanīm-i-la'īm) was written in the gracious farmān, by way of kindness to slaves. It is quite likely (zann-i-ghālib ast) that they do not intend to fight in battle array; (irāda-i-jang-i-saff nakunand) the very thought which has cast its brilliance upon the sacred heart. It is the firm hope of this life-hazarding servant that by the bounty and clemency of the All-Powerful (honoured be His name) he will be able, whichever way that tribe of rebels may advance, to knock the stuffing from those faithfless fellows, (damār az rozgār-i-ān badkeshān barāwurda) with [whatever] number of men may be with him, and, by the world-subduing Imperial good fortune, to give them a good trouncing and crush them utterly.

Hail to the saintly protector! At the close of the aforesaid day a letter arrived from this <u>murid's</u> attendant Asad. He said, that by fortunate guidance the brother of Sher Khān [Tarīn] had received the grace of willingness, to serve loyally the Court, the refuge of the world, and has desired an audience of him. After the arrival of the armies, glorious as the heavens, he shall accompany Asad from that locality, and attend upon this <u>murid</u>.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of this servant! The town (qasba) of Chutiālī is populated by about (takhmīnan) 300 Afghān householders altogether, of the Tarīn tribe. Wheat and barley are much cultivated, and grow well. At the end of the town is a spring, whose flow is about sufficient to turn two

<sup>1.</sup> Another example of this rather hackneyed phrase.

Presumably roz-i-taḥrīr-i-arzdasht, 15 Jumada I /
 April.

<sup>3.</sup> There is a long life of Sher Khan Tarīn in M.U. II, 654-658. He was given charge of Qushinj when it fell to Shah 'Abbas I in 1621, but in 1631 presented himself at Shah Jahan's court. He was given the rank of 2000, fertile jagīrs in the Panjab, and a command under Shuja' at the siege of Parenda. In 1636 he was suspected of intrigue with Shah Safī I, and deprived of rank and jagir; he died, confined in Agra on an allowance of Rs 1000 a month, about 1642. Tuberculosis killed him, still "in the flower of his youth".

water-mills (qarīb ba dū asiyā-i-āb, mīguzarad). The day the halt took place, the market-rate of barley was 9 [dāms] a seer. The victorious army also obtained other [kinds of] grain, and fodder.

[This murid] detached 50 of his own horsemen, and 200 of Hājī Balūch's, to form a garrison in Chacha; God willing, he shall leave garrisons in Dukī and Qūshinj too.

May the world-illuminating sun of the Caliphate and [its] government remain lustrous (tali', "rising") and brilliant (lami') upon the horizon of clime-subduing and world-subjugation!

### LETTER 24

Written on 28 Jumāda I, 1062 / 7 May, 1652, after crossing the Panj Mandrak pass, this is one of the most interesting letters in the collection. The most important matter it deals with is the successful seduction of Daulat Tarīn from Persian service; the manner in which the Mughuls set out to entice him to their side is clearly disclosed. The etiquette of managing these frontier chiefs did not change greatly in succeeding centuries.

The descriptions of Dukī, the fort of Tabaq, and the town of Qūshinj are concise and informative, and would not be found unsatisfactory in a modern traveller's handbook. These, and the note on the declivities of the pass (which is to be identified with the Khojak) would have been entered up in the records kept at Court, a specimen of which had been sent to Aurangzīb the year before, to allow him to make suggestions upon the routes to be taken.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

The <u>murīd</u> of sincere character performs the customary duties of submission and the formalities of devotion, and humbly informs your Majesty that on Thursday the 16th of Jumādā I<sup>1</sup> he set off from [his camp] two cos from Dukī, which is a town with more people than Chutiālī, containing about (takhmīnan) five hundred householders of Afghans, of the Tarīn tribe. Outside it is a small mud fort (qila'cha-i-gilīn) and an insignificant little garden (bāghicha-i-mukhtaṣarī) [Before] advancing, he appointed 'Ālam Barkī, an imperial manṣabdār, to garrison the place, (ba thānadārī-i-ānjā) with thirty matchlock-men and archers from his own forces, and a hundred horsemen from the Nāhar levies of the <u>zamīndārs</u> of Sītpūr. 3

<sup>1. 26</sup> April, 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> The descent of "thanadar" to its present humble Hindī and Urdū meaning of commandant of a police post can here be seen in an early stage. Jaswant Singh's thanadarī of Jamrūd when 7000/7000, from 1671 to his death late in 1678 had special features. Athar 'Alī, 148, mentions men of 3000 and 4000 appointed thanadars; but all were in the Maratha country after Aurangzīb had taken the field there himself in 1699, in his last, futile, and tragic campaign.

<sup>3.</sup> I have not located this place. It cannot be Sītāpūr in the U.P.

He advanced, and came on the 20th to the station of Tabaq. It is a hill, rising sharply from level ground (wa ān kohīst, rif 'at-i-asās, dar zamīn-i-musaṭṭaḥ). On both sides, at a distance of one cos, there are ranges, which converge at Panj Mandrak. From its east and west extremities, the circumference [of this hill] is four cos. Upon the highest point, which is solid rock, (sang-i-sakht) there was, in days gone by, (dar sawālif ayyām) a fort one cos long and forty jarībs wide in some places, thirty in others, and in others even less. There was but one approach road, narrow and difficult, upon which [even] foot-soldiers could turn around only with great difficulty.

At present [the following] remains (asar) of the fort and its ancient buildings [survive]: on the south side, a stone wall; several old deserted houses; a decayed mosque (yak masjid-i-shikasta); and some cisterns, (abgīr) [now] in disorder, in which rain-water collects during the wet season. Half-way up the hill (dar kamar-i-koh) is a dry spring, blocked by the passage of time. Altogether, it is a lofty, spacious and strong place (bi'l jumla makān-i-murtafi'-i-wasī'-i-matīn ast). 3

Hail to the true preceptor! [The tract] between Sang-i-Lakhshān and the borders of [the province of Multan] is comprised in the <a href="mailto:zamīndarī">zamīndarī</a> of [the province of Multan] is comprised in the <a href="mailto:zamīndarī">zamīndarī</a> of Hājī Beluch, and, had it not been for him, (bī-wujūd-i-ū) no proper arrangements would have been made for guarding the road through those parts; and the Bābrī road too, which passes through the middle of the

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. omits wa ba'zī jā sī jarīb. N. notes no omission from any of his mss, not even S.

<sup>2.</sup> For ambāshta, Ch. absurdly has apnāshta, which is meaningless.

<sup>3.</sup> Ch.'s version of this is: bi'l jumla makānī-i-murtafi' wa manī'-i-zamīn ast.

<sup>4.</sup> For sarhadd, I.O. has simply sar.

<sup>5.</sup> From this it is clear, that the Mughuls continued to administer these tracts after losing Qandahar in 1649. But between 1621 and 1638, they were under Persian control.

<sup>6.</sup> Babri may have been the seat of Mubarak: see Letter 1, supra.

territory of Mubārak Balūch, was impassable (jārī nabūd). Besides, they were not able to collect the body of men which they had themselves undertaken [to provide] for this auspicious campaign, and for which an equivalent sum had been remitted (manqūf shuda) from their fixed tribute<sup>1</sup>. So [this murīd] gave them leave [to depart] from Tabaq, to clear the roads, and bring up provisions for the victorious army. For the payment which had been deferred on condition of their collecting men, a bond (tamassuk) has been taken from Ḥājī for 250,000 'abbāsīs², and another for 75,000 from Mubārak. They are required, after adjusting the amount (rū ba rāh karda) to pay the balance to the treasury (wāṣil sāzand) within a fixed period.

[This <u>murīd</u>] left Tabaq on the 21st. On the 23rd, 7 cos from Qūshinj, he halted.

Hail to the guide, the cherisher of <u>murīds</u>! Daulat, the brother of Sher <u>Khān Tarīn</u>, had previously, after the arrival of this <u>murīd's</u> servant Asad in that district, sent a note in reply to Asad's letter, asserting his submission and obedience. But meanwhile two letters reached him from the ruler of <u>Trān</u>, full of conciliation and encouragement.

Overcome by indecision he was thinking of receding from his profession of loyalty (az ān irāda bar gardad). But, in the end, through the imperishable imperial good fortune, and the

<sup>1.</sup> The phrase peshkash-i-muqarrarī will be met again, in connection with Qutbu'l Mulk.

<sup>2.</sup> The 'abbasī, named after its originator, Shāh 'Abbās I, was a flat thin coin containing approximately 115 grains of steadily more debased silver. It was worth at this time about ten annas of a Mughul rupee.

<sup>3. 21</sup> Jumada I, or Tuesday 30 April, 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> See Letter 23.

<sup>5.</sup> Wālī-i-Īrān is the term Aurangzīb uses. To give the Shāh his titles would not accord with Mughul notions of their unassailable superiority. His letter is called a raqam - not a manshūr, and certainly not a farmān.

<sup>6.</sup> Ch. has bagardad.

admirable efforts of this servant's envoy Asad, most of the zamīndārs and tribesmen (ulūsān), 1 of that district, who till
then had failed to submit, (rujū'ī nadāshta) were brought
into the ranks of the loyal, and made appropriate arrangements
for provisioning the triumphant army. So the heart [of
Daulat] was eased; putting no faith 2 in those letters [from
Īrān], which would in truth have sealed his doom, (ān nawishtahārā, ki fī'l haqīqat sarnawisht-i-idbār-i-ū būd) he disclosed
them; the two letters themselves will be before your Majesty's
eyes with this. In perfect sincerity and confidence Daulat
has brought forward the foot of obedience and submission, and
on the date above-mentioned waited upon this murīd. 3

To show the firmness of his devotion and loyalty, he seized Bahrām, a slave (ghulām) of the ruler of Īrān, and brought him before this murīd. The wretched (nikūhīda atwār) 4 Autar 5 wrote to Daulat, asking for some written reply which Bahrām might take, for Autar to retain with him in the fort 6 [of Qandahār]. This servant sent him to Multān.

l. This word could hardly be used of any Indian tribal grouping. Its Turkic origin and significance confined it to the prototype of the Ulūs - the semi-nomadic or nomadic tribes.

<sup>2.</sup> N. destroys the sense by reading i'tibar namuda. He notes the correct reading in S.

<sup>3.</sup> Aurangzīb was encamped 7 cos east of Qūshinj (Pishin) and the audience was given to Daulat on 2 May, 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> Ch. has nakūhīza (a slip).

<sup>5.</sup> In B.M. clearly spelt with zamma over the first alif.

<sup>6.</sup> Clearly to forward to the Shāh. The strained relations between Autar and Daulat might perhaps have induced the Shāh to think that Daulat's submission to Asad and his master Aurangzīb's blandishments was partly due to Autar's mismanagement.

Your Majesty will have heard, that this Daulat was one of the nobles (khawānīn) and confidants of the ruler of Īrān, and that he had received the [right to carry] kettle drums and to beat drums [at his door], and that the prosperous and well-populated land between the boundary of Panj Mandrak and Sang-i-Lakhshān, 70 imperial cos in length, and approximately 20 cos wide, had been constituted his salary (tankhwāh-i-ū karda). Even though the wretched Autar had stirred up a violent quarrel with Daulat, Daulat remained favourable to him. Now that he has received, through the [divine] guidance vouchsafed him and the promptings of his conscience, the grace of service under the Court, the refuge of rulers, he has been enriched spiritually as well as temporally.

This <u>murīd</u> takes these events as an omen (shugūn) of victory against Persia, and of a turn in the fortune of its ruler towards the supporters of [our] exalted court. He has comforted [Daulat], urging him to rely upon the imperial kindnesses and caresses which are the inevitable lot of the slaves of the state; and he has restored to Daulat his former territories, and taken him in his train. He hopes, that [Daulat] may be exalted and elevated to the extent justified by his sincerity and devotion, so as to be a cause of the submission of others.

As a garrison in Qūshinj he left Saiyid Baqr Bukhārī, who had been posted to the province of Multān, together with his followers; and [also] fifty horsemen, archers and matchlockmen from among this murīd's own servants; and crossed the pass of Panj Mandrak on the blessed day of Monday, the 27th. He has made a halt today (which is Tuesday the 28th) for the purpose of passing Bhīra. God willing, he will reach Qandahār at the appointed time; as he has written over and over again.

<sup>1.</sup> Naqqara yafta nanbat mīzada. This was one of the most prized Imperial prerogatives, and was accorded to even the highest nobles only as a singular honour, after long service. A Persian noble who had enjoyed that honour, though he might lose it on entering Mughul service, would need to be treated with the greatest consideration - this is Aurangzib's message.

<sup>2.</sup> Autar-i-nabakar niza'-i-tamam ba u ba ham rasanīda; cf Letter 11, where this fact is first noticed.

<sup>3.</sup> Monday, 6 May, 1652.

The ascent of this pass is 37 <u>jarīb</u> [long] and the descent, which is very steep, is 40 <u>jarīb</u>. The road is exceedingly narrow. Between Dukī and [a point] two stations from the foot of the pass, the air was cold; on two or three nights on the further side of Qūshinj, it froze (yakh mībast). On this side of the pass, the air was quite warm.

Hail to the gibla of this servant! The town of Qūshinj has more people than Dukī, and its fort, made of mud, was erected (binā nihāda) by Sher Khān. It is more extensive than the fort of Dukī, and stronger. Through the middle of the town flows a canal, a yard and a half wide. There is an unimpressive (mukhtaṣar) bath, and a congregational mosque has been built outside the fort on the edge of a small tank, (talāb) which is filled by the water of the canal. The fort was the seat of government of Sher Khān and of Daulat, and the dependants of Daulat live there still. Outside the fort, close to the mosque, there is a garden, abounding in red roses [and containing] a few peach and apricot trees (darakhtimewādār az shuftālū wa dardālū ba qadar dārad).

May the mighty giver of victory (honoured by His glory) throw open the gates of victory and triumph before the supporters of the everlasting state; and may He keep the world-illuminating sun of the Caliphate and of its government radiant in its seat of prosperity and world-conquest!

<sup>1.</sup> Hawa hararati paida karda. Aurangzīb had passed into the garmser, where by May the heat can be intense, even in the hills.

# LETTER 25

Aurangzib reached Qandahār on 21 Urdībihisht, two days earlier than had been planned: that is, on Sunday, 12 May 1652. The vizier Sa'du'llāh Khān, at the head of the forces marching down from Kābul through Ghaznī, arrived at the same time. It is clear that the date of the junction of the forces before Qandahār must have been advanced; the day, and the date of arrival, correspond to 21 Urdībihisht, and not 23.

Shujā has been given an audience by the Emperor, and Aurangzīb's congratulations on his long journey seem genuine enough; he passes more quickly over the increase in his manṣab which would have brought him higher pay. The letter announcing these things was brought by Sa'du'llāh Khān in person, to emphasise the significance both of the news and of its recipient.

In this letter Aurangzīb acknowledges the Emperor's command to subordinate himself to Sa'du'llāh Khān. This unfortunate order was fatal to any initiative by the men on the spot; for the aging and unmilitary vizier referred everything to the Emperor in Kābul, and a decision, even if favourable, could not arrive within a week; the total distance from Qandahār to Kābul and back was about 560 miles. The Emperor made a cardinal blunder, in purporting to direct the campaign from such a distance.

Aurangzīb hopefully renews his request for an independent command to capture Bust and Zamīn Dāwar, and boasts that he could seize Farāh. He does not this time allege that he can take Herāt.

The Emperor, and the wazīr have both approved Aurangzīb's diplomatic management of Daulat Tarīn, who now makes the final act of submission by offering to send his family to Multān. There they would be lodged honourably within the fort, until Daulat's loyalty was established beyond question.

Letter 26 shows that this letter was written on 6 Jumāda II 1062 / 15 May 1652.

<sup>1.</sup> Cf the detailed account of Roberts' famous march in 1880, from Kābul on 8 August to Qandahār on 31st: 280 miles in 23 days, with two halts. Official Account, 558-569, especially 568.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters, both received on 21 Urdībihisht<sup>1</sup>/3 Jumādā I// 12 May 1652 at the moment of arrival before Qandahar. One, delivered by Sa'du'llāh Khān, announces that Shuja' has had audience and that Aurangzib's manṣab is increased; the other, delivered by yasāwals, reports the gift of Rs 5,00,000 as a subsidy.

Remarkably lengthy conventional thanks, and eulogy of the Emperor's splendour and munificence, 2 incorporating a verse:

Were every hair on my body a tongue I would be unable to thank the king for one of the thousand [gifts he has made me] 3

It obtained the nobility of being written, that the Crown Prince of Mortals had travelled about a thousand cos from Bengal, and had been honoured with an interview; and that on the first of the happy month of Jumāda II, he would be given leave to depart for Qandahār. This behoves a murīd of sincere intention and purity of purpose, who knows that obedience to the commands of his ka'ba and qibla, and the pursuit of his wishes (pīrūī-i-razāī) is the treasure-house of his own felicity. [Shujā'] has indeed made that long and tedious journey (rāh-i-dūr wa darāz) admirably, and has brought himself [here] in time for the task. It is certain, that after being given leave to depart [from Your Majesty] on the date mentioned, he will turn towards the destination.

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. has bīst o yakum. B.M. has clearly bīst o siwum, but is wrong; 3 Jumādà II is Sunday, 12 May, 1652, and that date is 21 Urdībihisht by the other concordances in these letters. Farwardīn began on 22 March, and Urdībihisht on 22 April. The readings of Ch. and of N. (who notes no variant) may be ignored.

<sup>2.</sup> Eleven lines in B.M. (fo. 13A); ten lines in I.O. (fo. 14B).

<sup>3.</sup> Gar bar tan-i-man zabān shawad har mūī, yak shukr-i-sha az hazār natawānam guft. For the poetical form of shah, Ch. substitutes tū.

<sup>4.</sup> Friday, 10 May, 1652.

This <u>murid</u> encamped (firod āmad) in the vicinity of the fort of Qandahār at the predetermined time, and, in consultation with the <u>Khān</u>, the pattern of felicity, caused the slaves of the Court, the refuge of rulers, to invest it closely. May the Almighty Conqueror make the rose-bush (gulbun) of [our] desire bloom in the breeze of victory and triumph, and may he soon make the supporters of the state, which is linked with eternity, embrace the bride ("beloved, concubine") of victory and triumph.

It was written by the pearl-scattering pen: "We have given suitable (anche bayest) instructions in all matters to the vizier of viziers, the Khan; he will explain them to that murid, who should obey them.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds! Since this servant at all times anxiously seeks the grace of performing the will of the sacred heart, and recognises this to be the basis of his spiritual and temporal prosperity, he hopes that he shall, please God, do his duty in accordance with the instructions he receives.

It will be clear to the heart of angelic penetration, which is the seat of sacred illuminations and the destination ("stopping-place") of heavenly communications ("income") that the advance of a body of men against the forts of Bust and Zamīn Dāwar would be in the interests of the state and appropriate at [this] time. The quicker this object is displayed upon the field of exhibition, the fitter and better it will be. Soon the harvest will be gathered in that district, which is warmer than Qandahār (ki nisbat ba Qandahār garmsīr ast); it is likely that the accursed enemy will try to seize it (dast-i-taṣarruf ba ān darāz kunand², "extend the hand of plunder towards it"). On this occasion, as an army so vast and numerous has, through the world-subduing good

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. has a large clear zamma over Bust.

<sup>2.</sup> B.M. kunand; but I.O.'s kunad seems better. N. and Ch. have the singular form.

fortune [of your Majesty] been collected, it would be a pity if it did not advance and, through the gracious help of God, did not make all possible efforts (haif ast ki ... dast burdī ki az ān tawān guft, nanumāyad).

This servant thinks it proper that he should leave a body [of soldiers], nominated by your Majesty, for the siege of the fort; for none of the slaves wishes to remain away from the field of endeavour. He himself, with the other servants, should set forth in that direction; having, with God's mercy, subjugated (ba zabt dar āwurda) that territory, he should thence march upon Farāḥ, not drawing rein until the border of Khurāsān; and he should make the armies, resembling heaven, so waste that land as to awaken and arouse that young and thoughtless [ruler] (an khurd-sāl-i-kota-andesh) from his dreams of heedlessness and folly, and to make him see (mushāhida kunad) the result of his boldness and recklessness with his own eyes (barāye al-a'īn).

It is most likely, that in such a case, the garrison would fail in their resolution and be unable to apply themselves as they ought to their duties of holding the fort. But your Majesty is most experienced in all affairs (tajruba-i-kar); the wisest man of the age, and a most complete and perfect guide; so whatever may scatter its brilliance upon the sacred heart is the essence of right conduct.

A world-obeyed order was issued: "Should Daulat the brother of Sher Khān Tarīn obtain the grace of loyalty and come before that <u>murīd</u>, he should show him appropriate consideration (ū rā ri'āyatī ki bāyad bakunad).

<sup>1.</sup> Noting guft in his S and B, Nadwī prefers kashf. The mss do not support this change. B.M. clearly has guft; I.O. has nothing, reading tawān nanumāyad.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch. has Farāt.

<sup>3.</sup> Aurangzib perhaps has it in mind to ravage the  $Q\bar{a}$ 'inat, the irrigated southernmost corner of <u>Khurāsān</u>; cf Lambton, Landlord and Peasant in Persia, 218-219 (but see 359, and 305).

<sup>4.</sup> For an marzubum, Ch. has an marz wa bum, breaking one word into three.

<sup>5.</sup> As things did not turn out thus in 1653, when Dara's detachments captured the outposts, it is not likely that Aurangzīb would have had any greater success.

Hail to the qibla of mortals! The account of Daulat's coming in was previously written to the sublime court, on Jumāda I, after the crossing of the pass of Panj Mandrak, and will have reached Your Majesty. This murīd restored his mahals and took him along with him, conciliating him by [assurances of] imperial liberality; he gave him an aigrette (jīgha)<sup>2</sup>, a sword-belt studded with jewels, and a sword with a scabbard adorned with gold (shamsher ba saz-i-tilla). Now, after taking the opinion (istiswab) of the Khan, the pattern of felicity, he has presented him with a sum of 10,000 rupees out of the Imperial treasury (khazana-i-amira) as a gift. Daulat has proposed, as a token of his sincerity, that he send his dependants (mut'alligan) from Qushinj to Multan. There is no doubt, (yaqīn ki) that he will be included, in proportion (dar khwūr-i) to his devotion and his service, among the [recipients of] the infinite Imperial kindnesses.

[The letter lacks a formal ending]

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. reads 26 Jumādà I, which makes Sunday, 5 May, 1652. In Letter 24, however, Aurangzīb says that he interviewed Daulat on 28 Jumādà I / 7 May 1652. Nadwī's correction to 28 agrees with B.M., and should be followed; he notes 26th in his B, but not, apparently, in S. Chaudhuri has 8 Jumādà I / 17 April, which is nonsense.

<sup>2.</sup> Jīgha, "an ornament or jewel worn in the turban": Steingass, 382. Perhaps related to jīqa, noise, clamour; ibid, 383, and secondary meanings of jīgha. This is neither sarpech nor kalgī, but a simple spray-like ornament. Aurangzīb might have given his own.

# NOTE ON THE TOPOGRAPHY OF QANDAHAR

The old city of Qandahar was built up against a ridge running south-west to north-east and attaining, behind the south-western corner of the walls, a height of 4400 feet. The ridge was called Qaitul; the north-eastern tip, upon which still stand two battered lions which guard the entrance to an artificially-improved cave, was called Lakah. cave, which contains an inscription of Babur, is approached by forty steep steps; and the feature is called the Chihil Zīna, "Forty Steps". Below the ridge the city, now a crumbled mass of rubble, sinks in stages to the plain, where a stream of many branches, nearly dry except in the spates of spring, runs down to the Arghandab river some two miles off, and separates the old city from the rectangular new city, laid out by Nadir Shah and named after himself in 1738, after he had captured and destroyed old Qandahar. This city was dominated by the high Kotal-i-Baba Wali, between it and the Arghandab; but the artillery of the seventeenth century was not such as to give any importance to this ridge in the successive Mughul sieges of Qandahar.

The remnants of the curtain walls along the crest of the Qaitul ridge, behind the old city, still stand, as do similar walls at Kābul; they apparently terminated in an outwork with a gate called 'Alī Qāpī, "the lofty gate". 1 This is what the Mughuls had to carry, if an attack on the "hill of Lakah" were to succeed. Below it began the city walls. The Baba Wali Gate facing north-north east towards the high ridge of that name, was attacked by Mahabat Khan's batteries. The long eastern flank of the city appears to have had three gates, the Wais Taran, the Khwaja Khizr (presumably giving direct onto the moat) and the Mashurī. It was here that the Mughuls had their best hope of breaking down the walls by bombardment. Before it stood the wazīr Sa'du'llah Khan, and Qasiur Khan the Mīr-i-Atish (Chief of Artillery). At the turn of the wall, along its short run back to the ridge, stood Rustam Khān Fīrūz Jang. The whole of the enceinte was protected by a moat, which though dry in some places was sufficiently full of water siphoned up from

A similar Turkish title was the origin of the "Sublime Porte", so long a prominent feature of European diplomacy.

the Arghandab to offer a serious obstacle. As for the walls themselves, they were of packed mud and straw, many feet thick, and proof against much more powerful guns than the primitive Mughul cannon, which could be fired only eight or ten times a day: "... it was known by experience that heavy mud walls have a resisting power equal, if not superior, to that of solid masonry, as was proved by experiments made in 1879 with a 6.4 inch howitzer upon the walls of old Kandahar (sic!!); and the actual results obtained with the 40 [pounders] and 9 [pounders] during the siege upon the villages of Deh Khoti and Deh Khoja confirmed this fact." (Official Account, 531).

In a word, insufficient and inefficient artillery was the principal reason for the Mughuls' triple failure to recapture Qandahār. When the artillery failed, the Emperor did not agree to the suggestion of the men on the spot that it be concentrated upon one place; so the walls remained unbreached, surprise assaults failed, and Qandahār remained in Persian hands.

### LETTER 26

Aurangzīb wrote this letter on 19 Jumada I 1062 / Tuesday 28 May, 1652. Quickly recognising that the imperialists had insufficient artillery to make an impression on the walls of Qandahār, he has renewed his request to be allowed an independent command, and is disappointed at the blunt refusal. But the siege has been under way for a fortnight now, and Aurangzīb has made the best of his situation; he describes the original dispositions of the Mughul forces, and the re-grouping that he and Sa'du'llāh Khān have effected, and he suggests a weak place in the defences of the city, which might be breached by a determined assault.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God.

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman brought by Aurangzīb's own couriers (qāṣidān) on 2 Khurdād / 24 May 1652, in response to his letter from the vicinity of Chutiālī (sc., Letter 23, dated 15 Jumāda I / 25 April.)

Brief thanks.

Before now, on the 6th of Jumāda II¹ (after arriving at Qandahār and commencing the siege) a humble petition was sent, through the <u>yasāwals</u> of the sublime court, in response to the two royal missives glittering with light, seeking the issue of a sacred order for this <u>murīd's</u> advancing towards Zamīn Dāwar, Bust, Farāḥ, and Herāt.² He has been waiting until today (which is the 19th of Jumāda II (or the 8th of Khurdād)³ for the world-obeyed order. [And now], although there are many reasons why it seems better to set out thither, the experienced vizier of well-directed counsel has conveyed this sacred order: "So long as the siege of the

<sup>1. 15</sup> May, 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> The addition of Herāt is a flourish. Aurangzīb did not in fact mention it in his letter.

<sup>3.</sup> Tuesday, 28 May 1652. The reading hashtum of the mss and Ch., and nuhum of N., should be corrected to shashum.

fort is not conducted as it should be (tā khātir az muḥāṣira-i-qila' jam' nashawad) he should not advance in that direction; if he wishes, that <u>murīd</u> may advance a few cos beyond Qandahār, and encamp there". Since this advance would not be much use, (chunān fā'ida nadāsht) he had no alternative (na-guzīr) but to turn, with the agreement of the incomparable vizier, to the siege.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds! A full account of the disposition of the two batteries, and the labours and exertions of the nobles, along with other particulars, will have reached your Majesty<sup>2</sup> from the newswriter of the victorious army; however, this <u>murīd</u> also submits a summary (mujmalī ma'rūz mīdārad).

At the beginning of the operations, (dar āghaz-i-kār) the Khān, the pattern of felicity, had chosen the battery (morchāl) before the Khizrī gate, of which he had been in charge (qiyām) last time (sabiqan). Rāja Jai Singh and the Mīr-i-Ātish, Qāsim Khān, who were encamped close by, wished to lead the army forward. Rustam Khān Bahādur, Fīrūz Jang, had established his battery at the Mashūrī gate; Mahābat Khān

<sup>1.</sup> The mss, as might be expected, do not distinguish between na-gurez and nā-guzīr. Ch. and N. have na-guzīr, which I follow.

<sup>2.</sup> N. omits arfa': the phrase is here 'arz-i-arfa' 'alī.

<sup>3.</sup> Muḥammad Qāsim was the son of Hāshim Khān, who was governor of Kashmīr under Jahāngīr. On Shāh Jahān's accession, he was promoted, and by the 17th year (1643-4) he was 1000/500, Darogha of the Topkhana, and kotwāl of the royal camp. (M.U.III, 95). He marched to Balkh under Rustam Khān Fīrūz Jang, and was entitled Mu'atamid Khān as a reward for his valour. In 1648 he was promoted 2000/1000; and made Akhta Beg; in 1649 he was raised to 3000 zāt and given the title of Qāsim Khān, after his famous grandfather Qāsim Khān Mīr-i-Bahr wa Barr (d. 1595-6) the architect of Āgra fort. He went with the artillery under Aurangzīb to Qandahār in 1649; again in 1652 (not mentioned in M.U.); was promoted in Shah Jahan's 28th year to 4000/2500.

He was chosen by Dārā to displace Murād Bakhsh as Sūbahdār of Gujarāt in 1658; with Jaswant faced Aurangzīb at Dharmat, and like him fled; at Samugarh his conduct was equivocal, and after Dārā was broken, and fled, he waited on Aurangzīb. He was rewarded with Rustam Khān's fertile but turbulent jāgīrs in Sambhal and Murādābād; sent to bottle up Sulaimān Shikoh in the Śrīnagar (Garhwāl) hills; and in 1071/1660-61 (M.U. II, 99) while on his way to take charge of the Mathurā district, he was stabbed to death by one of his brothers, in a fit of insanity.

and the men sent from Kābul, and Rāj Rūp, had taken the gate of Shaikh Wālī and the Chihil Zīna hill as their [respective] responsibilities.

But then this murīd made a close inspection of the fort (ba nazr-i-ihtiyāt mulāhiza namūda, "having displayed contemplation with the glance of circumspection") and observed that until the water of the moat was drained, it would useless for the army to advance towards the fort and impossible to lay a mine. Those loyal to the Court, the refuge of rulers, were consulted; after much discussion (radd wa badal-i-bisyar) it was agreed, that Qasim Khan, an enterprising servant, fully devoted to the interests of the [imperial] service, painstaking and laborious, should attend to the draining of the water of the moat. As it was clear, that were this attempted the assaults [of the enemy] against the battery [closest to] the water would be strongly pressed, there is [therefore] no reason for the battery of the Khan, seated in felicity, to be inactive. That well-informed vizier should set up his battery at the foot of the Qaitul hill.

(footnote continued next page)

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. omits the phrase ba bandahāī-i-ta'īnāt-i-Kābul.

<sup>2.</sup> Rāj Rūp was the son of Rāja Jagat Singh, and grandson of Rāja Bāsū. He is first noticed in the Ma'āsiru'l Umarā in Shah Jahan's twelfth year (1638-39) as faujdār of the Kāngra hills, near his home country. After his father's death on the Balkh campaign, he was raised to 1500/1000 and given the title of Raja. Fighting in that ill-fated campaign, he was promoted 2000/1500, and given money gifts; in the twentieth year he was again promoted, to 2000/2000, and sustained three wounds in an attack of "Almāns" (Ūzbek mounted guerillas). In the 22nd year, after the Mughul withdrawal, he was raised to 2500/2500 and made gila'dar of the frontier fort of Kahmard, at the foot of the northern slopes of the Hindu Kush. Promoted again to 3000/2500, he was sent to Qandahār with Aurangzīb. Like Pahār Singh, he was then placed under Sulaimān Shikoh at Kābul, and took part in the third siege of Qandahār under Dārā. In the 29th year he was ordered back from Kahmard to Court, and sent to his home, after over ten years' absence. It is possible that he was in disgrace, for Shāh Nawāz Khān writes that when Dāra fled defeated from Agra through Delhi towards Lahore, Rāj Rūp "obeyed the summons issued before the war broke out, and was liberated from [confinement in] his own territory" (az watan rahī gardīda) (M.U. II, 279). He remained with Dara until his flight to Multan; returned to his watan; then joined Khalīlu'llāh Khan on the banks of the Beas, and was presented to Aurangzīb.

<sup>1.</sup> Sarkār in Aurangzīb, I, 136 makes "Bhao Singh" the father of Rāj Rūp, and suggests that he was present at Qandahār in 1649.

commander of the Iranian army had brought his forces up by there; the moat is narrow, and dry; and the fort has but a single wall (dīwār ... yake besh nīst) 2. Rustam Khān Bahādur, Fīrūz Jang, who had much wished to occupy that position (zila') and is eager for the fray, should be stationed between Sa'du'llāh Khān's force and Qāsim Khān's battery. Mahābat Khān and Rāj Rūp should fight in their former position, facing the Chihil Zīna hill.

(footnote 2 from previous page)

Promoted to 3500/3500, he was placed on the borders of Śrīnagar (Garhwāl) to bottle up the fugitive Sulaimān Shikoh; (M.A.26 (text) then he was called to fight Dārā at Deorai, where his storming of the Gokla hill turned Dārā's south-eastern flank, and won the battle for Aurangzīb (24 March, 1659).

As with every officer who had not been with him from the very beginning of his bid for the crown, Aurangzīb had little further use for Rāj Rūp, who ended his life in 1071/1660-61 guarding the Mughul frontier south of Ghaznī, facing Qandahār.

It is said (M.U. II, 280) that his brother Bhar Singh (or Pahar Singh) who had also fought in Balkh, accepted Islam in Aurangzīb's third year, and as Murīd Khan served as chaukīdar of Ghorband. Was his conversion voluntary?

- 1. Reading sipah for sība (cf. Steingass, 714, s.v.) which is Ch.'s reading.
  - 2. N. has pesh.
  - 3. Ch. again has sība.
  - 4. Ch. omits Khan.
  - 5. Aurangzīb now recognises Luhrāsp Khān's new title.

As it was inappropriate to leave the sector opposite the Khizrī Gate unoccupied (khālī), Rāja Jai Singh was stationed in his old place; <sup>1</sup> the battery of the Khān, the pattern of felicity, was entrusted to Najābat Khān, <sup>2</sup> with the vanguard of the armies; and the responsibility for Qāsim Khān's battery was assigned (wā guzasht) to Nasīrī Khān, <sup>3</sup> with a company of cavalry and infantry.

The Khān, the pattern of felicity, is making the utmost efforts in bringing up the army and constructing emplacements (damdama) for the guns. Mahābat Khān and Rāj Rūp have been trying, with great labour and toil (ta'b wa mashaqqat-i-bisyār kashīda) to take the [detached] bastions on the Chihil Zīna hill outside the fort. They have brought up to their battery two great Sūrat guns, and have been firing frequently (top bisyār andākhta). They have demolished the fort of the bastion at the foot [of the hill] (peshtar-i-burj-i pāyin-rā munhadim sākhta) and say that within four or five days they will take it. As well as (siwāy) this bastion, there are two others on this same hill, whose capture (istikhlās) will demand great exertion.

<sup>1.</sup> Ba jā-i sabiq. N. has jānib for jā.

<sup>2.</sup> For a note on this noble, of the same blood as the Imperial family, see Letter 102.

<sup>3.</sup> I.O. has Nuṣrat Khān. Nasīrī Khān was the son of Shāh Jahān's Khān-i-Daurān, who ended his martial career as Sūbahdār of the Deccan. He succeeded Aurangzīb when his first viceroyalty ended, in June, 1644, but was murdered early in July 1645. Naṣīrī Khān was later sent to the Deccan, served in the Bījāpūr campaign in 1657, and received a number of letters from Aurangzīb which are in this collection. His career after Aurangzīb's accession is obscure; he may have been in disfavour, for he is mentioned in the Ma'āṣir-i-Ālamgīrī merely as dying while Sūbahdār of Orissa in 1667 (text, 62). Orissa was a "penal province", its government less regarded then many lesser posts. His father's title of Khān-i-Daurān had been accorded him at the beginning of Aurangzīb's reign.

This <u>murīd</u> took counsel of the <u>Khān</u>, the pattern of felicity, and left the place where he had been encamped (da'ira karda bud) at the <u>Khān</u>'s suggestion; he has set up his tent near an unoccupied observation-post, (barābar-inazrgāh) at the back of the Lakah hill.

Shāh Nawāz Khān, Qulīch Khān, Rao Sattar Sal and Raja Pahar Singh have been ordered to encamp all about the hill, so that the siege may be fully effective (az grar waqi' shawad) and the garrison's way in and out, which is usually past that hill, be blocked. [This murīd also] ordered a corps of archers and matchlock-men from among his own troops, under (ba) Malik Husain to the ridge (pushta) on the Lakah hill. Compared with other roads leading to the fort, the road it commands (rahash) is a good deal wider, and the men's opinion is, that they will be able to mount an attack in that direction. Great efforts are being made to bring the army as far forward as possible and to make strenuous efforts. By this day they have brought the army to the fort<sup>2</sup> of the hill, and are making great exertions. God willing, in pressing forward the attack as far as they can in the direction of Kucha-i-Salamat; thereafter, they will make no errors willingly (ba tagsīr az khwud rāzī nakhwahad gasht).

<sup>1.</sup> Rāja Pahār Singh was a Bundela Rājpūt, the son of Bīr Singh De'o, and already 2000/1200 on Shāh Jahān's accession, who was soon promoted to 3000/2000. He took part in the first expedition against Jhujar Singh, and was rewarded with some of his land; (M.U. II, 256) in 1629-30, he marched to the Deccan with Shāyista Khān, and received the title of Rāja. He remained in the Deccan, serving under Khān-i Zamān, and then under Aurangzīb in his first viceroyalty. In the 11th year (1637-8) he was called to Court, and promoted 3000/2000 (1000 2h 3h).

He accompanied 'Alī Mardān Khān with the first Imperial forces to Balkh in 1646; remained in the theatre of war when Murād Bakhsh fled; and finally returned with Aurangzīb, having 'given every satisfaction in his strenuous skirmishing with the Uzbeks and Almāns" (M.U. II 258). In 1650-51 he was promoted 4000/3000 2h 3h, and in 1652 was sent to Qandahār under Aurangzīb. In 1653, he went again with Dārā, and on return was given leave to go to his watan, where in 1064/1653-4 "the cup of his life was filled to the brim" (ibid, 259).

<sup>2.</sup> For pāī I.O. has jāī.

[This <u>murid</u>] hopes, that Almighty God will associate the whole laborious body of slaves with the grace [gained by] our great exertions - even by sacrificing their lives - make them successful and elevated [before] the most sacred throne, and splendidly exhibit the desire of the holy heart upon the platform of display.

Hail to the saintly protector! No detailed news worthy of reliance has come from the [lines of] the contemptible enemy up to the present; however it is apparent from the statements of all those captured by the outriders (qarāwalān) of the conquering army, and from others that further reinforcements (kumakī) will perhaps reach the besieged within a month. Any further intelligible reports that may come to hand shall be communicated by letter.

On the date above-written, <sup>1</sup> Sa'adat Khān<sup>2</sup> reached Qandahār with the cannon [called] Victory of the Army, (Fath-i-Lashkar) and it will be in the hands of (dakhil) the forces within a day or two. This <u>murīd</u> sent Mubārak Khān and Kākar Khān out to meet the cannon, and they took it under their charge, and brought it in.

May the world-illuminating sun of the Caliphate and of its government remain splendid and shining upon the horizon of world-conquest and cline-subjugation!

<sup>1.</sup> The date of writing, 19 Jumada II 1062 / 28 May, 1652.

Sa'adat Khan was a veteran of Jahangir's time, the son of Zafar Khan and the grandson of Zain Khan Koka of Akbar. On his father's death early in 1622 Jahangir promoted him 800/400 (Tuzuk, R. & B. II, 229); by the end of that reign, he was 1500/700 (M.U. II, 461). Promotion under Shah Jahan was steady: 1500/1000 in the fifth year, 1500/1200 in the ninth, 1500/1500 in the tenth, and 2000/1500 in the twelfth. He marched to Balkh with Murad Bakhsh in 1645, and was appointed qila'dar of Termez on the northern bank of the Oxus. In the next year he was promoted 2500/2000 and given a drum; he beat off an attack by Subhan Qulī Khān of Bukhārā (ibid, 461-2) and was rewarded with 3000/2500. After the withdrawal from Balkh, he served two years as commandant of Ghaznī. Under Aurangzīb at Qandahar in 1649, he failed to capture a detached fort; but in 1651 he was promoted 3000/3000, "and asserted superiority over his peers" (ibid, 462). After serving with Aurangzīb at Qandahar in 1652, he was placed under Dara's son Sulaiman Shikoh when that young prince was made governor of Kabul; then he served at Qandahar under Dara. In 1656 he replaced Mubarak Khān Niāzī (who is thrice mentioned in these letters) as faujdar of the Bangash territory - har du Bangash, upper and lower. In 1657-8 he was made qila'dar of Kabul, and in 1659-60 he was stabbed to death by his own son, Sheru'llah. served nearly forty years, all that long time remaining on the north-western frontier.

## LETTER 27

This letter is 28th in order in the manuscripts. NadwT has correctly reversed its position, since it was written a week before the long letter now numbered 28.

It is one of the most remarkable letters in the collection. The great explosion which it describes did not shake the resolution of the besieged, or weaken their walls; but as Aurangzīb's capable pen describes it, we almost imagine that the garrison of Qandahār, cowed by the disaster, is ready to contemplate surrender. Not so, however; Aurangzīb continues his efforts to breach the walls, and hopes for good results from the marauding expeditions of Daulat Tarīn, now entitled Khān.

Unconsciously, Aurangzīb reveals in this letter the utter incompetence and inanity of Mughul siege-craft. Steeled by experience against the Ottoman Turks, the Persians as marksmen and as artillerymen entirely outclassed the Mughuls; yet fifty years later Aurangzīb, blind to the lessons of experience, was using the same methods and the same artillery in his endless, and ultimately futile, Deccan wars. With all their strength and all their wealth the Mughuls could neither breach the walls of Qandahār, nor take advantage of the garrison's misfortunes; nor (as we shall see) maintain basic discipline in their own army.

To the Emperor, the Shadow of God! Brief conventional salutations.

Through the intervention of the imperishable imperial good fortune, an extraordinary event occurred three hours (yak pas) after daybreak on Wednesday, the 27th of the fortunate month of Jumada II (5 June, 1652), inside the fort (of Qandahār).

It happened thus (tafsīlash ān ast). Apparently the worthless Autar had sent Muḥammad Hāshim the wazīr, and Shaikh 'Alī his mustaufī (auditor) to open up the powdermagazine in the fort at the foot of the hill, and bring away

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhurī observes its correct date but does not depart from the order of his ms.

<sup>1.</sup> Sc., the principal administrative officer of Qandahār.

[the contents]. With them he sent Aqa 'Alī, collector of the produce of forty-one [villages]; Maḥmūd Beg, a notable (arbāb) of Qandahār, and Mīr-i-Bāzār; Pīr 'Alī Beg the jībahdār-bāshī (chief armourer); and a great many others. When the gunpowder was brought out they were to distribute some of it among the artillery-men and musketeers. 2

Hardly had they put their hands to the work, when a spark fell into the saltpetre stored in bags in a corner of the powder-magazine, from a pipe which some fellow chanced to be smoking (qazārā ātish-i-tambākū az dast-i-shakhsī ki ... tambākū mīkashīd) nearby. Before anyone knew what had happened (tā āgah shudan-i-mardum) fire blazed up (ātish buland shud); at once a crowd of the garrison rushed to put it out (krohī az mutḥaṣinān ba qaṣd-i-firo nishāndan-i-ātish hujūm āwurda) but all their efforts were in vain. The fire reached the gunpowder; a frightful explosion burst out; (ṣadāi-i-haulnāk barkhāst) all the houses of the town shook; and the magazine, with the adjacent buildings, was blown to dust.

From the magazine to the Māshūr gate, a considerable distance, amany of the houses along the business street collapsed, and many were split open. Fragments of lead and stone from the foundation of the magazine, blown into the air, fell upon man and beast; (asp wa ādam) many were injured; and about a hundred and fifty soldiers, water-carriers and such-like were consumed in the flames, and disappeared in the wind of annihilation (ba bād-i-fanā raft). None of the well-known men in the fort who had gone to the spot escaped, except Muḥammad Hāshim the vizier. Pīr 'Alī Beg the mushrif (accountant) is dying; (bar halāk ast) others, extensively burned (nīm sokhta) lie upon the bed of sickness in the agonies of death.

l. Zābiṭa-i-ḥāṣil-i-chihil o yak. Cf. the Ottoman "zaptieh" (an armed soldier, often mounted, who collected taxes, especially from the Christian millets).

<sup>2.</sup> Pāra az ān ba top-andāzān wa tufangchīyān qismat kunand. Cf. para para, "in frustra frustratim", Vullers, q.v.

<sup>3.</sup> Roughly half a mile.

It is indeed through the risen power and the sun-like good fortune of Your Majesty, that such events as these remarkable occurrences are far from being uncommon (ba'īd nīst).

Hail to the preceptor and guide of the two worlds! Every day, as ordered, ten balls are fired from [each of] the big guns. Most of them hit the bastions with some effect; [sometimes] they put the guns of the fort out of action; but the contemptible garrison all work at night to repair whatever is destroyed by day; and [therefore] they [can] go on firing [at us]. Had more guns been aimed at this side [of the fort] two or three bastions would probably have been so ruined by now (shayad tā ḥāl ... nau'ī inhidām mīyāft) that the Godforsaken garrison would have had no chance of making repairs and firing their guns.

This sincere-natured [murīd] is making every effort to advance the work, as far as in him lies (ba qadr-i-maqdūr); and is labouring in the interests of the state to the full extent of his defective capacities.

A report upon the outposts on the Chihil Zīna hill, and on the other outposts, has been sent to the sublime court in the answer of the Khān, the pattern of felicity, to the obedience-compelling commands. It will reach your Majesty separately.

Hail to the qibla and ka'ba of this murīd! Written down in earlier reports was the relation of Daulat Khān Tarīn's men, as previously understood. But now they have revealed, that Daulat Khān had [also] carried off a great body of cattle (mawāshi-i-bisyār) from a place known as Balghīr<sup>2</sup>, which is close to Marūchāq. They had been collected in those parts over the last three or four years, and [Daulat Khān] has

l. In I.O. the word appears to be maljā: "asylum, place of refuge, retreat" (Steingass, 1307, s.v.) In B.M. it is malchār, which is repeated in a large hand in the margin. N. reads morchāl, without comment. Ch. notes malchār in his ms., and amends to mor chāl (sic). The mss repeat the plural: malhārhā in I.O. (sic) and maljāhā in B.M.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch.'s ms reads Mālsar; he has amended to Balghīr. The ya'-i huttī is clear in the mss. Mārūchāq is on the border of a small salient jutting westwards into Russian-held territory, the Panjdeh seized in 1885. It faces the terminus of a branch line of the railway running from Marv south towards herāt, and stands about thirteen miles off the road from therat through Qila'-i-Nau, Bālā Murghāb, and Maimana. Identification of Balghīr with Bālā Murghāb is tempting, but unwise.

stirred an uproar (ghire v and ākhta) as far as the borders of Khurāsān. As the news has been very widely published, (chun khabr shuhurtī-i-tamām dārad) it is sure to have reached your Majesty through other sources.

[This letter wants a formal ending]

## LETTER 28

This very long letter was written on Tuesday, ll Rajab 1062 / 18 June 1652. Aurangzīb is anxious to allay any suspicions of disloyalty, and offers a lengthy explanation of his intrigues with one Khudādād, a connection of the noble who reported him to the Emperor, and one of the besieged. It is of great value in illustrating Mughul methods; there seems no ground for thinking Aurangzīb had any disloyal notions. At the end of the letter, he reverts to the subject of intelligence; an enemy mail-bag has luckily fallen into his hands, and Shujā'at Khān's scouts and his own spies have brought in further information.

The marrow of the letter is Aurangzīb's detailed survey of the four possible weak spots in the defence of Qandahār, and his proposals to take advantage of them. The artillery is insufficient for a concerted bombardment; so Aurangzīb and Sa'du'llāh Khān propose a feint against the main force of the fort, while all available guns bombard the short southwestern wall. How Aurangzīb was to have the guns moved without alerting the enemy to his intentions, he does not say. The plan might have succeeded; but the cost to the Mughul forces of the feint against the walls would have been high, as later events were to show.

Aurangzīb's optimism seems almost excessively excited by the fortuitous blowing-up of Mīr 'Ālam. This was the only real execution done by the Mughul guns, and had no effect at all upon the morale of the garrison.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God.

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two letters:

- a <u>manshūr</u> delivered by Sāqī Beg, <u>yasāwal</u>, on Thursday 6 Rajab 1062 / 13 June, 1652
- a <u>farmān</u> delivered by Jaushan Beg, mace-bearer (gurzburdār) on Sunday 9 Rajab / 16 June.

<sup>1.</sup> Both Nadwī and Chaudhurī have Khūsh Beg, but there is no ms authority.

<sup>2.</sup> Mace-bearers were used to carry orders requiring instant obedience, or indicating displeasure; and also to escort people to Court, especially those under a cloud. Jaushan Beg no doubt brought the letter censuring Aurangzīb's alleged failure to write more than once.

Stylised thanks, particularly for the farman.

The <u>farmān</u> came just as this <u>murīd</u> had mounted to inspect his entrenchments towards the Māshūrī gate, and the positions which he had in mind for launching attacks and erecting new batteries.

The divinely inspired pen had written to say, that during the whole month that that murid has been before the fort of Qandahār, he has sent [only] one letter; it was therefore proper, that he should write every week, setting down the facts in detail.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the two worlds! Between the date on which this <u>murīd</u> arrived at Qandahār and devoted himself to the siege, and the day of the issue of [this] order, three letters were sent, 2 relating events in every particular. Henceforth he will (God Almighty willing) commit to writing, circumstantially and without omission the happenings of each week; conformably to [Your Majesty's] order.

Your Majesty says, that a report from Wafādār Khān has reached you, that this <u>murīd</u> had sent an Afghān [as envoy] to the worthless<sup>3</sup> Autar, but that it was not [yet] known what message this murid had sent, or what reply Autar had returned.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> For firistāda I.O. has rasīda.

<sup>2.</sup> All three survive; Letters 25, 26 and 27, sent respectively on 15 May, 28 May, and 5 June. It is curious that they were not laid before the Emperor; they need have been no longer than a week on the road to Kābul. After this protest of Aurangzib's, we do not read any more complaints that he has not written, until Deccan affairs claim him.

<sup>3.</sup> I.O. omits the insulting adjective.

<sup>4.</sup> Well might Shāh Jahān enquire. Though Aurangzīb clears himself from overt disloyalty, the danger of intrigue in such situations was very real. Aurangzīb himself, before Golconda in 1687, did not hesitate; without giving him a chance to explain his conduct, he hustled his eldest surviving son Mu'azzam into confinement on suspicion of dealing with the enemy, and kept him there eight years. (M.A., 293-95; cf 372-373 (text).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! So far, this murid has made no overtures of his own to the commandant of Qandahār; and has not sent anybody to him. The Khān, the pattern of felicity, gave audience to this murid on the day he reached Qandahār; and [this murid] wrote a note (chizi... nawishta) [to the commandant], in obedience to the exalted command [communicated to him by the Khān]. He sent it by one [of his own] men; but no trace of an answer has yet appeared. The facts referred to by Wafādār Khān in his report are as follows:

Miyan Abdali, who is enrolled in the ranks of the slaves of the Court, the refuge of creation, had disclosed  $^2$ in Multan that he was related to Khudadad Abdalī, a great confidant (madar 'alaihī) of Autar in the administration of Qandahar. It was to him that the post of Wafadar Khan was given (sc., when he joined the Mughuls) and he has the reputation (gumar ... darad) of being well-affected to our court. Were the command to issue, a man [might be] sent to him, to discover the present temper of the garrison (hali-gila'dar). [Khudadad] had [previously] sent one of his men from Multan to Qandahar, at this murid's suggestion; he returned without delay, bringing an oral message (paighami-zabānī āwurda) that when the victorious armies reached this vicinity he would do whatever he could to help (anche bayad ba miyan khwahad amad).

After the [army's] arrival at Qandahār, Miyan Abdālī, (mumīalaihi) at the suggestion (ba ishāra) of this servant, sent an Afghān named Shera inside the fort to Khudādād, to enquire into (istifsār) the intentions of the garrison.

[Khudādād] answered, that whenever an even occurred, which might be an occasion of loss of heart ('ajz wa zabūnī) among the garrison, he would endeavour to manage affairs in a manner worthy of his loyalty.

<sup>1.</sup> This would have been a formal letter sent by Aurangzīb as highest in rank among the besiegers, summoning the garrison to surrender. To send such a note was part of the etiquette of "qila 'gīrī".

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. omits zāhir; the phrase is zāhir sākhta būd.

At the same time, [Khudādād] despatched [another] Afghān from the fort to Ḥasan Abdālī. [Ḥasan] is the son of Kāmrān, who is Wafādār Khān's paternal uncle. [Ḥasan] is also Wafādār Khān's cousin on his mother's side (birādar-i-mādarī).

[The Afghan] saw this <u>murīd</u>, and gave a very similar message [to that which Shera had brought].

Since this devoted  $\underline{\text{mur}}\overline{\text{Id}}$  did not consider these negotiations (guft-o-gu-ha) worthy of being reported to the royal ear, he did not mention them.

The news of the explosion in the powder-magazine (sokhtan-i-barut-khana) of the fort, which was reported earlier, had been learned in detail through the account of this envoy of Khudadad's.

When the state of the people in the fort becomes critical, Autar will (God willing) be guided onto the road of felicity by the principles of fear and hope. Then, when through divine intervention he waits upon this <u>murīd</u>, matters will be managed exactly as laid down in the orders [already given] for the treatment of him and his companions.

It obtained the honour of being written, that this <u>murīd's</u> entrenchments were advancing satisfactorily; and that [your Majesty] hopes, that the Imperial troops will succeed in occupying the fortress on the hill (fath-i-koh nasīb ... gardad) [by attacking] from that direction. Let that murid, therefore, and all the troops make the utmost efforts, to keep the entrenchments moving forward steadily.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of this <u>murīd</u>! It is the royal pleasure, that the fort of Qandahār should come rapidly into the possession of the supporters of the state, which is fit and proper in every way. [This <u>murīd</u>] firmly believes (ṣarīḥ ma'rūz mīdārad) that if more of the entrenchments had reached closer to the moat, and if all the men stationed at the batteries behind the mountain had worked at a similar pace the work would have progressed more quickly. The utmost (ba qadr-i-wasi') labour and effort is being brought to bear; but it appears to the feeble understanding of this <u>murīd</u> that drawing off the water of the moat, digging a mine, and all the other conventional methods (tadbīrhāī) of capturing forts will demand much time.

<sup>1.</sup> Letter 27.

A way of taking this fort has occurred to this <u>murīd's</u> defective mind, and he has often spoken of it to the other well-wishers of the state; it is this. [While] there should be no intermission (dast bāz nadāshta) in [attempting to] drain the moat, set off mines, and so on, it would appear (ba nazr dar āmda) that an attack ought to be made at four positions, which are not well guarded. The first is the tomb (asitāna) of Majnūn Qattāl, which is on the lower slopes of the hill; from it, horses can get right up to the wall of the fort, which at this point is unprotected by a moat, and seems to be comparatively narrow and low.

The second is the fort of the "Forty Steps Hill" (Chihil Zīna) to the right of Mahābat Khān's battery, and opposite the position just mentioned. The wall there is [also] low and narrow, and in one [place] near the old city; indeed, almost right beside it (wa yakī pesh wa muttaṣil-i-shahr-i-qadīm) 1.

The third is [a position] over against the 'Alī Qāpī gate, [giving] a broad approach to the gate and commanding the citadel [on top of the] Qaitūl [hill], which dominates [the area]. There, too, the wall is very narrow.

The fourth is the slope of the Lakah hill, where are the entrenchments of this <u>murīd's</u> men, of Shāh Nawāz <u>Khān</u>, and of Rāja Pahār Singh. There are several routes by which infantry might ascend [this] hill, and the walls of the fort [on its summit] are not very thick. The sarkob 3 of the

<sup>1.</sup> Of this "old city", which must have lain at the foot of the Chihil Zīna, nothing seems to survive.

<sup>2.</sup> This must refer to the highest point of the ridge of Qaitūl, behind the city. That ridge reaches its highest point behind the south-west corner of the city walls.

<sup>3.</sup> Sarkob (Steingass, 676, s.v.) signifies not only a machine for breaking down walls, but wooden platforms and other upper works on walls, whence things might be dropped on the heads of besiegers, or thrown at them, if they were to succeed in swarming up to the walls. The word bears this meaning in India; in connection with Humāyūn's capture of Chunār, with Sher Shah's siege of Kalinjar (fatal to himself) and with Akbar's assault on Chītor, for example, it is mentioned in that context. Speaking more literally, Mullā 'Abdu'l Qādir Badā'ūnī, when first presented to Akbar, was introduced as one who could "be the sarkob of Shaikh Ibrāhīm Sirhindī".

fortress of the city [itself], and of the citadel, are in decay.

It is clear, that unless the walls be breached and a passage opened an attack would be far from successful, and would, [in fact] be quite useless. There are not enough big guns to breach the walls on all four sides simultaneously. It has [therefore] been decided, upon the advice of the Khan, the pattern of felicity, and all the eminent nobles, (jami'-iumdaha) to bring up eight big guns towards the tomb of Majnun Qattal, and aim them at the wall opposite. The position is immediately adjacent to the city; there is no obstacle in the way [of an assault], and the batteries have been completed; so a practicable breach may [soon] be made. The entrenchments of the Arm of the Caliphate, Sa'dullah Khan, Rustam Khan Bahadur, Fīruz Jang, and Qasim Khan are there, and the operations have been going on well, 2 as related at the beginning.

This <u>murid</u>, the vizier of viziers, and the other slaves, relying upon the help of God and the favour of the imperishable imperial good fortune, will march men up to the fortress of Qandahār. Making a feint<sup>3</sup> in various directions, and raising the sound of the drum and the trumphet (ba sadā'-i-kos wa kirrnāī) will cause the pillars of the garrison's firmness and resolution to shake, and will prevent them from making [even] one sortie.

The Khan, seated in felicity, has made the most strenuous efforts in the preparation of his batteries. Were he to succeed the breach in the wall [could] be made from that direction; and then the first proposal [for an assault] might be able to be carried out by the end of the month, with every chance of success.

<sup>1.</sup> The word used is uftada, which, as the context shows, ought not be taken literally.

<sup>2.</sup> Sībhā khūb pesh raft. So the mss, and Ch. N., without comment, reads siphā. A marginal gloss in B.M. has sība, "a place surrounded with walls". Cf note to Letter 26, where the word in context means "forces". Here it could mean a covered trench, but there seems no authority for such an interpretation.

<sup>3.</sup> B.M. reads: az dīgar atraf sūran andākhta. For sūran, "assault, irruption, war-whoop", see Steingass, 708. I.O. has shōrī, "noise, uproar". N. reads rozan, ignoring yūrish in his own ms N. Ch. reads az dīgar sū shōrish andākhta. Has he emended a corrupt text?

But if that is not done, then all the big guns might be gathered at the entrenchments at the foot of the hill. The wall before them looks very narrow; and there is no doubt (God willing) that a breach might be effected. The assault might be made, after [the men] had been assembled, along every route that leads up to the top of the hill.

However anything which may cast its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration, which is a mirror reflecting the lineaments of invisible inspirations, deserves the honour of instant obedience. It behoves the slaves to apply their full strength, in the time available, to performing whatever may be decreed.

The honour of the acceptance of [this murid's] petition, that Daulat Khān Tarīn's rank be increased by five hundred <u>zāt</u>, which was communicated by the jewel-scattering pen, has become a source of exaltation to this <u>murīd</u>, and an occasion to him of distinction and glory.

An event has lately occurred, through the ever-flourishing (bi-hummāl, "unwithering") good fortune of Your Majesty: Mir 'Ālam, entitled Mīr Kalān Sānī, and a yūzbāshī¹ whose name was not discovered, have been killed. Mīr 'Ālam was the Beg Bāshī,² and commandant of the Burj-i-Nau (New Bastion) and the Burj-i-Khākistar (Ash Bastion); he was regarded as one of the best officers of the ruler of Īrān (dar silk-i-mardum-i khūb-i-walī-i-Īrān intizām dāsht).

[Their deaths] occurred in this manner: One day, one of the big guns which had been firing at those [two] bastions, blew up. [Fragments] happened to hit that ill-favoured strifemonger and the yūzbāshī, as they sat in [one of the] bastion[s], playing at dice. Both were flung down into the dust of death,

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;Commandant of a hundred".

<sup>2.</sup> Beg Bāshī seems the best reading, and occurs in Ch.'s ms; N. also reads Beg Bāshī. He notes Palang Bāshī in his B, which Ch. prefers. I.O. appears to read bī shakk bāshī! N. notes this form in S. B.M. perhaps reads palang-bāshī. A marginal note has "mang-bāshī chunānchī..." Was Mīr 'Ālam "Master of the Gaming Tables"?

<sup>3.</sup> An admission by Aurangzīb, perhaps unconscious, that the Mughul artillery was usually of slight effect. The game the officers were playing was nard.

and carried off by the wind of annihilation so that it was only after assiduous search that the despicable garrison of Qandahār could recover their remains (a'zāī). [Afterwards], they buried them in the grave of contempt and calamity (dar gūr-i-mazlat wa idbār).

Upon the disappearance of that trouble-maker, great shrieks and laments burst out from the hearts of the vile garrison.

Mīr 'Ālam is said to have been a son of Mīr Kalān Khaffācha, [a man] of great trust and weight in the time of Shāh 'Abbās [I], and prominent in the capture of Baghdād. His paternal uncle ('ammū) was a jāndār under Shāh Tahmāsp, who esteemed him highly.

Hail to the saintly protector! Meanwhile, a messenger who had been sent by Autar to the ruler of Īrān was [intercepted] on the evening of Tuesday llth, [Rajab/18 June] the day on which this letter was being engrossed. With [him were] (6) letters written by the Shāh, and by Khalīfa Sultān and other pillars of his impermanent state, (arkān-i-daulat-i-nā-pā'-īdārash) dealing with (mutazammin-i) the despatch of reinforcements. [The messenger] was trying to enter the fort past the entrenchments of Tāhir Khān, whose men, recognising him as a foreigner, (bigāna) resolved to seize him. Finding no opportunity of entering the fort, he flung the whole mailbag away in despair (sarāsima shuda kharīṭa-i-nawishtajāt-rā andākhta) and fled. He is still at large; (ba dast niyāmad [however], the bag was brought to this murīd on the same day.

<sup>1.</sup> Aurangzīb here repeats phrases used of the casualties in the explosion of the previous week.

<sup>2.</sup> The orthography of B.M. is followed here, with a  $tashd\bar{t}d$  over the fe.

<sup>3.</sup> Without ms authority, Ch. omits Shah.

<sup>4.</sup> The Persians took Baghdad from the Turks in 1624, and lost it back to them in 1638.

The letter addressed to Autar is attached; all the other papers are with the letter [being sent by] the vizier without peer. They will [all] pass under the luminous eye.

On that day, too, Shujā'at Khān, who had advanced several cos ahead, sent out men to reconnoitre. Karam Beg, brother of the commandant of Zamīn Dāwar, had detailed a qizilbāsh, with two hundred men, to destroy the crops in the plains of Zamīn Dāwar and Qandahār. [The qizilbāsh] went off with three or four men to pick up information (ba khabargīrī), strayed from his companions, and fell into the hands of Shujā'at Khān's scouts; he gave the same account of events as can be extracted from the [captured] correspondence, and is being despatched to the sublime court with the yasāwals who are carrying this letter.

Again on the same day two men returned, whom this <u>murīd</u> had sent out as spies to bring news from Farāh<sup>2</sup> and who had penetrated thence to Sabzāwar.<sup>3</sup> They disclosed that a detachment of two or three thousand of the God-forsaken enemy had reached Bust. Shujā'at <u>Khān</u> has been enjoined not to suffer the men of the victorious army to go in advance of the scouts upon any pretext.

May the Almighty, in his glory and power, render woeful and contemptible (mankub wa maqhur) the enemies of the Godassisted state, and cause life-scattering murids to be conquering and victorious!

<sup>1.</sup> Az rafiqān judā mānda is probably meant to imply an accidental straying, rather than a deliberate separation.

<sup>2.</sup> The mss here/Farah, with ha-i-hauwaz. N. and Ch. both have Farat, an error clearly derived from this orthography.

<sup>3.</sup> The mss have Sabzār. Ch. uses the current spelling Sabzāwar. N. notes in his 'U, Shīrāz!

The siege is lumbering on, under the direction of its imperial commander-in-chief, five days' journey away. Even Aurangzīb's own suggestions are to be laid formally before Sa'du'llāh Khān before the Emperor will initiate action upon them.

In this letter, written on 24 June 1652, Aurangzīb reviews the progress of the various entrenchments. Outwardly, he seems to hope that the laborious process will somewhere, somehow, produce a breach in the walls of Qandahār; and it is clear, from the decision to concentrate the guns in one spot, that everyone has tacitly recognised the inadequacy of the artillery.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God.

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgements of a royal missive (manshur) delivered by the <u>yasawals</u> Muhammad Sharīf and Rustam on 16 Rajab 1062 / 23 June 1652.

[This] lofty order was issued: "Many matters were dealt with in the exalted <u>farman</u> addressed to the <u>Khān</u>, seated in felicity. Let that <u>murīd</u> peruse it and tell the <u>Khān</u> anything he considers advantageous to himself and agreeable to his own inclination (bihbūd-i-khwud dānad wa rāzī bāshad). [The <u>Khān</u>] will lay it before the sublime Court, and We shall give orders accordingly."

In what language can this <u>murīd</u> describe this benevolent kindness and condescension, drawn from illimitable stores of graciousness and affability, and directed towards this least of murīds?

Hail to this <u>murīd's qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba!</u> The well-being and good estate (bihbūd wa salāh-i-hāl) of <u>murīds</u> is conspicuously clear to the spiritual guide of pure heart [possessing] every degree of perfection. So, in response to the questions [raised in the <u>farmān</u>] he has told that incomparable vizier everything relating to our affairs which

<sup>1.</sup> Ch. omits ka'ba.

has suggested itself to his weak wit. However, anything that may cast its brilliance upon Your Majesty's heart, of angelic penetration, will conform exactly with the best interests of this murīd.

On 11th Rajab, 1 a letter was sent through Saqī Beg and other <u>yasāwals</u> to the Court, the refuge of rulers, and will have passed under the luminous gaze. Between them and the date of this letter, Tuesday 17th [Rajab], 2 a further event has occurred: several emissaries (kasān) of the ruler of Īrān have been captured, with a letter from him, and missives from the pillars of his impermanent state, addressed to Atar overwhelmed in calamity. 3 Since there was nothing in those letters not mentioned in the correspondence previously [captured] 4 this devoted servant suggested to the Arm of the Caliphate, Sa'du'llāh Khān, that he despatch to the Court, exalted as the sky, the news of the capture of those letters together with the letters themselves.

Hail to the saintly protector! It has seemed fit to the vizier of viziers to raise batteries opposite the Māshūrī gate, [which faces] the entrenchments of that stout pillar of the state, (rukn-i-rukīn-i-daulat) and to bring the big guns to bear there first; and upon this a detailed report has already been submitted to your Majesty. Accordingly, this murīd has appointed one of his own men, (sar ba rāh-i-kār-i-khwud) to supervise the raising of batteries on the left flank of [the vizier's] force. The man is labouring mightily, and those batteries will be finished (God willing) on the 20th of this month. By the 25th, the batteries which flank the entrenchments of the Khān, seated in felicity, and Qāsim Khān,

<sup>1. 18</sup> June, 1652. Aurangzīb is referring to Letter 28.

<sup>2. 24</sup> June, 1652; a Monday, not a Tuesday. Cf "Tuesday 11 Rajab" in Letter 28.

<sup>3.</sup> Autar sarāsar idbār. Here I.O. has a zamma: Autar.

<sup>4.</sup> sc., on 11 Rajab / 18 June, when the Shāh's messenger fled, leaving his mailbag behind: Letter 28.

<sup>5.</sup> Thursday, 27 June, 1652.

<sup>6.</sup> Tuesday, 2 July, 1652.

and which are the responsibility of Muḥammad Ṣāliḥ the <a href="mushrif">mushrif</a> of the artillery, will also be finished, and the cannon will have been placed in position thereon. The strictest orders have gone out, (qadghan-i-balīgh rafta) that the utmost diligence (sa'ī-i-maufūr) be displayed in battering down the chosen [stretch of] wall.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of necessities and the <u>ka'ba</u> of desires! Much work has been done in the battery of the vizier, of rich experience and well-directed counsels. His force has attained the embankment of the moat, whence they propose to divert (mīl karda) and lay a mine (naqb zadan) in the part where there is no moat. They are labouring as hard as their duty bids them; many of the experienced <u>Khān's servants</u> have lost their lives, (ba kār āmda and) or are wounded.

Qāsim Khān has omitted nothing that ought have been done (farākhwūr-i-maṣāliḥ) in urging his men forward, and in digging out a channel to drain the moat.

This  $\underline{\text{murīd's}}$  men have strengthened the stockades  $^3$  which, as reported to Your Majesty, had already been erected (bar pā karda and).

Moreover, during these last few days the accursed garrison have been making ceaseless sorties from dusk until dawn. They come out from three directions, through openings they have made in the wall of the fort.<sup>4</sup> Fanning the flame of

<sup>1.</sup> Tophārā bālā barāwurda. I.O. omits bālā. The phrase occurs agin in the third paragraph of Letter 30.

<sup>2.</sup> To the phrase ki khandaq nadārad I.O. adds nīz, which seems superfluous: ki nīz khandaq nadārad.

<sup>3.</sup> The word translated as "stockades" is given in the mss as katgarhā. Ch. reads kangrī, perhaps intending kangura. The ms. reading should be followed. It stands for kathgarh, a variant form of kathara or katahra; see Platts, 816, S. vv. This is a very common Hindī word, covering almost any kind of enclosure, if made of wood (kāth, kath). Among the meanings Platts gives are: "a railing, a palisade; palings; a fence of wood; balustrade; banisters." Kangura (Platts, 855) is the term generally used for the battlements or crenellations, whether ornamental or practical, atop the walls of Mughul and earlier buildings. It is clearly inappropriate here, if only because it refers to masonry: "...niched battlement (of a castle, & c.); a parapet wall; spiral line; retiring ledge ... salient and re-entrant carving or moulding; a port-hole, vidette ..." (ibid)

<sup>4.</sup> Mardūdān-i-qila' az awwal-i-shab ta ākhir ba lā-fāsila az darīchahā'ī, ki dar dīwār-i-qila' wā karda and, ba sih taraf āmda. N. has az awwal ta shab-i-ākhir, and notices a quaint error in his own N., and in 'U, which otherwise follow the mss: ba lā fā'ida for ba lā fāṣila.

war with the wind of their guns, they allow our men ('amala wa fa'la) not a moment's repose. On both sides, many have been killed or injured.

Three [more] stockades, sheltering altogether three thousand men have been constructed and [this <u>murīd's</u> men] are now trying to strengthen them; and so the battle waxes hot (wa hangāma-i-zad wa khwurd garm ast).

Between the stockades and the walls of the fort there is no shelter, except great boulders, and the army cannot advance. It was decided, after careful consideration, that were an attack made without prudent preparation, many men would, God forbid, be lost (talaf shawand) in reaching the foot of the wall; which would be a just cause of the strongest reprehension ('itāb wa khitāb).

So it has been decided, conformably to the opinion of the model of viziers, the Khan, that Rāja Rāj Rūp and Rāja Madan Singh<sup>2</sup> should bring their infantry and musketeers down from the hill,<sup>3</sup> and be posted (numāyand) at the right and left of [his] entrenchment [respectively]. Their presence there will be more useful (munasibtar ast) in coping with (ta ... munqasim shawad) the attack which the garrison has been making from three sides, and ought to give the staff and the men more freedom to perform the [principal] task.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> In mss, sih katgar-i-dīgar. N. omits sih. Ch. persists with kangar.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch. chooses to amend his ms' Madan Singh to Badan Singh, following N.'s 'U. - but not N. himself.

<sup>3.</sup> Piyadha'ı tufangchı az koh firod awurand; I.O. alone has zūd arand, a slip easily made. Ch. has rū darand, a further corruption of the text. N. notes no variant.

<sup>4. ...</sup>wa 'amla wa fa'la-rā ba qadar-i-furṣat-i-kār dast dahad. I.O. reads, for furṣat, farja; q.v.s.v. farjat, firjat, furjat, "the cheerfulness of a mind freed from sorrow, straits, and difficulties", Steingass, 916. Ch. too follows this reading. Nadwī notes no variant. So far as one can judge, Aurangzīb's style would reject the rather recondite farjat; I have read furṣat. It is interesting to note the phrase 'amala wa fa'la used a second time within three paragraphs.

God almighty willing, [the Khān's] battery will have been brought as near as possible to the wall of the fort before [the Rājas] have taken up their positions on this side; and it will be completely protected (istiḥkām khwāhad dārad).

It appears that until all the big guns have been brought to the area [occupied by] the forces of the Khān, seated in felicity, the long-desired (dilkhwāh) result cannot be obtained. When the guns are [finally] brought up, the wall will be destroyed (mismār karda) on that side, and an assault will be possible.

May the almighty Conqueror endow every <u>murid</u> and every slave more and more with the blessings of readiness to offer up their lives and willing devotion to duty; and my He exhibit your Majesty's invisibly-guided mind in splendour upon the pedestal of glory in all its sun-like brilliance Amen. 1

<sup>1.</sup> This solemn and unusual conclusion perhaps mirrors a turn of genuine apprehension in Aurangzīb's mind. Six weeks before the walls, and no sign that they, or the garrison, are weakening!

This long and important letter marks the turning-point of the siege of Qandahār. It was written on, or immediately after, 1 July 1652.

Aurangzīb first deals with four letters from the Emperor, written in the last week of June. Acting upon one knows not what advice, the Emperor brushes aside the view of his wazīr and his son: "az dū jānib wīrish bāyad namūd", and that is that. For good measure, the Emperor cancels a disposition of troops already sanctioned by the wazīr.

Then Aurangzīb reports that doubts of the morale and discipline of the troops under two prominent Tūrānī commanders have compelled cancellation of a projected advance westwards to Kushk-i-Nakhūd. The outlets of the passes to the immediate north-west are now, it seems, the limit of the Mughul forces; so much for the dreams of seizing Farāh and Herāt, and striking terror into Khurāsān.

A sortie from the fort, which destroyed Sa'du'llah Khan's entrenchment, and killed many of his men, was further proof that the Persians had the upper hand.

And finally an elaborate attempt to surprise the hill-fort on the north-western tip of the Qaitūl ridge, organised and led by Rāja Rāj Rūp, broke up amid scenes of wanton indiscipline almost unbelievable. Aurangzīb's description of the resultant rout is vivid, and probably accurate; but in sparing himself the pain of reflecting upon the causes, and certain results, of the utter want of organisation and control which led to it, he excuses himself from any responsibility, and unfairly blames Rāj Rūp. There was far more wrong with the Mughul army, than the inexperience and misplaced enthusiasm of a single manṣabdār.

With the Persians on the offensive, and the Mughuls in disarray, it must have been clear by 1 July that the siege was doomed.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations and acknowledgement of four rescripts (yarlīgh) from the Emperor, delivered by yasāwals: two early on Friday 21 Rajab [1062] / 28 June 1652. two more on Monday evening, 24 Rajab / 1 July.

It was written by the royal pen, the treasure-house of inspiration: "There must be an assault from two sides".

Hail to the saintly protector! There are not enough big guns to destroy the walls around the fort all at once. So long as there is no breach, an assault is undesirable (munāsib nīst). It has therefore been determined by the vizier, rich in experience, that an assault should first of all be mounted from the direction of his own entrenchment. If no breach be made in that quarter, or the fort on the hill subsequently require to be occupied, all the cannon will be removed to the entrenchment [manned by] this murīd's followers; the wall [opposite] destroyed; and an assault made.

Batteries have therefore been begun in that part, as a consequence of that decision. When the work is done (hargāh az ān kār firāgh dast dahad) the cannon will be raised into position, to be fired at any time the model of viziers may choose; and they will bring down the wall. This <u>murīd</u> will then send men in to the assault, in concert with that pillar of the sultanate (the vizier) and other servants of the state who are present.

The din (sadāī) of drums and trumpets from the other three sides will throw into distraction and disorder the troops which the accursed enemy will gather to resist our assault (hangāma-i-juma'it wa sabāt-i-mardūdān-rā).

It might occur to the sacred heart, that an attack should be made from the direction of the hill on the same day, even if the wall be neither breached nor broken down (bā wujūd-i-'adam-i-rakhna wa inhidām-i-dīwār). In that case, a clear order (hukm-i-sarīh) might acquire the honour of issue, that so soon as the Khān, seated in felicity, shall have destroyed the wall opposite his own entrenchments, and be ready for an assault, this life-sacrificing [slave] too

<sup>1.</sup> Bālā āwurda. Cf this phrase in the previous letter.

<sup>2.</sup> Wa in murid ... mardum-ra badawanad. I here follow the reading of B and S as recorded by N., and disregard the plural form of the mss, which N. and Ch. follow.

<sup>3.</sup> I.O. karranay; B.M. karna, followed by N. and Ch.

(who has staked his very existence upon the success of this campaign (ki zindagī-rā ba anjām-i-īn kār mīkhwahad) assisted by Shāh Nawāz Khān, Rāja Pahār Singh, and the others posted in that quarter, [all] relying upon the imperishable Imperial good fortune, should not await the appearance of a breach, but should press up as close as possible, urging their men onwards. 1

An order was issued, compelling obedience throughout the universe: "The [proposed] despatch of Rāja Jai Singh with a strong body of men to Shujā'at Khān's position is inexpedient (munāsib nīst). It must not be done". (namībāyad)

Hail to the  $\underline{qibla}$  and  $\underline{ka'ba}$  of this servant! Earlier it was decided, that Shujā'at Khan and Qubād Khan  $^2$  should

l. Here all the mss read mardum badawanad, and N. notes no variation. The construction of the sentence is: dar în surat hukm-i-sarih sharf-i-nifaz yabad ta [Sa'du'llah Khān and others] mardum badawanad. One would have expected mardum-ra badawanand; and it is odd that the verb takes the plural form when Aurangzib is speaking of himself two paragraphs back. Was there confusion in the copies Sādiq used when preparing the collection?

<sup>2.</sup> Qubad Khan entered Mughul service after Shah Jahan's ephemeral conquest of Balkh. He had been Master of the Horse (Mīr Ākhwūr) to Nazr Muhammad Khān; he surrendered to Qulīch Khān and Khalīlu'llāh Khān, was sent with his four sons to Shah Jahan at Kabul, and given a zamīndarī, a gift of Rs 20,000, and a mansab of 1000/500. In the 21st year (1647-48) he was called from his tuyūl to Court and made Falconer (Qūsh Beg). In 1649, now 2000/1500, he "fought bravely with Rustam Khān and Qulīj Khān against the Qizil-bāsh outside Qandahār (M.U.III, 101). Promoted 2500/1500, he seems not to have been further raised until the 30th year. His rank when he was at Samugarh under Dara does not appear in M.U.; after the battle, "with other Turanis" he went over to Aurangzīb with his commander Khalīlu'llāh Khān. Aurangzīb sent him with Shaikh Mīr in pursuit of Dārā, and left him as Sūbahdār of Tatta with the rank of 4000/3000. As such, he blocked Dārā's northward flight from Bhūj after Deorai (cf Sarkar's Aurangzīb, I, 527). His later career is less known: two brief terms as Subahdar of Tatta, a spell as Thanadar of Poona under Jai Singh (1664-5); then to Court; then to Kabul under Muḥammad Amīn Khān; and an obscure death as Subaḥdār of Orissa. (M.U., III, 101). The similar fate of Naṣīrī Khān, Khān-i-Daurān II, comes to mind (note to Letter 26).

I have not been able to check Athar 'Alī's note (Mughul Nobility, 69) of a rank under Aurangzīb of 2000/2500, more suwār than zāt. He refers to the 'Ālamgir Nāma, 120. Its abstract, the first part of the Ma'āṣir-i 'Ālamgīrī, seems not to mention him. Dr Athar 'Alī has collected other references to him at pp 115 and 181.

advance to Kushk-i-Nakhūd<sup>1</sup> and Maimand,<sup>2</sup> with five thousand cavalry, and remain on the alert. At the same time, a report reached us, that a body of the abominable enemy had drawn close to the river Helmand.

Since there was doubt about the firmness and steadiness of the troops [under Shujā'at Khān and Qubād Khān], the further advance of this force was deemed inadvisable. The Arm of the Caliphate, Sa'du'llāh Khān, was consulted; and it was agreed, that these troops should remain within five cos of the [main body of the] army, but send scouts as far as Kushk-i-Nakhūd and Maimand.

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;within fair supportable distance from Qandahār" (General Primrose to C.-i-C. 19 July 1880: Official Account 493) "Kushk-i-Nakhud is an important position covering roads leading from the Helmand to Kandahar; whilst remaining on the Helmand, forage and grain could hardly be obtained, whereas they are plentiful at Kushk-i-Nakhud; between the latter place and Girishk there is a desert 25 miles broad". (ibid; cf 492)

The literal form Kūshk-i-Nukhūd, "pulse palace", seems not to be in use. The vowel is now short: Kushk, "an upper chamber, gallery, or balcony on the top of a house; a hall, parlour" (Steingass, 1033). All the mss however, have Kūshk.

<sup>2.</sup> This is Maiwand, about 8 miles north of Kushk-i-Nakhūd and 45 miles north-west of Qandahār, the scene of the disaster to General Burrows' troops on 27 July 1880, at the hands of Ayūb Khān. The defeat is described at length in the Official Account, 499-523. An unopposed march after Qandahār had been relieved, to view the field, bury the dead, and gather survivors, took from 9th to 15th September, 1880; ibid, 600.

The mss read Maimand; but I.O. seems to read Mahmand. Nadwi notes no variant. The modern form is Maiwand. The Afghan victory is so commemorated on monuments at Amanabad and at Kabul, in the middle of the modern Jada-i-Maiwand.

By way of further precaution, Khwāja 'Ināyetullāh and Shāh Muḥammad Qatghan have been instructed to [take] a body of men out towards the passes, where on the previous occasion (sc., 1649) the accursed enemy had emerged and plundered the envious (tākht-i-nawāhī...namūda) of the fort of Qandahār. They have been commanded to take the greatest care, and be perpetually watchful.

Since a detachment of the victorious army, ignoring the orders (gufta) of Shujā'at Khān, had passed ahead of the scouts and seized [enemy] cattle at pasture, the mace-bearers Yār Husain and Abdāl Beg were sent to bring them back, if not willingly then by force, behind the scouts ('aqb-i-qarāwalān).

Rāja Jai Singh has encamped with the vanguard detachment (mardum-i-fauj-i-harāwal) before the Khizrī gate, 2 [at a place] that had remained vacant. [He has] a first-rate force; there is no advantage to be had from their remaining there, except that they are [completing the circle of] investment; [but] they have been brought forward [nevertheless].

Other servants have been posted in various positions in the batteries.

Should it please your Majesty that more men be sent up from the entrenchments to the vanguard, where a considerable re-inforcement is now urgently desired, they might be placed under Jai Singh, and sent to Shujā'at Khān's position; and [the position opposite] Khwāja Khizr's gate might remain unoccupied. A clear order might be issued, that action may be taken accordingly.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of necessities, and the <u>ka'ba</u> of desires! Detailed reports of most events which have happened must certainly have come to Your Majesty's ears through the letters of the <u>Khān</u>, seated in felicity, and the daily news-bulletins. Nevertheless, orders have come time and again (mukarrar qadghan rafta) that this servant should

<sup>1.</sup> Darrahā'ī; that is, passes through the ranges running S.-W. to N.-E. to the west of Qandahār. N., by mistake, has daryā'ī.

<sup>2.</sup> Mss. darwāza-i-Khwāja Khizr. N. and Ch. Khizri, without Khwāja. N. notes no variant. A small hand has added Khwāja before Khizr in I.O.

<sup>3.</sup> Albatta, omitted by I.O.

write a full and faithful account of events; and so, by ineluctable compulsion he sets pen to paper. 1

On Saturday, the 22nd of this month, 2 early in the morning, a large force of armed men (juma'atī) burst from the fort and rushed upon (rekhtand) the entrenchment of the prudent vizier. When his men realised what was happening, (āgāh shuda) they offered strenuous resistance. Men on both sides, laying hold of their bows and arrows, and their swords, fought furiously. After the fire of slaughter and strife had raged for three or four gharīs, with a rain of gunfire pouring down both from the top of the fort, and the central zone of the hill, many of the Khān's best men were killed or wounded. Among them was an Afghān officer (juma'dār) named Muṣṭafà, whose yearly salary was twelve thousand rupees. Among the God-forsaken enemy, too, many were killed and injured by blows from swords and battle-axes (az zakhm-i-tegh wa tabar).

Further news: When Rāja Rāj Rūp was given the duty of capturing the fortifications on the Chihil Zīna Hill, he did not succeed (dast ba ham nadārad). Approaching this <u>murīd</u> and the all-knowing vizier, he submitted that were he to construct a battery [opposite] the Qaitūl ridge and the 'Alī Qāpī [gate], he would display the very greatest determination (masā'ī-i-jamīla) in an effort to make amends for his past [failure] (tadāruk-i-guzashta). Because the success of our

l. Reading with the mss and Ch. shatr ma'r $\bar{u}z$  d $\bar{a}rad$ , and rejecting N.'s satr.

<sup>2.</sup> Saturday, 29 June, 1652.

<sup>3.</sup> This salary would have been paid by Sa'du'llāh  $\underline{K}\underline{h}$ ān, not by the Emperor. Mustafa was in Sa'du'llāh's service, as Sa'du'llāh had been in  $\underline{A}$ saf  $\underline{K}\underline{h}$ ān's.

<sup>4.</sup> The gate of the fort of Lakah, not one of the gates of Qandahār. See note on Topgraphy, supra.

<sup>5.</sup> An allusion to his conduct in 1645-1647? Surely not, but rather a tasteless sneer at his inability to storm the Chihil Zina.

enterprise remains the principal object, and also because there were very many musketeers with him, and infantry from [his own] mountainous territories, it was resolved, in conjunction with the vizier without peer, that Rāja Devi Singh¹ and Shādmān the Ghakkar,² with two hundred Bundela infantry of the Imperial service, should replace him at the Chihil Zīna hill; and that he should move over to this quarter, with Muzaffar Ḥusain (who also displays a desire to distinguish himself) (ki izhār-i-kār-ṭalabī mīnamūd) and several officers of low rank (zīra mansab) from the forces attached to Kābul.

[Meanwhile] Rāja Rāj Rūp (rāja-i-mushār ilaihī) spent several days in gathering materials [to build] stockades. 3 A week after [being moved to his new position] he waited upon the vizier of viziers, and said: "My men have discovered a way by which I could seize the citadel (ark) on the Qaitul (ridge) by a coup de main (ba duzdī) 4. The vizier satisfied himself [that the proposal deserved consideration] and referred the Raja (in shakhs ra) to this servant. the idea appeared, in view of the caution and vigilance of the garrison, to be far from practicable, and [indeed] highly unwise (ma'qul namīshud), Rāja Rāj Rūp pressed very hard [for its acceptance], promising prodigious results (mubāligha mīkard). Lest his proposal be represented to your Majesty as an opportunity lost, and failure to act on it become ground for Imperial reprehension, (sabab-i-'itāb-i-pādshāhī gardad) it was decided, to put an end to all controversy and to silence his talk, (baraye ilzam-i-hujjat wa daf'-i-guft-o-gu-i-u) that he should remain in a place of concealment, so that when an opportunity arose he might implement his proposal in the light of his own intelligence and experience (az rū'ī fahmidagī wa

<sup>1.</sup> Ch. has Debī. He was a Bundela; his rank was 2000/2000. Life in M.U.II, 295-297.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. has Ghakkar, the more usual form. B.M. Gakkhar (or Gakhar). Ch. has Kahkar (or Khakar), following N., who merely notes that his 'U omits the word.

<sup>3.</sup> Ch. again has kangar for katgar (sc., kathgarh). See note to Letter 29.

<sup>4.</sup> I.O. reads ba zūdī. N. notes this reading in S.

pukhtagī) and [meanwhile] he should make every possible effort to preserve the secrecy of his intentions.

For the sake of the success of the business, the Khān, the pattern of felicity, arranged men and equipment, and anything else [the Rāja] asked for; so on Saturday evening, the time [the Rāja] himself chose, that vizier of well-directed counsels commanded that Bāqī Khān, Rāja Madan Singh, Chatur Bhūj, and others who occupied entrenchments nearby, should supply reinforcements, so that they might [thereby] share in this enterprise. To them [he added] about a thousand of his own finest cavalry; and for every single man he appointed an exact position.

So, early on Saturday evening, in accordance with these arrangements Rāja Rāj Rūp sent a force by the route fixed upon. Using the boulders in the central zone of the hill as a screen, he himself took up his station in the lowest part; 5

<sup>1.</sup> Masālih wa kūmak-a reversal of our idiom.

<sup>2.</sup> Bāqī Khān Chela was a Qalmāq ("calmuck") slave of Shāh Jahān, who found favour and was promoted 700/500 in the sixth year; in the 9th he was 1000/1000, and in the 10th 2000/2000. The biography in M.U. I, 427-429, is meagre, and does not mention his part at Qandahār. He had been darogha-i-ghuslkhāna of the palace at Agra since the 17th year, was "later" made qila'dār, and died in Shah Jahan's 27th year (1653-54). His younger son became Bāqī Khān after the fall of Golconda in 1687, and before his death in 1707 earned a brief fame by his strict, impartial attitude to the contestants in the civil war of that year, when he held Āgra fort

<sup>3.</sup> N. here reads Madan Singh, noting Badan Singh in 'U.

<sup>4.</sup> The text of I.O. omits suwār; suwā is tipped into the margin.

<sup>5.</sup> Khwud dar kamar-i-koh sanghā-rā panāh sākhta wa dar jarrhā jā girifta is the clear reading of B.M., and also I.O. (fo. 21B, top line). It gives the meaning above. N. notes it in his B, but prefers hujrahā. Perhaps S read so; for Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār paraphrases; "...and piled up a shelter of stones in the hill-side as his own station" (Aurangzīb, I, 157). Ch.'s ms. has hujrahā, and further alters the sense by reading bināh (sic?) for panāh. Ch. however amends hujrahā to the almost comic chatrhā, "umbrellas". Apart from textual considerations, jarrhā - "lower reaches of the hill",(cf Steingass, 358, s.v.,)jarr fits the sense. The essential requirement of a surprise attack was silence. Even though it was soon to be broken, we cannot imagine Rāj Rūp inviting attention to himself by piling up a stone shelter in the dark.

and he reported progress to the Khan, seated in felicity.

The energetic (kār-talab) Bāqī Khān, Rāja Madan Singh, and Chatur Bhūj Chauhān sent a detachment to join the incomparable vizier's men, over towards the 'Alī Qapī gate; they themselves then joined (nizd āmadand) Rāja Rāj Rūp. This murīd too had detailed three hundred selected cavalry of his own contingent to join the Rāja.

But meanwhile, a quarrel arose between Rāja Rāj Rūp and Muzaffar Husain; as it was night, and the reinforcements constituted a considerable number of men, the sound of voices grew loud. When the moon rose, and one watch of night remained, there was [still] no news of the men the Rāja had sent [up the hill]. Word came, [however] when four gharīs of night were left, that they had found the garrison on the alert (bīdār) on one side; and had turned back.

The deplorable want of self-control shown by the participants, whose "āwāzhā buland gasht" is silently passed over by Aurangzīb. With such indiscipline, of what use were jān-niṣārī and kār-talabī? Aurangzīb as Emperor had little success in curbing his generals' quarrels in the Deccan. Did he recognise that they prefigured the ruin of his Empire?

From a letter of about 1654 from Aurangzīb to Ja'far Khan (c.1600-1670) (I.O. fo. 166A) it appears that Muzaffar Husain might have been a brother of Mīr Malik Husain (c.1615-1697), Aurangzīb's foster-brother: see note to Letter 3. This might explain Aurangzīb's indulgent attitude towards that officer's part in Rāj Rūp's failure. Aurangzīb quite effectively suggests that the Rāja was on a frolic of his own - although his account of the inception of the enterprise makes it plain he was not.

<sup>1.</sup> For āwāzhā, Ch. has āwāz.

<sup>2.</sup> This narrative leaves much unexplained. Was the "quarrel" (guft-o-gū, a neutral term) taken up by the various platoons under the two officers? Or was news of it passed down the line? One can imagine two men's voices carrying in the night air; but unless the troops were totally without discipline, what relevance to an altercation between two turbulent officers has the presence of "a considerable body of men" (juma'-i-bisyār)? Though Aurangzīb does not expressly say so, the disturbance must have alerted the garrison on the hill, as shown by his choice of bīdār to describe them.

Rāja Rāj Rūp informed the imperial troops of this development, and told them to return. He stood to his position awaiting the return of his own men, whom he had sent forward. Others remained by him, hoping for a chance to distinguish themselves; (az rūī-i-kār-talabī) and, just then, one of the Rāja's men foolishly¹ reported to him that some of (juma'ī az) his troops had gained the top of the hill, and entered the fort. Being inexperienced, and carried away by excitement² the Rāja relied upon that baseless allegation; blowing his trumpets and beating his drums, he caused the glad news of victory to be despatched to the Khān of lofty station. Hearing the drums and the trumpets, the men whom he had dismissed returned, and reported to him [again].

But it [soon] became apparent that the report had no foundation; (aṣlī nadāsht) forthwith the sun, beginning to thrust aside the curtain [of night], rose; the enemy saw the situation, and the affair took another turn (suhbat rang-i-dīgar girift). The garrison swarmed out; (hujūm āwurda) the multitude which had gained the summit of the hill was within range of their muskets; (ba bād-i-tufang girift) some were killed, and some wounded.

It did not appear necessary (waqt-i-muqtazāī-i-ān nabūd) to accord severe punishment to Rāja Rāj Rūp for his gross imprudence and negligence. Reprehension and reproof were administered orally (taubīkh wa sarzānish-i-zabānī namūda). Since he had borne much disappointment in this affair, this murīd took him to his own entrenchment, in accordance with plans previously settled. Perhaps in that post he may perform some worthy service; and so cleanse the sweat of his shame from the face of his honour. By this means, he might be preserved from the Imperial censure.

<sup>1.</sup> Kas-i-Rāja....i-nafahmīda. The Rāja must bear some blame for this blunder. It appears he had not set up a proper signals network.

<sup>2.</sup> Az khām-kārī wa sarāsīmagī - an odd turn of phrase to describe a warrior as seasoned and courageous as Rāj Rūp. Sarasima usually suggests panic fear, rather than enthusiasm.

<sup>3. &#</sup>x27;Araq-i-infi'āl az chihra-i-ḥamīyat-i-khwesh pāk sāzad. The phrase, as usual, should be taken fairly literally. Steingass' translation of 'araq-i-infi'āl as "blush, funk" (845, s.v. 'araq) is quaintly idiomatic.

May the sun of the Caliphate and of world dominion remain ever brilliant in its celestial mansion of control of the clines and subjugation of the [seven] zones [of the earth]!

The Persians had swarmed over Sa'du'llah Khan's trenches on the morning of 29 June; that night, the attempt on the Lakah hill-fort had miscarried; in ignorance of this twin reverse, Shah Jahan on 1 July, 1652 wrote reiterating his assistance that the bombardment be divided. Reports of the manifest inability of his guns to damage Qandahar's mud walls have impressed the Emperor not at all.

Aurangzīb tries once again to explain, while professing a readiness to obey; and he further reports that there are insufficient engineers' stores to drain the moat, or to lay a mine. The letter appears to mark time; but towards the end Aurangzīb clearly shows that he knows there is little more to be done.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Salutations and acknowledgement of a royal letter (manshur) written on Monday 11 Tir / [24 Rajab] / 1 July 1652 and delivered on Saturday 16 Tir / 29 Rajab / 6 July 1652.

Brief thanks.

A world-obeyed order was issued, demanding compliance throughout the universe:

"An attack from two sides is quite practicable (mumkin ast) and must be made. An attack from one side only is entirely out of the question. The two Sūrat guns must remain where they are; and six more big guns are to be brought into the entrenchment of the Khān, seated in felicity. The moment a practicable breach is opened (rāh baham rasad), an assault must be made; and then, by God's grace, both the lower fort and the fort at the top of the hill ought to be taken".

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of this <u>murīd</u>! Anything that has occurred to the sacred heart of angelic penetration, which is a receptacle of invisible illuminations and indubitable inspirations, is the marrow of propriety. Obedience thereto is obligatory.

<sup>1.</sup> Awurda. I.O., alone, reads awurad, as if Aurangzīb himself were to transfer the guns.

<sup>2.</sup> qila'-i-payan; sc., the fort of Qandahar.

This <u>murīd</u> has written, conformably to his feeble understanding, that the assault should really be made from one side [only], since there are not enough powerful guns to destroy (ki ... tawān andākht) the wall of the fort on two sides simultaneously. This fact will have become known to your Majesty through the letters of the <u>Khān</u>, the pattern felicity. It is unwise to attack (dawīdan munāsib nist) until there is a breach in the wall and a way is opened through which [our] men can enter.

But now a peremptory command has been issued, that the assault must be made without fail from every side, (az har taraf) even though it is quite clear that neither the fire (zarb) of the two Sūrat guns nor that of the one powerful gun is strong enough to make a breach<sup>2</sup>.

Out of regard, therefore, for the world-obeyed order, and unrestrained by any obstacles, after a breach shall have been made in the wall opposite the entrenchment of the vizier without peer, two gharīs before dawn on a date to be chosen by him, this murīd will (God almighty willing) detach to the attack his own men, with a squadron of imperial troops stationed beside them in whatever manner [the vizier] might direct. Through the divine aid, and [that] ever-flourishing good fortune [which is] the protection of the Caliphate they will not suffer a single particle of effort and exertion to be overlooked, in [attempting] to capture the fort on the hill.

It is hoped, that the all-powerful Conqueror will, purely out of his generosity, elevate the hidden desires of the sacred heart from [behind] the curtain of concealment, and show them forth in splendour upon the pedestal of disclosure; and that he may concede to <u>murīds</u> and slaves a high degree of honour in his sacred vestibule. 3

It acquired the honour of being written: "Since the capture of the two other forts is also intended, (dar pesh ast)

<sup>1.</sup> Balki yak top-i-durust; meaning probably, the gun of largest calibre, rather than "the only effective gun".

<sup>2.</sup> Chi qadr rakhna khwahad shud. See notes to Letter 10 on this ironic idiom.

<sup>3.</sup> Dar peshgāh-i-aqdas; here meaning "in the court of heaven", but also used to mean "in the Emperor's (sacred) sight". The double entendre is deliberate.

<sup>4.</sup> Bust and Zamīn Dāwar, left alone (by Shah Jahan's command) at the beginning of the siege.

it is better that efforts be made to capture the fort of Qandahār as soon as possible".

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! In proportion to his earnest desire, and his own capacity, this servant has omitted nothing, and is omitting nothing, that might advance [the Imperial cause].

Some time ago (muddatī ast) the work of draining the moat and preparing a mine was stopped, through lack of materials (az rahguzar-i-qillat-i-maṣāliḥ mauqūf shuda). Nothing is being done now. This murīd had already realised, from the nature of the task, that it would be impossible to capture [the fort] by this method; [so] he had submitted on many previous occasions, that the [only] way to take this stronghold (munhasir) was by assault.

[Even] now, it would be well if the walls on the side [where the moat is] were to be quickly destroyed within the time available. 1

The letters which the model of viziers and the newswriters have sent during this [siege] have related, in the fullest detail, the work done, doing, and proposed.

In the light of all that anything which comes into the far-seeing mind of your Majesty, which is capable of perceiving the nature of the smallest particle, will spread brilliance by its appearance.

May the curtain of grandeur remain affixed to the door-posts of perpetuity!.

<sup>1.</sup> Tā furṣat bāqī ast. Though the siege was to break up sooner than expected, the troops must be got over the passes before snow began to fall. Aurangzīb would have been thinking of his ruinous retreat over the Hindū Kush in October, 1647.

On 8 July, 1652, before the last letter would normally have reached him, the Emperor gave in. Influenced probably by the misfortunes of 29 June, he wrote to Aurangzīb in his own hand, authorising him and Sa'du'llah Khān to do whatever they wished, on condition only that they assaulted the fort the moment a practicable breach appeared.

Sensing the rebuke Shāh Jahān intended, Aurangzīb defends his past conduct before he indicates, without enthusiasm, his willingness to obey. No longer can he argue the advantages of a massed bombardment; he knows that not all the guns outside Qandahār can make any impression upon those walls. Yet he and Sa'du'llāh Khān will try once more.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter (<u>manshūr</u>) written by the Emperor himself (ba qalam-i-jawāhar raqam) late on Monday afternoon, 1 Sha'bān [1062] / 8 July 1652, and delivered by <u>yasāwals</u> on Saturday 6th Sha'bān / 13 July, 1652.

Formal thanks.

It obtained the elegance of being written:

"Everything that has occured to [Our] sacred heart in any matter has been committed to writing. As the proverb has it, (gufta and) disputes over land should be settled on the land itself. [So] let that <u>murīd</u>, or that excellent vizier, adorned with every admirable attribute, carry out any thought that may enter their minds. For two months, the victorious army has stood before the fort, attempting to destroy the walls of the fort on top of the hill. Let the three cannons, [therefore], now in that <u>murīd's</u> battery, be kept where they are".

Hail to the  $\underline{\text{qibla}}^2$  of the inhabitants of the world! This servant recognises that the service of his preceptor and guide is a treasure of spiritual and temporal felicities,

l. Qazīya-i-zamīn ba sar-i-zamīn. Ch. prefers qissa, a mistake.

<sup>2.</sup> Ch. omits qibla.

and he devotes his life to that service alone (mahz baraye an mikhwahad). While he has been engaged in [this] siege, in co-operation with [the other] well-wishes of the state, he has, as far as in him lies, never permitted any slackness in his management of any matter; nor is he permitting any now. Any idea that presents itself to his feeble understanding he communicates to the Khan, the pattern of felicity.

May the Almighty (honoured be His power) graciously accord to every slave the grace of performing his duty, and sacrificing his life! May He condescend to disclose in splendour, and in the most excellent manner, the hidden desires of the sacred heart!

At the beginning, it was decided, upon the advice of the model of viziers, that batteries should first be raised, and guns trained, opposite the Māshūrī gate, where his own entrenchments are, so that the wall might be destroyed and an attack made on that side.

In obedience to this decision, therefore, this servant put forth the greatest efforts (qadghan-i-balīgh namūda) in completing and perfecting batteries; he has already reported the facts [to your Majesty].

While the batteries were building, the vizier of prudent counsels brought up the cannon Fath-i-Lashkar, and three other big guns; these guns were fixed incessantly at the maximum rate, for two days (dū roz-i-mutawātir top bisyār sar dāda).

But finally it was realised that these guns were having no effect (karī namīkushāyad), as no breach could be seen.

A nice sense of honour, the fruits of his having been brought up by your Majesty (ghairat-i-tarbīyat-i-ān ḥazrat) and having been your Majesty's apprentice (damangīr gashta), compel this life-sacrificing servant to throw himself upon the generosity of the Almighty (honoured be Kis power) and to attack [at least] once from the direction of the hill. It would be far from his principles of honour and manliness to retreat from the fort when, through the most perfect solicitude (kamāl tawajjuh) of the sacred heart, such a huge army has been assembled for the capture of Qandahār.

For the sake, therefore, of effecting this intention the advice of the Khan, seated in felicity, [has been taken];

two other cannons from the Sūrat park have been brought to this side; they had been in the entrenchments opposite the Māshūrī gate. As well, a large cannon which was there previously has been brought up. 1

Two great guns, namely Fath-i-Lashkar and [another] Surat gun, have been brought up against the 'Alī Qāpī gate, where all is ready for an assault.

But as for actually beginning (mu'amla) the assault, Your Majesty knows best; being the perfect and most excellent preceptor and guide, abundantly endowed with wisdom, the embodiment of experience (mazīd-i-tajruba) and the tutor of the wise men of the age (āmūzgar-i-'aqilān-i-rozgār).

In this connection, a world-obeyed order has been given: "The assault is to be made the moment a breach appears".

[Now] the guns [on the spot], everything about which will have been explained to your Majesty in the letters of the Arm of the Caliphate, Sa'du'llāh Khān, are quite clearly incapable of making a breach. 2

All the loyal supporters of the state have submitted that it was undesirable to make an assault so long as a clear order to that effect was not issued.

The letters of the model of viziers will have set before your Majesty a detailed account of [past] events. Bearing this in mind (li-hazā) [this murīd] submits an account of the present state of affairs; and he awaits a renewed and incontrovertible command.

Let immediate orders be given upon anything which may cast its brilliance upon the mirror of [that] <u>murīd</u>, which resembles the sun, and which is the receptacle of invisible inspiration; so that action may be taken accordingly.

The Khan, the pattern of felicity, has answered the questions (muqaddimatī) raised in the royal farman addressed to him, and [the reply] ought to have reached Your Majesty by now.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate and the Sultanate continue to shed its resplendent light upon the heads of mortal men!

<sup>1.</sup> Sawai yak top-i-durust-i-sabiq. See the note on durust, in this context, in Letter 31.

<sup>2.</sup> Ma'lum ki chi qadr-i-rakhna tawanand kard, "it is well'known what kind of breach they can make". See the note on this idiom in Letter 10.

Even as his last letter was yet on the road, the Emperor has made up his mind; he will abandon the siege, and he knows who is to blame. Already he has written to Sa'du'llāh Khān: let the siege be lifted, and the troops come away.

The sequel, unexpected but not unnatural, has already come to pass; the Afghāns of the Khojak pass are up, the road to Multān is too dangerous, and Aurangzīb has already begun to march away towards Kābul. The siege had lasted two months and two days, and had effected nothing at all.

Conventional salutations and acknowledgement of an imperial letter, dated Friday, 5 Sha'bān [1062] / 12 July 1652, and delivered by the <u>yasāwals</u> Abū Tālib and Aḥmad on 10 Sha'bān,/ Wednesday 17 July, 1652.

The facts of the arrival of the previous exalted command, and of the arrangements for the retreat, together with the reasons offered for the delay, will already be known to Your Majesty in detail from the letter of the all-wise vizier.

Far had it been from the desires of this <u>murīd's</u> heart that we should beat a retreat in this manner from before Qandahār (ki ba īn tarīq az īn taraf bar gardad). That sense of honour and self-respect, which is a necessary consequence of being a <u>murīd</u> educated by the true preceptor and guide, had [led him to] hope that he might devote himself to [this] labour (sarf-i-jahd namuda) as long as a breath of his borrowed life remains; so that by sacrificing his life, he might acquire some honour in [Your Majesty's] estimation.

But, the exalted order being so [peremptory], he inevitably abandoned his own plans.

<sup>1.</sup> As the previous letter says nothing about a retreat, the "farmān-i-wālā shān-i-sābiq" now mentioned may only now be being acknowledged by Aurangzīb. It would have arrived between 14 and 16 July: Aurangzīb might have left an immediate reply to Sa'du'llāh Khān. Chaudhurī, by inserting a comma between pesh az ān and batāfsīl, distorts the sense. There was no "previous delay" to be excused. Aurangzīb is referring to Sa'du'llāh Khān's letter immediately acknowledging the order to retreat. Pesh az ān is used adverbially, not adjectivally.

In obedience to the world-obeyed mandate, and with the advice of the prudent vizier, he set out for the destination on the date fixed, <sup>1</sup> as already reported [in the vizier's letter].

He hopes, that through the imperishable imperial good fortune, the accursed enemy will flee in ignaminy, upon the mere appearance of the victorious armies in that direction.

Hail to the saintly protector! Earlier, this <u>murid</u> had reported, <sup>2</sup> that in compliance with the imperial order, he had sent Mubārak Khān, Kākar Khān, and Wafādār Khān back to Multān, along the route by which he had come up. With them [went] a number of his own followers. Just now these men have reported that the road might be unsafe, because the local Afghān tribes are up in arms. <sup>3</sup> It was thus unwise to send this force; and, in any case, through the grace of the All-Powerful, the mind of this servant was quite at ease about the [state] of the province of Multān and the arrangements he had made for its administration [in his absence].

The original plans were therefore cancelled; and the  $\underline{\text{thanadars}}$  of Qushinj and Duki<sup>4</sup> were instructed in writing to report to Multan.

God almighty willing, this <u>murīd</u> shall soon have reached Ghazni. Any further order that may be made will be punctiliously carried out.

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate continue to throw its shadow in perpetuity!

<sup>1.</sup> No earlier than 14 July, nor later than 16. 'Azim-i-magsad namud: the past definite makes the sense clear.

<sup>2.</sup> No such report survives.

<sup>3.</sup> Az fasād-i-aqwām-i Afghānān-i-ān simt rāh-i-mazbūr khalal-pazīr shuda bāshad. News of the ill success of the siege no doubt prompted this disaffection. So it was in 1880, after the disaster of Maiwand; Official Account, 585, 586-7, 589-92. The remarks about the great heat in August, 1880, should be noted.

<sup>4.</sup> The thanadar of Duki was 'Alam Barki, left there on 26 April, 1652; and Saiyid Baqr Bukhari had been left in Qushinj on 5 May. (Letter 24)

As his armies march towards Kābul, defeated yet still "victorious" the Emperor expresses his concern lest his troops, "numerous as the stars in heaven", be harassed by marauding squadrons of Uzbeks. Aurangzīb has their measure, and referring to his previous experience with them seeks to compose the qualms of the Court.

On or before 12 July, Shāh Jahān had ordered the seige raised; yet on 17 July he writes to authorise the seige to be continued for another month. Such incredible indecision brings its own nemesis: whether command or permission, the Emperor's letter will not be obeyed, because the army has broken up like a townful of schoolboys at the end of term. Aurangzīb states the facts baldly, as if they were no matter for surprise; to him, perhaps, they were not.

The carefully composed apostrophe against the  $\overline{U}zbeks$ , in rhyming prose, would have been almost impudent in its irony, were we not certain that Aurangzīb had no sense of humour at all. Yet the  $\overline{U}zbeks$  did melt away, as he had predicted.

After conventional salutations, acknowledgement of the receipt of two farmans:

one written on 8th Sha'ban [1062]/15 July 1652, and delivered by yasawals on Saturday [13th]/20 July.

another written on 10th Sha'ban/17 July, and delivered on Sunday, 14th/21 July, also by yasawals.

A report of the retreat from Qandahar of the armies, numerous as the stars in the heavenly sphere, ('asākir-i-gardūn mā'sir) has already been submitted to the Court, the refuge of creation, and should have come to your Majesty's notice.

Hail to the spiritual guide, the cherisher of <u>murīds</u>!

In the Balkh campaign this sincere-natured are tested time
and again the[military worth] of the army, [consisting]of

zamīndārs, Khāns, Sultāns and other ranks of men, which parts the Tūrānīs of those assembled against him. He is therefore quite certain, that the appearance of those ten or twelve thousand faint-hearted Ūzbeks (Ūzbekān-i-bī-jān) will have no effect (chi khwāhad būd). To extirpate them utterly, a mere half of the army now under your Majesty's command would suffice.

This <u>murid</u> did not wish to return empty-handed (bī-ḥusūl-i-matlab) with the victorious armies, after having [had to] abandon the siege of Qandahār. (dast az qila/bāz dāshta)

However, [even though] the second <u>farmān</u> [of 10th Sha'bān] allowed [the raising of the siege] to be postponed for one month, it was impossible to obey it (imsāl-i-farmān..muta'-azzir būd); for news of the first command had spread abroad; its plain and imperative nature [became known]; the scouts returned [from their outposts]; and the discipline of the siege began to disintegrate. (fatūr-i-muḥāsira)

Therefore there was no alternative; with the concurrence of [all] loyal supporters of the state, the retreat had [already] been begun [when the second order was delivered].

Hail to the saintly preceptor! This servant had been anxious, in the light (mutāli) of the royal command already issued, directing the vizier without poer to travel quickly back [to Kābul], to take fifteen thousand of his own cavalry to chastise the enemy, overwhelmed in ignominy; (a'dā'-i-idbār shi'ār) [he had intended] to move by forced marches along with the Khān, seated in felicity.

But since it was intended that our great park of artillery, and the entire camp, should pass in safety along this very dangerous road [from Qandahār to Kābul] (īn rāh-i-pūr ashob); and since that would be a task of the greatest difficulty unless this <u>murīd</u> were present; it was decided, upon the opinion (ta'ammul) of the vizier of clear mind, rich in counsel, that the [ 1] and the artillery should not go without him [sc. that he should go with them]

This sincere-natured one, and that Arm of (laliphate, are therefore to return [to Kābul] by forced marches (ba yelghār mutawajjuh pesh gardand) with the artillery; and one of the nobles is to be [placed in command of] the victorious army, with orders to take charge of the whole camp and conduct it carefully through every stage of the march. [to Kābul].

This <u>murīd</u> has sent a <u>nishān</u> to Shamsher <u>Khān</u>, commanding that he ascertain the positions where the accursed <u>Uzbeks</u> (almān) might be concentrating [along the road], and submit a report in writing without delay.

He has also sent out as spies a number of men who know the locality well. (wāqifān-i-īn sarzamīn) They will bring in more exact reports.

God almighty willing, if any exact news of the accursed enemy should reach us after our arrival at Qarā Bāgh, or

l. The mss here read qullat-i-aghraq. I.O. is overwritten and smudged, but it shares with B.M. a tashdīd over the qullat, which if correctly vocalised represents "mountaintops" (S. 982) Ch. has amended qulāb to qullat. N. notes the ms. reading in S. and emends: qullat-i-an aghrūq (cf T. ughrugh, "tent; camp, encampment, S., 77). He overlines qullat, as if a proper name. Does Aurangzīb mean qullat-i-araq, the amphorae of liquor for the tippling European artillerymen? For qullat in the sense of jug, jar, see Steingass 984, s.v. and for a note on drunken gunners, Letter 58.

even before that, and if we so much as catch sight of that contemptible crew (aṣarī az ān maqhūrān padīd āyad) we are resolved to destroy them. With the help of God, and the support of the imperishable Imperial good fortune, we shall give that miserable mob a sound dubbing. It is quite likely that the mere sound of the approaching pomp of the victorious army will fill them with panic fear; they will count it a great blessing merely to have escaped, and will flee in confusion. 1

Our army shall then resume forthwith its march to the Court.

May the all-enkindling sun of the Caliphate and of its world dominion remain bright and luminous in its seat of good fortune and sway over the whole earth until the dissolution of the universe!

l. This part of the sentence deserves recording:
ba ta'id-i-ilahī wa i'tizād-i-iqbāl-i-bī-zuwāl-i-pādshāhī,
damār az rozgār-i-ān guroh-i-nakbat aṣār khwāhad āwurd;
wa ba taqdīrī ki az istimā'-i-tantana-i-'azīmat-i-'asākiri-fīruzmand harāsān shuda, wa hazīmat rā ghanīmat shumurda,
rū'ī-i-idbār ba rāh-i firār āwurda bāshand.

Grotesque though, this ludicrous flattery of the defeated imperial forces, by Aurangzīb's own admission too little disciplined to stand to their posts once a rumor of withdrawal had got abroad, may appear now, we must assume that it was acceptable to the Emperor, and that its incongruity was not perceived by Aurangzīb. Read aloud, it would have sounded fine, and might have given some cheer to the "aspicious assembly."

The time for recriminations has come; and as Aurangzīb is marching up to Kābul, he is told that he will be posted sūbahdār of the Deccan. Though it was indeed an honorable and onerous charge, and would provide him with the spring-board for his attempt on the crown, he quite rightly regarded it as a degradation in his public standing. That it was a turning-point in the history of the sub-continent need not have occurred to anyone; but Aurangzīb, never slow to seize an opportunity, began to think over the possibilities while he was still on his way - as we shall see.

The Emperor's first line of attack is to suggest that sufficient material was provided, but that Aurangzib made incompetent use of it. The previous letters will show this to be nonsense. Aurangzib scarcely troubles to reply.

The permission, amounting to an instruction, to take his father-in-law Shāh Nawāz Khān to the Deccan may perhaps have originated with Dārā, who might have imagined that noble indifferent to the Emperor, and hostile to himself; if so, he mistook his man. A more practical reason was Shāh Nawāz' fairly recent Deccan experience, as guardian of Murād Bakhsh and effective administrator of all four sūbas. Shāh Nawaz' loyalty was to the Emperor, not to his sons, and it is well known how faithfully he stood by it.

Conventional salutations, less effusive than usual.

Acknowledgement of an imperial letter delivered on 20 Sha'ban [1062]/Friday, 26 July, 1652 by the yasawal Amīr Beg.

It was written by the pen which traces jewelled lines:
"It astounds Us, that after such preparations the fort was
not taken." (bisyār 'ajab namūd ki ba chunīn saranjām qila'
ba dast niyāmad)

Hail to the saintly protector! The real value (haqiqat) of [those] preparations is explained in the model of viziers' last letter, sent by him from Qandahār to the Court, the asylum of the world, [which] will have been brought by now

to your Majesty's notice. After he shall have attained the felicity of kissing the noble carpet (ba'd az idrāk-i-sa'ādat-i-basātbos-i-ashraf) he will disclose to Your Majesty the particulars of various other matters. (khasūṣiyāt-i-dīgar)

This <u>murid</u> calls God, the exalted and almighty, to witness that he has on every occasion, as required by his God-given sincerity and sense of decency, kept before his eyes the [duty of] advancing the interests of his preceptor and guide. He has striven always, to the limits of his power, to avoid [the least] falling-off.

Soon, the real facts will become apparent (God willing) to the heart of angelic penetration, the touchstone of truth and falsehood. (mi'yar-i-haqq wa bātil)

A world-obeyed order has been issued: "We shall not give up Our designs upon Qandahār. (Mā az sar-i-Qandahār guzashtan nīstīm) We shall encompass its capture by any means We may. (ba hār ṭarīq ki dānīm) So soon as that murīd shall have waited upon Us, We shall despatch him to the Deccan. Should that murīd wish to take Shāh Nawāz Khān to the Deccan with him, let him ask him; and, if the Khān agrees, let him submit a [suitable] petition.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds! It is beyond doubt (yaqīn ast) that dispositions (fikr) for the capture of Qandahār - nay, of the entire realm of Īrān-(balki tumām-i-mamālik-i-Īrān) will be made with the greatest ease, since your Majesty's lofty and world-dominating determination, and the settled direction of [Your Majesty's] exalted intentions have been, and are, turned [only] towards the greatest matters.

This <u>murid</u> would have liked to stay here for as long as arrangements to sieze Qandahār remain on foot. With the favourable countenance of the Almighty, he would [then] have been able to seize the fort by some means or other (kām wa na kām) so soon as his arrangements were completed. Thus he would have made his achievement a means of acquiring even more (pesh wa pesh) of your Majesty's good will.

But anything which your Majesty's mind, resembling the sun, may now be pleased to decree is [the essence of] wisdom and the marrow of good management. This person of sincere disposition has no alternative (chara nīst) but to obey the exalted command; and he recognises every kindness that may be shown him as an outpouring of super-abundant grace. (tafazzul mīdānad)

He has conveyed the world-obeyed order to Shāh Nawāz Khān, who replies: "This slave will soon attain the honour of kissing the [imperial] threshold, and would accordingly recognise his own happiness in anything which might be agreeable to his Majesty."

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of mortal men! The presence in those frontier territories (dar an sarhadd) of such excellent servants is essential to the conduct of the Empire's multifarians affairs. The decision [to send Shah Nawaz Khan] is eminently suitable. (ba qhayat munasib)

May the world-illuminating sun of the Caliphate continue to shine forth from the horizon of world dominion!

The seeds of future bitterness are being well sown in a receptive soil; the Emperor is reducing Aurangzīb's income by Rs 17,00,000; he hints that his incapacity was the cause of the order of 12 July, 1652, for raising the siege; he inflames the wound by declaring that Dārā will command the next expedition, and is sure to be successful; and he indicates that Dārā is to receive further large and fertile jāgīrs in Gujarāt.

Aurangzīb's reply is dignified and honest. The results of the Emperor's command that the siege be raised are rehearsed at greater length; the Emperor's inconsistency is tactfully pointed out; and Aurangzīb reminds his father, with truth, that he had from the beginning offered to serve under Dārā, with some freedom of action to ravage the enemy's country. In the end, he expresses the hope that an interview will help to set things right.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

After conventional salutations, acknowledgement of "two royal mandates, (yarlīgh) brought by chelas and written after (sc., upon) this <u>murīd's</u> memorials", both delivered on Thursday evening 26 Sha'bān [1062]/l August 1652.

A world-obeyed order was issued: The roll<sup>1</sup> of that <u>murīd's jāgīr</u> have been sent to the <u>Khān</u>, the pattern of felicity, who is to report upon it [to Us]. That <u>murīd</u> is to write a note<sup>2</sup> to his sons<sup>3</sup> in Multān, [telling them] to proceed to Lahore, that they may accompany him thence to the

<sup>1.</sup> sc., daul-patta, a Hindī term; see note below.

<sup>2.</sup> mss chīzī - an informal note, not a <u>nishān</u>. N. reads <u>kh</u>abarī, noting chīzī in S. and B.

<sup>3.</sup> ba farzandān-i-khwud. Is this to be taken literally? In 1652 Aurangzīb had but two sons, who presumably went with him to Qandahār; see note to Letter 20.

Deccan.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of necessities, and the <u>ka'ba</u> of desires! It will be plain to the mind of Your Majesty, resembling the sun, which is a mirror reflecting material truths, that this <u>murīd</u>, the principal object of whose aspirations is ever the gratification of the most sacred heart, considers at this juncture that any task with which he might be honoured by the Court of the Caliphate is [his own] felicity; he counts as the capital fund of perpetual prosperity his obedience to his orders. Without deceit a guile (bī mudāhina wa iltibās¹) he labours to carry them out to the full extent of his capacity.

Meanwhile, the passage of years and the list<sup>2</sup> of the jagirs of the Deccan are things of which your Majesty is very well aware. (nikū rosham ast) God willing, they shall be explained to your Majesty very soon after his formal interview, in the heart-soothing words (taqrīb-i-dil-pazīr) of the vizier without peer.

[This <u>murid</u>] is greatly astonished (ba ghāyal mut'ajjib gasht) at all this reduction of his salary, amounting to seventeen lakks of rupees; and what might be the reason (bā'is... ch khwāhad būd) for taking away his rich (sair hāsil) jagus in Multān and Bhakkar?<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> The reading iltimasī is in all mss; but might not iltibās ("equivocation") be better?

<sup>2.</sup> reading tafawut-i sal with I.O., not tafawul-i-hal with B.M. (followed by N. and Ch.)

<sup>3.</sup> The word is daul, for which see daul: Platts, 568, s.v. "Shape, form, fashion... pattern, model, specimen"; and cf daul-patta, "rent-roll of a farm".

<sup>4.</sup> Writing to his sister Jahānārā, acknowledging a letter from her of 25 Sha'bān/l August, Aurangzīb expresses

Through solicitude for insignificant beings and tenderness towards <u>murīds</u>, the happy heart might have been inspired to honour this <u>murīd</u> with the government of a great province. Were that the case, the decision to promote his interests would have taken such a form, that this servant would have had [the means] of acquitting himself well of his duty to bring good government to these extensive frontier tracts which have fallen into disorder; (bar ham khwurda) and thus he would not lose face either before his equals or before the time-servers of the Deccan. In Your Majesty's eyes, too, he should not be made appear as remiss in his duty, and should be protected from carping reproach.

But if this promotion was not your Majesty's intention, the province of Baglana alone, which he once held as altamgha, will be sufficient.

Since the hands, devoted to the service of God, of the perfect and gracious guide, hold the reins of control over <u>murīds</u>; and since your Majesty's benevolence and generosity always exceed the deserts of his slaves; it is certain, that any thought of the heart of angelic penetration will be essence of wisdom, and [therefore] for the betterment of [this <u>murīd</u>'s] present state and future prospects.

<sup>4.(</sup>contd. from previous page)

himself a little more forcefully: "If it be the wish of the happy heart that I retire into obscurity (dar gosha ba sar burad) Baglana alone would have been enough for me; I used hold it as <u>al-tamgha</u>." He then continues in similar words to those of the next sentence. Nor does he omit his expression of surprise at the reduction in his salary: mut'ajjib ast, ki ayā sabab-i-īn hama kamī chi khwāhad būd? (I.O. 244A) The classical explanation of <u>al-tamgha</u> is Jahāngīr's:Tūzuk, R. & B., I, 23.

<sup>1.</sup> This contemptuous phrase, henceforth translated as "rulers of the Deccan" is the term for the kings of Golconda and Bijapur.

Hail to the saintly protector! It will be [known] to the most sacred heart, that when the recapture of Qandahār was first contemplated, this <u>murīd</u> had submitted, at the request of the council held to consider [plans for] taking the fort (ba'd-i-istifsār-i-kangāsh-i-tasakhkhir-i-īn qila') that the most appropriate commander would be Dādā Bhāī; and that [this] servant should fight loyally in his vanguard. But since it was predestined, that this idea should remain for the time being concealed behind the curtain of delay, it was not then implemented.

Now Dārā Shikoh has finally [nīz] been ordered to bring the business to a [successful] conclusion, and his most noble son (sc., Sulaimān Shikoh) has been awarded the distinction of the viceroyalty of Kābul. A most fortunate [decision] has been made.

May almighty God ordain that this most difficult puzzle may be solved by the key of their [joint] efforts, as all the loyal supporters of the state desire.

After bearing such a burden of exertion in this campaign, this life-scattering <u>murīd</u> did not really expect (aṣlan andesha... ba khātirash rāh nadād) that he would be assigned to the Deccan, or anywhere else. Indeed [he wishes] to carry out his original suggestions, and he suffered to remain near Qandahār for some time so that by joining in [Dārā Shukoh's] enterprise he might apply himself to the reparation of his past [faults]. Perhaps, by divine grace,

l. The text reads: iftitāḥ-ī-in 'uqdat-i-dushwār....
...khwāhad shud.I.O. has 'aqīdat for 'uqdat.

he might then so exert himself as to earn in the most acceptable manner the approbation of [Your Majesty's] most inward counsels.

It is the desire of the most sacred heart, that Dārā should be given prosperous cash jāgīrs in the province of Gujarāt commensurate with the importance of his [new] duties, as a substitute for the government of the province. 1 [However, it is submitted that] there are imperial khāliṣa lands [there], and jāgīrdārs' maḥals, in quantity sufficient to pay his salary [in cash].

However anything upon which the kingdom-adorning counsel may confer nobility by demanding it is the essence of good management.

A report that this <u>murīd's khānazāds</u> have been summoned from Multān to Lahore has been written down in the folded letter addressed to [his sister], (ba khidmat-i-nawāb-i-tagaddus) and should be laid before your Majesty.

<sup>1. &#</sup>x27;iwaz-i-subah-i-Gujarāt. I.O. omits 'iwaz. Ch. follows that reading, mangling the sense.

<sup>2.</sup> Dara was made subahdar of Gujarat in 1649. He never governed in person; Baqī Beg, later Bahadur Khan, acted on his behalf. His term was ended on 24 July 1652, and Murad Bakhsh succeeded him in March, 1654, after an eighteen months' interval of rule by Shāyista Khān. In expressing a preference that Dārā receive income from Gujarat in cash, rather than hold jāgīrs there, Aurangzīb is probably hoping to circumscribe any local influence his brother might have acquired there.

It was written by the pen, tracing miracle-working lines:
"Had We known that [those present] could have captured Qandahar,
We would not have recalled the army."

Hail to the spiritual guide, the cherisher of <a href="murids">murids</a>!

Already, this servant has explained what his own impressions

(of the reasons for final failure) were, and [his letter]

may have reached Your Majesty. First there was a command,

couched in the most imperative language, that the victorious

armies should retreat forthwith. This news spread; the scouts

withdrew [from their posts]; men lost interest in the siege,

and many abandoned [their positions] around the fort. For

this reason it was impossible to comply with the second

command, which permitted [the retreat] to be postponed for

one month. Of necessity, [therefore] the retreat took place

on the advice of the all-wise vizier, and with the consent

of most of the loyal well-wishers of the state.

Had the first royal letter contained the command embodied in the second, (dar manshūr-i-awwal hukm-i-yarlīgh-i-sānī mīrasad) the [results] of the labours being brought to bear by life-scattering slaves against the fort on the hill would have become apparent to the whole world in this very month of Sha'ban.

It obtained the nobility of being written: "Let Rustam Khān Bahādur, Fīrūz Jang, be commanded to remain in Ghaznī with the force already allotted [to him]; and let Qulīch Khān be ordered to march to Chārīkār with a small detachment (lakhtī) of men".

<sup>1.</sup> in the Kabul valley, some 40 miles north of the town.

Hail to the gibla and ka'ba of this murid! God almighty willing, on the 29th of this month, this murid will reach Ghaznī with the victorious armies; and he will then act as directed by the exalted command. After a pause of two days, which will be essential to regroup the army, he will hasten by regular stages, together with the Arm of the Caliphate Sa'dullāh Khān, to obtain the honour of an interview, and, at an auspicious hour, the felicity of kissing the [imperial] threshold.

[This letter has no formal ending]

the /

<sup>1.</sup> Monday, 5 August, 1652. Assuming he set off on 10 Sha'ban/17 July, he has marched 220 miles in 19 days, and caught up with wazīr.

If Aurangzīb ever expected to enjoy good relations with Dārā, he shows in this letter that he has thrown his hopes to the winds. He hopes that the Emperor will be satisfied where he has "tested the untested"; and remarks, that the test will not be a fair comparison of Dārā's abilities with his own, unless Dārā is made to conduct the siege under the same disadvantages. All this is enveloped in a lengthy panegyric of the Emperor's quasi-divine attributes.

In abruptly demanding that he be left free to administer the four Deccan provinces as he thought best, Aurangzīb is stating terms which shew that he knows his own worth. Since Aurangzīb's departure from the Deccan, which he had governed since Shāh Jahān's treaties of 1636 with Bījāpur and Golcanda, and the final distribution of the fragments of the Nizām Shāhī kingdom of Ahmadnagar, the provinces had been under Khān-i-Daurān; Jai Singh, as acting sūbahdār; Islām Khān the former wazīr; Murād Bakhsh; and finally Aurangzīb's maternal uncle Shāyista Khān. Changing policies had certainly retarded the consolidation of Mughul power and the renewal of agricultural prosperity; but it is curious to find Aurangzīb accusing his uncle (even by implication) of "extravagance and irresponsibility." If offence was taken, the pain had been assuaged by 1658.

In this letter we hear the last of Aurangzīb's extensive explanations of the reason for failure at Qandahār. Perhaps, in the coming interview with his father - the last he was ever to have - he made his point so clear that the matter was dropped.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

After formal salutations, acknowledgement of a letter written on Friday the 11th Amardād/2 August 1652 in response to one of Aurangzīb's, whose date is not given; it was delivered on 28 Sha'bān/4 August 1652.

These words, a treasure of divine inspiration, were written: From every man, some [useful] work is produced; but [it has been wisely said,] that one should not test [again] a man [already] tested. (Az har kas kārī miāyad, wa 'aqlan

gufta and ki azmūda-ra nabayad azmūd)

Hail to the saintly protector, the guide of pure mind! The Almighty has been pleased to endow the most sacred person (zāt-i-muqaddas) of Your Majesty with the most perfect In power of intellect and abundance of experience, attributes. Your Majesty over all men in the world and he has exalted [therefore the value of] the jewel of each man's capacity and disposition is quite apparent to Your Majesty's exalted eye. Anything, therefore, which may fall from [Your Majesty's] tongue, the interpretor of [all] truths, concerning murīds and slaves will, without doubt, be an exemplar of reality and will incorporate thousands of prudent counsels and wise directions. It is obvious to Your Majesty, that every man who has any share whatever of common sense (har ki-ra fī'l jumla az khirad bahra ast) strives to distinguish between his gain and his loss. How, then can this life-sacrificing one, reared by your Majesty, permit himself to be slack in performing his duty? He knows, that his good name, and his profit in this world and the next, depend upon his doing his duty well.

It will be [remembered] by the heart of angelic penetration, that on reaching Qandahār this <u>murīd</u> recollected the events of the previous siege; (suḥbat-i-ān martaba) and, so far as his feeble understanding allowed, made [his opinion] known. He forthwith asked leave to advance; but, when the principal officers energetically asserted that an advance

<sup>1.</sup> bar jahaniyan. I.O. omits bar.

was not in our interest, this <u>murīd</u> was compelled to abandon his proposal.

At that juncture there were neither men nor materials enough to take the fort, and [had there been an attack] they would have been scattered here and there. (munqasim)

Therefore the siege of the fort, which was our main object, could not be efficiently prosecuted.

Secondly, when [this <u>murid's</u>] proposal [that he advance] came to Your Majesty's notice, a peremptory order was issued, in accordance with the advice of the principal officers, 1 that this servant should not go out ahead, but should remain before Oandahar.

Therefore he did not stir; (bīrūn narafta) conforming too to the decision of the vizier, submission to which he well knows to be obligatory, in accordance with Your Majesty's [own] command. In co-operation with the model of viziers, he applied himself to the siege operations. He had exerted himself to the utmost; and he was hopeful, that in the month of Sha'bān he would capture the fort on the hill by any possible means. Had he been allowed time, he would have brought his labours to a successful conclusion; and he would [then] have been safe from blame and reproach. (t'an wa malāmat)

Unfortunately, various factors intervened to prevent the attainment of our object; (muqaddimāt-i-mukhill-i-

bar tabaq-i-kangāsh-i-daulatkhwāhān. Aurangzīb seems to be referring to the men with the Emperor and his 50,000 reserve troops, not to the senior officers at Qandahār.

matlab ruī dahad) and our chance of success is gone.

What remedy has [this <u>murīd</u>] but to avow his own deficiencies? When other <u>murīds</u> attempt to undertake this task<sup>1</sup> with the same resources,<sup>2</sup> and obtain some success, [a criterion] will be provided for measuring the [real] extent of this <u>murīd's</u> [alleged] guilt. He hopes, that the secret desires of the most sacred heart may soon vouchsafe to display their splendour by testing the untested, and evaluating the results.<sup>3</sup>

It obtained the elegance of being written: "It would be most [acceptable], were that  $\underline{\text{murid}}$  able to improve the prosperity of the Deccan.  $^4$ 

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> of the two worlds! Before Your Majesty's brilliant mind [the fact] will stand revealed (makshuf khwahad bud) that in fostering the prosperity of great provinces, and in governing them well, this whollydevoted one may not be equal to other <u>murīds</u> (sā'ir-i-murīdān). However, from the very first time he was honoured by the

<sup>1.</sup> shuru'dar în muhimm namuda (B.M.) shuru'dar în muqaddima namuda (I.O.). Shuru'dar chunîn muhimm namuda (N. and Ch.)

<sup>2.</sup> ba hamīn saranjām. N. reads chunīn.

<sup>3.</sup> A direct, and amply justified, hit al Dara. Ummīd ki bar āzmāyish-i-nā āzmūdahā asarī-i-mutarattib (N. reads martab, noting the better reading in M and 'U) Ch. follows N.) gashta, 'anqarīb maknūn-i-khātir-i-muqaddas jalwa-i-zahūr dahad. Dārā had never commanded an expedition; nor had he any military training. In 1653, as well as failing to breach the walls of Qandahār, he gratuitously insulted several influential officers, and paved the road to his own ruin.

<sup>4.</sup> agar ān murīd wilāyat-i-Dakan-rā ham ābādī tawānad kard, bisyār ast. N. has supplied khūb after bisyār. Munāsib would perhaps better fit the Emperor's style. All the mss have the lacuna. Ch. follows them.

gracious grant of a jagīr he has never once failed in his devotion to the interests of the empire, nor in his management of its affairs.

When previously viceroy of the Deccan, he remedied its desolate and distracted state so well (ki dar nihāyat-i-wairānī wa barham-khwurdagī būd, nau'ī ma'mūr sākhta) that the whole world knew of it; and perhaps news of the deterioration [in the state of the province] following his transfer might have reached Your Majesty's ears.

Even now, this <u>murīd</u> is hopeful that (by the mercy of God, glorified be His power) the province will remain in his hands with absolute authority, (az rūī-i-istiqlāl) and that his measures will not be interfered with. (wa saranjām-i-maṣālih dar khwūr nashawand) God almighty willing, he will then restore to the peasantry [the prosperity] of which the extravagance of irresponsibility (dast andāz wa ghaflat) of [other] governors has deprived them; and soon the effects of his labours and efforts upon the entire province, [now] so far fallen from its ordered and flourishing state, will become apparent.

May the sun of the Sultanate and Caliphate continue to shine from its horizon of splendour and glory!

<sup>1.</sup> In September, 1634,he was given the small <u>pargana</u> of Lokh-Bhavān, south-west of Achhabāl in the vale of Kashmīr. On 23 December, 1634 he was given his first <u>manṣab</u>, of 10000 <u>zāt</u>: Sarkār, Aurangzīb, I, ll, quoting Pādshahnāma. Sir Jādūnāth is wrong to say he was "not yet sixteen". He was sixteen years, one month, and twenty days old.

<sup>2.</sup> From 24 July 1636 to the beginning of June, 1644.

This letter was written on, or immediately after, the 'Idu'l-fitr of 1062 (5 September, 1652) Aurangzīb had been interviewed with his sons on 16 Ramazan/21 August; the next day, he had had his formal audience of leave-taking (rukhsat) on appointment as subahdar of the Deccan, with the usual gifts, including two crores of dam (Rs. 500,000) in cash. On 25 August, he marched out of Kābul. ('Amal-i-Sālih, III, 153)

While waiting for the bridge of boats to be strung across the Indus, Aurangzīb no doubt made use of the opportunity to talk things over with his brother Shujā'. He would have gone through his grievances, recent and remote; and it was probably here that the foundations were laid for the understanding between Shujā' and Aurangzīb which Dārā tried in vain to break, and which endured until Shujā' proclaimed himself Emperor in October, 1657.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Brief conventional salutations.

This <u>murid</u> of sincere habit recognises the noble occasion of being in the luminous presence of his preceptor and guide to be the fund of all his felicity, both spiritual and temporal. Against this, no good fortune can be weighed After having received instruction from Your Majesty himself, this <u>murid</u> has travelled as directed; and six marches from the Bāgh-i-Ṣafā, he reached Peshāwar. At Nowshera, he

(contd. next page)

l. The mss call this the Bagh-i-Ṣafā. Muḥammad Ṣāliḥ says that Aurangzīb marched from the Bāgh-i-Farāḥ Afzā. (Amal-i-Ṣāliḥ, III, 153). Neither of these names occurs among those mentioned by Jahāngīr in his account of his visit to Kābul in 1607 (Tūzuk, R. and B., I, 106, 111, 116, 121) In Kābul itself, only one Mughul garden remains intact: the one containing Bābur's grave, and a small white marble mosque erected by Shāh Jahān in 1649 to commemorate "fatḥ-i-Balkh wa Badakhshān wa gurekht-i-Nazr Muḥammad Khān" Might Bāgh-i Ṣafā have been a title given Bābur's tomb garden in Shāh Jahān's time? It also contained the graves of Mīrzā Hindāl (1519-1551) with a grave-stone provided by Jahāngir, and of Hindāl's daughter Ruqayya (c.1542-1626) who had married Akbar but

passed the last day [of Ramazān] and there he celebrated the happy day of 'Īd. He offered greetings and congratulations, [to Your Majesty], and caused the tongue of devotion to utter the customary felicitations. May God (honoured be His power) make this felicity-augmenting day happy and auspicious for [Your Majesty's] most sacred person! May He hold the benevolence and grace of the true preceptor and guide extended over the heads of murīds!

Hail to the saintly protector! In conformity with the exalted command, this <u>murīd</u> had wished to march [straight] from Peshāwar to the banks of the Indus in three stages, and to cross without delay. However, he has discovered that the current is still so strong, that the pontoon bridge has not yet been connected. (az shiddat-i-āb tā hāl pul matarattib nagasht) Further, the Crown Prince of Mortals (sc. Shuja') is still encamped on this side of the river, and a large number of slaves of the Court, the refuge of creation, who have received leave, are [now] gathered there. There are so few boats, that all these people could not cross [together] with this servant's army, without long delays; (bī tā'khīr) and, since there is a dearth of fodder on the bank, a long encampment [there] is impossible.

 <sup>(</sup>contd. from previous page)

remained childless, and had been given the young Prince Khurram to bring up. Shāh Jahān had great affection for the memory of his great-aunt, and may have elected to camp in the spacious garden where she lay. His decision to build his victory mosque there appears to show a special regard for the place. The garden lies two miles north of the old city of Kābul, separated from it by the Sher Darwāza, which rises 1500 feet above the plain. The tombs of the great, or the merely saintly, are often linked with purity; in all the circumstances, the identification of this Bāgh-i-Ṣafā with Bābur's tomb garden seems inescapable.

<sup>1.</sup> Salkh ba Naushahra manzil kard. 'Idu'l fitr fell on Thursday, 5 September, 1652. Chaudhuri makes an odd mistake in his note: "He halted at Nowshera on the last day of Sha'ban, and celebrated 'Id there". That day Aurangzīb was to reach Ghaznī (Letter 36). Has Chaudhurī been misled by the older Aurangzīb's habit of suspending all but essential business, and in the Deccan of encamping, for Ramazān? Cf M.A. 79 (1669), 155 (1676), 236 (1683), 246 (1684) 392 (1698), 434 (1701).

It has therefore been decided, that [this <u>murīd</u>] should remain for several days in Nowshera and Angūrah. Should the strength of the current abate in the meantime, and the pontoon bridge to connected, so much the better; otherwise, God almighty willing, this <u>murīd</u> will cross by boat, and will march by regular stages towards his destination.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain bright!

<sup>1.</sup> Ch. has a Delphic note: Ākhura Khaṭṭak se murād hai. Neither Angūrah nor Akhūra appears in the Imperial Gazetteer. Might the name suggest the proximity, or the memory, of a vineyard?

By 5 September, Aurangzīb was ready to cross the Indus; but the Indus was not ready for him; it was in spate, and the engineers could not connect a bridge of boats. The Indus is deep at Attock, and the Mughul practice of staking the boats to the bed of the stream would not prevail until the current, and the depth of the water, were more manageable.

Aurangzīb therefore remains encamped in the vicinity of Nowshera, and recommends one of the smiling valleys nearby as a fit encampment for his father.

The despatch of Aurangzīb's servant Muḥammad Tāhir to Burhānpūr, to prepare the administration of Khāndesh for his master's arrival, was a normal part of the routine of taghaiyur (transfer) of all officers.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter, delivered on Saturday morning, 3 Shawwal [1062] /Saturday 7 September, 1652.

A world-obeyed mandate acquired the elegance of issue:
"You should not cross by boat. Cross by the pontoon bridge,
and set off from Nowshera as soon as you hear it is open"

(hargāh khabr-i-bastan-i-pul barasad)

May a thousand precious lives be sacrificed (fidā'ī... bād) to your most sacred Majesty! In the press of public business, (dar jamī'-i-amūr) Your Majesty yet keeps in mind the welfare of <u>murīds</u>, vouchsafing to guide them, out of solicitude for such insignificant beings, towards their

own betterment.1

For these immeasurable favours how can [this <u>murīd</u>] return thanks? God almighty willing, he will set out from here, as commanded, the moment the bridge is connected; after crossing the river, he will march to his destination.

Hail to the true <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u>! How can one describe the excellence of the air, the freshness of the vendure, and the abundance of fodder, in this delightful station? (īn sarmanzil-i-dilkushā) It is a place entirely agreeable; [indeed], it is doubtful (ma'lum nīst) whether even Peshawan could have been more pleasant than this during these [last] few days.

Were the order given, this <u>murid</u> could march one cos<sup>2</sup> from Angura, and select an encampment in the village (mauza') of Tarīn, <sup>3</sup> which has plenty of vegetables and fodder; and the lofty banners might vouchsafe their most glorious arrival to that district.

However, anything which occurs to the most sacred heart will be the essence of good policy.

l. This outburst conceals Aurangzīb's irritation. But the Emperor was anxious to march; he crossed on the bridge of boats, carried in his takht-i-rawān, on 19 September. The bridge had finally been put together four or five days before: 'Amal-i-Sālih, III, 154.

<sup>2.</sup> For kroh, Nadwī has karda!

<sup>3.</sup> A settlement of Afghans of the Tarīn tribe? N. notes Zīn in his B, and Harīn in S. I.O. seems clear enough: Tarīn. The Imperial Gazetteer is silent.

It was written by the pen, tracing jewelled lines:

"An exalted <u>farman</u> has been issued to Shayista <u>Khan</u> that,
leaving Rao Karan<sup>1</sup> in the fort of Daulatabad, he should
march with the utmost despatch to Gujarat.<sup>2</sup> The sooner that

<u>murīd's</u> men reach Daulatabad, the better."<sup>3</sup>

Hail to the saintly protector! Before he was dismissed [your Majesty's] refulgent presence, this <u>murīd</u> detailed a servant of his, Muḥammad Tahir, to march quickly to Daulatābād with a body of men. But boats on the Attock side of the river are few, and the men of the Crown Prince of Mortals are crossing besides. [So Muḥammad Tāhir] has not been able to cross, and is still on this side; otherwise, he would have got a long way by now. A command has just been sent him to leave his baggage behind (ba aḥmāl wa aṣqāl muqaiyad nashuda) and cross unencumbered. (jarīda<sup>5</sup>) He is to hurry ahead with his advanced guard, so as to reach Burhānpūr by the end of Zī Qa'da<sup>6</sup>.

May the world-irradiating sun of the Caliphate remain bright!

him on in the same words (Letter 46).

<sup>1.</sup> Rao Karan was the Rājā of Bikanīr. His further relations with Aurangzīb may be traced in Letters 63, 64, 80, and 82.

Dara.

2. Where he had been appointed <u>subahdar</u>, <u>vice</u> the absentee

3. More than three months later, when Aurangzīb was
marching through the forests of Shivpūrī, Shāh Jahān urged

<sup>4.</sup> This is the man whom Aurangzīb later enobled as Wazīr Khān, and who died in 1672 as <u>Sūbahdār</u> of Mālwa.

<sup>5.</sup> This word seems in later sources to have a more technical meaning - "with light kit".

<sup>6.</sup> sc., by the beginning of November. Aurangzīb himself was then to be still north of Delhi: Letter 43. The idiom is, shāyad tā awākhir-i-Zī-Qa'da ba Burhānpūr barasad; but Aurangzīb intends to convey no doubt.

Though it nowhere says so, this letter refers to Aurangzib's preparations for crossing the Chenāb. He would have been following the <a href="mailto:shāh-rāh">shāh-rāh</a> which led (and still leads) past Gujrāt, the city founded by Akbar.

From the 'Amal-i-Ṣāliḥit appears that Shāh Jahān had crossed the Jhelum or Beas (Bihāt) on the salkh of Shawwāl, equivalent to Thursday, 3 October, 1652 (III, 154) As Aurangzīb was marching ahead of him, the "Friday" on which he received his father's letter was probably 1 Zī Qa'da/4 October. The going was unusually heavy, the letter not apparently urgent, and Aurangzīb was some forty miles ahead. The language of his own letter suggests that he was replying without delay; Aurangzīb's description of the effects of the unusual rains is concise and striking. No wasted words, no conventional metaphors, encumber Aurangzīb's best writing.

It is worth remembering Irvine's point, that the Mughuls did not use grappling-irons to fasten the boats to one another. (Army of the Indian Maghuls, 212) This would not only have prolonged the work, but made the engineers reluctant to throw a bridge across a strongly-flowing stream.

The confusion and discomfort at these crossings is indeed described to perfection by Bernier, (Constable's edition, 380) as Irvine recognises (op. cit., 211) I saw the very same chaos as Bernier describes at the crossing of the Gogra (Ghagra) at Ayodhya, in February 1961, when the river was low and the ground dry; have witnessed the collapse of an earthen ghāt on one of the arms of the Ganges opposite Rājmaḥal in March of the same year, which caused a ferry to founder; and was obliged to abandon a car stuck in mud at the approaches to the pontoon bridge over the Jumna near Okhla, after a pre-mansoon shower in May, 1964.

Chaudhurī, in his introductory note, says that Aurangzīb "reports his arrival near the bridge on 1 Zī Qa'da, and his intention of crossing the Indus the next day". A perusal of letter 39 should have shown him the mistake.

#### Conventional salutations.

Acknowledgement of an imperial <u>farman</u> delivered on Friday, early in the morning (no date given, but certainly 1 <u>Zī</u> Qa'da 1062/4 October, 1652) sent in answer to a letter of Aurangzīb's (no date given).

Hail to the saintly protector! A written report from the son of Rājā Todar Mal has just revealed (ba tāzagī ba wazuh paiwast) that the pontoon bridge would be open in two days, and that the flood-stream (nāla) which was reported to have cut the road (miyan-i-rah) was [now] fordable (pāvāb gashta).2

Therefore on 1  $\overline{2}$ 1 Qa'da,  $\overline{3}$  this servant marched off from his encampment; he expected (mikhwast) to halt by the bridge [that day] and cross on the morrow.

But news came after he began his march, that by imperial command the bridge was [now] being connected at the Chākūkī

Todar Mal Shāhjahānī was promoted as follows:

15th year: robe, elephant, and horse for abadkarī

16th year: 1000/1000

19th year: 1500/1200 2h 3h

20th year: 1500/1500 2h 3h 21st year: 2000/2000 2h 3h and title of Rāja

23rd year: a banner

Meanwhile his responsibilities had been gradually enlarged by adding Dipalpur, Jullundur, and Sultanpur, and his annual income from these territories was fifty lakhs.

The last notice of him is that "under Aurangzib he was fa dar of Etawah for a time, and that he died in Aurangzīb's ninth year/1076/March-July 1666. He must have been well over sixty.

His son must have been on detached duty, assisting the (contd. next page)

The Todar Mal referred to is not Akbar's famous minister (c. 1515-1589), but Raja Todar Mal Shahjahani. Like Sa'du'llah Khan, he began as a servant of Asaf Khan the brother of Nur Jahan, father of Shah Jahan's best-beloved wife Mumtāz Maḥal, and wakīl of the empire until his death in 1641. This Todar Mal was probably a Panjābī Khattrī, unlike his famous namesake, who originated in Avadh.

From M.U. II, 286-287, his career is thus abstracted: On Asaf Khan's death he was taken into Imperial service, entitled Ra'i, and made Faujdar of Sirhind. The next year he was transferred to the Lakhi Jungle, and seems to have held the faujdarī there till the end of the reign; such is the inference from the curious anecdote of Dara, fleeing to Lahore in June 1658, "digging up twenty lakhs buried by him here and there" Sarkar has a version of this, without quoting authority: "Dara reached Sirhind, where he seized the property of the revenue-collector and dug out 12 lakhs of Rupees which that officer had buried underground before his flight." (Aurangzīb, I, 442)

crossing; that boats, and other equipment, were being brought there; and that it was nearly ready (muhaiya shudan-i-pul qarīb būd) [This murīd therefore] resolved to get to the place where the bridge was being constructed (God willing) and to cross there.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the two worlds! The hardships of travelling in this district are beyond description. Over one [stretch of] a quarter of a cos the mud and slime were so thick (gil wa lay be mushabe bud) that the elephants could get through only with the greatest difficulty; horses and camels, sinking further into the mire (pishtar dar wahil manda) could be freed only by using innumerable mechanical devices. (ba sad jarr wa saqīl) From that place to the flood-stream, the beasts (charwā) were down to their knees [in mud] If the rain holds off (āmān dahad) for two or three days more we might perhaps be able to negotiate this road easily enough.

May the screen of the sultanate remain affixed to the tent-posts of perpetuity!

<sup>1. - 2. &</sup>amp; 3. (contd. from previous page)

march of the returning <u>mansabdars</u> and their contingents, and awaiting the arrival of the "banners of pomp and glory". The banks of the Chenāb are over 120 miles north-east of his father's extensive faujdārī.

<sup>2.</sup> The sentence begins az ānjā ki. Nadwī might have imagined that the report came from a place named Anjānka. He begins: az anjānka, but does not overline.

<sup>3.</sup> Friday, 4 October, 1652.

<sup>1.</sup> sc. the Jech Doab between Jhelum and Wazirabad.

Marching along with the Emperor only a day or two behind him, Aurangzīb is honoured by some venison from the Emperor's hunt. Such gifts were of a public nature, like the food from the imperial kitchen which Akbar used distribute in Fathpūr Sīkrī to favoured nobles and visitors; or the fruit which Shāh Jahān used send out from his morning levée. The recipient could show the whole world that he still enjoyed the Imperial favour.

Perhaps anticipating criticism of his rate of march, Aurangzīb suggests that if conditions had been better he would have been over two hundred miles further along his way.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter delivered on the march, 3 hours (yak pās) after day-break on Monday<sup>1</sup> (date not given but almost certainly Monday 4 Zī Qa'da 1062/8 October 1652).

Further acknowledgement of "a gift of a deer killed on the royal hunt, and some fruit" (ahūī-i-shikār-i-khāṣa, wa mewa).

A sacred order was issued: "The pontoon bridge over the Chenāb is ready. Let that  $\underline{\text{mur}}$  cross the river on Monday."  $^2$ 

Hail to the saintly protector! This servant had already formed the intention to cross on Monday if the bridge were ready. Accordingly (ba hamīn dastūr) he has determined to go across, (qasd-i-ān murūr bakunad) if only (ba mujarrad-i-ān ki)

<sup>1.</sup> yak pas az roz-i-mubārak-i dū shamba. In I.O., az is misplaced, coming after roz. In N.'s 'U it has dropped out.

<sup>2.</sup> sc., Monday 4 Zī Qa'da/8 October 1652. See the introductory note to Letter 40.

<sup>3.</sup> Nadwi reads "qaṣd-i-imrūz", which makes less sense. He notes no variant. He may have been misled by the appearance of "anmurūr" written as one word without  $\underline{\text{madda}}$ , as in I.O.

the bridge is complete and fit for use (muhaiya wa āmāda) and there is nothing to delay him he will cross the river and march onwards.

A copy of the letter which Mīr Khalīl wrote to 'Umdatu'l Mulk Shāyista Khān was delivered with the royal farmān. [This murid]learned from it of the illness of 'Ādil Khān<sup>2</sup>.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! This servant has not omitted (kotāhī nadārad) to hasten along every stage of his march. Had he not been held up (agar...mani'-i-qat'-i-musāfat namīgasht) by the delays that occurred on the other side of the [Indus at] Attock, (ān rūī Aṭak) and on this side of the Chenāb, he would by now have reached the vicinity of Shāhjahānābād, the abode of the Caliphate. Having crossed the Chenāb he shall (God willing) move as quickly as possible and will reach the Deccan at the time prescribed (ba waqt-i-khwud-ra badān ḥudūd rasānida) By the divine grace, he will not fail to use the opportunity which has come to hand.

May the shade of the Caliphate and of its glory remain undiminished over the heads of men!

<sup>1. (</sup>contd. from previous page) and (perhaps) in its derivative, Nadwī's S. B.M. clearly has qasd-i-imrūz (fo.25B, lines 15 and 16; but I.O.'s reading appears preferable.

<sup>1.</sup> For Mīr Khalīl, (c. 1605-1684) related by marriage to Shāh Jahān's sons, see Letter 53.

<sup>2.</sup> Having suffered a species of stroke in 1646, at the age of 42 or so, Muhammad 'Ādil Shāh remained an invalid until he died late in 1656.

<sup>3.</sup> ba qadar-i-maqdur dar sur'at taqsīr nanamūda. I.O. has an intrusive bar before taqsīr. Ch. perhaps had it in his ms, for he replaces it with sair, a word repugnant to the sense, and never used in the context of a march: "perambulating, amusing oneself: ...excursion, trip, tour" Steingass, 715, S.V.

At first sight, one might imagine that Aurangzīb had successfully crossed the Chenāb, and was on the right bank of the Ravī a week later; but the road led to Lahore, and it does not seem possible that a disaster to a bridge of boats before the walls of that great city would have stranded Aurangzīb on the wrong side for five days. Chaudhurī is right to refer the letter to the crossing of the Chenāb.

Probably Aurangzīb despatched his letter on 4 October in full expectation of being able to cross the Chenāb the next day, and the bridge broke in the night. The disruption is vividly described. The Mīr-i-Bahr was especially charged with providing water transport; only in Bengal, however, was an imperial flotilla (nawwāra) maintained. Everywhere else, the boats had to be hired, or impressed, locally; with what results, this misfortune allows us to see. There appears to have been a total want of control over the boats and their owners, and no provision at all for an emergency which must have been even commoner in Mughul times than it is now.

Shāh Jahān's concern that his musicians should cross first after the disaster, and in as much comfort as possible, appears quaint, and would not have impressed Aurangzīb, whose deprecatory attitude to music is well-known (M.A.71; 526-527). Probably the Emperor wanted them and their instruments in good state to soothe him when he reached his palace at Lahore. The status of musicians at the Mughul court was ambiguous; they were richly rewarded, eagerly recruited, and even given mansabs and titles; yet they enjoyed no real respect, nor have they ever, among the more serious and orthodox, whether Hindu There is no reason to doubt that Mīrzā Rāja Jai or Muslim. Singh never forgave Dara for saying that he looked like a musician, as Manucci relates; (Storia, I, 225; cf 246 & 285) and Manucci's anecdotes of Jahanara's chief musician Dulera braving Mahabat Khan in the streets of Shahjahanabad (ibid, 218-9) and inviting his own ruin by his lordly demeanour to Murad Bakhsh's officers in Agra in 1658 (ibid, 297-8) likewise ring true. Were further evidence needed, the inglorious reign and fall of Mu'izzu'd-dīn Jahāndār Shāh in 1712 would provide it.

This letter must have been written on Thursday evening, 11 October 1652, after some of the principal nobles had got across by boat, and while Aurangzīb was awaiting his turn the next day.

The comparative linguist John Beames has left a racy account of an emergency in August, 1859, when he was ordered to bridge the Chenab at this very crossing at three days' notice. Seventy-five boats were required: Memoirs of a Bengal Civilian, 106-107.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a royal letter delivered by Brindāban<sup>1</sup> late on Tuesday (no date given, but certainly Tuesday 5 Zī Qa'da 1062 / 9 October, 1652.

A world-obeyed order obtained the splendour of disclosure: Let several boats be supplied for the court musicians (chand kishtī ba kalāwantān badahad)<sup>2</sup>. If it is not possible to finish the bridge [soon] that <u>murīd</u> should cross by boat. There are plenty there. Afterwards, the principal rājas ('umda-i-rājahān-i 'āla tabār), and the others still on the far side, should cross.

Hail to the saintly protector! The musicians crossed on the happy day of Monday, and have gone on their way (rawāna shudand). In the middle of Monday night (nisf-i-shab-i-hamīn roz) the bridge broke. The men [supplied by the zamīndārs who furnished the boats] made a great commotion, disconnected the boats, and decamped (mardum hujūm-i-'āmm namūdah kishtīhārā parāgandah sākhtah barawand).

Three hours after day-break [on Tuesday] this <u>murīd</u> arrived. Since the boats [which made up the bridge] were dispersed and there was nothing to make another bridge with, (maṣāliḥ-i-pul maujūd nabūd) there was no choice but to cross by boat. The leading rājas, Mahābat Khān and a number of other slaves [went first, and[ have been crossing during the past two days (dar īn dū roz 'ubūr kardand). The next day [Friday] this <u>murīd</u> will cross. After a day's halt, he will march (God willing) by regular stages to his destination.

The copy of the news-letter from Bījāpūr, which Saiyid 'Alī sent by imperial command, has come. Its contents are

<sup>1.</sup> The first Hindū mentioned in these letters as carrying correspondence to Aurangzīb. It may be that more Muslims were thus employed, out of consideration for Aurangzīb's presumed feelings; but it is not readily provable. Āṣaf Khan sent a Hindū messenger to Shāh Jahān in October 1627, to tell him of Jahāngīr's death; this Banārsī ran from Lahore to Juner, 50 miles south-west of Burhānpūr, in twenty days. The distance was roughly 770 miles.

<sup>2.</sup> Specifically, kalawants accompany on their instruments women who dance and sing.

<sup>3. 4</sup> Zī Qa'da 1062 / 8 October 1652.

<sup>4.</sup> Friday, 8 Zī Qa'da 1062 / 12 October 1652.

noted. It is remarkable, how ungrateful is that trifler with the truth (haqq nā-shinās) ['Ādil Shāh] for the favours he has received; and how he fails to acknowledge the value of Your Majesty's condescension and consideration towards him, which so much exceeds his capacity to recognise it (bar hausila-i-ū). God willing, he shall soon receive due recompense for his conduct; (jazā'i-ān) [but] this devoted murīd will handle him and Qutbu'l Mulk as he may be directed.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine over the heads of  $\underline{\text{murīds}}$  until the time of dissolution, and until the dissolution of time! (ta inqirāz-i-zamān wa zamān-i-inqirāz)  $^1$ 

<sup>1.</sup> A delightful final flourish of munshīyāna!

A month has passed since the last letter was written, and Aurangzib is south of Lahore; perhaps in the vicinity of Ludhiāna, though he does not say so. His establishment has come across from Multān to meet him.

Shāh Jahān rebukes him for slow marching. If he is referring to the hard slog of September and October, it is almost unvelievable; the Emperor was suffering from the same conditions as his train lumbered along behind Aurangzīb and the principal generals. Did Aurangzīb perhaps delay too long in or around Lahore? He might have imagined that a further interview would be accorded him, a chance that the Emperor's attitude towards him might be mollified.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a royal letter (manshūr) delivered by Fathu'llāḥ on Tuesday, 9th [no month given, but clearly Zī Ḥijja 1062, giving Tuesday, 12 November, 1652] on the march, after Aurangzīb had passed [a mud fort of the kind called] Tilaundī. 1

God willing, this  $\underline{\text{murid}}$  will deal with the worldly men of the  $\text{Deccan}^2$  exactly as he has been commanded. For his own part he will not fail to show them every courtesy.

It was written by the pen, tracing jewelled lines: "Much procrastination (tawaqquf) has been shown by that <u>murīd</u> in his journey. Let him now travel along the road by which he will arrive soonest.<sup>3</sup>

Hail to the saintly protector! Since this  $\underline{\text{murId}}$  has always kept pace with the victorious  $\operatorname{army}^4$  the reason for any

<sup>1.</sup> This is a general term in the Panjāb for a mud fort (on the oral authority of Dr Rizvī) N. has malaundī.

<sup>2.</sup> Dunyadaran-i-Dakan is the somewhat contemptuous term preferred in this correspondence for the kings of Golconda and Bijapur. Very occasionally, the word <a href="https://pacs.ncbi.nlm.ncbi.

<sup>3.</sup> Aknūn az har rāhī ki zūdtar rasīd khwud-rā barasānad. I.O. duplicates aknūn, importing a harsh and colloquial emphasis which may well have been intended.

<sup>4.</sup> For barābar-i-lashkar, & c, I.O. has bar aṣār-i-lashkar, a lectio peior.

delay will be clearly [reflected] in the mirror of the heart of angelic penetration. Although the road through Ghāt-i-Chānda is a few stages shorter, and this servant had no real intention of delay (wishing rather to reach the Deccan with the greatest dispatch) he has [now] been joined by his whole establishment from Multan. 2 There are many bullock-wagons and carts  $^3$  with them, and the whole baggage-train (în hamīn ahmāl wa saqāl) cannot travel more than four or five cos a [Furthermore], on this unfrequented road (dar chunîn  $r\bar{a}h-i-n\bar{a}$  maslūk)  $^4$  it appears unwise  $^5$  to allow men to fall behind [to increase the speed of the main body]. This murīd has resolved to go by Ludhiana and Sunam, and to join the high road near Shāhjahānābād (the abode of the Caliphate). From there, he will travel by stages long enough to be able to reach Burhanpur within a month; since it will not matter, if some men remain behind with surplus baggage on the imperial highway.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of men until the end of time!

l. For Ghāt-i-Chānda (in the mss spelt Ghātī Chānda) the pass which separated the sūbas of Ajmīr and Mālwa, see Jahāngīr, Tūzuk (R.&B.) I, 348 and II, 57.

<sup>2.</sup> It would have been more usual for Aurangzīb to have been allowed to pass through Multān and supervise in person the packing of his effects and the setting-out of his women and children. That leave was not granted shows the depth of the Emperor's displeasure.

<sup>3.</sup> Bahal wa 'arāba; N. has araba. The Hindi word bahlī, two-wheeled bullock cart, is intended (Platts, 191, s.v.; but cf bahal, 190); cf also Jahāngīr, Tūzuk (R.&B.)I, 340.

<sup>4.</sup> Ch. has emended his ms' reading rāh-i-nā-mulūk.

<sup>5.</sup> Munāsib namīdanad; but I.O. has munāsib nīst.

<sup>6.</sup> See footnote on next page.

6. This passage presents a slight difficulty. Aurangzīb's words are: qrār dādah ki az Sunām wa Ludhāna shuda; B.M. reading Lūdhāna, and I.O. Ludhāna. The crescentshaped line from the Sutlej through Bhatinda, Sunām, Maler Koṭla, and Ludhiāna, and so back to the Sutlej again, represents the extent of the famous and robber-infested Lakhī Jegle, where tigers roamed until the land was cleared in the nineteenth century. Sunām is some sixty miles south of Ludhiana, however, and Aurangzīb must have marched from Ludhiāna to it, not the other way, as the text might at first sight suggest. The imperial highway ("rāh-i-rāst", not here qualified as "pādshāhī") ran somewhat to the east, through Sirhind; Aurangzīb took that road when marching from Multān to an audience with his father at Shāhjahānābād early in 1651. (Letters 4 & 5, for his march to Court; Letters 6 & 7, for his return to Multān by the same roundabout route).

But now, he was being pressed to save time; cutting across the fringes of the Lakhī Jungle, he would have been concerned for his unwarlike domestic servants and his considerable baggage, which he may have felt unsufficiently guarded against an onset of robbers by the escort he had with him. His establishment would have been swollen by the need to provide for his six children, then aged between fourteen years and fifteen months. For a note on the Lakhī Jungle at the beginning of this century, see Storia do Mogor, III, p.426 (note to vol. I p. 320, where Manucci recounts Aurangzīb's unexpected meeting with Mīrzā Rāja Jai Singh in this tract in 1659). During this period, the faujdār was Rāja Toḍar Mal Shāhjahānī, who had held the post since 1641-42 (see note to Letter 40).

Chaudhurī has seen the difficulty, and writes: "On the way to Delhi, Ludhiāna must be passed before Sunām. Budhāna comes after [Sunām], and therefore the name [Ludhiāna] should be corrected to Budhāna." I do not agree with Chaudhurī's emendation. I think Aurangzīb intended to mention Ludhiāna, a station on the imperial highway, as the point where he forked off onto the "unfrequented road" south through Sunām. He does not say "from Sunām to Ludhiāna" as Chaudhurī seems to think; merely "by way of Sunām and Ludhiāna", which is an inversion of logical order, but not a perversion of geographical fact. The Budhāna of Chaudhuri is Buṭāna, 18 m. N of Rohtak which Aurangzib may perhaps have passed, but need not have.

This letter was written at Akbarpur on 2 December, 1652. The place may perhaps be identified with Chhāta about eighteen miles north-west of Mathurā on the main road. A large fortified Mughul sarāy, faced with red stone and decorated with white marble, still stands there in good preservation.

Aurangzīb's description of his visit to Shāhjahānābād is of the highest interest, and it seems that he might have taken real pleasure in observing and describing the progress made in the embellishment of the palace since his last visit nearly two years before. The Emperor being absent, he would not have been admitted without the imperial warrant which he had (cf M.A. 383).

Although Shāh Jahān had formally entered his new palace in April, 1648, his passion for architecture would not allow him to remain satisfied with it. The catalogue of changes Aurangzīb gives is annotated step by step.

Aurangzīb spent a day and a half with his sister Jahānārā, whom he was not to see again until 1666. He would have attempted to enlist her sympathy, and perhaps her active assistance, in representing his interests against Dārā. Sympathy he might have been given, but no more. Jahānārā remained a loyal supporter of her father, and of Dara.

The letter begins as translated, without a lengthy formal introduction.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

After performing the duties of a <u>murīd</u>, this insignificant one informs Your Majesty, that on 23 Zī Ḥijja¹ he reached the Abode of the Caliphate, Shāhjahānābād, in three marches from Pānipat. He alighted in the garden of Fāzil Khān,² which is outside the fort walls. Until the close of day, he remained in the garden of Agharābād;

<sup>1.</sup> Tuesday, 26 November 1652.

 <sup>&#</sup>x27;Alāu'l Mulk Fāzil Khān Tūnī (c.1594-1663),
 Mīr Sāmān to Shāh Jahān. See note to Letter 74.

(Agharābād-i-faiz bunyād) in the evening, he went to his own mansion.

The beauties of that most excellent building are more than one can describe. The structures recently commissioned are now complete, and there remains only the gilding of the roofs of the three pavilions erected on the [other] sides of the tank. [The tank itself] has just been finished, and a large number of fish has been released in it. One pavilion, and two apartments, (hujra) which have been ordered built on the site of the summer-house (bangla) are complete, and are very fine.

The stone-carving of the structure of the Four Cascades ('imārat-i-chahār ābshār) is not finished [however] and work on the stone basin for the pond in the middle of the garden is continuing (dar ḥujra-i-sangīn-i-hauz-i-miyān-i-bāgh kārī hast).

The new servants' quarters are being plastered, (safedkārī mīkunand) and Qāzī Nizāmā<sup>4</sup> says, that in three weeks all the buildings there will be quite finished.

l. This is identified with the garden of Shālimār by Irvine (Storia, II, 24n.) This garden lay to the north-east of Shāhjahānābād, but was in ruins by the late eighteenth century. A marginal note in B.M. (fo.26B) reads: ta'rīf-i-bāgh-i Shālamār wa qila'-i-mubārak wa taḥrīr-i-dāru'l khilāfa. The garden of Agharābād was one of the two Imperial gardens to which the growing of roses was limited by Aurangzīb as Emperor, in November, 1677; Ma'āṣir-i-'Ālamgīrī, (text) 162. The order was given to Muḥammad Ṣafī, the Sāfī Khān of these letters (see e.g. Letters 55, 58, infra) who was then serving as Bakhshīu'l Mulk. Ch. calls the garden Bāgh-i-'Izzābād. No such is known to history.

<sup>2.</sup> For hujra, N. reads mahjar. Hujra is the mss reading, followed by Ch. The name is common; cf. the well-known Hujra-i-Anup Talā'o of Fathpūr Sīkrī.

<sup>3.</sup> This word, meaning "a structure in Bengali style" has always meant a single-storeyed structure, with a roof of thatch. Shāh Jahān introduced stone curved roofs in imitation of the appearance of a Bengali hut. This might have been such a building. From bangla comes the English bungalow.

<sup>4.</sup> All the mss. have Nizāmā. This form, though apparently barbarous, is common in the Panjāb down to Delhi and beyond. It has a familiar, diminutive effect; as with Ḥabībā, Sherā, and even a substantive, such as dostā, yārā. Nizāmā was almost certainly no Qāzī, but a journeyman who had risen to be foreman. He might have been a chunapaz like Bahāu'd-dīn of Fathpūr-Sīkrī, (Rizvī & Flynn, Fathpūr-Sīkrī, 126). The factitious use of such titles as Khwāja, Khalīfa, Pīr, etc., among Indian Muslim artisans is well known.

The next day, the 24th, was a Wednesday; and [this murîd] entered the fort, according to the imperial order, to visit his respected sisters (ba dīdan-i-hamshīrhāī-i-mutharama). Next, guided by your Majesty's household servants, he was gratified by a tour of the auspicious royal palace, which is unsurpassed by any building in the world. He walked about (sair namūd) until midday; the more he say in those delightful buildings, the stronger grew his wish to sate his senses with the sight; (shauq-i-tamāsha afzūntar mīshud); for his mind [alone] could not take it all in.

# Verse

Wherever I look, from floor to ceiling
Its magic plucks at the skirt of my heart, [saying]:
"This is the place". 3

The pillars supporting the most sacred balcony for private and public appearances (pāya-i sitūn-i jharoka-i-khāss wa 'āmm-i-muqaddas) 4 have been admirably executed.

<sup>1.</sup> Wednesday, 27 November, 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> By using the word hamshīra, Aurangzīb excludes the daughter of Shāh Jahān by another wife, Parhez Bānū or Purhunar Bānū (1611-1675). Aurangzīb's three full sisters were Jahānārā (1614-1681), Roshanārā (1617-1671), Gauharārā (1631-1706). It was the birth of the last that killed her mother, Arjumand Bānū Mumtāz Maḥal. Her death was a great grief to her aged brother (M.A., 512-3) who had not seen her for five and twenty years.

<sup>3. &#</sup>x27;z pāy tā ba sarash mīnigaram, karishma dāman-i-dil mīkashad, ki "jāīnjā ast" I.O. omits tā. Aurangzīb might have extemporised these lines himself, echoing Sa'du'llāh Khān's famous verse on the north and south walls of the Dīwān-i-Khāss. Karishma is of course the Greek charisma.

<sup>4.</sup> This jharoka can only be that in the Dīwān-i-'Āmm, upon which the Peacock Throne used to be set up. The inlay work is indeed of surpassing richness; and the slightly bulbous form of the base of the columns, tapering towards the top, was then a novelty. Much of the ornamental inlay work was stolen by one Jones in 1857 (Fergusson, Indian and Eastern Architecture, 593 n.) and two of the panels are now in the Victoria and Albert Museum.

The Rang Maḥal, whose name fits its nature, has been faced throughout with marble; its roof, which had been vaulted (ki ba gumbaz būd), has had a flat ceiling installed, carved and fitted with mirror-work, as commanded. It looks most elegant.

The eight-sided bastion<sup>2</sup> of the private apartments (Khaskhāna) has also been completed according to orders, apart from a little of the inlay work (pāra-i-kār-i-hakkākī dar ān mānda). It is very handsome, and in excellent taste.

Shāh Jahān's later palace buildings seem to have flat wooden ceilings, suspended doubtless from battens fixed in the vaults above. These would have been much more costly than a vault decorated in cut and polished plaster, a construction which the architecture of the building required. The replacement of work finished only a few years before, and not likely to have been of any but the very first quality, was a manifestation of that increasing desire for magnificence which marked Shāh Jahān's later years, and which it would be quite appropriate to call vulgar.

2. This cannot be the bastion overlooking the Jumma, which is later mentioned as the Royal Bastion (Shāh Burj) a name it still bears. It was probably not an external bastion. Might it have been connected with the demolished haramsarā, of which the Rang Maḥal and the inaccessible Mumtāz Maḥal are the only survivors? There was also a pentagonal projection at the south-eastern corner of the Dīwān-i-Khāṣṣ. The term khaskhāna might properly be translated "summer-house", as it means a structure of the aromatic grass called khas, and is still used of the cage-like constructions placed around outer doors, or the screens hung over verandahs, of buildings in Upper India during the hot weather to reduce the heat through the evaporation of the water sluiced over them. But it is clear that a permanent building is intended here; a small erection for delight and perhaps amorous dalliance too.

l. The Rang Mahal long served after 1857 as an officers' mess, and is now a dingy museum. The marble facing has been sloshed with whitewash, and its ceiling (shaqaf ... naqqāshī karda-i-pardahāī-i-āyina-kārī) has been obliterated. A cheap and nasty specimen of the same work, installed to replace the depredations of the eighteenth century, may be seen in the Dīwān-i-Khāṣṣ. The first to lay hands on the palace was Aurangzīb's own eldest grandson, the boozy and bumbling Jahāndār Shāh (1660-1713). After an honourable life of fifty years, and a military and civil career not devoid of distinction, he "proved himself utterly unfitted to rule" (Irvine, The Later Mughals, I, 241).

The private audience chamber (ghuslkhāna-i-sultanat) has been finished with the most exquisite detail,  $^{l}$  and the floor of the private bath-chamber (ḥammām-i-khāṣa) too, has been finished similarly, in conformity with the commands given.  $^{2}$ 

The marble channel cut in herring-bone pattern, (nahr-i-marghula-dar-i-sang-i-marmar) with the two newly constructed

There is a difficulty with the text, which reads: ghuslkhāna-i-sultanat-i-kāshāna-rā ... It would seem simpler to drop kāshāna, but the mss have it quite clearly. It is possible that the bath was regarded as a "winter bath" for the cold weather, but this distinction would not apply to the ghuslkhāna. N. notes kāshāna-i-sultanat in his B and 'U. This gives a clue; the words should perhaps be ghuslkhāna, kāshāna-i-sultanat-rā, bar chīnkārī bas ba taklif karda ...ba shān namūda. This would give "the ghuslkhāna, the private pavilion of the sultanate..."

I reject Ch.'s emendation of the clear chīnkārī of the mss to parchīn kārī, "crooked work". His own ms apparently reads harchīnkārī, a variant not noticed by N. in any of his mss. Ch. has an unhelpful note announcing his emendation, but not explaining it. To my mind, the text should stand. "The picture-gallery of China", "the sculptors of China" are hackneyed phrases for any work remarkably fine, or in a new style. Jahāngīr uses it in his memoirs; and its use in Akbar's Khwābgāh, and the house of his mother Hamīda Bānū Begam at Fatḥpūr Sīkrī, should be remembered. In the Khwābgāh, this verse appears: rashk-i-firdaus-i-barīn ast, wa nigāristān-i Chīn;

manzil-i-'ala ast; dā'īm dar nazr-i-manzūr bād. (Rievi & Flynn, 30, 136, 145)
For Chīn in a more general sense, see Sādiq Muttalibī's verse on Sirhind quoted in the introduction.

<sup>1.</sup> Bas ba taklif karda. N. has karan.

<sup>2.</sup> It is well known that the room in which the Emperor held his most confidential audiences was not his actual bathroom, though early in his reign Akbar had held private discussions in his own hammam. The development of the meaning of ghuslkhana may be compared with that of the levée in Europe; originally the attendance of Louis XIV's courtiers around his bed as he rose from it, and discharged the burden of nature, it has been universally used since the eighteenth century to mean the first audience of the day. The ghuslkhana survives; so too does the private bath-chamber. Its inlaid floor, sadly scoured and scratched by the shuffling feet of visitors, has a beautiful single-tendril pattern of endless circular loops, and there is an inlaid dado on the walls, of stylised flowers in rectangular frames, which recalls the carved outer dado of the Taj Mahal. That of the ghuslkhana, unfortunately, is worn out.

tanks on the river side in the Hayāt Bakhsh garden, are quite a novelty.  $^{1}$ 

Work on the two tanks supplying [water] to the cascade (ābshār) of the Shāh Burj, which are made of Qandahār stone, and on the herring-bone channel [leading to] the tank in the middle of the bastion, has been completed in a remarkably fine style. <sup>2</sup>

In the Hayat Bakhsh (Life-giving) garden, a visit to which indeed revivifies the tree of one's life (ki alhaqq tafarruj-i-ān nihāl-i-zindagānī-rā tāza misazad), the yellow roses (gul-i-za'frān) were in splendid bloom; and the Aramgah garden, the courtyard of grace, is [also] very pleasant.

Nawāb Begam Ṣāhib Jiu's house has been completed in conformity with the imperial directions. In the private apartments (Khaskhāna) which have been raised where the Dīwān Khāna stood, some work remains to be done; but it will soon be finished.

l. The Hayat Bakhsh garden still exists, and so do two small marble tanks on the eastern side. Their novelty (khailī tāzagī) probably consisted in the pattern carved on the screen down which the water flowed (chaddar). The earlier screens had been cut in a leaf-pattern (māhīpusht), which gave a beautiful rippling effect to the water. The earliest example I know of is in the Zanāna Bāgh at Fathpūr Sīkrī (c.1571).

<sup>2.</sup> The Shāh Burj is the bastion overlooking the Jumna, occasionally called Musamman Burj, from which the Emperor used to show himself to his subjects. In its central bay is the quaintly minute jharoka of Akbar II, erected in 1807-8. Behind it, facing inwards, is a small garden which separated the Haram Sarā from the Dīwān-i-Khāṣṣ; and between this garden and the bastion is a panj-darī pavilion, the central arch wider than the others, and with a curved Bengali roof over it.

<sup>3.</sup> Long since destroyed, probably before 1857.

<sup>4.</sup> Jahānārā, alone of the Emperor's family, lived outside the fort in recognition of her almsot queenly status. (cf Manucci, I, 220; II, 34) Her house stood in the area cleared after 1857 between the Chāndnī Chauk and the city wall, where the Queen's Gardens were laid out, and the Delhi railway station raised, later in the century. Aurangzīb does not mention the splendid sarāy she raised to the west of her palace, which excited Bernier's admiration as well as Manucci's: Bernier (Constable) 280-81 and Manucci, I, 221. Perhaps it had not been begun in 1652. When Aurangzīb took Jahānārā back to the capital from Āgra fort after their father died in 1666, she returned to this house, and died there in September, 1681.

In [attempting to] appreciate and describe all these repose-increasing structures (în 'imārat-i-raḥāt-afzā) and heart-delighting gardens, the tongue of discourse is struck dumb (zabān-i-maqāl.....lāl ast).

May the Almighty grant, that these incomparable dwellings will soon acquire fresh and ever-flourishing brilliance through the refulgence of [Your Majesty's] fortunate and noble arrival [among them]! May He vouchsafe, that Your Majesty will live [there] for many years more in perfect security and every kind of ease and comfort, promoting the elegance of the world. 2

On Thursday [24th], [this <u>murīd</u>] took his eldest sister to his own mansion; on Friday 25th, he took his leave of her (az īshān widā' shuda) and set off [southwards]. On the way, he came to the mosque and <u>sarāy</u> which are being completed under the supervision of the agents (ba ihtimām-i-gumāshtahāī) of the Khān, the pattern of felicity.

The chambers (hujrahāī) of the <u>sarāy</u> are very neatly finished (dar ghāyat pākīzagī tumām karda and) [but] the doors have yet to be done. In the mosque, the colonnades on

l. Ba farr-i-qadūm-i-sa 'ādat lazūm-i-ashraf.(sharf in I.O.) Aurangzīb will have remembered Abū'l Fazl's famous dictum that kingship is a light emanating from God (farr-i-īzidī) communicated directly by God without human intervention (Ā'īn (Blochmann) I. iii.Nor would Aurangzīb the theologian have been ignorant that this notion of divinely conferred kingship was directly traceable to Zoroastrian teaching, and Sassanian practice.

<sup>2.</sup> Such expressions are henceforth heard regularly; with what sincerity they were uttered one may guess, but cannot know.

<sup>3.</sup> So says the text: juma' bist o panjum. But the tables make 25 Zī Ḥijja 1062 a Thursday; 28 November 1652. Chaudhurī in his note to Letter 45, has Aurangzīb farewelling Jahānārā on a Monday.

<sup>4.</sup> Ki taiyār mishawad. B.M. has taiyar!

either side of the prayer chamber have been well constructed, and are most convenient. In two months, they will be complete. 2

After inspecting the mosque, [this <u>murīd</u>] came to the garden of Nawāb Begam Ṣāḥib Jiu, and spent a little time strolling about that charming place (zamānī sa'ir-i-an nuzhatgāh namūd). The two pavilions which face each other have had vaulted arches added, in obedience to the exalted order, and look very well. The channel [supplying water] to the <u>chauk</u> and to the [public] bath in front of the garden have also been most beautifully built. 4

Marching on, [this <u>murīd</u>] encamped at Farīdābād [on the 26th]; the next day [Sunday 27th] at Palwal; and then [Monday 28th] at Hoḍal. Today, which is the 29th, he has halted at Akbarpūr. God willing, he will reach Akbarābād<sup>5</sup> on Thursday, the third of Muḥarram the most illustrious.

l. Aiwān-i-atrāf-i-gumbaz. Here gumbaz means the space covered by the 3 gumbazhā, the domes themselves; so the aiwāns are not the little pavilions at each cardinal point, at the base of the domes, but the spaces covered by the domes.

<sup>2.</sup> There seems little doubt that this is a description of Sa'du'llāh Khān's Chauk, which stood between the Faiz Bāzār and the southern wall of the Fort. It has vanished, together with the buildings that separated it from the Jā mi' Masjid to the north-west. That the mosque was being built under the supervision of the Khān's own men, and not the Emperor's shows that it was not an imperial foundation, which the Jāmi' Masjid was. The distance from Jahānārā's palace would have been a little over a mile.

<sup>3.</sup> Here B.M. has a very curious mistake: for bisyār khwushnumā shuda, the scribe has bisyār khwushnumā tha. Was he thinking of the Hindī past definite? Ch. omits shud (missing in his ms, no doubt) and begins the next sentence with ta. N. follows the better text with shuda.

<sup>4.</sup> This garden must have been outside the Delhi gate (so called because it faced the older cities of Delhi).

<sup>5.</sup> Agra was so named by Shah Jahan early in 1629. The new name is still occasionally heard.

<sup>6.</sup> The tables make 3 Muharram a Wednesday. Was a day intercalated at the beginning of Zī Hijja, making it a month of 30 days in 1062?

[The astrologers] indicate no nearer or fitter day for the ceremonial entry into Burhānpūr than the first of Rabī'I<sup>1</sup>. [This <u>murīd</u>] has therefore decided, that after two or three days' necessary halt in Akbarābād, he will resume his march. Until he reaches the frontier of the Deccan, he will move quickly; thereafter, having crossed the Nerbudda, he will [tarry] for a few days on the outskirts of Burhānpūr awaiting the arrival of the appointed hour.

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate and of world dominian continue forever to protect us!

<sup>1.1</sup> Rabi'I, 1063, fell on Thursday, 30 January, 1653.

<sup>2.</sup> sc., the Nerbudda at the river-crossing also called Akbarpūr, in the vicinity of Hoshangābād.

The "Tāj Maḥal" has captured popular imagination as has no other Eastern building, and this remarkable letter gives interesting and unexpected details of the history of its construction.

The Rauza-i-Munawwara (so Aurangzīb styles it, following official usage) was clearly complete externally by 1652, and most of the internal decoration must have been finished, or else Aurangzīb would have commented upon its progress. He had last been there in 1644. But a staff of masons was in attendance, perhaps to complete enclosure walls and the outer courtyard as well as to maintain the building.

Leakage of water through the outside layer of cement to the vaults forming the ceilings of the chambers below can only have been caused by the use of improper materials, for the technique of cement-covering domes and flat or sloping roofs had been practised and refined in India since the first Muslim buildings at Ajmer and Delhi. It is surprising to find such a failure, in a building constructed under such supervision, and raised without thought of expense.

In Agra, Aurangzīb had a last conversation with Shujā', and no doubt sealed the agreements in Kābul, and on the march. The principal crossing for east-bound travellers was at Āgra; hence Shujā's choice of that route.

The houses which Aurangzīb mentions in the new and old capitals were prime examples of the luxurious structures the principal nobles were obliged to raise, and the princes had raised for them. Just as in camp each mansabdār had his place assigned him, so in the capital the nobles were directed to build substantial houses, so that their own splendour might reflect the greater grandeur of the Emperor. When Akbar took up residence in Fathpūr Sīkrī, he commanded the nobles to build houses on the ridge east of the palace. Such houses have rarely survived; Government made no provision for their maintenance, and even if the house was escheated on a noble's death, or confiscated, it was generally allotted to a new favourite.

With this letter concludes the period of Aurangzīb's direct contact with men more powerful and influential than himself. Henceforth, he was the head of every circle in which he moved, dominated only at a distance by the Emperor and his court at Shāhjahānābād.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Conventional salutations.

On Thursday, the third of Muharram the illustrious this <u>murîd</u> entered Akbarābād. He turned off the road to meet the Prince of Mortals (Shujā') in Jahānārā's garden. After enjoying the pleasure of his company (faiz-i-suḥbat-i-īshān daryāfta) in that agreeable place, he went in the evening to the house arranged [for him] in Mahābat Khān's garden.

On Friday [4th] he circumambulated the most luminous shrine (ba tawāf-i-rauza-i-munawwara rafta) and earned the blessings [appropriate to such a] visit, prompted by the purest spirit of submission.

The sacred structure (hazīra-i-qudsī asās, "holy hovel") still stands just as firmly as it did when it was completed under Your Majesty's eye.

However, the following repairs are required: The dome covering the most sanctified sepulchre (marqad-i-mutahhar) leaks (tarāwash mīkunad) in two places, on the northern side, during the rains.

The four great arches, most of the balconies on the second storey, the four small domes, the chamber on the northern side [of the tomb] and the basement rooms in the pluith all need attention.  $^{3}$ 

l. As just indicated (Letter 44) the tables are a day behind Aurangzīb. Following them, his entry into Āgra should be dated Thursday, 6 December 1652.

<sup>2.</sup> Ba haman dastur ... ustuwar ast. N. has hama dastur.

<sup>3.</sup> The five parts Aurangzīb mentions are, respectively: a Chahar pesh tāq: The four great arches in the centre of each facade of the builidng.

Akṣar-i-shāh-nashīnhā-i-martaba-i-dūwum. This refers to the twenty-four arched openings, three in either corner of the building. There are twelve in the upper range, and twelve in the lower; four beside each great archway in each façade, and two superimposed at each of the four angles of the building. Aurangzīb is clear that the only defective ones were in the upper range.

Y Chahar gunbaz-i-khwurd. These must be the four domes raised on octagonal kiosks around the base of the great dome.

Chahar suffa-i-shimali. The tomb is oriented roughly north and south. Aurangzīb could mean the north-western chamber on the ground floor of the tomb, facing Agra along the curve of the river; or the north-eastern one, facing the Aram Bagh ("Rāmbāgh") and the various tombsonthe bank.

The [marble] panels of the outer covering of the great dome (bām-i-jām posh-i-gumbaz-i-kalān [had lifted off] in two or three places, and let the water in (chakīda būd) during these [rains]; (dar in faṣl) they have [now] been repaired. One can only guess what will happen in the next rains (dar barishkāl-i-āyinda chi rūī dahad?)

The domes of the mosque and of the Jamā'at Khāna 1

Tahkhānahā-i-kursī-i-haft. Nothing else can be meant here, but the range of rooms built into the northern side of the pluith, and accessible by steps descending from its north-western corner. Each is furnished with a small prison-like opening in the thick wall, to admit cooling air from the river they faced. Their original simple decoration, of white upper walls and ceiling, and a coloured dado generally greenish, with touches of dark brown and yellow, is preserved. Such chambers are found in many houses in Upper India until the early twentieth century. They were the preferred method of cooling one's self, for those who could afford them; while in Persia such chambers were often called zir-i-zamīn, in India tahkhāna is the usual word; cf Platts, 345, s.v. tah.

The common feature of all these parts of the building is, that they had a flat surface permeable by water. The cement originally spread over the vaults of their floors or ceilings must have lacked hydraulic quality. Water will readily penetrate inferior cement, even when covered by thick slabs of stone or marble; but domes and flat roofs all over India have survived many hundreds of years without ever being re-surfaced, and the interiors are not stained by a drop of water.

Unfortunately, Aurangzīb has not used exact language; the parts "dar ham kashīda" (B.M. has dar nīm kashīda, "broken in half", which I, with Ch., reject). He could mean anything between "utterly ruined" and "need to be tidied up". In view of the phenomenal rains of 1652, and the nature of the damage to the principal dome, it is permissible to assert that water penetrated the cement lying above the brick-built vaults.

The Jama'at Khāna is the structure of dark red sandstone to the east of the tomb, an exact copy of the mosque to the west, except in necessary detail. It is usually called the jawab, "answer", and alleged to have been built only for symmetry's sake. Some, less unreasonably, assert it was a guest house; but there were extensive quarters in the courtyard for such purposes. Guests of the highest rank could have been put up in the two-storeyed pavilions at either end of the transverse walk in the garden, or in the great gate-house with its eleven kiosks over each principal arch. The Jama'at Khana would have been used for assemblies of holy men to chant, and of <u>sūfīs</u> to sing; the endowment of the shrine, only recently swept away by the Government of India, allowed sustenance to be given to such people. Unacceptable to the strictly orthodox, the practice of Qur'anic recitation or mystic meditation at the tomb of the illustrious dead was common throughout the Islamic world.

<sup>3. (</sup>Contd. from previous page)

[opposite] also leaked during the rains, and have also been repaired. The builders claim that if they were to break up (wā karda) the floor above the roof of the second storey, plaster [the roof from above] (rekhta sāzand), and lay over it eighteen inches' thickness of tahkārī work, the pavilions, balconies, and smaller domes might perhaps be made sound. They profess themselves unable to do anything about the main dome.

Hail to the saintly protector! [What a pity, that] such magnificent buildings should have suffered such a great misfortune! Were the brilliance of your Majesty's careful attention to be directed towards restoring them, (agar partau ... bahri ilāj-i-daf'-i-ān uftad) how suitable that would be! 5

l. Arfa'-i-nīm gaz-i-tahkārī Tahkārī is obviously a term for waterproof cement.

<sup>2.</sup> Gumbāzhā-i-khwurd. N. omits the waw from khurd.

<sup>3.</sup> Wa dar tadbīr-i-gumbaz-i-kalān ba 'ajz mu'tarif and. Even so, the dome does not leak now; a lengthy inspection in 1972, and personal enquiry, satisfied me. The dome itself, as is well known, is hollow, and the outer skin of the inner dome has been thickened by repeated applications of cement. The inner aspect of the outer dome still shows its bricks, laid in concentric circles. If it has ever leaked through the outer marble casing, it has long been water-tight. Constant maintenance for over 120 years, and the latest thoroughgoing repairs (c.1958-1966) have seen to that.

<sup>4. &#</sup>x27;alà binā. Ch. repeats 'alà.

<sup>5.</sup> Here I.O. has a lacuna, omitting a whole line, from turfa-i- chashm zakhmī rasīda to the end of the sentence. Bāgh-i-Māhtāb is also omitted.

The Mahtab Garden has been flooded, so it is not in the best condition. Soon it will be blooming again. The octagonal tank and the summer-houses on either side of it are, [however] clean and in good order.

This <u>murid</u> has heard extraordinary tales of the [late] flood of the Jumna; (az tughiyān-i-āb-i-dārya-i-Jūn ānchī shunīda shud jā-i-ta'ajjub ast) but now the river has retreated (mīl karda) to its normal channel ('umq) and is flowing [quietly] along it.

On Saturday, [this <u>murīd</u>] conducted the Crown Prince of Mortals to his own house; on Sunday he paid a return visit, and took his leave of him.

On the happy day of Monday 7th, 2 he marched out of Akbarābād; and today, which is the eighth of the month, he has reached the purlieus of Dholpur.

l. If this Māhtāb or Moon-lit garden was within the enclosure, it can only be a name for the whole garden, or at any rate the part between the tomb and the central tank. Then the "summer-houses on either side" would have been the double-storeyed pavilions, each surmounted with a marble-sheathed dome on an eight-sided kiosk, built against the garden walls. But the central tank is square; it has never been a hauz-i-muṣamman, unless the scroll-work in the corners is deemed to make it so. An octagonal bā'olī exists outside the south-western corner of the wall. It was to augment the water-supply for the garden within, and seems unlikely to have had a garden of its own.

If the Māhtāb Garden is the garden within the enclosure, then it is possible that the northern end was flooded, isolating the tomb on its plinth. This is alleged to have happened in the rains of 1948; but the authority is the uncertain oral one of the former hereditary guardians of the tomb.

Traditionally, a "Moon-lit" garden contained pale flowers, like lilies, whose beauty could still be appreciated on a bright night.

<sup>2. 8</sup> December, 1652.

As he has already written, he will not (God Almighty willing) draw in the rein of rapid march. He shall traverse the stages of the journey by regular marches until he has reached the frontiers of the Deccan.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of men!

<sup>1.</sup> For tabinda, Ch. has payinda.

The description of the route taken would have been transmitted to Court for the recording of any special comments in the Imperial offices (compare Letters 8 and ]6, supra)

Aurangzīb's suggestion that a more easterly route be taken south of Gwalior would certainly have been recorded; as would the meteorological details, which accurately enough describe January conditions in those jungly regions.

All the Mughuls were ardent hunters, Aurangzib not the least (compare Ma'āsir-i-'Ālamgīrī, 38-39 (text). The hunting preserves all over the Empire were most jealously guarded, and the duteous reluctance of Aurangzīb even to enter the hunting-preserve of Nadārābād ("Nonesuch") exemplifies the strict imperial control. There is no place of that name now in the environs of Dholpūr; but it is probable that Nadārābād may be identified with the ruined garden-palace known as Tāl Shāhī, which lies some eighteen miles west-south-west of Dholpūr, not far from the Chambal. The style of the surviving buildings (partly restored by the Jāt rulers of that now vanished native state) confirms the popular belief that they were built by Shāh Jahān. Such places were well adapted for the most spectacular form of hunting: a vast battue, in which beasts of every kind were driven up by battalions of beaters (gamargāh) to the shooting-boxes, where the Emperor and his guests might shoot promiscuously, with firearms or with bow and arrow.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and Thanks.

Acknowledgement of a royal letter sent after the Emperor's return to Shahjahanabad, and received on the morning of Wednesday, 23 Muharram. 1

Happy be Your Majesty's fortunate arrival at the capital! May the Almighty, the granter of desires, keep Your Majesty's shadow spread for many years in ease and comfort over the heads of murids!

It was written by the jewel-scattering pen: "It is four months, since that <u>murid</u> was given leave of Us; it is two months since the (late) viceroy of the four provinces of the Deccan (Shayista Khan) left (his capital) for Ahmadabad, and crossed (the borders of) Gujarat. So, (harchand) the sooner that <u>murid</u> reaches Daulatabad, the better".

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. has 23rd; Nadwi and Chaudharī follow it: but I.O. has 24th. As 2nd Muharram was Wednesday, and 3 Muharram Thursday (see Letter 45) the I.O. reading is not to be followed.

<sup>2.</sup> N. notes that his 'U here reads "four" for "two".

Hail to the qiblah of my necessities, and the ka'bah of my desires! As long as he has been in service this sincere-natured one has devoted himself as completely as he could to the fulfilment of every duty he has been honoured with; this will be clear to the perception of (Your Majesty's) heart, of angelic penetration. In conformity with the obedience-compelling command, he has nowhere wasted time or delayed unnecessarily (aslan ta'allul wa ta'khir nanamuda) on this occasion, when by Your Majesty's quintessential generosity and grace he has been given charge (dasturī yāfta) of the province of the Deccan. He has served in this province before; there are many opportunities there to advance the imperial interests and (this murīd) knows that such service is equivalent to obeying God himself (an ra ba-manzil-itā'at-i-ihahī midānad). Had it not been for the numerous difficulties (mawani') of the road and the ill-provided state of (his) troops - facts which are not concealed from the mind which resembles the sun - how could the delays which have happened have been possible?

This <u>murîd</u>, a disciple of his true preceptor and guide, is a stranger to leisurely marches (ba āhista raftan kamtar āshnā ast). God willing, he will reach the vicinity of Burhānpūr in the middle of Ṣafar (may its end be auspicious and victorious). Should there be nothing to detain him there (agar dar ānjā kārī nabāshad) he shall set off forthwith for Daulatābād.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the two worlds! On the day he reached Dholpur, this <u>murīd</u> heard that there was a large black and white <u>nīlgau</u> (nīla-i-ablāq-i-kalān)<sup>3</sup> in the hunting-preserve of Nadārābād, in (the district of) Khīr Khet, four or five cos from Dholpur.

<sup>1.</sup> Aurangzīb uses the first person singular pronoun for the first time. Nadwi notes that his 'U omits it; but it occurs again in the penultimate paragraph of this letter, and again, sporadically, in later letters.

<sup>2.</sup> Mastur nist. Nadwi, by a curious slip of the pen, writes mastur, "aforesaid", and destroys the sense.

<sup>3.</sup> Neither in Steingass nor in Platts does the word nīla signify nīlgau, and its use must be taken as a colloquialism of the period. Steingass repeats Platts' entry: "Dried juice or sediment of the indigo-plant; blue," and adds, "a colour in horses or mules." In Letter 50, infra, it is used of a horse as meaning grey.

As it was not far to go he wanted to see it himself; however, he considered it improper (az ādab dūr dānista) to enter the royal hunting-ground without permission. He (therefore) sent Malik Husain with some of his own qarāwals to view it and report (ke dīda biyāyand). They disclosed that a pure white stripe five or six fingers' breadth wide runs down the nīlgau's right side from the middle of its back to its belly, and that it looks very fine.

After leaving Gwālior, (this <u>murīd</u>) saw a <u>kotah-pāchah</u> which Hirde Narāyan, <u>zamīndār</u> of Gadia (Gaṛhī)<sup>2</sup> had sent to Dādā Bhā'ī in charge of some of his men. Your Majesty will see it; it is a rare specimen, unusually white.

As the <u>yasawal</u> Abu Tālib was conducting Mīr 'Arab, the dismissed <u>mutaṣaddī</u> (accountant) of the port of Sūrat, and the merchant Sulaimān Rizā' to the Court, the asylum of the world, this <u>murīd</u> directed him to see (both) the animal and the escort supplied by Hirde Narāyan safely to the capital.

The present <u>faujdar</u> of Gwalior is a servant of Mahabat Khan (Lohrasp) named Husain, who was formerly <u>faujdar</u> of Dholpur. His administration of Gwalior is excellent (band-o-bast-i anja khub karda); travellers come and go in perfect security.

Hail to the saintly preceptor!

Since the imperial highway (rāh-i-rāst-i-pādshāhī) is hilly and stony between Palāncha and Siprī, and hard going for bullock wagons, this <u>murid</u> has chosen another road. It takes off to the right out of Palancha, and has easy gradients,

<sup>1.</sup> S.1058; Platts 858; a chītal; cervus axis or spotted deer.

<sup>2.</sup> Jahangīr mentions Garha as the (south) western extremity of the province of Malwa: Tuzuk (translation) I, 348. There was a tiny thakurāt of that name in the Bhopāwar subdivision of the quondam Central India Agency, which covered the rough country south of Dhar, and included Mandu.

<sup>3.</sup> This is Shivpūrī, the terminus of one of the former Gwālior State's miniature railways, some 85 miles S.S.W. of the capital, and the site of lavish marble cenotaphs of recent rulers. The popular pronunciation is now Sīprī.

with few stony patches; the Sind(dary $\bar{a}$ -i-Narwar) ought to be (regularly) crossed on this road, since (this  $\underline{\text{murId}}$ ) crossed easily.

Were a world-obeyed mandate to obtain the nobility of issue, that the <u>faujdar</u> of Narwar should devote his best efforts to making this road easily passable and establishing fortified posts at several places along it, it would be a source of comfort to the people of God; since the highway is cut by floods during the rains, and travellers suffer much hardship. The length of both roads is equal. This servant noticed two places on the side road fit for the establishment (of posts). After the road has been graded pursuant to imperial orders, <u>sarā'īs</u> too might be quickly built.<sup>2</sup>

Hail to my preceptor and guide! Out of Gwālior on the road he took the temperature was very changeable. (dar hawā khailī tafāwut shud). At night, a quilt was needed; (shabhā ba-lihāf iḥtiyāj hast) early in the morning, nīm-astīns were worn; but from nine until three or four gharīs before dusk, even a light tunic was too hot (qabā ham garmī kunad).

Tomorrow will be Monday. This  $\underline{\text{murīd}}$  will set off from Sironj, and march on.

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate and of world dominion protect us for ever!

l. Though the official name is the river Sind, I found on a visit in 1967, the term "Narwar-kī-nadī" in popular use. Aurangzīb's comments upon the rough scrubby country are well founded. Is the road he sought to have improved that by which Abu'l Fazl was hastening to Court when he was murdered? He was urged to detour by the "Ghāt-i-Chānda".

<sup>2.</sup> Sarāhā nīz ba-zūdī 'imārat khwāhad yāft; the future indicative expresses a hope that others may do the thing, not an intention of doing it himself.

Chaudhurī's introduction to this important letter is breathtaking in its brevity: "In this letter Aurangzīb makes recommendations for the transfer and promotion of certain Deccan manṣabdārs". Indeed, he does; but the most important part of the letter is the short first sentence. Here, beyond doubt, was planted the seed of the co-operation between Aurangzīb and Murād which bore fruit in 1658. Aurangzīb had already seen Shujā' at Āgra (Letter 45, supra), and the first result of that meeting was to be the arrangement for Aurangzīb's son Muḥammad Sultān to marry a daughter of Shujā'.

Whether Aurangzīb intended from the first to grasp supreme sovereignty for himself alone can only be conjectured; but it is certain that without these two interviews, the apparent understanding between the brothers in 1657 and 1658 could never have been so close.

Dorāha lies some twelve miles north-west of the city of Bhopāl (which was then of small importance) and about seventy miles south-west of Sironj. Aurangzīb reached Dorāha from Sironj in four days.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Briefest conventional salutations.

On Friday, 2 Safar, this  $\underline{\text{mur}}$  met (his) brother Mur $\bar{\text{a}}$ d Bakhsh at the station of Dor $\bar{\text{a}}$ ha.

The next day, at the same place, he gained glory by the arrival of an exalted <u>farman</u>, written by a <u>munshī</u>, and became apprised of the sacred commands.

A world-obeyed mandate has obtained the favour of issue:
"The <u>zamindar</u> of Chanda, in obedience to Our commands, is
repairing to the Court, the refuge of creation. When he waits
upon that <u>murid</u>, he must not be detained above one day, but
must be given leave (to continue) to the exalted Court".

Hail to the saintly protector! When the <a href="mailto:zamindar">zamindar</a> has come, he shall be sent on, as commanded, to the Court, the refuge of rulers. Orders in the matter of his <a href="peshkash">peshkash</a> shall also be obeyed.

Hail to the true <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u>! A letter of Murshid Qulī Khān, and the daily report (roznāmcha) of the news-writer,

<sup>1.</sup> Hasbu'l-hukmu'l 'alá. Nadwī reads hasbu'l hukmu'l'arfā', noting no variant. There is no real difference in meaning.

disclose that Imām Beg, commandant of the fort of Qandahār in Telingāna, has died (taṣadduq-i-farq-i-aqdas-i-'Aláḥazrat shuda, "has become a sacrifice to the sacred head of Your Majesty" has become a sacrifice to the sacred head of Your Majesty". This murīd has therefore appointed to the post the old household servant (qadīmī) Muḥammad Shāh, whose manṣab is 800 zāt and 800 sawār, and who had come up to offer his ceremonial salutation (istiqbāl) to this sincere servant. He has been told to march (to Qandahār) as quickly as he can. For the duration of his present appointment an augmentation of 200 zāt and 200 sawār (100 dū aspah sih aspah) is recommended, so that with his original rank and his increase he may enjoy a (total) rank of 1000 zāt, 1000 sawār, of which 100 sawār (will be) dū aspah sih aspah.

To succeed Muhammad Shāh as thānadār of Tondāpūr, 5
Fath the son of Zakariā has been appointed. His rank was 600 <u>zāt</u>, 600 <u>sawār</u>; he had been granted a conditional increase (by Your Majesty). This conditional promotion has now been confirmed, as he is an experienced officer, and 6 has a numerous following. Arrangements have been made (kas ta'iyun kard, "an escort has been appointed") to conduct him from Burhānpūr to Tondapūr.

Hail to the preceptor and guide of this <u>murīd</u>! Yādgār Jolāq is on his way to kiss the threshold of the lofty Court.

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwi expands and clarifies the text by writing: Qandahar ba-subah-i-Telingana. The town lies about 20 miles S.W. of Nander. Chaudhuri calls Imam Beg Aman Beg.

<sup>2.</sup> Compare Irvine's Manucci, (J. Murray, London, 1907) Vol. IV, p. 436 (note upon Vol. II, p. 342, 1.6): a quotation from E. Terry's Voyage to the E. Indies. (1777 ed.), 382. The phrase occurs again in Letter 67, when Aurangzīb reports the death of the aged Rāja Sangrām of Jammū.

<sup>3.</sup> Hashtṣad zāt u suwār. Nadwī notes that Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's manuscript (his S) and the unreliable B read shashṣad, but in I.O., from which S. is derived, hashtṣad seems quite clear. In Letter 71, infra. Aurangzīb solicits a title for him, which the Emperor granted (Letter 73).

<sup>4.</sup> Sc., on Aurangzib's arrival, as governor, on the frontier of his province.

<sup>5.</sup> N. notes in his'U. the form Tondrapur, which Ch. reproduces as Tondapur. M.U. reads Tondapur. Fath Jang Khan Ruhela was made faujdar of "Tundapur" in Khandesh, and then of Chopra, with a rank of 1000/800. He was "benevolent, condescending, and generous, and greater than his rank implied" - ibid, (trans.) 530; text, III, 23. Tondapur was at the foot of the Fardapur pass.

<sup>6.</sup> Supplying wa between jama'it-dar and karamadani.

He had gone with Nazar Muhammad Khān<sup>1</sup> to Mashhad; from there they had been taken (burda budand) to Isfahān, whence Yādgār made his way to Baṣrah, and returned (to India) through Sūrat. On his way, he waited upon this servant.

The bounties which your Majesty confers upon the public are comparable to the limitless graces of God, and extend to every man according to his deserts and ability. Inevitably, therefore, Yādgār will receive such attention as the necessities of his case<sup>2</sup> require.

On the date of this letter, Sunday, ll Safar, (this murīd) reached the banks of the Nerbudda. By the grace of God, and through the good fortune of his upbringing (at the hands of) his perfect guide, he will use the greatest diligence (kotāhī nakhwāhad warzīd)( "will not practise deficiency") in performing his appointed duty.

May the sun of the Caliphate and of world dominion continue to shine until the dissolution of the universe!

<sup>1.</sup> This is the ruler of Balkh, Badakhshan, and Bokhara, who succeeded his brother in 1642, was driven from Bokhara by his son in 1645, and fled to Persia before the invading Mughul forces in 1646. Although he returned in the Mughul evacuation late in 1647, he was soon expelled again, and died at Simnan in 1650.

<sup>2.</sup> Reading ba qadr-i-hajat, and not following the emendation of Nadwi, ba qadr-i-halat. Ch. too reads halat.

As he draws closer to the Deccan, Aurangzīb turns to local affairs; once over the Nerbudda (which he probably crossed at Hoshangabad) he was in his own provinces.

The reference to mangoes and grapes may seem trifling; but these fine fruits were not required for the Emperor's table alone; as has been seen before, they were distributed daily among the nobles in attendance at Court.

Dianat Khan had begun the reign of Shah Jahan as Dīwan i-Sarkar (in effect, Steward of the Household) to the Empress Mumtaz Mahal; he spent the entire reign of Shah Jahan in distinguished administrative posts, and died at a great age, still active, in 1673 (Ma'asiru'l Umara, II, 37-38 (text); Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī 124 (text). Aurangzīb did not succeed in retaining him in the Deccan; an order must have come directing that he be allowed to continue his journey, for in Shah Jahan's 27th year (1653-54) he was made Dīwan-i-Sarkar to Prince Murad Bakhsh.

This letter also introduces Multafit Khan, the able revenue officer whose achievements were overshadowed by the brilliant Persian Murshid Qulī Khān. The elder son of A'zam Khān Jahāngīrī, he got his father's title after Aurangzīb's victory at Dharmat. Six weeks later, he was dead, of heat-stroke induced by exposure in armour to the sun at Samugarh: Ma'āsiru'l Umarā, III 503 (text). He was about sixty.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

The letter written in Your Majesty's own hand arrived (while this  $\underline{\text{murId}}$  was) on the banks of the Nerbudda.

This sincere-natured one thanks (Your Majesty) for the gifts of lengths of flowered muslin,  $(d\overline{u} \ d\overline{amhai})^2$  which had

<sup>1.</sup> Mss Narbada, with final he-i-hawaz; N. Narbada, with final alif. Ch. follows the mss. It would appear that Narbada was the official spelling in the seventeenth century. An anecdote related in the M.U. of Faza'il Khan Mir Hadi (died 6 Zī-Qada 1114 (13 March 1703), gives a clue to the change; he represented to Aurangzib that in such words as Bangala and Malwa the final he stood for the long Hindi a, "but was misunderstood in early Muslim times". In the coins of Etawa, the alif replaces the he in 1109/41 (1697-98); so official action must have been taken to alter the spellings. Compare the spelling of Aonla (Anwala) on coins of that mint issued under Afghan domination in the names of 'Alamgir II and Shah 'Alam II. See Ma'asiru'l Umara, III, 39-40 (text).

<sup>2.</sup> Dū dām has given difficulty to some writers. There is a clear\_explanation in Platts' Hindustanī dictionary, however, s. v. dū (p. 529): "Flowered muslin (the flowers being worked with a needle)". He explains the phrase as meaning "two threads". Monier Williams (Sanskrit Dictionary, 475) has s.v. dāman: "string, cord, rope, fetter,... girdle, chaplet, wreath ...". Compare Letter 87 (infra).

been put in the hands of his agent at Court. May almighty God maintain (pāyinda dārad) your Majesty's shadow, rooted in generosity, ('awātif pāya) over the heads of murīds!

It was written by the pen, tracing jewelled lines: "Let that <u>murīd</u> remain a little while in Burhānpūr after his arrival, and put the affairs of the Payān Ghāt in order. Let him then repair to Daulatābād, and restore the prosperity (abādān sāzad) of all four provinces of the Deccan, especially his own jāgīrs".

Hail to the pure-hearted and saintly protector! The affairs of the Payan Ghāt have (indeed) fallen into great disorder (ba-ghāyat az nasq uftāda) and it is essential to give them some attention. God willing, this <u>murīd</u> will remain in Burhānpūr for some days, in obedience to the most sacred command which has, out of graciousness, acquired the elegance of issue. When he has satisfied himself with his arrangements for the Payān Ghāt he will proceed to Daulatābād.

Through the divine guidance, and the good fortune of having been educated and advised by his guide, the most perfect of the perfect, he will spare no effort to restore the cultivation (ma'mūrī) of the Deccan provinces, and put some order into their administration. Their (present) desolation and disorder cannot be concealed; (this murīd) considers it essential to labour to his utmost capacity.

(These) lines, a treasure-house of inspiration, were inscribed: "When the mango-season comes, let the finest mangoes and many dishes of grapes, (thal-i-angur-i-bisyar) be sent (to Us)".

Hail to the qiblah of the two worlds! Before (this) noble order was issued, this <u>murid</u> sent men to watch over the mangoes fit for dispatch to the Court. As soon as the season comes, he will regard it as his own good fortune to send, regularly, (mutawātir) choice selected mangoes to the Court, the asylum of the world; exactly as he used to do (when here) before (dar dastūr-i-sābiq).

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the necessities of all who dwell on earth! Because of the poor yield from the <u>pargana</u> of

<sup>1.</sup> Note the use of the Hindī word.

Phūlerī, which the imperial divans had given him as a jāgīr in lieu of cash salary, Diānat Khān was travelling from Burhanpur to represent his case at court. Near the Nerbudda, he waited upon (dīd) this murīd; since he has been in the Deccan for some time, and is well-versed in many of its special problems, (khasūsiyāt) this murīd has kept him with him; he intends to exchange the pargana of Phūlerī, which is really very poor (zabūn) and unproductive, for another jagir, and will (thus) keep Diānat Khān in employment (bī-kār nakhwāhad guzāsht).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! The buildings in the fort of Burhanpur have not been kept in repair during the last few years, and they are now much decayed (shikast wa rekht-i-bisyār dāsht). Further, the repairs which Multafit Khān began two or three months ago are not finished yet. The day for the ceremonial entry into the town has therefore been fixed for the eleventh of Rabī'I which is more suitable than the first. Until then, (this murīd) will remain outside the city.

(This letter has no formal ending in the mss.)

<sup>1.</sup> Phuleri, following mss; but N. and Ch. read Phul Mari. There is a Phulbari in the Malda district of Bengal, and another in the Patna district of Bihar; but the reference is clearly local. For Dianat Khan (d. 1673) see M.U. II, 37-38 (text).

<sup>2.</sup> B.M. clearly has yazdahum; so has I.O. Nadwī notices yazdahum in his S and 'U, but prefers panzdahum. Chaudhurī reads yazdahum.

At last, on 9 February, 1653, Aurangzīb has reached Burhānpūr. At once he takes up the work of review and rearrangement. The life of the incomparable Murshid Qulī Khān Khurāsānī (Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā, III, 493-498) is treated elsewhere. From this letter it would seem that he had reached Burhānpūr before Aurangzīb, and had had time to familiarise himself with the poor state of one of the jāgīrs assigned for his own salary, as well as conditions generally. Recognising his worth, Aurangzīb ardently advocates his case; an ill-paid officer is unlikely to be efficient.

Saiyid 'Abdu'l Wahhab, who seems to have done well administering Baglana, is not dealt with in the Ma'asiru'l Umara. He was almost certainly India-born. In 1635 he was promoted from 300/200 to 400/300 (Selected Documents of Shah Jahan's Reign, no.3, at p.6). He supported a large following. In 1644, he was promoted to 1500/1500. As a mark, probably, of Imperial pleasure that he kept up his contingent so well, he was allowed 800 sawar du aspa sih aspah. (ibid,no.66,pp.141-142). It appears that he made the Pilgrimage; the Munshi in his list of entitulatures calls him (inter alia) Hājjīu'l haramainu'l ashrafain. (B.M.244 B; I.O. 297 A-B). The Khwāja 'Abdu'l Wahhab to whom Aurangzīb addresses a few short formal letters in the Ādab is another person: the grasping and covetous Sunnī Bohra who was Qazīu'l Quzāt from 1659 to 1675 (M.A. 143,148 (text) life in M.U., I, 235-241 (text).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Conventional salutations.

As indicated previously, I made my ceremonial entry into Burhānpūr on the eleventh of Rabī'I and have since applied myself to its affairs. When I have made satisfactory arrangements for the administration of the Payān Ghāt, I shall proceed (God willing) to Daulatābād. I trust that the results of my labours will gradually (rafta rafta) become apparent, through God's grace and the good fortune of being, in temporal and spiritual matters, under the loving care of my true preceptor and guide.

On the happy day of Monday, the second of Rabī'II, I was honoured by the arrival of a royal <u>farmān</u>, written by a <u>munshī</u>; and I learned of the sacred commands. God willing, I shall obey the obedience-compelling mandate in every matter.

Hail to the qiblah of necessities, and the ka'bah of

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. and B.M. again read yazdahum, which must be preferred to the panzdahum of Nadwī. Chaudhurī now illogically reads panzdahum, though he had yazdahum in Letter 48. Sir Jadūnath Sarkār's manuscript must have had yazdahum, as he makes the date of entry 30 January, 1653 (O.S.): Aurangzīb, I, p. 151.

desires! It used to be alleged (shunīda shud) that Baglāna was being administered well. But now that I have reached Burhanpur, the opposite of what was claimed has become apparent. Through those who stand at the foot of the throne, the residence of the Caliphate, the dismissed news-writer of Sūrat may perhaps inform Your Majesty of the truth.

Baglana has been in disorder (khūb ba'aml niyamada) since Saiyid 'Abdu'l Wahhāb's time, and I must detach some of my own men to settle it. Because of bad administration, moreover, its revenue is much less than it used to be, and to establish satisfactory government much money will need to be spent.

All four provinces of the Deccan have declined from their former well-ordered state and require close attention. To carry out the many tasks which present themselves here, I need a group of efficient men in my service.

The extent of the decay and desolation of the Deccan is well known to Your Majesty.

In view of present requirements, I have appointed, as faujdār of Baglāna, Asadu'llāh, the son of Mīr Fazlu'llāh. He is an experienced officer, and an old household servant; I have ascertained that he has served efficiently in previous appointments in Sultānpūr, Nadrbār, and Choprā. His mansab is 500 zāt, and 100 sawār, dū aspah sih aspah. I have added 500 zāt and 800 sawār, dū aspah sih aspah, for the duration of his present appointment, thus proposing a total original and additional rank of 1000 zāt and 900 sawār, dū aspah sih aspah. I have confirmed two of his former mahāls; the rest of his salary for the (continued) original and additional (ranks)

<sup>1.</sup> Note the Urdū idiom; khūb is used adverbially.

<sup>2.</sup> There is a short note on both in M.U. under the father's name; he was a Saiyid of Bokhara, came to India in Jahangir's reign, and died; the inference is, of the effects of practising alchemy, in which he was remoured to have had some success. The son was Bakhshī to Aurangzīb when first viceroy of the Deccan (1636-1644); did not go with him to Balkh; was faujdār of Haringāon and Choprā in Khāndesh, and was accidentally killed during Aurangzīb's siege of Golconda in 1656; Ma'āsiru'l Umarā, II, 461-465.

has been provided out of tan-jagīrs in Baglāna.

All of my own men posted to various forts have been recalled, except those who were there in accordance with imperial orders; their postings have been confirmed.

Hail to this sincere murīd's qiblah and ka'bah! 'Uṣmān, an Afghān, whose manṣab is 1000 zāt and 800 sawār, was previously faujdār of the sarkār of Bījāgaṛh. This sarkār, now that Shāyista Khān has been transferred, is in my jagir; and a faujdār is needed in Sultānpūr and Nadrbār, which belong to the imperial khāliṣa: I have therefore made my servant Beg Muḥammad Khweshgī faujdār of Baglāna, appointing a force of cavalry and infantry to serve under him; and I have made 'Uṣmān faujdār of Sultānpūr and Nadrbār. I have increased his manṣab by 200 sawār for the duration of this appointment, so that his rank (original and supplementary) will now be 1000 zāt and 1000 sawār.

Hail to the qiblah of men's necessities, and the ka'bah of their desires: The imperial Court appointed Murshid Qulī to be the dīwān of the Bālā Ghāṭ. Through Your Majesty's munificence, he had been holding fertile jāgīrs in Hindustān, and (part of his salary was paid in) cash. Upon reaching here, I came to know (some facts about) his pargana of Saudā. Its assessed revenue is 10,850,000 dāms (Rs. 271,250); but last year, the actual revenue was (only) 71,000 odd rupees (c. 2,840,000 dāms); of this total,50,000 odd rupees (c. 2,000,000 dāms) were (the subject of a claim against) natural calamities, and 20,000 odd rupees have been received into the imperial treasury. Your Majesty has been graciously pleased to reduce the assessment (of Saudā) by 5,700,000 dams; (Rs. 142,500) so 5,000,000 dāms (Rs. 140,000) remain to Munshid Qulī Khān as salary.

With such a poverty-stricken jagir, which yields so little, it is difficult for him to carry out his duties; so in addition I have confirmed to him 3,000,000 dams (75,000 rupees) out of the revenue of this pargana (which used to be (one of) Dianat Khān's) on the basis of the imperial salary scale. As

<sup>1.</sup> About 25 miles north of Chopra and about 55 miles south of Māndū, in a direct line between them both; and about 70 miles W.S.W. of Khāndwa.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. omits this entire phrase, and the words jama'darad from the previous phrase.

usual, the rest of his salary has been settled against the (lands held by) the former <u>jāgīrdārs</u> of Saudā, upon whose transfer they were granted to Murshid Qulī. I have granted the old <u>jāgīrdārs</u> mahāls yielding five or six months' cash salary in exchange<sup>2</sup>.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine over the heads of the inhabitants of the world until the end of time!

l. SAUDA still remains a "pargana of Khandesh," as it was when a parwancha was issued on 19 May, 1635 respecting jagIrs for "Saiyid Abdu'l Wahhab son of Saiyid 'Abdu'r Rahman". Sauda was then assessed to 956750 dam (Rs. 23918.12.0) and granted to one of the Saiyid's brothers (Selected Documents of Shah Jahan's reign, no. 3, at p.15).

<sup>2.</sup> N. and Ch. both have Murshid Qulī Khan, though N. notes the absence of the title in his M. It was not conferred until 1654 (Letter 55, infra) note 2 to p.4: I.O. reads 'iwaz dar mahālī; other mss, 'iwaz dar mahāl,which seems better.

Had the ambassadors of the Deccan kings delayed their visit to Aurangzīb much beyond 20 April, 1653 - over two months since his arrival at Burhānpūr - there might have been fresh strain in their relations with the Mughals. Had the cousin of Shāh 'Abbās II pressed on to Golconda, there might have been another. Both the Deccan kingdoms were Shī'ī; Golconda in particular maintained direct though intermittent relations with the Shāh, and the usual Shī'a rituals were performed in the mosques. For Mīr Sālih to make for that court was not surprising; but it was Mughal policy to block off the flow of talent which for over two centuries had been enriching the Deccan from all directions, but especially Īrān.

The keen interest in the cargo of horses just landed at Sūrat reflects the fact that the best-esteemed blood was imported; native breeds were little valued.

The despatch of Zia'ud-dīn Husain with an armed escort to collect revenue in Berar occurred on 23 April 1653. By 1656, he was functioning as Dīwan-i-sarkar-i-'alī; but not as Dīwan of the entire Mughul Deccan, as the editors of Selected Documents of Shah Jahan's Reign seem to imply in their abstract of no.102, a yad-dasht of 22 February 1656 (p. 202).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

On the happy day of Monday, 22 Jumada I, the <u>hājibs</u> (hujjāb) of the temporal rulers of the Deccan, ("the worldly men of the Deccan") arrived with their petitions, and waited upon me; they laid before me the gifts that had been sent with them.

'Adil Khan has sent some gold turban-fringes (turra) and a gold-encrusted thumb-ring (to wear when drawing a bow), (zihgīrī-i-murassa') and an elephant with trappings. Never before has he sent me such a miserable gift. In obedience, however, to Your Majesty's orders (that these rulers be tactfully treated) I have refrained from returning his gifts.

Qutbu'l Mulk has sent a ring, set with a diamond not

l. Fīlī ba tala'ir. Tala'ir is equivalent to the Hindi jhul. See Ma'āsir-i-'Ālamgīrī, translated by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār, P. 328, where the term appears in the glossary with a question mark beside it. It does not appear in Steingass, nor in Vullers; but is probably akin to tila, gold, and means trappings studded with gold. Such a meaning accords well with the context in the lists of gifts in the Ma'asir-i 'Alamgiri. N. reads talāya.

wanting in flaws; a small quantity of other things set with gold and jewels; (He has also sent) six elephants; four for me, and two for Your Majesty's household servants. At a suitable time they shall pass, God willing, before Your Majesty's eye.

As commanded by Your Majesty, I shall soon send (envoys) to Bijapur and Golconda; Ja'far to Bijapur, and 'Abdu'l Latif, once diwan of Qandahar and now my servant, to Golconda. With each I shall dispatch quantities of gold-mounted articles and lengths of Gujarat cloth.

It would probably be better, both for our general political advantage and for the prompt carrying out of Your Majesty's commands, if these (rulers) were to recognise that their dealings with us should be directed through the <u>subahdār</u> of the Deccan. However, anything that occurs to the happy heart will be the marrow of good policy, and the essence of propriety.

Hail to the <u>ka'bah</u> of men's desires! Mīr Ṣāliḥ, who is the son of Mīr Qawāmu'd Dīn Māzandarānī, son of Shāh 'Abbās' maternal uncle, has just come from Sūrat. He had reached Aurangābād, and intended to go thence to Golconda; but I summoned him to Burhānpūr, and have sent him to the Court, the refuge of creation. I am sure that after he has acquired nobility of kissing its exalted floor, he will be admitted into imperial service with a rank appropriate to his worth and capacity.

Hail to the true guide! The mace-bearer (gurzburdar)
'Abdu'r Raḥīm, sent from Court to Sūrat to buy Arab horses,
has just reached Burhānpūr, on his return journey to the
luminous Presence. With him is a man sent by the ruler (hākim)
of Qatīf. Apart from the governor's peshkash, there are
fifteen horses. One is an 'Irāqī hack, eight years old, whose
price has not yet been fixed. It is of high breeding (asil-ikalān) and very fine; were its hindquarters ('aqb) equal to its

<sup>1.</sup> N. suggests Qazīf, but manuscripts have Qatīf. B.M. is quite clear. Qatīf is a port on the north-western shore of the Gulf of Baḥrain, in Sa'udī Arabian territory, the site of oil-wells discovered in 1945, and a junction of oil pipelines.

forequarters, it would be highly suitable for your Majesty to ride (khailī pasand-i-tab-i aqdas mīshawad). Yet it is a noble beast, (ayina-i-khūbī dārad) and well worthy of Your Majesty's stable.

There is also a high-spirited grey, (asp-i-nīla-i-sarjang) four years old, of high breeding, and middle height. The master of the cargo-ship (ganjā-dār) (on which the horses were imported) bought it at Mocha for two thousand rupees. It is worth as much as five or six thousand; for it is capable of great improvement, (taraqqī-i-bisyār khwāhad kard) despite being lean at present, and not fully mature.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of this <u>murīd</u>! I wrote before, <sup>3</sup> that I would send one of my men to the province of Berār, in accordance with the world-obeyed mandate. Accordingly, on 25 Jumāda I, I despatched to Ellichpūr Ziā'u'd-dīn Ḥusain, an experienced officer who was formerly my Mīr Bakhshī, <sup>4</sup> with a force of four hundred mounted archers and matchlock-men and two hundred musketeers on foot. <sup>5</sup> I have written to Shāh Beg

<sup>1.</sup> Mukhā clearly pointed with zamma in B.M.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwi inverts the sense by reading ba kamal rasida. The manuscripts read narasida. Ch. follows them.

<sup>3.</sup> The letter is not in the manuscripts - further proof that what survives is a selection, not the complete file, of Aurangzīb's letters to his father.

<sup>4.</sup> In Gujarat, perhaps (1645-1647), or in Multan; but it appears from M.U. (s.v. Mir Fazlu'llah Bokhari) that Asadu'llah (letter 49, supra) was bakhshi during Aurangzīb's first viceroyalty in the Deccan.

<sup>5.</sup> And by this show of force the local zamīndārs were to be overawed, and induced to pay revenue they might otherwise have withheld. Aurangzīb means to establish strong government from the start of his viceroyalty. The classic statement in a European source is Manucci's: "Throughout the empire the king is obliged to maintain ... faujdārs ... for if he had no such officers no one would pay him either revenue or tribute. For the people of India never pay without being forced, and to collect half the total quantity of supplies that they are under obligation to pay to the crown, it is necessary to tie up the principal husbandmen. These latter collect with equally severe measures from the peasants ..." After describing the tortures employed, Manucci concludes: "In this way, little by little, the peasants pay what they owe. This habit is much honoured among the husbandmen - that is, never to pay readily; and to undergo these torments and this disgrace is among them an honour." Manucci, Storia do Mogor (tr. Irvine) II, 450-51.

Khan that he should depart for Burhanpur the moment Zia'u'd dīn arrives. 1

The career of Shah Beg Khan, a regular progression, is here summarised from the Ma'asiru'l Umara, II, 665-667 (text): at the death of Jahangir - 1000/400:

lst year of Shah Jahan: the title of Khan conferred; sent with Saiyid 'Abdu'llah Khan of Barha against Jujhar Singh (who had revolted in June, 1628, and submitted in February, 1629)

promoted by 500/200 to 1500/600 2nd year

3rd year further promoted to 2000/1000, and given a banner

300 <u>sawār</u> added; now 2000/1300 4th year

promoted successively to 2000/2000, and then to 6th year

3000/3000

marched under Khān-i-Zamān (son of the first 9th year Mahabat Khan) against Shahjī Bhonsle and 'Adil

Khan, where he showed great heroism

promoted 4000/3000, and made qila'dar of the qasba 10th year of? Junner? (illegible in the micro-fiche used

by me)

15th year given a drum, and made Subahdar of the province of Berar (under Aurangzīb, who was then in his first viceroyalty)

called to Court, where "it would appear that he was 18th year made <u>faujdar</u> of Meerut" (Shah Nawaz Khan must have had doubts about this; he adds his authority,

however: "ki ṣāhib-i-Pādshāhnāma mīnawisht".)

summoned from Meerut to march with Prince Murad 19th year to Balkh and Badakhshan

commandant (haris) of the fort of Ghor, where he 20th year exerted himself in dispersing Uzbek marauders

again summoned to Court; given a robe; and, as Meerut had been put in another faujdar's hands, he was given some jagirs in Berar, and given leave

to go to the Deccan.

Here there is a gap until the 28th year, but these letters allow us to fill it. This letter shows, that from 1648 to 1653 he was apparently in Berar; perhaps functioning as faujdar of Ellichpur. There are thirteen letters to him in the Adabi-'Alamgīrī, all apparently of 1657-58. The Ma'asiru'l Umarā notes his appointment\*in the 28th year, and his dismissal in the 29th (no cause being assigned). In 1656 (the 30th year) he marched with Aurangzib against Golconda, and after Aurangzīb's retreat remained until the rains had ended (sc., late August) hovering about the Imperial frontier with three thousand cavalry. When Aurangzib marched north in 1658, he was left as faujdar of the environs of Aurangabad; ba'd az an ahwalash daryaft nist. An extraordinary conclusion to such a career! As he rises from obscurity in Shah Nawaz' pages merely as "an Uzbek" with no genealogy given, so he sinks from sight, after over thirty years' distinguished and energetic service.

21st year

May the curtains of the glorious sultanate remain suspended from the poles of imperishable perpetuity!

Aurangzīb is reminded to press on to Daulatābād, the official capital of Mughal Deccan (though the residence of the viceroy was in Aurangābād, eight or ten miles east.) But the real interest of this letter is in Aurangzīb's failure to get one of his own men appointed to a manṣab, thus becoming (so to speak) a covenanted officer. The Emperor strictly reserves his perogative of awarding manṣabs; a viceroy may recommend candidates, and may even bestow them conditionally; but no more. By urging his suit with the argument that Asadu'llāh is a Saiyid, Aurangzīb doubtless hopes to make it embarrassing to refuse him promotion. He himself had no real illusions; his remarks on the Saiyids of Bārha are worth reading (Aḥkām-i-'Ālamgīrī, translated by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār, p. 79).

The importance of the point Shāh Jahān makes about Asadu'llāh's pay is that no matter how much Aurangzīb gives him, or however high he raises him in his vice-regal court, his imperial mansab remains 500/100; well below the level of an amīr. Only to men in imperial service could mansabs be granted and titles awarded. Even Aurangzīb's fosterbrother Mīr Malik Husain, who entered the zumra-i-bandahā'i-Pādshāhī"in this very year, began with the rank of 800/100, and got no title (M.U. I, 798 (text).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!
Conventional salutations.

Acknowledgement of the receipt, on Wednesday, 10 Jumāda I of a letter in the Emperor's own hand, and of a farmān written by a munshi on Friday, 12th (dū rūz ba'd-i ān) (neither letter is dated)

### Effusive thanks.

(This) sacred order was issued: "You should by now have made satisfactory administrative arrangements (band-u-bast-i an kamā yanbaghī namūda) for the Payān Ghāt, and be relieved of that responsibility (az muhimmāt ... fārigh shuda bāshad). Immediately upon receipt (ba-mujarrad-i waṣūl) of this command, the image of felicity, (In nishān-i sa'ādat timṣāl) you should proceed to Daulatābād. Consider the Bālā Ghāt and the Payān Ghāt as if they were your own, (az khwud dānista) and make proper dispositions for their management."

Hail to my saintly protector! How shall I give thanks for this regal condescension of my spiritual guide? May the Almighty keep the lofty (buland-paya) shadow of the murid-

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhurī's text has sa'ī after yanbaghī, without ms authority. Did his scribe consider yanbaghī (sc., oportet) an adjective?

cherishing guide spread over the heads of slaves:1

It will be clear to the view of the heart of angelic penetration, that I have ever (hama waqt) regarded (tasawwur namuda) the performance of services to the Empire as the surest way to attain my desires in this world of the next, and that in that duty I expend myself to the utmost. Caring nothing for mere bodily comfort I pass (saraf sazad) as much of my time as possible in attending to public business.

The decay of the Deccan is not of a kind that can be quickly restored; the province has been neglected these ten years. In my absence, (ghā ibāna) I could not put the affairs of those territories in order as well as I could if present; (dar būdan-i-khwud) and I know that it is a matter of concern to your Majesty, that these districts be administered well. Further, there is no business so urgent in Daulatābād as to justify my hurrying there without concluding my arrangements (nazm wa nasq) for the Payān Ghāt. So, even though the climate of Daulatābād is pleasant and agreeable, and I hold that region in high regard, I would prefer (mīkhwāst) to remain some time in Burhānpūr, to compose my mind about Khāndesh, Berār, and the Payān Ghāt.

Now that a noble command to that effect has been issued, I am ready to set off for Daulatabad; but were it to be ordered that I should go there at the beginning of Shahrewar, (sc., late in August) the Payan Ghat might be put into better order than if I went now (behtar az īn sūrat bāyad). However, anything which may occur to the sacred heart is the essence of good policy.

In reply to the request I sent the Court, the asylum of the world, for an increase in rank for Asadu'llāh, the son of Mīr Fazlu'llāh, and my proposal that he be appointed faujdār of Baglāna, (this) lofty order has acquired the adornment of being issued: "If you want Asadu'llāh to serve as faujdār of Baglāna while remaining a member of the imperial cadre (dar zumra-i bandahā'i-i Pādshāhī munsalik būda) your proposal that his rank be increased is beside the point; (hisābī nīst)

<sup>1.</sup> In this loyal outburst, Aurangzīb once again employs the first personal pronoun.

for Baglāna is part of your in'ām. You may pay him anything you like out of your own resources for administering Baglāna; but you may not increase his imperial manṣab."

Hail to the giblah of men's necessities, and the ka'ba of their desires! The decision of the sacred heart is excellent; but in that same letter I indicated that Baglana produced a low revenue, and was costly to administer. It was in the light of that fact that I considered that a man in the imperial service should be chosen. I recalled the fact that (nazr ba anki) for this employment 'Umr (Tarīn), (although) an Afghān, was promoted from 300 zāt 100 sawār to 1000 zāt 1000 sawar du aspah sih aspah, and I (therefore) proposed a (similar) promotion for Asadu'llah, who is not only an hereditary officer of wide experience, but is also a Saiyid; and whose present substantive rank is 500 zat and 100 sawar (dū aspah sih aspah). Most of his jāgīrs, both for his original rank and the increased rank (proposed) are in Baglana; I have not sought compensation for (these, which were my own) in am mahals. I considered my suggestion to be in the financial interests (mutazammin-i-kifāyat) of the government, founded in the firmament. If it meets with acceptance, well and good; if not, I shall give him the salary appropriate to the (proposed) increased rank out of Baglana, which your Majesty's graciousness has conferred upon me.

A world-obeyed mandate has obtained the honour of issue: "Since your letter shows that neither 'Umr Tarīn nor the men you have sent can manage Baglāna properly, it is ordered that you send 'Umr to Court."

Hail to my perfect guide! Those who stand at the foot of the exalted throne would know very well how many of my men have gone to Baglana, how long they have remained, and how they have thrown everything into confusion.<sup>2</sup> Had I put men

l. Chaudhurī in his index (but not in his text) calls him 'Umr Khān. I have found no further reference to him; but it is likely that he should be identified with "Asylum of Heroism, Powerhouse of Courage, most excellent in generosity and refinement, 'Umr Tarīn" of the Munshī's list of titles: I.O., 298A (first line). The tone of Aurangzīb's parenthesis points up the general reluctance of the Mughuls to promote Afghāns.

<sup>2.</sup> Aurangzīb is of course ironic; he means to say that certain courtiers have misrepresented him, and that he has sent nobody to Baglāna. Irony is one of Aurangzīb's favourite weapons.

into the administration of Baglāna for even a short time, (yakchandī) their actions would have been reported to Your Majesty.

'Umr Tarīn is being sent to Court, as ordered.

Your Majesty has been pleased to command a remission of Rs. 50,000 in the amount of the <u>zamīndār</u> of Chāndā's regular tribute, (commencing from) the first of Farwardīn in the 26th year of the ever-blooming accession, and has commanded the collection of any arrears of the 25th year, (outstanding) up to the last day of Isfandār, which he might owe. God willing, what is ordered shall be done.

An obedience-compelling order has obtained the honour of issue: "Shāh Beg Khān does not maintain the prescribed number of troops. Send him to Court. If you wish, you may take the parganas he holds in tuyūl in exchange for your cash salary; otherwise, appoint in his place someone who is efficient and has an adequate contingent."

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u> of this <u>murīd</u>! The state of the contingents of officers posted to the Deccan, and their general condition, is well known to your Majesty. My weak wit knows of nobody who has more men than Shāh Beg <u>Khān</u>, nor of anyone better able than he to manage affairs here. However, in obedience to the command that I may, if I wish, take his <u>tuyūl maḥāls</u> in exchange for my cash salary, I shall in a few days send (God willing) one of my experienced men with a suitable contingent to take possession, and shall despatch Shāh Beg <u>Khān</u> to kiss the threshold of the lofty Court.

To keep the province of Berar in order, a large contingent is required (dar kar ast). Trusting in the limitless imperial bounty, I hope that when your Majesty receives the accounts of the actual yield of the parganas of Shah Beg Khan's (old) jagir, you will be pleased to recall your devoted servant's salary, paid in cash on a ten-month scale without deductions for natural calamities, and will condescend to add the parganas of Ellichpur and Ankot to the total of those which the happy heart has selected, and vouchsafed to constitute my salary.

However, I shall regard anything which may be commanded as my own felicity.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain forever shining upon the horizon of the greatness of the sultanate!

After tarrying three months in Burhanpur, Aurangzīb was startled and upset to receive, on 14 May 1653, a manshur from his father reprehending his private conduct. The occasion of the paternal rebukes which called forth the anguished excuses of this letter was most likely Aurangzīb's amorous affair with Hīrā Bā'ī, a concubine of his maternal uncle by marriage, Mīr Khalīl. A man of thirty-five, the father of six children with a seventh (Muḥammad  $\bar{A}$ 'zam) about to be born, he submitted to the seductions of this girl, whom he took into his own harem as Zainābādī Maḥal, and dallied with for many months until she expired; to Aurangzīb's great but not lasting grief.

Shāh Nawāz Khān relates that Mīr 'Askarī (the later 'Āqil Khān, Rāzī, who lived until 1696) remonstrated with the prince, who in the first anguish of his sorrow was rushing out alone to hunt. Aurangzīb replied in verse:

Grieving at home gives no solace to the heart; In the lonely jungle (biyaban) I can cry out to my heart's content

Mir 'Askari replied:

Love seemed so easy; alas, but how hard it was!
To part was so hard; but what ease the lover (yar) gained!

(Ma'asiru'l Umara, I, 792 (text)

To His Majesty, the shadow of God!

Short conventional acknowledgement of a royal letter delivered by the <u>yasāwal</u> Fāzil on 16 Jumādà II [1063]/14 May 1653

After offering the salutations due from a <u>murīd</u>, I learned of the obedience-compelling orders contained therein; the words of counsel and advice written by the jewelled pen have been laid up in my mind and heart (ba jān wa dil talaqqī namūd).

Hail to the saintly protector! The faults of this entirely defective slave are more than one can count, as I have submitted time and again before now. May the power of the true guide's spiritual direction, and the grace of his correction of my faults, ever accompany me! Praised be almighty God, that despite all these blemishes, I am guilty of no act (hechgāh misdāriamrī... nagashta) which might be contrary to the will of God, or of God's shadow on earth. 2

The reports recently laid before Your Majesty are a tissue of lies (maḥz-i-khilāf ast). The truth should have reached Your Majesty; it is set out in the letter which I sent to (my) agent at the sublime court, in response to a letter

<sup>1.</sup> There is a life of 'Aqil Khan in M.U., II, 821-823, with remarks on his poetic prowess; but this episode is from the life of Mir Khalil.

<sup>2.</sup> Aurangzīb once again shows no scruple in assimilating the imperial authority with the divine.

by order (hasbu'l hukm) which he wrote (me). I recognise that conduct of the kind (referred to in this letter) is despised by all men; (ba sā'iru'l insān) how could I sink to such a depth?

It is clear to the heart of angelic penetration, that to resist what the Almighty Creator has ordained is beyond the power of man; for what is written will assuredly come to pass. Anything which God provides for a man will happen, whether he abhor it or desire it.

In abundance of wisdom, extent of experience, and mental power and foresight, the true qiblah and ka'bah is distinguished above all men. (Your Majesty's) solicitous guidance is entirely directed towards the welfare of slaves. The universal generosity of the most perfect of perfect guides has given me constant ground for hope that, just as God (may his power be glorified) who perpetually lavishes limitless benefits upon his creation, does not, despite all the fulness of his omnipotence, punish anyone unless he has committed a crime or sin, so Your Majesty, who is the shadow of the Creator (on earth), imitating the example of the divine graciousness, will not suffer murids, without any fault or offence of their own, on a single (unsupported) accusation, (ba mujarrad-i-qaulī) the truth of which cannot be verified, to be exposed to calumnies beyond their capacity to bear, and to be laid open to public contumely and contempt.1

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of mortals! A lofty order has been issued upon my going to Daulatabad, and upon the despatch of money from the treasury there to the luminous court. God willing, I shall set off when an auspicious moment is at hand.

A lofty <u>farman</u> has acquired the nobility of issue, that money be sent to Murshid Qulī, the <u>dīwān</u> of the Balā Ghāt. As soon as he has made arrangements, I shall send him the money, through Sazāwār Khān.<sup>2</sup>

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate's world dominion remain ever spread over the heads of humanity!

l. Aurangzib's flirtation with Hīra Bā'ī is discussed by Sir Jadunath Sarkar in his Ahkam-i-'Ālamgīrī, pp 39-41, and sources there quoted.

<sup>2.</sup> A note on Sazawar Khan is appended to this letter.

# Note to Letter 52

The long career of Sazawar Khan is treated in the Ma'asiru'l Umara, II, 438-440 (text). He was a son of Lashkar Khan Abu'l Hasan, on whom there is a separate entry; at Jahangīr's death he was middle-aged, an <u>amīr</u> holding the rank of 1000/500. Under Shah Jahan, he rose as follows:

lst year: serving at <u>Kabul</u> with his father the "Nazim" (governor); joined in repelling the abortive invasion of Nazr Muhammad <u>Khan</u> of Balkh. After the <u>Khan</u> was driven off, Sazawar Khan was raised to 1500/600.

3rd year: 2000/700: to the Deccan with the Emperor.

4th year: at the request of 'Azam Khān Jahāngīrī, sent to serve under him.

5th year: sent to his father Lashkar Khan, now subshdar of Delhi.

6th year: vice Jan Sipar Khan, sent as <u>faujdar</u> of the Lakhī Jungle (cf Letter 43 supra, and notes). Promoted to 2500/2000, and given a banner and a drum.

8th year: dismissed (ma'zul)

9th year: marched to the Deccan under Khan-i-Dauran; distinguished himself in the siege and capture of Ausa (which fell on 19 October 1636).

10th year: promoted 2500/2500.

11th year: again promoted, 3000/2500, "thus rising superior to his contemporaries."

15th year: marched to the Presence, to join Dara upon the expedition being mounted in defence of Qandahar against "the ruler of Iran" (wali-i-Iran); but news came of Shah Safi's death, and the abandonment of his plans.

17th year: appointed <u>qila'dar</u> of Juner upon the death of Sipahdar Khan (cf Letter 70, infra); and it was probably about this time that he was made <u>faujdar</u> of Sultanpur and Nadrbar (correcting the text's "Nander"), an appointment taken from him in the 22nd year."

Here there is a gap; Shah Nawaz Khan merely says, that "after he had lain sick some time, his mansab was suspended," and that in the 29th year "after he recovered," he was called to Court, given audience, and sent as <u>faujdar</u> of Tirhut. "He had the rank of 3000/2000 and was granted many <u>mahals</u> there as <u>tuyul</u>", but died soon after reaching his post, in 1065. This must have been after the rains of 1655, in September or October; 1065 ended on 30 October 1655.

The combination of the faujdari of Sultanpur and Nadrbar, which Sazawar Khan apparently held in 1654 (cf Letter 80 ) with the gila'dari of Juner is not likely. Qila'dars were supposed to remain within their forts. (cf Letter 70) Probably his first illness fell before Aurangzīb's second viceroyalty. A passage in Letter 59 shows that he still held the pargana of Nadrbar in jagIr in the latter part of 1654; but he was not faujdar, for AurangzTb had already appointed one 'Usman . to that post in 1653 (Letter 49, supra). The Emperor was doubtful of Sazawar Khan's health, and early in 1655 ordered Aurangzīb to send him to Court "if the cup of his life be not already filled." AurangzTb replied that he was in full possession of his faculties; but Sultanpur had already been conferred upon Muhammad Sultan, and Aurangzīb now proposed that Nadrbar be added to Multafit Khan's jagīrs; so in 1655 the old warrior marched off towards Delhi, his son Shafqatu'llah at his side. Aurangzīb recorded the event with praise, and regret: Letter 93.

Perhaps Sazawar Khan fell ill again, and was again suspended from service (ma'zul); at any rate, he went to his last command with a mansab of 3000/2000, 500 sawar less than he had attained nearly twenty years before.

Nur Muhammad, the deceased <u>faujdar</u> of Fathabad Dharur, got a <u>mansab</u> early in Shah Jahan's reign, and served under A'zam Khan Jahangiri, the father of Multafit Khan and Mir Khalil, who captured Dharur from the Nizam Shahis in January, 1631. He received the title of 'Arab Khan about August, 1634; his rank was 1500/800. In the next year he was appointed <u>qila'dar</u> of Dharur and promoted to 2000/1500 (500 2h 3h). Later raised by 500 <u>sawar</u>, he got a drum in the 24th year. After holding the fort "with honour and distinction for seventeen years" he died in 1653.

Mīr Khalīl was the second son of A'zam Khān Jahāngīrī (d. 1647). It was through marrying a daughter of Aurangzīb's maternal grandfather Yamīnu'd Daula Āṣaf Khān (d. 1641) that he was connected to the Imperial family. The Emperor duly confirmed him in command of Dhārūr and the proposed rank of 2000/1000; accepting also Aurangzīb's recommendation of a title, he honoured him as Muftakhir Khān (M.U. I, 787 (text); Letter 55, infra). In 1658, at Burhānpūr on his march north, Aurangzīb gave the paternal title A'zam Khān to the elder brother, and the title Sipahdār Khān to the younger, with a rank of 3000/2000; six weeks later, after Dharmat, the more exalted title of Khan-i-Zamān was conferred. After serving almost exclusively in the Deccan, and reaching 5000/4000, he died as Subahdār of Mālwa late in 1684. He must have been close on eighty years old. (Life and anecdotes in Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā, I, 785-792 (text)).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God: Briefest conventional salutations.

From the official news-reports your Majesty will have learned of the death of 'Arab Khān. Fatḥābād¹ is a frontier fort, and it is essential that a trustworthy officer command it. I have accordingly directed Mīr Khalīl to proceed thither; he is to reach Fatḥābād as soon as possible, and to attend to all outstanding matters (ba lawāzim-i-khidmat-i-marju'a bepardāzad). He is an hereditary servant, an officer of agreeable manners and much experience, (kār-talab-i-khwush-salūk) and has served with distinction in the artillery. For this appointment as commandant of Fatḥābād, I propose an addition to his rank of 500 zāt, 200 ordinary sawār, and 600 sawār, du aspah sih aspah. His rank was 1500 zāt and 800 sawār; so it will now be, original and increased, 2000 zāt

l. Fathābād Dhārūr is at the foot of the Bālāghāt Range, some twenty-five miles south-east of Bīr, and thirty miles due south of the Godavery. It should not be confused with Dhārwar in North Kanara, which remained in 'Ādil Shāhī hands until the fall of Bījāpūr in 1686.

and 1000 sawar (600 du aspah sih aspah).

As well as the pargana of Daryāpūr, assessed at 70 lakhs of dām, which constituted his jagir, and which through his good management (az khwush-salūk-i-khwesh) has had more done for it (ziyāda burda) in the one year that it has been (assigned as) his salary than (would normally be done) in two years, I have granted him the environs of Fathābād as tan-jāgīr, with effect from the death of 'Arab Khān. The assessed revenue of these lands is 88 lakhs of dām; 3 lakhs have been remitted, so 85 lakhs (remain).

If officers of Mīr Khalīl's type (īn qism-i-bandahā'ī) are employed in frontier forts, it would be a matter of great satisfaction. If, out of Your Majesty's abounding generosity to mankind, he were to be encouraged by the gracious grant of a title, it would be a perfect example of solicitude for insignificant beings, and of kindness towards old servants.

Hoshdar, the son of Multafit Khan, is a khanazad worthy of encouragement, and is a skilful musketeer. I have therefore appointed him darogha of the Ordinance (ba daroghagi-i-topkhana). It is some time since he has had a promotion, so I have added 200 zat and 100 sawar to his rank for the duration of his tenure; so that he now enjoys a rank, original and increased, of 900 zat and 400 sawar.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine brilliant from the horizon of grandeur!

<sup>1.</sup> In the eastern Payan Ghāt, south of the Gāwilgarh hills.

<sup>2.</sup> See Letter 55, (800/400) Letter 58 (dismissed) Letter 75 (appointed <u>faujdar</u> of Kalam, 900/400). A life is given in M.U. III,  $94\overline{3-945}$  (text). The author claims that Hoshdar later passed on some of his skill in firearms by instructing the youthful Prince A'zam in their use.

This letter outlines the grounds for the incipient quarrel between the kings of Bījapūr and Golconda; they have both been occupying land in "the Carnatic", which covers, roughly, the northern part of the present Tamil Nadu and the southern part of the now Andhra Pradesh. 'Adil Khān's southeraid thrust had brought him up against the Nāyak of Madurai, and so his armies turned northwards, to find themselves in conflict with the Golconda forces under Mir Jumla. The nominal sovereign of these lands was the unhappy representative of the vanished Vijayanagar dynasty, referred to in these letters as Śrī Ranga Rayal.

The system of sharing the crop is described summarily by Moreland ("The Agrarian System of Moslem India," pp. 182-185) and more compendiously by 'Irfan Habīb in Chapter VI of his masterly "The Agrarian System of Mughal India". His conclusion (pp. 227-228) is that the collection of revenue on the batā'ī principle was a temporary step. It was subordinated to actual mensuration of the cultivable land in each village, which was thenceforth to be the basis of the assessment. He goes further: "Aurangzīb ... declares that Crop-sharing had proved a very expensive method". In support, he quotes Letter 68 (infra) and a parallel letter to Sadu'llāh Khān the wazīr (B.M. 118A, ). These letters relate, however, not to batā'ī as such, but to the generally deficient state of Deccan finances, and Murshid Qulī Khān's particular difficulties in doing his work on a salary greatly reduced by being given Deccani jagirs yielding about five-twelfths of the jama' in place of his jagirs in "Hindustan the model of fertility", which gave him twice as much.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgements of a letter signed by the Emperor, (muzaiyan ba khat-i-qudsī) delivered on Thursday, 14 Sha'bān by 'Alī Reza', with a full suit of honour (turban included)

#### Formal thanks.

It is and ever will be clear to the <u>murīd</u> of the Guide of Mortals, brilliant as the sun, that I unceasingly beg the Court of the Almighty that I may obtain the grace of meeting the wishes of my preceptor and guide. I hope, that that grace will always be available to me.

I shall act as commanded in the matter of my policy (suluk) towards the Hypocrites of the Deccan (Dunyadaran-i-Dakan). I have heard, that 'Adil Khan is at present on the worst of terms (dar maqam-i-purkhash wa siteza) with Qutbu'l Mulk, and that he is in the process of occupying (dast-i-tasarruf ... daraz kunad) Qutbu'l Mulk's (newly-won) dependencies, seized by him from the local chieftains of the Carnatic (zamīndaran-i-Karnatak). Should there be war, I shall offer Qutbu'l Mulk such aid as may be ordered.

A world-obeyed command has obtained the elegance of issue,

that I should treat Qutbul Mulk with unremitting courtesy, and ensure that he continues content with my conduct towards him. So if I were to learn the contents of every obedience—compelling command which the Court of the Caliphate issues to him, and if he were ordered to direct his replies through me, I would be able to keep him in the very best of humours with me.

A world-obeyed mandate has acquired the honour of issue: "You must make strenuous efforts to increase cultivation in the Deccan. Mushid Qulī is an intelligent and experienced officer, who has been confirmed in the office of <u>Dīwān</u>. You must pay heed to everything his loyalty prompts him to advise, and you must make every effort to establish the system of <u>batā'i</u>, and to repeal (bar taraf namūdan) any regulations whose enforcement harms the country.

Hail to the saintly protector! I have constantly been doing all I can to increase the (revenue-paying) population of the Deccan, and have devoted my attention to all four provinces; which will, I hope, through the policy of <a href="mailto:bata'I">bata'I</a>, put on a smiling aspect (nasq-i-shāyista bayābad). What has been written by the tongue of the inspired pen is a very miracle. I know what an excellent officer he is; (ū-ra īn chunīn banda dānista) it was I who requested that he be appointed <a href="mailto:Dīwān">Dīwān</a> of the Balā Ghāt. In every matter, I have taken his help; and I shall continue to do so.

Hail to the <u>ka'ba</u> of men's desires! Relying on Your Majesty's never-failing generosity, Murshid Quli has hopes of a title, which would increase his dignity. Were grace accorded to Multafit Khān, too, by the grant of a standard, it would be a source of pride to him.

A lofty order has obtained the nobility of issue upon the pargana of Bir: From the beginning of the kharif season of the Year of the Horse (ilan il) let (Bīr) be entirely resumed into the imperial Khālisa. In exchange for whatever you hold in tuyūl in that pargana, a cash salary on the tenmonthly scale is granted."

Hail to the qiblah of the necessities of those who dwell on earth! The towns of Shāh Garh and Manjhlī Gāon<sup>2</sup> in the

<sup>1.</sup> Sharing the crop on a fixed proportion between the peasant and Government. From the Hindī baṭāna: Platts, 132, s.v.

<sup>2.</sup> On the Sindphana river, some 7 miles S.W. of its joining the Godavery.

pargana of BIr have always been part of my jagir. Furthermore, fine shela cloth fit for despatch to the luminous Court is found nowhere in the entire Deccan but at Shahgarh. I hope that those two mahals (at least) may be ordered confirmed upon me. But the most important reason (why I want Bir kept out of khalisa) is that Murshid Qulī has bestowed much care upon that pargana, as I have submitted. Since (I think) that there should be a uniform pattern for the administration of the whole pargana ('amal-i-kar-i-pargana-ra yake qrar dahad) I have given over (my) two mahals as well to his care.

I have informed Sazawar Khan of the command issued upon his salary. As Ankot and its appurtenances had hitherto constituted the salary of Shah Beg Khan, Sazawar Khan is satisfied by taking Nadrbar and Sultanpur in exchange. Those two parganas, however, are returning less than the assessed revenue; so he requests, that they be settled upon him at the rate fixed for 'Umdat-ul-Mulk Shayista Khan when it was his tan-jagir, (namely) one crore and sixty lakhs of dam (Rs. 400,000); and that he receive the remaining forty lakhs (Rs. 100,000) of his salary from some other place.

May the curtains of greatness remain affixed to the tentpoles of perpetuity!

<sup>1.</sup> Sela: "A kind of scarf or mantle (of silk, lawn, or muslin; usually composed of four breadths depending from the shoulders loosely over the body: it is much worn and given as a present, in the Dakkhan) - a silk turban", Platts, 712.

The second paragraph of this letter clearly demonstrates that crop-sharing (batā'ī) was introduced to the Deccan as a conscious act of Imperial policy. As marks of encouragement, the two principal officers implementing the new policy receive respectively a title and a standard ('alam).

Aurangzīb considers the honour of a title for his connection (and his father's brother-in-law) Mīr Khalīl to redound to his own credit as much as to the Mīr's. It is to clear the way for his further promotion, that Aurangzīb was so anxious to put him into the vacant <a href="mailto:qila'dārī">qila'dārī</a>; but Shāh Jahān appears to have given him no further advancement.

Shah Beg Khan had been ranked as 4000/3000 since 1636, and there would not have been fifty officers senior to him in rank or status. In passing, Aurangzīb disparages the few Deccanis of higher rank. In his later life, he often expressed contempt of officers who were Deccani either by race or domicile (compare Ahkām-i 'Ālamgīrī, ed. and tr. Sarkār, p. 77) and acquiesced in slights put upon them by their colleagues (e.g., Ma'āsir-i- 'Ālamgīrī (text) 383-384). The Mughuls' policy was self-defeating: throughout the seventeenth century they were holding out promises of high rank to deserters from the Deccan kingdoms, to individual adventurers, and to Marāthas; yet they would find themselves employed mostly in the Deccan, with Deccani jagirs returning an annual yield far less in proportion to the assessment than most jagirs in other provinces, and treated with considerable reserve. The last twenty years had been passed in digesting the remnants of the Ahmadnagar kingdom.

Aurangzib had probably recommended Mir Hoshdar for the zat rank of 900 to make easier a promotion to 1000 and the grade of amir (with a very substantial increase in pay). Aurangzib's disappointment at the Emperor's holding him down to 800 shows through very plainly; but worse is to come. (Letter 58, infra). He acquiesed, however: cf Letter 74, infra.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of two royal letters; one with the Emperor's signature, and the second written entirely in the Emperor's own hand, (sarāsar marqūm ba qalam-i-jawāhir raqam) and accompanied by a full dress of honour (no date given for either letter).

#### Brief formal thanks.

I have noted (agahī yāft) Your Majesty's orders and shall, God willing, carry them out in every detail. How shall I give thanks for the favours which have been brought to light from the treasure-house of (Your Majesty's) solicitude for the most insignificant creatures? May God (glorified be his power!) keep Your Majesty's broad shadow spread for ever over the heads of murīds!

Concerning the jewels fit for the honour of Your Majesty's acceptance, Qutbu'l Mulk has been strictly enjoined (gadghan shud) to obey the written (orders) of the Khan, the exemplar of felicity, and to be quick about it; (tasahul nawarzad) as Your Majesty has ordered. The confidence in which Murshid Qulī Khan is held at Court, and Your Majesty's tenderness towards slaves, have earned him the honour of the title of Khan; and I have informed him of this part of the obedience-compelling command. I have urged him to spare no effort in introducing the system of bata'ī, making it a source of approbation and applause (for himself). Multafit Khan has gained glory, through (Your Majesty's) perfect generosity, and the grant of a standard. has been ordered to set up the system of bata'ī in the Payan Ghāt, which (although) a fine district, needs much attention. His opinions upon the new system have been set out in a letter which will have been read in the sacred assembly (of Court). The acceptance of my request about Muftakhir Khan is a source of increased honour to me. May Almighty God keep green for many years the cultivated fields of men's hopes through the clouds of compassion of the Qibla of Mortals!

Your Majesty commands, that I should keep Muftakhir Khan at my side; and that I should send Shah Beg Khan, (now) un-employed at Aurangabad, to Fathabad.

Hail to the pure-hearted and saintly preceptor! The conditions of service of men posted to the provinces are, that they may not be promoted (further) until they have served as <u>faujdar</u> or <u>qila'dar</u>, or in an equivalent post. Muftakhir <u>Khan</u> (whose younger brother has been honoured with a title and the office of Bakhshi) has submitted, out of his devotion to the service, that were he appointed to Fathabad he would carry out every duty that demanded hard labour and effort. So in the light of this, and of his intelligence and experience, I have (already) sent him to Fathabad.

When I was here before, there were (always) some competent officers with me; at present, (however), apart from Deccanis, none of my officers holds a higher rank than Shāh Beg Khān, nor maintains a more numerous following. If I had (even) one officer like him with me, I would (certainly) have a place for him (ganjayish darad).

<sup>1.</sup> Evidently the conferring of this title upon Mīr Khalīl, newly-appointed by Aurangzīb <u>qila'dār</u> of Fathābād Dhārūr (see Letter 53, supra and note). When he marcheā north with Aurangzīb in 1658 he held the office of Mīr Bakhshī (I.O. fo. 306 A).

Hail to the <u>ka'ba</u> of the necessities of mankind! As a result of my petitions, many officers are now enjoying every kind of royal favour. Muḥammad Ṣafī, <u>Bakhshī</u> of the Deccan, is an efficient and hereditary servant; he also has hopes of Your Majesty's inexhaustible kindness. If he too were to be exalted by the gift of a title, it would be a proper exercise of solicitude for slaves and old servants.

Your Majesty has been pleased to ordain: "Hoshdar has

His appointment as Bakhshī of the Deccan must have followed soon after; it is not noted in the Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā, which merely remarks that "in the 27th year" he got the title of Khān, which Aurangzīb had solicited in this letter, while noting that he "is dignified by the Bakhshī-ship of the Deccan". In the next letter Aurangzīb acknowledges the award of the title in conventional terms; a few months later he is still Bakhshī of the Deccan (Letter 58, infra). The Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā then says: "In the thirtieth year, he was criticised for some mistakes, and called to court; his manṣab was reduced by 500/200"; and his next appointment in the following year, was far from the Deccan: the qila'darī of Kāngra, and his 200 lost sawar restored.

Aurangzīb was greatly put out, for Safī Khan had committed no fault in his eyes: "Safī Khan has been blamed, but he is not at fault; why should hard-working men like him be removed on some slanderous accusation? The doors of His Majesty's favour have been closed against him; his work has suffered day by day; he is being held up to ridicule and contempt among his colleagues in a manner quite beyond the pale ... he has done well as Bakhshi and Waqi'-nawīs of this province, and there is other work he can do just as well".

So Aurangzīb wrote to Mīr Jumla, and he begged the new wazīr's concurrence in protecting Safī Khān, and sending him back to the Deccan (B.M. fo 100A; I.O. fo. 111A). Nor did he stop there; he wrote to his uncle Shāyista Khān, now Khān-i-Jahān, (B.M. fo. 126B) and to his father-in-law Shāh Nawāz Khān Şafawī (B.M. fo 137B) beseeching their interest and assuring them that Şafī Khān had done no wrong. When he sent him, reluctantly, to Court early in Ramazan, 1066 (late July, 1656) he sent with him jewels and curiosities, including booty from Golconda, as his gift to the Emperor; but in vain; the decision was not changed.

On his seizure of the crown, Aurangzīb made Ṣafī Khān qila'dār of Shāhjahānābād; in his second year, he made him Bakhshī of his personal establishment where he served many years; and he was still serving, as qila'dār of Āgra, in 1685. Perhaps in the evening of his career he was not so useful to Aurangzīb, with all India in his grip to draw upon for talent.

<sup>1.</sup> Muḥammad Ṣafī was the second son of Islām Khān Mashhadī, Governor of Bengal 1634-1639, Wazīr 1639-1645, and Viceroy of the four Deccan provinces, 1645-1647. At his father's death he was at Court (having been sent with a peshkash the previous year) and had the rank of 1500/400. In 1649 he marched to Qandahār under Aurangzīb; the next year, he went on an embassy to Bījāpūr, and the year after he brought to Court a peshkash of four lakhs in cash and kind from 'Ādil Khān. In the 26th year of Shāh Jahān (1652) he was appointed news-writer (Waqi' nawīs) of the four Deccan provinces (M.U. III (text) 740-741).

been appointed Dārogha of the Ordinance, as you asked. He has been accorded a rank of 800 zāt and 400 sawār."

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the desires of slaves! If I had not thought him worthy the grant of a rank of 1000, I would not have recommended him for 900. He is an hereditary servant, capable of (profiting from) instruction. However, everything that casts its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration is fit and proper.

May the curtains of the Caliphate and its greatness remain affixed to the tent-poles of perpetuity!

Aurangzīb had intended to leave Burhānpūr, at last, on 1 October, 1653. The rains of that year must have been prodigious, for they are usually well finished in Khāndesh by October. At any rate, Aurangzīb now proposes a further delay of five weeks, and a march on 10 November, 1653.

By promptly granting the title of Safī Khān to Muḥammad Safī at Aurangzīb's request, the Emperor shows that he is not always as reluctant to accept his recommendations for promotion as he was in the case of Multafit Khān's son Mīr Hoshdār; but the late Islām Khān, as viceroy of Bengal, wazīr, and then viceroy of the Deccan, and Multafit Khān or his father 'Azam Khān, were in quite different classes.

The treasure being sent to Court included the proceeds of customs duties at Surat, as well as land revenue. The income from the Sūrat duties had been settled on Jahānārā by her father. It was the duty of the governor of each province to guard from boundary to boundary these annual consignments of cash, which financed the royal court, the vast staff of clerks attendant on the central government, the salaries of the nagdīs – officers in imperial service paid in cash – and the Emperor's military establishment. The convoy would have been proceeding up the Tāptī valley, and would have entered Aurangzīb's viceroyalty at the north-western corner of Baglāna.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of two royal letters arriving one after the other (no dates given)

#### Formal thanks.

A world-obeyed order, compelling compliance, has obtained the elegance of issue: "The rainy season is over; (bar sar amda) proceed forthwith to Daulatabad."

Hail to the saintly preceptor, the guide of pure heart! In these parts, there was far more rain at the end of the season, than at the beginning. There has been much flooding, and it has been a long time abating; travelling has been very difficult. The date previously set for my departure from Burhanpur, 8th Zī Qa'da, was (therefore) out of the question. I shall (now) set out for Daulatābād (God willing) on the 17th Zī Ḥijja. After I reach there I shall spend most of my time in that city, as ordered.

The gracious grant of a title to Muhammad Safi has been a source of great honour to me. May the Almighty keep the shadow of Your Majesty's clemency and bounty extended for many years over the heads of murids!

An exalted mandate was associated with the honour of issue: "Orders have been given to Ḥāfiz Nasr about the despatch from the royal treasury of Sūrat of the money collected there from the privy domain, founded in the firmament, and from the domain of Nawāb Begam Ṣāḥib (sc., Jahānārā). You must send a trusted servant of your own to the borders of the pargana of Sultānpūr (to meet it).

Hail to the true <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u>! One of my servants is in Baglana with a strong force, and Sazawar Khan has just been given leave to go there; as I have already informed he Court, the Asylum of the World, in an humble petition dealing with my private affairs. Furthermore, I have sent Sazawar Khan a letter, warning him (az rūy tā'kīd) to guard the treasure well, and escort it. I have also directed the <u>faujdar</u> of Baglana to see it safely over the boundaries of his territory, and to use the most exquisite vigilance and care. Since the faujdar is an old servant, what a joy it would be for him (zihe sarafrazī-i-ū) if his petition were granted!

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate remain refulgent upon those who live in the lands of the habitable globe!

Already, after working only nine months under Aurangzīb, Murshid Qulī Khān has made a deep impression. Aurangzib wants him by his side; by appointing him Dīwān of his own household, he would ensure that; so he asks the Emperor for leave to make the appointment.

Between Burhanpur and Daulatabad is about 125 miles. Aurangzīb makes the journey in clear cool weather in 27 days between 8 November and 5 December, 1653, averaging five miles a day. It is curious to note that the same march, in the reverse direction, was made by Aurangzīb's son 'Azam in the hot weather over fifty years later, when he set out to contest the crown with his elder brother Mu'azzam, in about the same time. On 3 April, 1707, he left Aurangabad; on 24 April, he reached Burhanpur, having made the distance in eighteen marches, with four halts (William Irvine: The Later Mughals, edited by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār, p. 11). Indeed, Azam averaged but 5½ miles a day on his entire three months' march from Ahmadnagar to Dholpur, even though the prize (which he lost, and the empire too) was the great fort of Agra with all its gold, jewels, and costly stores (William Irvine: The Army of the Indian Moghuls, p. 219.)

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Conventional salutations

As I had written before I left Burhanpur on the 16th Zī Hijja, and set off for Daulatābād. I have left Muhammad Tāhir in charge of Burhanpur. He has spent much time there, and is familiar with its administrative peculiarities; and I am well pleased with his treatment of the ryots and the inhabitants generally.

I crossed (the Sahyadrī range) by the Fardāpūr pass, 2 which is about two and a half cos to the west of Tondāpūr, and is quite level (tamām suhūlat dāsht) in comparison with the Ruhangīra pass, or (any) other. On the date selected by the local astrologers, the fourteenth of Muharram, I entered Daulatābād.

Through the grace of Your Majesty's truthful heart, I

<sup>1.</sup> There is no other reference to this, and the letter may be lost. In the previous letter, Aurangzib announced he would leave on 17th ZI Hijja (8 November, 1653).

<sup>2.</sup> N.'s rejection of Fardapur for "Kharwapur" seems inexplicable. Fardapur, where Jahanara built a sarā'i, lies approximately 20m south of Jālgāon, on the modern road leading past the caves of Ajanta to Aurangabad, and at the foot of the Ajanta or Sahyadrī Range. The pass is, as A. says, an easy one. Chaudhurī's ms also reads Kharwapur; sensing an error, he emends it to Barwapur!

shall, I hope, manage this district in the same style as the system of <a href="mailto:bata'i">bata'i</a>, introduced as commanded, will be a cause of increased prosperity.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! I need an intelligent and experienced man as <u>dīwān</u> (in Daulatābād). Despite his present commitments, Murshid Qulī Khān can do the job (sar ba rāh mītawānad namūd). A command might be issued conferring it upon him; otherwise, one of my servants may be appointed <u>dīwān</u>. However, any path that may be pointed out will be conducive to my welfare.

May the world-warming sun of the universal dominion of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of men until the end of the world!

The recruitment of specialised military personnel was one of the weaker points of Mughal military administration. The Emperor would not have wanted the hundred grenadiers for Dārā's siege of Qandahār, for that had ended late in September, 1653, while Aurangzīb was still at Burhanpūr. A general reinforcement of the royal forces seems to have been the Emperor's intention; a fourth campaign against Qandahār was talked of, but nothing came of it.

The "excellent gunners" in service under the Deccan kings were almost certainly Europeans. Their excellence was relative only; drunken, disorderly, and personally without esteem (compare Manucci's Storia, ed. Irvine, II, 6-7) they were skilled neither in making guns nor in maintaining them; as Manucci says (ibid, I, 93) all they used do was take aim. Dārā's European artillery was little help to him at Samugarh.

The reasons for Shāh Jahān's obdurate opposition to Mīr Hoshdār's promotion to a post, for which his skill with firearms seems to have well qualified him, do not appear fully from this correspondence. There can be little doubt, however, that his relatively junior status (though not age, for he must have been at least forty) was one factor: compare Letter 56, supra.

Once again the question of fine mangoes for the Court has exercised the Imperial mind. The men set to watch the slowly ripening fruit must often have been sorely tempted!

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters arriving successively (no dates given)

In the first letter, (this) noble order was issued: "Select a hundred grenadiers (deg andāz) from the Deccan forts, and send them to the luminous Court." 1

Hail to the true <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u>! Upon learning of the world-obeyed mandate, I commanded the <u>mutaşaddīs</u> to summon artillery-men from the forts, and parade them for my inspection, so that I might send to Court a troop of some slight quality (ki shāyistagī dāshta bāshad). When they arrive (here) from their forts, I shall send fifty; after replacements for them have reached me, I shall send the remainder to the exalted Court. The (want of) skill of (Deccan) artillerymen is well

<sup>1.</sup> Compare letter 63, infra, where Aurangzīb reports finding only 76 rocketeers (bāndār) in Imperial service in the Deccan. The grenadier and the rocketeer were defined categories (compare Irvine, The Army of the Indian Moghuls, 158-59; 129 (deg); 146-150 (bān, with descriptions by late 18th century English observers.)

known, and it is only out of a sense of obedience to the lofty command that I send (such) men to the sublime Court.

Excellent gunners are said to be (in service) at Bījāpūr and Golconda. The rulers of those places, (duniyādārān-i-ānjā) because they make a great show (lāf) of their devoted submission, and are accorded a far greater share of the limitless imperial graces and favours than they deserve, have (in fact) performed no sort of service to us (in providing and training artillery-men). For the fourth time now, we are launching a victorious campaign (to the north) if an imperial order were issued to them, (to offer aid) in that matter, they would recognise it for a source of good fortune, and obey.

In the second obedience-compelling mandate, it was ordained: "The office of Darogha of the Ordinance in the Deccan is a great place (khidmat-i-kalanī ast). Hoshdar is unworthy of it. If Safī Khān will accept it, and can discharge its duties along with his obligations as <a href="Bakhshī">Bakhshī</a>, confer it upon him. If not, Mīr Ahmad Rizvī is not a bad choice (ham bad nīst). You should realise that imperial posts (are important) and should be filled by imperial servants (khidmati-pādshāh-rā fahmīda ba mardum-i-Pādshāhī mifarmūda bāshad).

Hail to the guide of mortals! To the pure mind of Your Majesty it is well known, that I have been serving as a provincial governor since the age of eighteen (az sinn-i-hīzdah sālagī). I have never recommended any unsuitable (person) to the Imperial service. If I had, how could so many recommendations of mine have been accepted? No man nominated by me (az tajwīz kardahā'ī-i-īn fidwī) has ever acted but with complete propriety.

It is obvious that the Darogha of the Ordinance must be competent, (sar-ba-rahī) devoted to duty, and thoroughly experienced in the science of gunnery. All these qualities,

<sup>1.</sup> This "fourth campaign" is the third and final siege of Qandahar under Dara Shikoh, which began on 28 April, 1653, and was lifted on 27 September, 1653, with only vast and fruitless expense to show for it. Aurangzīb counts the conquest and abandonment of Balkh and Badakhshān (1645-1647) as the first in this series of northern campaigns.

<sup>2.</sup> With no further reference to Safī Khān, Shams ud-dīn is mentioned in Letter 76 as holding this post, in a context suggesting that he was a recent arrival. See the note on Safī Khan's career after Letter 55. There is one letter at least missing here, and surely many others. Letter 76 is datable to August-September 1655, months before Aurangzīb broke off correspondence with his father.

Hoshdar has; he is a first-rate shot (tufangchī-i-awwal ast) (too), and an hereditary servant. Knowing this, I put forward his name. Nothing in his record has ever cast a doubt upon his loyalty and fidelity.

But as it is the sacred will that he not be appointed, I shall, as ordered, give Safī Khān the good news of Your Majesty's gracious solicitude.

However, it will be an arduous task (su'ubatī dārad) to combine these (new) duties with the post of <u>Bakhshī</u>. Deccan jagirs are notoriously unproductive; without resources, such important duties cannot be performed with any enthusiasm.

I hope that (Ṣafī Khān) may receive an increase in rank upon his appointment, so that he may perform with zeal the duties of both offices. Anything that may cast its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration in respect to Mīr Aḥmad Rizvī will also be quite suitable.

Hail to the temporal and spiritual <u>qiblah</u> of <u>murids</u>!

Your Majesty urged my agent at Court to write (a letter) again and again to me, ordering that I set men everywhere to watch over the mango-crop before the trees began to flower. (I did so; but) there is this year a poor crop of mangoes in the Deccan. In particular, the Pādshāh Pasand (tree) has borne very little fruit; (aslan bār niāwarda) a fact which Your Majesty may have learned from the provincial news report. (However), I shall omit no means in my power of sending mangoes to Court.

Mīr Ṣābir, and Multafit Khān's son-in-law (khwesh)
Dārāb, who are in Burhānpūr, have received orders (from me)
in this business. I have enjoined them most strictly, to
consign carefully, by relays of Imperial runners, (maṣḥūbi-ḍāk chaukī-i-Pādshāhī-i-mutawātirī) any mangoes that may be
fit for despatch to Your Majesty, and may have been sent to
Burhānpūr. I trust they will arrive safely.

May the curtain of the glorious Sultanate remain firmly fixed to the tent-poles of perpetuity and eternity!

<sup>1.</sup> This man was a son of Mukhtar Khan. His brother, Mir Shamsu'd din, was given his father's title on Aurangzib's march north from Burhanpur; he himself was entitled Darab Khan by Aurangzib, and lived until 1090/1679-80. He left three sons. Ma'asiru'l Umara, II, 40 (text).

This letter introduces a step which exacerbated relations between Aurangzīb and his father more perhaps than all that had gone before - even the totally unjust recriminations against his failure before Qandahār. Recognising that Aurangzīb's present income did not suffice to meet his obligations, Shāh Jahān moved to alleviate matters; but by placing the responsibility for the necessary re-arrangement of jāgīrs upon Aurangzīb.

The results were soon apparent: the governor (though a prince) had not the authority to effect what the Emperor might, and ought, have done himself; complaints of unfair treatment were carried to Court; and in Letter 72 we find AurangzTb defending himself against allegations, hardly likely to have been wellfounded, that in re-distributing jagTrs as commanded he had acted un-Islamically.

The account of his method of effecting the re-distribution discloses no injustice, and was not challenged in later letters.

By beginning a somewhat exaggeratedly modest account of his achievements so far with a remark that financial administration was the diwans' responsibility, Aurangzīb laid himself open to a swift and sharp rebuke (Letter 60, infra) which he labours long to repel.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgements of three royal letters, the last signed by the Emperor, all arriving within the same week (no dates given)

#### Formal thanks.

The jewelled pen scattered these pearls: "As you have not been managing the Deccan very well (pardākhta ... az qrār wāqi' nanamūda) over the last three years, you should have all your salary-producing assignments there, (to encourage you to) make efforts to improve their prosperity. Considering, however, the (present) deficiency (qillat) in the yield of Deccan jāgīrs, and the losses you are likely to suffer, ten crores of dām (Rs. 2,500,000) in cash from the treasury of Mālwa are granted you towards your salary for two years more; the remaining two crores (Rs. 500,000) of your cash salary are to be taken in the form of half the spring crop of the Year of the Horse (qū'ī īl) in the pargana of Nadrbār, (and) from such jāgīrdārs' maḥāls as you may choose."

Hail to the saintly protector! The administration of parganas is the responsibility of the (provincial) dīwans. They are doing all they can to promote the spread of

cultivation and habitation. I have not entered upon any description of the great efforts I have made, to improve this country in the short time (I have been here). To describe them ('arz-i-ān) would have been braggart boasting, a practice with which I have no acquaintance (badīn shewa kamtar ashnā ast). For the same reason I have not allowed the provincial dīwāns to mention my work. Since I regard service to (my) spiritual and temporal preceptor and guide on the same level as obedience to God, (honoured be his name) how could I suffer myself to be lax in striving to improve the Deccan? If this province, long neglected for many reasons, is not restored to its proper state within two or three years, it will not be through indolence or inattention on my part (az ghaflat wa kotāhī nīst).

This year, however, through the fostering care of Your Majesty's generous mind, Dianat Khan has collected nearly one lakh (of dam) (Rs. 2500) above the assessed revenue in most of the parganas of the Bala Ghat and the Payan Ghat. The diwans will have reported this in detail to Your Majesty. God willing, concrete evidence of prosperity will gradually become apparent.

The grant of ten crores of dam (Rs. 2,500,000) in cash from the public treasury of Malwa is most gracious and comforting to murids, and a source of distinction and honour to me. In return for this unlimited kindness, I offer the respects due from a murid, and am petitioning the court of of God most high for the grace of expressing my gratitude. May the lofty shadow of Your Majesty's measureless acts of gracious condescension remain for ever over the heads of murids:

To the luminous mind of the saintly protector, resembling the sun, it is well known that I am little attached (kamtar pardākhta) to vain expenses. Whatever the generosity of the true guide accords me, I spend upon the allowances of the army. Since my appointment here my men have been paid out of my cash assignments. If the amount available were to be reduced, my men would suffer to the extent of the reduction.

Since the Court of the Caliphate has appointed me to govern this noble frontier province, and since I recognise that I bear the heavy responsibility of ruling it in a manner satisfactory to Your sacred Majesty, I would, if I were to choose tuyuldars' jagirs (for myself), take them in lieu of

my cash salary. If there were no (jagirs available) in exchange for my (cash) salary, I would be compelled to dismiss (part of) my troops and send them to the Court, the refuge of the world.

The position is, that the presence of a good body of troops in the Deccan is imperative in the interests of the State. If I were given a tan-jāgīr in exchange for the cash pay of my soldiers, it would beyond doubt bring heart-breaking disappointment to every officer; for there are not enough lands reserved for the grant of jāgīrs (paībāqī) left in the Deccan, for me to provide the full pay of any troops attached to me; and I would be obliged to give them poor maḥals in exchange for productive parganas.

However, anything that may occur upon this matter to the heart of angelic penetration will be bound up with my spiritual and temporal welfare, since I shall place my entire conduct under God's mercy, and the grace of the true guide.

Obedient to the sacred command, I have chosen parcels from mahāls (both) in the imperial khāliṣa and (in the hands of) tuyūldārs, and am sending (a list separately). I hope, that the courtiers in Your Majesty's confidence (istādhā'ī-i pāya-i sarīr-i khilāfat maṣīr) will bear in mind that I receive a ten months' cash salary, and that holding land in jāgīr involves losses, both through normal expenses and natural calamity. The two crores of dām (Rs. 500,000) granted to me as part of my salary for the kharīf season of the Year of the Horse (qū'ī īl) come from parganas which, after the reduction (takhfīf) which Your Majesty has generously commanded, do not yield even six months' average revenue. I have already mentioned, that the assessment of the parganas of Nadrbār (is based on) the amount collected without any allowance for

<sup>1.</sup> At their actual and not their nominal yield.

<sup>2.</sup> Those whom his reduced salary would make it impossible to pay.

<sup>3.</sup> Defined by Wilson, as land reserved for granting jagirs-but other purposes too: compare 'Irfan Habib, Agrarian system, 258-9, and I.H. Qureshi's Mughul Administration, 1966, pp 155-6.

<sup>4.</sup> This letter appears not to have survived.

natural disaster (wasulī-i-bī-afat ast). The calculations made by the Court dīwāns, and laid before Your Majesty, take these allowances into account. A report will be presented, on separate sheets, of the collections of past years in Nadrbār pargana, with the amount collected for 1063, the year of (the introduction of) baṭā'ī. In affairs of this kind, it is impossible to gainsay the written word (khilāf-i-ma'rūz namitawān dāsht).

When Nadrbar was granted to (my) brother Murad Bakhsh, it was assessed at sixty lakhs of dam (Rs. 150,000). It would be the essence of graciousness, to reckon it at the same assessment, as part of my cash salary. If this suggestion is accepted, I shall assign a half share of the spring harvest of Nadrbar to Sazawar Khan. The spring harvest is always early (pesh ras) in Nadrbar, and very little of it now remains unharvested. Sazawar Khan has already taken possession of it, and might find it difficult to refund half of it (to me).

I hope that he, too, may receive his salary from the <a href="kharif season">kharif season</a>. After the details of the salary to be paid from Nadrbar have been worked out, I shall conclude matters relating to Sazawar Khan in accordance with (whatever) lofty mandate (may be issued): either that he receive <a href="jagIrs">jagIrs</a> commensurate with the scale (of his salary), which I would prefer; (fabiha) or that he be dismissed to the Court, exalted as the sky.

The regulations for branding (zabita-i-dagh) established through Your Majesty's universal humanity and generosity are bringing better order into the officers' (contingents). I shall act from the outset (as required) by the two exalted farmans (issued herein).

May the curtain of greatness remain suspended upon the tent-poles of perpetuity!

The dispute about the mangoes sputters on; Aurangzīb has, in effect, the last word.

Shah Jahan's rebuke to Aurangzīb for having suggested that the Imperial revenue officials' work is the foundation on which he must build up the prosperity of the Deccan must have been a further exacerbation to the prince's wounded pride. His reply, not without dignity, puts the matter to rest.

Aurangzīb is not so successful in his recommendation that the tributary Rajah of Deogarh be forgiven his arrears of tribute. In 1655 a costly and not very productive expedition was launched against Deogarh; see Letters 77-79, infra.

### To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a <u>farman</u> with the imperial signature, in reply to a letter from Aurangzīb (no date given for either letter or reply).

#### Lengthy formal thanks.

The jewelled pen wrote: No more than four or five consignments of mangoes have arrived from the tree Padshah Pasand. Next year, a man shall be deputed from the lofty court, and the mangoes shall be sent under his supervision.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! It is an excellent thought of the happy heart to detail for this duty a servant of the luminous presence. (However), this season they brought me only three mangoes from the tree Padshah Pasand, so that I might decide whether or not they were worth sending. All the rest of the crop was despatched direct from the tree to the light-diffusing and sacred court.

The reason why there were so few of these mangoes (wajah-i-kamī) has already been reported to Your Majesty from several sources; only one fruiting branch of the tree remains, and strong winds have broken all the other branches. How could I permit mangoes, fit for Your Majesty's table, to be

eaten here?

A noble and lofty command was issued: "It is passing strange (bisyar badī' namūd) that you should allege, The administration of parganas is the responsibility of the dīwāns' as an excuse for stagnation ('uzr-i-pesh niyāmadan) in the Deccan."

Hail to the spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> of <u>murīds</u>! It is true (agarche ... lekin) that the Court of the Caliphate has entrusted me with the task of administering and improving the Deccan; but matters of detail are the duty of <u>dīwāns</u>, I cannot myself attend to such things. What I wrote was the truth.

I exert myself far more strenuously in the interests of my true preceptor and guide than in my own. In Your Majesty's service, I strain every nerve, (jihat wa koshish ba kar mīburd) and have omitted nothing that could possibly be done to increase the areas of cultivation and habitation in the Deccan.

In any event, whether I am paid my salary in cash, as hitherto, (ba dastūr-i-sābiq) or whether jāgīrs be granted to me as a substitute for cash, I shall carry out the obligations of my position, and the duties of a murīd, and shall never allow myself any shortcoming. I have been submitting to your Majesty everything which I consider to be in the interest of the state.

(However), it is certain, that anything which casts the brilliance of its suitability upon Your Majesty's heart of angelic penetration, which is a truth-revealing mirror, will inevitably be wise.

Conformably to the compliance-compelling command, I shall soon send Sazawar Khan to the Court, the refuge of rulers.

Hail to the <u>ka'bah</u> of the necessities of mankind!

An account of past years' unpaid arrears of tribute, owed by the Rājah (zamīndār) of Deogarh, has been compiled in a separate document, which will be laid before Your Majesty.

The Rājah is a loyal servant, resolutely set upon the road of

<sup>1.</sup> Aurangzīb means to refute any suggestion that he might have kept some of these prize mangoes for himself.

devotion. He is obliged to pay (wasul bayad) one lakh of rupees annually to the government, founded in the firmament; but the yield of his territories has now fallen below what it used to be, and he cannot pay all his arrears.

Your Majesty has graciously remitted all the (arrears of) the Rajah of Chanda's tribute; so the Rajah of Deogarh hopes that he, too, might be excused his accumulated arrears. Thus lightened of his burden, (sabukbar gardīda) he would (be enabled to) pay the fixed amount of his tribute to the Imperial treasury every year.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine from its horizon of glory and grandeur!

This is a letter demanded by conventional etiquette, and as such contains no official news.

Shah Jahan's visit to Agra (Akbarabad) was a very brief one. After the brief demonstration against Rana Raj Singh of Mewar late in 1654, the Emperor marched from Ajmīr to Fathpur Sīkrī, where he halted between 14th and 17th December. On 18th, he visited Agra, for one day only. The building whose conventional praises Aurangzīb utters is the chaste and disciplined Motī Masjid in the fort at Agra, which was completed in 1653, and stands in such contrast to Aurangzīb's own, smaller Moti Masjid in the Delhi fort (1659-1664) (Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī (text) 29). In the usual tradition, the Emperor's letter to Aurangzīb and other princes and high grandees would have contained a stylised and ornamental description of his new mosque: the last of his many and splendid buildings.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgements of a royal letter signed by the Emperor, delivered at the beginning of Rabī'I.

There acquired the honour of being written an account of the royal visit to the Fixed Abode of the Caliphate, Akbarabad and of the inspection of the mosque built (in the fort) by royal command; and of the return to the capital, Shahjahanabad.

The building, which gained the favour of foundation from the architect of sublime resolution, is above the need of praise or eulogy.

May Almighty God long preserve your Majesty to consjtruct (such) elegant buildings for pious purposes! (banī-i mabanī-i-khairat) May He keep your Majesty's generous personality associated with the management of the world!

A world-obeyed order has been issued, that I send ten thousand DeccanI steel rockets (ban). Recognising such a command as my good fortune, I shall obey.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate's dominion over the universe continue to blaze forth in brilliance from its horizon of perpetuity and eternity!

<sup>1.</sup> For despatch to support another seige of Qandahar. The Emperor nurtured hopes of a fourth attempt against that fortress. Had the Bījāpūr campaign of 1657 not intervened, the attempt would probably have been made. Cf Manucci, Storia, I, 237-238.

The Emperor has demanded steel rockets: Aurangzib hastens to comply. The ban is dealt with by Irvine in his "The Army of the Indian Moghuls", p. 147 ff; he collected some European descriptions and printed them on pp. 149-151 (compare Letter 58). Some of the rockets now sent might have been fired against Aurangzib at Samogarh.

Aurangzib's complaint about the <u>pargana</u> of Ellichpūr illustrates in the clearest way the point that the assessed annual revenue (<u>jama</u>') often exceeded by far the actual collection (<u>hasil</u>). To attempt to classify <u>parganas</u> and <u>maḥāls</u> by the average proportion of the assessment produced in each was only effective if an adjustment was made each year. The <u>jama</u>' of Ellichpūr was clearly pitched too high.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a royal letter in response to a letter of Aurangzīb's; no date for either given.

Fairly lengthy formal thanks.

I have acted in obedience to the world-obeyed order about the rockets, sending to begin with a thousand true-flying rockets of good quality (ban-i-khūb-i-rāst-raw) to the luminous Presence in the hands of one of the mansabdars.

Next I shall send them in lots of a thousand (yak yak hazār) by the same method, whenever the occasion offers.

A sacred order was issued: "Few elephants, whether male or female, are reaching the Court, the asylum of the world, from Bengal and Orissa. Qutbul Mulk sends eight lakhs of rupees to the sublime court each year; arrange (muqarrar kunad) for him to give half the total in elephants instead of cash."

<sup>1.</sup> The treaty of 1636 provided for tribute to be paid to the viceroy of the Deccan or such prince as should be named.

Hail to the saintly protector, the guide of pure heart! Your Majesty knows the true facts of the revenue and expenses of the Deccan from previous reports. Yet I have written to Qutbu'l Mulk out of obedience to the compliance-compelling mandate, telling him to send (me) four lakhs of rupees in cash, and four lakhs' (worth) of male and female elephants.

The gracious increase of (my) brother Murād Bakhsh's mansab, and his promotion to (be governor of) the province of Gujarāt, has raised the hopes of all the other murīds. How can one discharge the obligations of gratitude for Your Majesty's incalculable favours? May God (honoured be his power) preserve for many years the spreading shadow of the true preceptor and guide, so that out of his perfect condescension he might, through various kinds of attention and graciousness, and different sorts of advice and admonition, confer distinction upon murīds.

Hail to the <u>murīd</u>-cherishing guide! A letter of my agent at Court (the centre of the world) has just disclosed, that on the subject of the <u>pargana</u> of Ellichpūr it fell from the sacred tongue, that I took it of my own free will; why should I complain (dīgar guft-o-gū chīst) now that its assessed revenue (jama) has fallen off?

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u> of murids! Repeated orders came from the luminous Presence, that if I were to take several <u>mahals</u> from <u>tuyuldars</u> in exchange for cash, my salary would be ensured by making a suitable assessment (of their revenue)<sup>1</sup>. So, from the <u>parganas</u> of Shāh Beg Khān, I asked for the pargana of Ellichpūr. A true report of its actual collections was sent to the Court of the Caliphate under the seal of Multafit Khān the dīwān, (saying that) a reduction should be made (in the assessed revenue) with a view to avoiding any loss in relation to the ten months' cash scale that might result from any natural calamity.

If it be the will of the happy nature, that notwithstanding its (present receipts) being deficient by about two lakhs of rupees, I should take this pargana at its former assessed

<sup>1.</sup> See letter 59, supra.

revenue, than, as the life and property of <u>murīds</u> is consecrated to your Majesty, what else can I do but obey?

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the hopes, and the <u>ka'bah</u> of the safety of mankind! Shah Beg Khan was once commandant of the fort of Ahmadnagar, which is close to the frontier. He would like to be appointed again. Were a lofty order to be issued to that effect, I would retain the <u>khanazad</u> Mirza Khan<sup>1</sup> for some time with me.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to ride in brilliance upon the horizon of grandeur and pomp!

<sup>1.</sup> Called a khanazad, "household-born", because of his descent. He was a grandson of 'Abdu'l Rahim Khan-i-Khanan (c.1557-1628) and a great-grandson of Akbar's famous wazīr Bairam Khan (c.1515-1561). See the brief note to Letter 70 (infra).

Unspoken in Aurangzīb's mild reply to the Emperor's sharp rebuke for his "abandonment" of Ellichpūr pargana is the fact he has often mentioned: that he, as governor of the frontier province of the Deccan, is obliged to find out of his salary not only the costs of provincial administration, but also the expenses of frontier defence. Elichpur, being a run-down pargana - indeed, even in 1655 it was returning only eight months' value of its assessment, as can be seen from a letter of Aurangzīb to Mīr Jumla as wazīr late in 1656 (I.O. 114 b, B.M. 103a) - could not provide what Aurangzīb needed: full and certain payment of his salary.

The remainder of the letter is interesting evidence of the Emperor's minute care for questions of personnel. Mirza Khan was closely connected with the Imperial house: he must not be superseded lightly, even though wanting in capacity. Jalal Kakar is suggested as <a href="mailto:jagIrdar">jagIrdar</a> of Ellichpur; but does the Emperor realise that he is only a soldier, with no administrative experience? To justify the appointment of Rao Karan, Aurangzīb marshals facts likely to impress the Emperor; in this case, they do not. (Letter 64, infra).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of two farmans, signed by the Emperor in reply to a letter of Aurangzīb; no dates given for either.

Briefest possible formal thanks.

The sacred order was issued: "From the commencement of the <u>rabī</u>' season of the seventh cyclic year, (yūnt Īl) you will receive cash in lieu of the <u>pargana</u> of Ellichpur. The responsibility for increasing the prosperity of the Deccan is yours; your abandoning Ellichpur is a measure of your success.

Hail to the true <u>qibla</u>! I have never claimed credit for any of my acts. All I have done, or am doing, is the effect of the instruction and counsel of the <u>murId</u>-cherishing guide. However, a <u>pargana</u> whose (actual) yield has been below the norm for eight years can hardly be restored to prosperity in a season or two.

l. Nadwi reads yu'it nil, and offers from his manuscript S, derived from I.O., the even less intelligible lulat bil. I.O. is quite clear, however, even to the hamza in yunt. The reference is to the harvest gathered in the spring season of 1064 (March and April, 1654).

A world-obeyed mandate acquired the grace of issue:

"As you asked, Mīrzā Khān has been removed ('azl shuda) from his charge of the fort of Aḥmadnagar; but you must submit reasons for transferring him. Appoint Shāh Bēg Khān in his place. The appointment of an intelligent man, capable of improving the prosperity of Ellichpur, is imperative; so give Ellichpur to Jalāl Kākar or to Multafit Khān, in lieu of cash salary, and suggest a (proper) increase in the rank of the one who takes it."

Hail to this <u>murīd's</u> spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> and ka'bah! My ground for transferring Mirzā Khān is exactly the one which cast its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration. When I realised that the Court of the Caliphate had formed a clear opinion upon his capacity, I did not trouble to expatiate upon (my own views). Since he is a <u>khānazād</u>, I thought it proper that he should be at my side, (pesh-i-khwud) and I petitioned accordingly.

I have appointed Shah Beg Khan to Ahmadnagar, and I shall comply with the universe-obeyed order upon the salary-producing jagirs assigned to him and to Mirza Khan.

Jalal Kakar is a mere soldier (sipahi sirf) and has no experience of extending cultivation. Two or three months (ago), therefore, I appointed him <u>faujdar</u> of Punar, on the frontier with Deogarh; this will doubtless have been reported to Your Majesty in the Deccan news-letters.

Multafit Khan is in the midst of introducing the system of <a href="mailto:bata'i">bata'i</a> in the Payan Ghat, and the proposal (of removing him to Ellichpur) is unacceptable to him. I have therefore postponed the entrusting of the <a href="mailto:pargana">pargana</a> of Ellichpur to either of them, (until Your Majesty's further pleasure shall be known).

The mansab of Rão Karan has been reduced by 500 <u>zat</u> and 500 supplementary <u>sawār</u>. His capacity for enlarging the areas under cultivation is, however, manifest from his

<sup>1.</sup> And thus Jalal Kakar vanishes from the Adab-i'Alamgiri. He most probably was an Afghan - an example of the fact that both Shah Jahan and Aurangzib were ready to appoint Afghans to administrative posts as well as to the command of forts, if it suited them. Generally, the rule was as stated by Manucci (II, 446) "... Pathans are never allowed to hold any of these fortresses, for fear they might plot some treason ..."

handling of the pargana of Kāndāpūr, once in his jāgīr but now transferred. The 500 <u>zāt</u> and 500 (supplementary) <u>sawar</u>, by which his rank was reduced, might be restored; and the (supplementary) <u>sawār</u> be made <u>dū aspah sih aspah</u> for the duration of his service in Ellichpūr (to which I hope he will be appointed). He could take Ellichpūr to meet the requirements of an increase in rank, and could give up the <u>pargana</u> of Posad, in Berar, which he holds as <u>tan-(jāgīr)</u>. Any (<u>maḥāls</u>) in Ellichpūr which are left over (after paying Rāo Karan's salary) might be assigned to other servants skilled in promoting prosperity. Ellichpūr has the capacity (to pay Rāo Karan's increased salary and more).

But anything decreed by the world-adorning will the principal decoration of the state, will be the essence of fitness.

In accordance with the lofty order, I have told the  $\underline{\text{mutasaddIs}}$  to choose, from the seventy-six rocketeers ( $\underline{\text{bandar}}$ )<sup>2</sup> now in the various Deccan forts, forty to begin with, and to send them straight away to the sublime Court. When (more) good rocketeers come to hand, and substitutes for them (are available) for retention (here), the  $\underline{\text{mutasaddIs}}$  are to send fifty more (to Court).

Rustam, the brother of Iraj Khān, desires leave to prostrate himself at the threshold of the Caliphate. He explains that he was summoned to the Court, the refuge of the world, to accompany his brother; in his zeal, he hopes to perform some useful service.

To have on hand such men as he is essential for the regular needs of this province. I should like an order issued, that he remain here; otherwise, I shall dismiss him (to Court).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! Before the delivery of the royal letter 4 I was distinguished and elevated

<sup>1.</sup> Compare Letter 69, where Aurangzīb suggests that until he pays the assessments laid upon him, the revenues of Posad be resumed. Posad is on the river Pus, about 50 miles N.W. of Nāndir. For a reference to Rāo Karan's nomination, see Letter 69, infra.

<sup>2.</sup> Compare Letter 58, supra. Aurangzīb seems to use deg andāz and bāndār interchangeably.

<sup>3.</sup> Īraj Khān, who took Dārā's side in 1658 and died in 1684, is treated in M.U. I, 268-271 (text). Mīrzā Rustam became faujdār of Sangamner, and was given the title of Ghazanfar Khān by Aurangzīb; M.U. III 87 (text) s.v. their father Qizilbāsh Khān.

<sup>4.</sup> It is uncertain which is meant.

by the arrival, in the hands of Muḥammad Nāṣir, superintendent of the (Imperial) karkhāna at Burhānpūr, of a special robe of honour, which had been presented to me for the winter. I received it with the proper ceremony and forthwith gave him leave to go. I have strictly enjoined Multafit Khān, that he should make every endeavour to ensure, that the karkhāna's urgent needs are met. 2

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of men!

- - - - is a unit of the nessenger (istichal).

<sup>1.</sup> Sc., by going out to meet the messenger (istiqbal).

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., of the materials necessary to manufacture the articles of luxury in demand at Court; such, for example, as shela cloth from Shahgarh in Bīr pargana, (Letter 54, supra) which might have been further worked in the karkhanas with thread of gold.

Superficially, the Emperor's rejection of Rão Karan's nomination to an administrative post seems to suggest that Shāh Jahān disliked Rājpūts, or at least had a low opinion of Rão Karan of Bikanīr. The first is not supported by other evidence; the second is belied by the steady shower of distinctions Shāh Jahān rained upon the Rão's head (Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā, II, 287-291). It is as an administrator that Shāh Jahān doubts his capacity, as Aurangzīb's deprecation of the difficulties of managing the pargana of Ellichpūr admits. Indeed, he seems almost to make the recommendation in a spirit of defiance - I know everyone says I don't like Rājpūts, so I recommend one for a post I know you won't give him.

From Shāh Jahān's animadversions upon Aurangzīb's delay in sending cloth to Court it may be inferred, that the princes were obliged to make offerings of the produce of the kārkhānas they were allowed to maintain to supply their own establishments. It is doubtful whether any such would have existed independently of the great manufacturing cities (among which Burhānpūr was conspicuous) and they would normally have been managed by agents on the prince's behalf; as Jahānārā's most certainly were. The only remedy for the "shortage of workmen" would have been civil conscription. François Bernier graphically depicts the grinding tyranny under which skilled men were held down, and points to the demoralising consequences.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a royal letter signed by the Emperor, in reply to a letter from Aurangzib.

Neither dated.

Short formal thanks.

The lofty command acquired the elegance of issue: "To entrust the <u>pargana</u> of Ellichpur to the likes of the Rajput Rao Karan would not be suitable (munasib nadasht). That charge is now made over to Asadu'llah the son of Rashīd Khān, (since) the work must be given to someone who will discharge it well" (ki az 'uhda tawanad baramad).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! The decision of the heart of angelic penetration is the essence of fitness. With all my faults, how can I attain a level of understanding equal to Your Majesty's? However, Ellichpur requires no more

<sup>1.</sup> Rashīd Khan Ansarī, who died in Shah Jahan's 22nd year (1649) as governor of Telingana. His brother, Hadīdad Khan, (of whom more hereafter) succeeded him with the rank of 2500/1500) M.U. (tr.) 597; III 303-305 (text). For Rashīd Khan himself, "a strong Hanafī and without peer in his knowledge of history", see M.U. II 242-250 (text).

at present than the usual work of a jagirdar, and (the usual) efforts to extend revenue-paying cultivation. Since I am falsely accused (mutahhim ast) at Court of emnity to the Rajputs, I set down the facts of the reduction of Rāo Karan's mansab, and of his ability to encourage cultivation, (ābādān kārī) as I had observed and been told of them.

With his present rank and following, Asadu'llāh is not fit even to (officiate as) governor of Berār; (yet) I have sent him to Ellichpūr in conformity with the sacred order, and have sent (his brother) Ilhāmu'llāh¹ to Chāndōr. Their jāgīrs will be arranged as settled in the text of the lofty farmān.

An account of the salary-producing jagīrs (tankhwāh tuyūl) of Shāh Beg Khān and Mīrzā Khān, (showing them to have been) conferred as determined will reach your Majesty on a separate sheet.

The pen, tracing lines of bliss, wrote: "The lengths of cloth which you are preparing (for the Court) in Burhānpūr must be sent quickly."

In what language shall I return thanks for this gracious consideration towards servants? My failure to earn nobility (by sending cloth earlier) arose from a scarcity of workmen. Most of the skilled weavers in Burhanpur are (employed) in the imperial karkhana, or in that of Nawab Begam Sahib Jīu, and the work of the men in my karkhana is not of an excellence to satisfy your Majesty (kar-i-anha shayistagī-i-pasand-i aqdas nadasht). (However), I shall send some of the cloth which was completed upon Naṣīra's arrival.

The pearl-set pen, scattering jewels, wrote: "It is strange, that you set your <u>dīwān</u> in charge of Burhānpūr, and have appointed none (in his place) as <u>dīwān</u>."

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the two worlds! The reasons for my delay herein are minutely explained in a letter I wrote to my agent at Court, the centre of the world, in answer to a letter by order he had earlier sent me on this matter, and will have come to Your Majesty's notice. On the 22nd of Rajab

<sup>1.</sup> This man rose to 1000/500 under Shah Jahan, and must have been regarded as efficient and meritorious; for when his uncle Hadidad Khan died after the Golconda campaign of 1656, he was preferred as successor to his uncle's property (which seems not to have been escheated) to all his thirty cousins: M.U. (tr.) 597: text, III, 303-305.

the revered, I appointed Ziyā'u'd-dīn Husain to serve me as dīwān. He was once my Mīr Bakhshī, and later was posted in Ellichpūr.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine for many years from the horizon of grandeur and glory!

l. He is styled "diwan-i-sarkar-i 'ala" in a document of 22 February, 1656, recommending manşabs for deserters from Qutbu'l Mulk: Selected Documents of Shah Jahan's Reign, p. 202. He also appears fourth last in the list of entitulatures appended to the letters: B.M. fo. 245A; I.O. fo 298A.

Branding is said to have been introduced by 'Alāu'd dīn Muḥammad Shāh (1296-1316) and by Sher Shāh Sūr (1538-1545); but neither scheme appears to have survived its author. Akbar (1556-1605) was the first to impose a thorough-going scheme; resentment against it was one of the ingredients in the disturbances of 1580-81. In essence, it was a measure of control essential to the operation of the mansabdārī system, which had taken shape in the 1570's. Each mansabdār was expected to maintain out of his salary a number of mounted troopers, fixed by reference to his personal status, military capacity, and standing in the Emperor's eyes. Each trooper was entered in a descriptive roll; so was his horse; to prevent improper substitution, and to ensure that each was branded. As the empire sunk into inanity in the early eighteenth century, the relaxation of these once strict requirements was one of the visible symptoms of decay.

The principle survived until the early 1920's, when the Indian army disbanded its last "silladari" (silāhdārī) units of cavalry. Tactically, it had a profound weakness: a trooper who owned his own horse was unlikely to hazard its life in combat unless sure of a replacement at little cost and inconvenience to himself; no more would a commander replace his men's fallen or foundered horses unless the funds were provided.

Aurangzīb's exposition of the effect of reducing the trooper's allowances is of classic clarity. Subsequent correspondence (particularly Letters 71 and 75) shows that the defects he delineates were only partly remedied.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God: Brief formal salutations.

In obedience to the sacred orders, the Khan, the model of felicity, has sent official hand-books, (afrad-i-dasturu'l 'amal) containing the new regulations lately promulgated at Court upon the branding (of horses), to each of the mutasaddis of the Deccan. The difficulties and hardships (caused by enforcing them) have been mentioned frequently in (recent) news-letters and may perhaps have come to Your Majesty's notice. No reply upon (any of) these matters has yet been vouchsafed to me by the Court of grandeur and glory.

Despite my directions that the new regulations be put into effect forthwith, nobody has obeyed them (az hich kas ba'amal niyāmada) except the provincial <u>mutaṣaddīs</u>; mindful of the (Imperial) command, they have implemented them from the beginning of the third part of the spring season of the eighth cyclic year (az ibtadā'i-i-sulṣ-i-kharīf-i-īlān īl).

The (new) regulations have produced distress in the army and caused (further) accumulation of arrears, which (even in ordinary circumstances) are difficult to collect (afzūnī-i-mutāliba-i mut'azziru't taḥṣīl būd).

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwī reads salman īl!

These four provinces of the Deccan - a great stretch of territory which Your Majesty has been pleased to place in my care - are not to be compared with Bengal and Gujarāt (nisbatī ba sūbah-i-Bangāla wa Gujarāt nadārad), because they adjoin the territories of two rulers who possess copious treasuries, and large armies.

For these reasons, 2 I thought it necessary to write on this point (dar în wādī) lest I be saddled in future (sānīu'l ḥāl) with accusations of neglect and incompetence.

Hail to the saintly protector! It is (no doubt) clear to the luminous mind, that the (military) force actually present in this province cannot discharge with ease even the task of managing its own tuyul mahals while the rulers of Bijapur and Golconda (duniyadaran-i-Dakan) have vast armies. The new regulations may increase the quality of (our) army; but they will hardly attain the necessary quality (kaifiyat ki matlub ast aslan namīmand).

Because (chi) the servicemen's pay is to be reduced from 20 to 17 or 15 rupees, as required by the new regulation; because the cavalry is to be increased by about nine thousand above its former strength, while the <u>jagIrs</u> of most of the <u>mansabdars</u> remain below the three months' scale; then it will soon become clear that the horses of such men, drawing so miserable a salary, will be in a wretched condition, and useless

l. Mu'Inul Haq translates: (p. 47) "a province which, besides being extensive in territory, is, unlike the Subahs of Bengal or Gujarat, situated on the frontiers of two Rulers who have large treasuries and forces". Compare also Aurangzīb I, 174. Now the grammar will not bear this. "Ke wilāyat-i-kalānī ast" is parenthetical to "'Ala hazrat ... In chahār sūbah-i-Dakan-rā ..ba In murīd tafwīz farmūda and," and qualifies the description of the "great charge", "noble province", etc, with which Aurangzīb has been honoured. The thing which for the purposes of his present argument distinguishes it from Bengal and Gujarāt is not its size, but the enemy at the gate. Compare Letter 68, where a financial statement is submitted.

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., 1. disobedience; 2. discontent; 3. difficulty in collecting revenue, hard enough to get in already; 4. dangerous neighbours.

for any worth-while service. 1 (paida ast ki in nau'-i-mardum ki badīn qalīl-i-naukar bāshad, hāl-i-aspan-i-ishan che khwāhad būd, wa az ānhā che kār khwāhad kashūd?)

Before the new regulations<sup>2</sup> (pesh az In) (the manṣabdārs) were paid on a three months' salary scale (hiṣṣa-i-zāt-rā sih māhī ... tankhwāh mīyāftand) they also got ten per cent (dah-yak) extra for the expenses of administering their parganas, and a further payment of thirty-two rupees a month for each cavalryman (they maintained in their contingents). Yet most of them have still neither brought in their horses for branding, nor mustered their men; so (already), on account of their inability to comply with the (old orders upon) branding and muster, (muṭāliba-i-tafāwut-i-dāgh wa taṣhīḥa) large amounts of arrears have been entered (as outstanding) (ṣabt gashta) in the registers of this province against every man.

But now, they are going to be paid on a scale of one month or two months. What will happen to them is only too obvious, (zāhir ast, ki ba-zāt-i-ānhā che khwāhad rasīd) especially at a time when the system of batā'ī has been introduced, and the expenses of administering parganas have been doubled (du chandān shuda) because the grain (in which the peasants now pay their dues) has to be collected and stored (ba taqrib-i-muḥāfizat-i-ghallāt).

Yet an exalted <u>farmān</u> has been issued, peremptorily requiring outstanding amounts to be collected; one quarter of the assessed income is to be taken from most <u>jāgīrs</u>, and one fifth or one sixth from many others. After this demand is met, they will have nothing left. How will they maintain their contingents? Conditions are not always peaceful; it might happen (yaḥtamal ki) that one day (gāhī) strong contingents will be urgently needed.

l. Sir Jadunath Sarkar (I, 174-5) expresses the point: "a horseman who got less than Rs 20 a month could not possibly keep himself in proper fighting trim." Mu'Inu'l Haq cribs these words without acknowledgement (p. 47) but in quoting the actual words seems to recognise that literal translation would impair the force of Aurangzīb's contention.

<sup>2.</sup> Omitting ba wujūd-i ān ki, and carrying it to the next sentence but one.

<sup>3.</sup> Sc., against rebellious, fractious or disorderly subjects; or (as was soon to happen) against Qutb Shah and 'Adil Shah. Mu'inu'l Haq (48) paraphrases thus: "This was a great risk because the Provincial Government needed adequate forces to maintain law and order." In the Deccan, Mughul policy required more than that.

It would be conducive (ba'\textsf{Td} nakhw\textsf{a}had b\textsf{u}d) to the welfare of the eternal State if your Majesty would give personal attention to this matter, and command those who manage the business of the luminous Court to apply their minds to the essential facts (ba ma'\textsf{a}l-i-k\textsf{a}r nazr and\textsf{a}khta) and concentrate on finding a solution.

But of course (digar) anything decreed by the kingdomadorning mind, brilliant as the sun, is the essence of propriety.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of slaves' safety, and the <u>ka'bah</u> of their desires! Ilhāmu'llāh, the son of Rashīd <u>Khān</u>, has been sent to Chāndor, as ordered, and I have despatched Asadu'llāh to Ellichpūr. 1

Since Asadu'llah is an hereditary servant, experienced and worthy; and since he has been honoured with an important post, it would be a great distinction and encouragement if, out of solicitude for the most insignificant beings, he were to be graciously awarded a title.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of murīds and slaves!

<sup>1.</sup> See Aurangzīb's remarks on Asadu'llāh's scanty following in Letter 64, supra. Recognising that Rao Karan will not be appointed to Ellichpūr, he adapts himself to the new situation, and solicits a title for his supplanter; thus he might expect Asadu'llāh's gratitude and support. In the modern Amraotī district of Berār, there are two Chāndors. One is the headquarters of the ta'lūq and lies on the main railway from Nāgpūr to Bombay. The other is a little south and west, on the other side of the railway, and was called Chāndor Bāzār because of its weekly market. Compare Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. X, p. 170. It seems that neither Chāndor had any remains of a fort.

Aurangzib's consolidation and transfer of his jagīrs, elaborated in the first paragraphs of this letter, were soon to draw him into a most bitter wrangle with his father.

The account of the capricious and inconsequential conduct of Uzbek Khān is amusing, and even instructive; more interesting is the man Aurangzīb successfully nominated to replace him, Khwāja Barkhwurdār. He is the only Naqshbandī mentioned in the prince's correspondence with his father. Aurangzīb was well aware of the importance of Ausā, which had been captured late in 1636 from the fragmented Nizām Shāhī kingdom of Ahmadnagar by Rashīd Khān Anṣārī, the father of the Ilhāmu'llāh of these letters, and brother of Hādīdād Khān. About sixty miles northwest of Culbarga, it dominated that quarter of Qutbu'l Mulk's domains.

The first paragraph, however, is the most significant part of the letter. The Emperor has allowed Aurangzīb to select good jagīrs for himself, but vitiates the favour by putting the responsibility of removing the existing jagīrdārs, and finding them fresh but inferior jagīrs, upon Aurangzīb himself. Deprived of the support of direct Imperial orders in carrying out a policy he knows to be unwise, Aurangzīb remonstrates. His doubts are soon confirmed by the Emperor in Letter 72 (infra); but in a matter quite unexpected.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Briefest formal introduction.

The descriptive roll of my jagirs (daul-i-jagir) was laid before Your Majesty when I was being sent to the Deccan, and I was commanded: "If you find on arrival parganas more productive than your own mahals in the hands of any local jagirdar, you are at liberty to exchange them if you wish."

Even though the order was so plain, I recollected how the welfare of the state (depended upon) keeping high-ranking and experienced officers happy and contented and decided that it would be unbecoming (in me) to take their jagirs even by way of exchange. (So) I confirmed all of them in possession of their jagīrs, and satisfied myself with

l. The manuscripts have nazr ba intizām-i-muhimmat-i-mulkī. Nadwī reads ihtimām for intizam, which might justify an alteration to "I recollected my duty to watch carefully over the interests of the state and to keep ...." Nadwī's note shows that Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's copy from I.O. reads intizām; and that his B (a bad text) omits the word entirely.

<sup>2.</sup> A list of jagirs held by Aurangzīb at the conclusion of his first vice-royalty is printed in Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign, 121-2(text) 123-4 (translation). It is dated 25 June, 1644, a month after his dismissal.

the mahals granted me by the glorious court as tan (jagir).

But I was soon convinced that several officers did not deserve to hold the jagirs they did. If when they were transferred from their posts (az taghaiyur) certain mahāls were to be resumed into my own jagir, there would be no manner of loss to the government, founded in the firmament.

Accordingly I have exchanged infertile and unproductive mahals, (nominally) yielding altogether one crore and twenty-seven odd lakhs of dam, (Rs. 317,500 odd) with (men) who could be compensated in various other ways. I have sent a separate account (of these exchanges) to the Court, exalted as the sky, so that the senior dīvāns might lay it before your Imperial Majesty, and (then) send (cash) salaries (to the dispossessed jāgīrdārs).

Now <u>sanads</u> have reached me, indicating that the exchange of some <u>mahals</u> has not been approved; (but, while) some of the less productive <u>parganas</u> (I proposed to exchange) are still in their original hands, (ba hal mandah) many of my former <u>mahals</u> (which I am now expected to take back) have had their assessments reduced.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! The exchanges might have been denied sanction on the assumption that the receipts from my jagir are (already) high (enough) (mazanna-iafzun-i-hasil). Now the total receipts of my jagirs in the Deccan, including the mahals I exchanged, do not exceed the eight months' salary scale. The one crore and twenty-seven dams' worth, of which I divested myself by exchange (ke mu'āwaza shuda) are on a scale of nine months, or even more. A separate report upon this has been sent to my agent at the sublime Court, and will have been read in the august assembly. Should there be any doubt (shubha) of (my statement) of the receipts, it might be ordered that all mahals taken in exchange by me, whose yield is calculated on a scale of nine months or more, should be resumed into the imperial khālişa, and that I be granted cash in lieu, on the eight months' scale. shall thus be enabled to refrain from constantly troubling Your Majesty with petitions and requests on such trifling matters.

Hail to this <u>murid's</u> spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah!</u> When reports of Uzbek Khan's decaying sight, advancing

age, and general feebleness (zu'af-i-basr wa pīrī wa nā-tawānī) reached Your Majesty, it was ordered that I should summon him and investigate the situation myself. Having called him before me, I told the news-writer my own impression of his physical condition, and directed him to enter my exact words (bī kam wa ziyāda) in his official records.

Since no definite order had been issued about him, and it was unwise to leave a frontier fort without a commandant, I sent him back to Ausā to await the receipt of a lofty Meanwhile, the local news-writer reported that he several things not consonant with his duty as had done commandant; (ba'z amūr-i-munāfi-i-qil'adārī az ū wuqū' miayad) I told Safī Khan to write him a letter of advice and warning. His reply was a request for leave to resign his post, and to give up his jagir (izhar-i-istighfar az khidmat wa jaqīr). Full details will have reached Your Majesty in the news-letters. I might add that a great many peasants from his jagir have sought redress against his harsh and tyrannical practices (az dast-i-jaur wa ta'addī-i-ū dādkhwah shudand). If permitted, I would like to place Khwaja Barkhwurdar in charge of Ausa, with an increase of rank of 500 zat and sawar for the duration of his service, and giving him all Uzbek Khan's mahals and tuyuls as his jagīr. He is a trustworthy and experienced officer, whose present rank is 1500

<sup>1.</sup> What Aurangzīb actually wrote would have been separately submitted. He cannot have been far advanced in senile decay, as he marched to Court and was sent to a new post in Gujarāt, when he died two years later.

It was Aurangzīb who had brought Nazr Beg to the Deccan, and had him raised to the rank of 2000/2000, exalted by the title of Uzbek Khān, and appointed to Ausā, during his first viceroyalty, in 1640-41. Apparently he had remained there ever since.

<sup>(</sup>Ma'asiru'l Umara, (text), I, 195 (last line) - 196.) The author gives this character of him: "He was direct in discourse, and devoted to ease; he delighted to drink wine and listen to melodies and music. While he certainly kept up his military contingent to the prescribed level, he spent foolishly and frivolously; at the end of every year, there was nothing left out of the income from his tuyuls. He always used to say: "If anything is left when I die, I would prove myself a sinner" ('asī basham).

Perhaps it was by his exactions upon the peasants that he was enabled to sustain so cheerfully this jovial style of life.

<u>zāt</u> and <u>sawār</u>. However, anything which may cast its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration will be the essence of propriety.

May the world-illuming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine in the orient sky of the Sultanate until the Last Day!

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;He was a Naqshbandī Khwājazāda" who gained early notoriety by being whipped for marrying without Jahāngīr's leave a daughter of Mahābat Khān Madāru's sultanah. This apparently occurred in 1625-26. He betook himself to Shāh Jahān in the Deccan with that nobleman just before Jahāngīr's death in 1627, and his rise under Shāh Jahān is thus recorded:

<sup>1</sup>st year: 1000/500; 8th year: 1500/800 23rd year: 1500/1500

The increase solicited by Aurangzīb, and the appointment as qila'dār of Ausā, were approved: Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā (text) II, 206. Aurangzīb at the beginning of his reign created him Ashraf Khān; in the second year (1659-60) he called him to court; "the year of his death is unknown" (ibid, 207).

As a Naqshbandī, he may perhaps have had some slight connection with Shaikh Ahmad Sirhindī and his successors.

This letter is important for two reasons; it shows the vigilance the Emperor exercised in supervising the succession of the tributary Hindu chiefs, and it introduces Mīr Jumla, the final stages of whose spectacular career so decisively affected the rising fortunes of Aurangzīb. Never a chance did Aurangzīb let slip to seduce the Mīr to Mughul service, and scatter confusion upon his present master in Golconda and his would-be employer in Bījāpūr; but, as we shall see, the Mīr was not above playing one off against the other.

The care taken to ensure that the successor of the deceased Rāja was acceptable to the leading members of his clan and to other Rājpūts before submitting his name to the Emperor for confirmation illustrates the prudence with which the Mughuls conciliated their tributaries, while at every point they asserted their suzerainty over them.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Briefest conventional salutations

Repeated requests for relief have been made (mukarrar ... istighāṣa namūdand) by the peasants of the pargana of Trimbak, land (suffering under) the injustice and oppression of Darvesh Beg Qāqshāl. He was warned to abandon his tyrannical practices, but in vain; (mufīd niyāmad) so I have given his offices of qila'dār and faujdār of Trimbak to Shafqatu'llāh, the son of Sazāwār Khān. Shafqatu'llāh is an experienced khānazād, and his manners are agreeable (bā-salūk). I propose an increase of 100 zāt and sawār in his rank, making it altogether 700 zāt and 400 sawār. If approval is given, I shall formally dismiss him to his post; if not, let somebody else be appointed.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! Rāja Sangrām, Raja of Jammū and faujdār of Jāmūd and Mānjrūd, is dead

<sup>1.</sup> Manuscripts have Trinbak; Ch. reads Trimbīk, but notes, without comment, the correcter reading of the manuscripts. The editors of M.U. note, in the article cited below, readings in their manuscripts: Tarbang, Tarbak and Tirang. They select the first.

<sup>2.</sup> This was apparently his first appointment. He got his father's title in Aurangzīb's first year, and died in 1685-86. M.U. II, (text) 440-441. The appointment was soon modified: See Letter 87, infra.

(taṣadduq-i-farq-i-mubārak shuda). His son died (guzashta) before him, his grandson Sārangdhar, an experienced khānazād, (survives).

As he is the issue of the eldest son, his paternal uncles (sons of the late rāja), Rāo Karan and other Rājpūts serving in the Deccan have jointly asked that he succeed his grandfather (ke jā-nishīn-i-padar-i-kalān-i-ū bāshad). If the succession of Sārangdhar to Sangrām's gaddī is approved at Court, then the manṣab of himself and his uncles might be settled in terms of (the suggestion) which will be put before Your Majesty in the news-letters. They could perform the duties of the post which Sangrām used to hold; and then Sangrām's contingent would be kept together.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the necessities of <u>murīds</u>! It has long been known, from the letters of our secret agents (harkārhā'ī) at Golconda, and of our ambassador there, that Qutbu'l Mulk has come to suspect the loyalty (mutawahhim gashta) of his commandant Muḥammad Sa'īd, entitled Mīr Jumla, and intends to arrest him. Mīr Jumla in turn has come to know of these moves; with elegant and insubstantial excuses (ba latā'ifu'l ḥail-i-khwud) he is (successfully) preserving himself from that danger.

All these facts will have been read in the sublime assembly, from the copies of our secret agents' letters entered in the official news reports; and likewise the letters of Abdu'l-Latīf, our ambassador at Golconda, will have passed under the luminous gaze.

It has now transpired (ba-wazūh ānjāmīd) that Mīr Jumla has been detaching himself from Qutbu'l Mulk, and has no real wish (aṣlan rāzī nīst) to present himself before him. So Qutb ul Mulk has raised the veil from the face of the affair, and is doing all he can to seize him, and ruin him.

I have written to our ambassador that since Muhammad Sa'īd Mīr Jumla is known to have cherished firm sentiments of devotion and submission towards the Court, the refuge of

<sup>1.</sup> A note on this phrase appears in Letter 47.

<sup>2.</sup> Jagadīsh Narāyan Sarkār paraphrases: "arts of finesse and tricks of diplomacy": "Life of Mir Jumla", 65.

rulers, he should at this juncture be conciliated by various gestures of imperial kindness, so that he might acquire spiritual guidance by becoming a slave of the glorious Court. Were he to enjoy the happiness of receiving that grace, what a great chance for him! (zihe tāli'-i-ū!)

May the extensive shadow of the Caliphate remain spread over the heads of the inhabitants of the known world!

The financial statement given in this letter is set out in running prose, and one amount has been omitted: the income from the imperial khalisa, which by making up the difference between the two sums of annual tribute and the total given can be established at the modest figure of Rs. 2,40,000.

Financial stringency was the root of Shāh Jahān's complaints, and Aurangzīb's difficulties, in the Deccan. This letter provides the only complete statement of the overall situation surviving in the correspondence. The facts are irrefutable; and yet Aurangzīb himself in his obstinate old age campaigned for a quarter of a century in the Deccan, expecting it to support his swarming army and a horde of campfollowers. After the kingdom of Golconda was shattered, and its lands annexed, we hear no more of its overflowing prosperity, its fantastic deposits of treasure, and its mines of diamonds.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God! Briefest possible conventional salutations.

Herewith is a statement of the annual receipts and disbursements of the (four) provinces of the Deccan:

<u>Paid</u>: Maintenance of the artillery and the necessary personnel, and sundry essential expenses:

Rs 6,33,000 (approx.) (qarīb)

My own cash salary; daily allowances (ruziana) of <a href="https://khanazads.com/html/khanazads">khanazads</a> paid in cash; and other salaries (tankhwah)

Rs 25,43,000

Total expenditure: Rs 31,76,000

Received: Income (mahsul) from the pargana of Bir and other mahals of the imperial khalisa:

<sup>1.</sup> The manuscripts read ihsham-i-topkhana, "the personnel of the artillery" Nadwi reads ihtimam, "maintenance" and notes no alternative. This seems the better reading, unless the manuscripts' reading is to be understood as meaning "the artillery and the necessary supportive personnel".

Qutbu'l Mulk's (annual) tribute: Rs 8,00,000

Raja of Deogarh's (annual) tribute: Rs 1,00,000

Total receipts: Rs 11,40,000

20,36,000 rupees more are required each year, to make the receipts of the Deccan balance expenditure.

I did not trouble Your Majesty with an explanation of the position, even though a report of it was made (to me) since (a total of) 80,60,000 rupees was held (faraham amda bud) in various forms (dar taqribat) in the public treasury (khazana-i 'amira) of the Deccan.

Since a large part of this money was spent on the items abovementioned in very little time, a sum of Rs 20,00,000 has been drawn from the treasure laid up (zakhirah) in (the forts of) Daulatābād and Asīr. Rs 8,50,000 remains, this amount at least (aqillan) (is needed) to take care of the emergencies which must always arise in a great frontier province like this (dar īn qism-i-sūbah-i'umda-i-sarhadd).

I (now) think it imperative (wajib did) to inform Your Majesty of these facts.

To balance future receipts with expenditure, I should like to be permitted (agar hukm-i-aqdas zīnat-i-nifāz yābad) to resume to the imperial khāliṣa maḥāls in the hands of jagirdars with an actual net yield of 20,36,000 rupees, with effect from the start of the autumn harvest.

After the recent reduction the total assessment of these provinces is, in dams, one arb forty-three crores and ninety lakhs; (1,43,90,00,000 dams, or Rs 3,62,25,000) but the actual yield, allowing for the twelve lakhs of rupees which earlier divans, in the hope of stabilising the assessment (ba jihat-i qa'im dashtan-i-jama') wrote off (kamī ... nawishta) as a (permanent) allowance for natural calamity, (ba sīgha-i-āfat) is (only) one crore of rupees. There is not (even) enough to keep average payments up to the three months' scale (ki sarāsar sih māha durust namīshawad).

<sup>1.</sup> Here, and at the end of this letter, Aurangzīb recurs to the two-fold difficulty in making the Deccan self-supporting; it is a frontier province, and large forces must be kept up; but to support them by the manṣabdārī system out of the province's revenues alone was more than the land could bear.

Of these ten million rupees, twelve crores of dam (Rs 30,00,000) from jagirs, and three crores of dam (Rs 7,50,000) from in and lands, are payable to myself and to officers of the imperial household.

So if (ba taqdīrī ki) <u>maḥāls</u> yielding Rs 20,36,000 are made <u>khālişa</u>, there is no doubt at all that most of the officers posted to the Deccan will be left without <u>jāgīrs</u>. It will be necessary to dismiss them to the Court of the Caliphate; and the total forces serving in the Deccan, a province whose importance is not unknown to Your Majesty, will be reduced by about one third.

There are innumerable reasons (az jihat-i-kasīra) why the permanent stationing of a large army here is essential. The Deccan is not to be compared with Bengal or Gujarāt (ba sūbah-i-Bangāla wa Gujarāt nisbatī nadarad).

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! These are the reasons (hamin maratib-ra manzur farmuda) why, when last I was honoured with the governorship of the Deccan, I was graciously allowed to hold some of my jagirs in other provinces, and to receive my cash salary from the province of Malwa and the port of Surat. Several high-ranking officers serving here then (dar in subah ba-sar burda) held jagirs in other provinces; so I hope that I may receive whatever instructions the kingdom-adoring mind, brilliant as the sun, may determine. I shall act accordingly.

May the world-illumining sun of the eternal Caliphate continue to shine!

Rão Karan of Bikanīr, Aurangzīb's candidate to hold the pargana of Ellichpur in jāgīr, has shown slackness in obeying the new branding regulations, and Aurangzīb makes an example of him.

The real substance of this letter, however, is the progress of Aurangzīb's diplomatic intrigues, designed to subvert both the Deccani kingdoms by setting each against the other, encouraging disturbances establishing connections and claims within their boundaries to serve as a basis for Mughul interference.

Srī Ranga Rāyal, the aged descendant of the rulers of Vijāyanagar, maintained precariously a shadowy independence in a fragment of his ancestral domains. How sincere he was in offering Islām as the price of Mughul protection, or Aurangzīb in professing to believe him, is doubtful; for, as we shall see, he drops out of the picture when Aurangzīb has secured his great object of enrolling Mīr Jumla in imperial service. The matter is pursued in Letters 85-87.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Brief conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters, the first signed by the Emperor, and the second written entirely in his hand; both sent in response to Aurangzīb's letters.

Thanks, and further acknowledgements.

I shall set out my impressions, for what they are worth, (nau'ī ki khātir-i nāqis ba-rasad) of the new branding regulations in a subsequent letter, as Your Majesty has commanded.

The assessments shall be collected as required by the world-obeyed mandate.

Until Rão Karan shall have paid the assessments laid upon him, the entire receipts of the pargana of Posad, which forms part of his tuyul maḥals (tan-jāgīr), will be resumed to the imperial khālisa from the spring of the eighth cyclic year (yūnt īl).

It obtained the elegance of being written: "Of his

<sup>1.</sup> Compare Letter 63. He would have lost 18 lakhs of dam (Rs. 45000) annually: see the parwancha of 22 August, 1650, notifying local officials of the pargana's transfer to Rao Karan: Sel. Doc. Shah Jahan's Reign, 175-6.

own free will, 'Ādil Khān has sent to the Court, the refuge of rulers, a choice elephant, free of all defects. It has passed under Our luminous gaze, and has been accepted as a suitably respectful offering.' (sabab-i mujrī-i-ū gashta).

What good fortune for him, that he has acquired the grace of sending something acceptable to the refined taste (of Your Majesty), and offering his own respects (at the same time). Your Majesty's incalculable kindness has advanced his honour and status above that of his compeers; Your Majesty's unfailing grace is constantly being showered upon him.

Now that he has received the title of  $Sh\bar{a}h$ , which has been the object of all his desires, his sense of gratitude for his good fortune and felicity might (allow him to) offer but one of all the excellent elephants he has acquired from the Carnatic; he might well be able to afford it (ganjāyish dārad).  $^2$ 

In reply to my request for (leave to) marry a servant of the sublime court, (sc., Muḥammad Sultān) this sacred order was issued: "You are responsible for your own sons. Do whatever you think proper. If you want to, you may send Muḥammad Sultān upon the fortunate duty (of appearing at Court). We shall retain him for a few days, and shall then give him leave to return to you."

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! Because of the relation of dependence which exists between my father and his several sons, I felt that I could not but lay the situation before Your Majesty. It does not behove me to make decisions affecting members of Your Majesty's own household, and, in fact, in every matter the reins of my free will are held in Your Majesty's God-fearing hands. How much more is this true of my sons! (ba farzandan chi rasad?)

May almighty God continue to keep (Your Majesty's) shadow, lofty as the sky, spread over the heads of <u>murīds</u> and household slaves!

Recognising where my spiritual and temporal felicity lies,

<sup>1.</sup> Compare, in Letter 80, "baha-i-an ba mushar alaihi (in that case, Qutbu'l Mulk) ba mujrī dadah khwahad shud", where mujrī is given the meaning of credited against tribute due. The idiomatic use of mujrī in these senses seems distinctively Indian.

<sup>2.</sup> There is surely a touch of irony here. Is Aurangzīb alluding to Dārā's partiality for the Deccan kings, and his reception of overtures from them? See note at the end of the letter.

I shall, God willing, give Muhammad Sultān formal leave on Sunday evening, 12 Zī hijja. He will proceed to his exalted audience by way of Mandasor with (an escort of) a thousand first-rate archers and matchlock-men.

Hail to the perfect guide! Ever since I (khānazād-i-hazrat) learned from a letter of my agent at Court, the centre of the world, of Your Majesty's intended departure for Ajmīr, to punish the Rānā unless he obeys the obedience-compelling commands, my anxious desire to serve has left me without rest day or night. I have been strongly moved to petition the Court, exalted as the firmament, to summon me to join the expedition.

I had (already) submitted to Your Majesty my desire to gain the honour of entering the luminous presence, and (I have heard that) it has fallen from the tongue, speaking words of grace: "We shall summon him to wait upon Us."

However, I shall not renew my request to Your Majesty in this matter; I shall wait patiently, in the hope that (ki shayad) an order summoning me may soon be issued.

What a great kindness it would be, (zihe karāmat) if the saintly protector were to comprehend in the purity of his resplendent mind the yearning of his slave, and make an order granting his wish!

Although 'Ādil Khān is doing his utmost to attract Mīr Jumla from Qutbu'l Mulk's service to his own, (Mīr Jumla-i-Qutbu'l Mulk ... ū rā naukar-i khwud kunad) and Qutbu'l Mulk is now attempting a reconciliation (dar maqām-i-istimālat-i-ū ast) I will redouble my efforts to attract him to our service (dar wādī-i-dilāsā'ī ... ḥatta'l-maqdūr pishtar sa'ī khwāhad namūd).

Your Majesty will doubtless have read the letter of our ambassador at Golconda 'Abdu'l Latīf, saying that Qutbu'l Mulk, on hearing of our dispatch of Muhammad Mūmin (Safdār-khānī) to the Carnatic, has been filled with alarm, and is seeking to prevent Mīr Jumla from coming over to us by the use of fraudulent devices.

I have written a letter (nishānī) to our ambassador, and sent a copy to Your Majesty. He is to inform Qutbu'l Mulk of its contents, in the hope that he will realise (tā ... wāqif gardānad) how contemptible are his plots and perverse plans,

<sup>1.</sup> Raftan ba maqsad with Nadwī's M, rather than giriftan With I.O.

(az qubh-i-iradat wa darazkar) and what little chance they have of being realised by such as he (ki yara-i-imsal-i- $\bar{u}$  nīst).

The pen, tracing lines of bliss, wrote: "'Ādil Khān now recognises your importance (az an murīd mulāḥiza namūd) and is ready to send you a substantial gift for your own use if you will undertake to prevent any help reaching the Carnatic chief (rāh-i-imdād-i zamindār-i Karnātak masdūd shawad).

Hail to this <u>murīd's giblah</u>! Before (this) exalted farmān reached me, I knew of ('Ādil Khān's) proposal.

My actual intention was to turn his mention of a gift (for my own use) of jewels and fine elephants to the advantage of the sublime government. So I wrote to Ja'far Khān, our ambassador at Bījāpūr, that by reason of his acceptance of Islām and the obligation of a heavy tribute, a lofty command had opened the way for aid to reach the Carnatic chief.

In these circumstances, if 'Adil Khān were to discharge his obligation to pay a proper tribute by handing over jewels of fine quality, and pedigreed elephants capable of gratifying Your Majesty's taste, to be sent to the Court of the Caliphate through my hands, (ba wasātat-i-īn fidwī) he would make this gift of elephants a source of reliance upon the Court, the refuge of the world, and a means of bringing his request to the foot of the lofty throne, in the hope of acquiring for what he asks the rank of acceptance.

When 'Adil Khan learned what I had written to Ja'far, and what Ja'far had said to his ministers, he sent to Your Majesty's luminous presence a petition, which has been shown in Court, begging that Muḥammad Mūmin's journey to the Carnatic might be abandoned. He has also written in this matter to me, undertaking to offer a further gift (ta'ahhud-i-pishkash karda). So, in a further attempt to regulate the amount he is to pay Your Majesty, I have sent him in writing a final statement of the total, and have sent a man to arrange its collection (baraye insiram-i-īn matlab). In handling this affair, I shall do whatever Your Majesty may direct.

I hope, that through Your Majesty's imperishable good fortune, this stratagem of mine (in mansuba), which has already stricken the Deccan kings with consternation, will awaken them from the dreams of their false pride; and that from it the

solicitude of the true preceptor and guide will soon reap a rich harvest (ba andak ... samrat-i-nekū mutarattib gardad).

Everything these men have captured in the Carnatic and concealed, whether in cash or kind, reverts to the (Imperial) government, founded in the firmament. It would be proper, out of solicitude for such an insignificant being as myself, that a gracious farman acquire the honour of being issued to 'Ali 'Adil Khān, accompanied by symbolic gifts (ba tabarrukāt¹-i-khāsa) from Your Majesty. A particular recital of these claims (of ours to the booty) (tashakhkhīs-i-īn muqaddima) might be made (in the farmān), and (the granting of his requests) made dependent upon (mauqūf gardad) his acknowledgement. The plan appears on the brink of success (bisyār nazdīk ba kār ast). However, anything which may occur to the heart of angelic penetration is the essence of propriety.

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate continue to shine until the dissolution of the universe!

<sup>1.</sup> The word commonly means that which is blessed; that which possesses barkat, the mysterious power God allows holy men to wield, in bringing prosperity to men and increase in crops. The food distributed at shrines of Muslim saints in India is often called tabarruk. The term as used here has a definite significance; gifts not necessarily of great value, but sanctified by being specially given by the Emperor as a sign of his readiness to show favour. Once again, the deliberate commingling of the terms of divine and human power is worth notice.

# Note on the Title of Shah for 'Adil Khan

It would appear that the history of Bījāpūr known as the Basātin-i Salātīn and compiled in or about 1824, largely from materials no longer extant, by Mīrzā Ibrāhīm Zubairī, claims that Shāh Jahān conferred this title upon 'Ādil Khān in 1648; so says Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār, quoting, presumably, the edition said to have been lithographed at Haidarābād (compare the uninformative but copious bibliography attached to Fārūqī's "Aurangzib: His Life and Times" (p. 584)). I have not seen the Basātin-i-Salātīn, so cannot comment upon Sir Jādūnāth's conclusion. It has been accepted uncritically by (among others) Dr. Banārsī Prasād Saksena: "History of Shahjahan of Dihli", p. 167. Indirectly, Dr. Mu'īnu'l Haq relies upon it: "Prince Awrangzib", p. 66. See Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's History of Aurangzīb, vol.I, p. 230.

The passage in Aurangzīb's letter reads: Agar ba'd-i-sarfārazī yāftan ba khitāb-i shāhī, ki muntahā'ī-i arzuhā'ī-i-u būd, ba muqtazā'i nek akhtarī wa sa'adatmandī az jumla-i-fīlān-i-nāmī ki az Karnātak ba dast āwarda, yak fīl-i-khūb peshkash namūda bāshad, ganjāyish dārad. It seems clear enough that 'Ādil Khān had been "honoured with the title of Shāh"; but perhaps only in the entitulatures of letters, and not by any formal act or deed. Certainly Aurangzīb never calls him 'Ādil Shāh; and in the list of titles compiled by the Munshī, he appears as: Imārat panāh, iyālat dastgāh ... shāyista-i-ashfaq-i-bī-payān, 'Alī 'Ādil Khān" (B.M. 292A; I.O. 294B). Was it perhaps that Dārā had mediated the Emperor's decision, and Aurangzīb could not bring himself to accept it?

The effect of the reduction in the <u>jama'</u> of the various <u>maḥāls</u> in Aurangzīb's <u>jāgīrs</u>, which he acknowledges in the beginning of this letter, would have been to make Aurangzīb eligible for more <u>jāgīrs</u>, to bring his salary back to the appropriate level (which, it should never be forgotten, was calculated on the basis of the assessment, not the yield).

The second concession given him reinforces the point made in the introduction to Letter 55; officers of Deccani origin were consistently treated as second-class men. Aurangzīb has been allowed to pick the eyes, as it were, from the jāgīrs of all the Deccani officers in the four provinces; and the complaint brought against him is not that these men have suffered a diminution in their actual income as against their normal pay, but that the territorial integrity of administrative unit of land (mahals) had been impaired.

Aurangzīb refutes the charge by admitting the principle that <u>mahāls</u> must be kept intact. A single ill-intentioned departure from that principle by Khān-i-Daurān is adduced as an example of what Aurangzīb would not have done; but it is not clear whether he has rectified the matter, even though old Sazāwār Khān, the officer affected (and not a Deccani) was still serving in the Deccan. Of the generally doubtful virtue of breaking up <u>mahāls</u> among various <u>jāgīrdārs</u>, considered from their point of view or from that of the peasants, there is not a word.

The negotiations with Mīr Jumla now begin to unfold: Aurangzīb's analysis of that over-mighty subject's position, a masterpiece of condensation, is written in a plain vigorous style with no wasted words.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Brief conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter signed with the Emperor's own hand.

Short formal thanks.

I have been filled with exultation (mubāhī gasht) at the reduction (takhfīfī) made in the (nominal yield of the) maḥāls in my tuyūl, and at the liberty given me to exchange some of my less productive maḥāls for more flourishing parganas (now) in the hands of Deccani jāgīrdārs. May almighty God keep the murīd-cherishing and slave-nourishing shadow of Your Majesty spread out!

From the text of the exalted <u>farman</u>, it was clear that the sacred heart had conclusively determined that I had not exchanged <u>mahals</u> as a unit, (mahal-i-dar u bast-rā mu'awaza nakarda) but had chosen (for myself) the best villages in each <u>pargana</u>, and given the inferior villages to (other) jāgīrdārs.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! In every matter

(dar jamī' amūr) I¹ keep before my eyes the obligation to gratify the heart of angelic penetration, and indeed I have not acted against that duty now (aslan pīrāmūn-i-khilāf-i-ān nagashta). Ever since I (first) served as governor of a province, I knew that for men to share in (the management of) a maḥāl was a sure cause of its ruin. Unless a mahal is included as a whole in one man's jāgīr, he cannot administer it properly; how could I act otherwise? Even in the sarkār of Bījāgarh and other (districts), maḥāls were kept intact (dar u bast būda) despite their low yield and general infertility.

In the entire pargana of Juner, as in other parganas, there has been no separation of any mahar or village, and they are all included in the assessed revenue for the pargana. When this pargana was in Sazawar Khan's jagir, Khan-i-Daurān took several parganas out of it, fixed a new revenue for them, and called them a chaukī. This he did out of a hypocritical wish to do him harm, while pretending to favour him (az nagārī ki ba ū dāsht). God willing, I shall obey the noble and exalted command; I shall not abandon the principle that mahāls must be governed as a unit.

The acceptance of my proposal that the charge of the fort of Ausā be made over to Khwāja Barkhwurdār, and the conditional increase of his rank (izāfa-i-sharṭa-i-ū) has caused my credit to be enhanced. As ordered, I have despatched him to take

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhuri here prints "In akhlaq sirisht" - surely a misprint, and not the reading of his manuscript.

<sup>2.</sup> The manuscripts read mahalī, and not mahalī as one might have expected.

<sup>3.</sup> Nasīrī Khān, Khān-i-Daurān, was Viceroy of the Deccan between 28 May 1644 and 27 June 1645, when he was murdered at Lahore. More a warrior than an administrator, he ruthlessly peeled the peasants. He had commanded one of the armies sent against Jhujār Singh Bundela in 1635, the nominal supreme commandership of which was the youthful Aurangzīb's first public office.

<sup>4.</sup> The Ma'asiru'l-Umara records that in the 28th year of Shah Jahan (1654-55) he was appointed qila'dar of Ausa and promoted to 2000/2000, but says nothing about conditional rank: one presumes the rank was confirmed (text, I, 206). On Aurangzib's accession he was created Ashraf Khan; in the second year (1659-1660) he was retired, and called to court. "The date of his death is not known": ibid, 207. The earlier history of this "Naqshbandī khwājazāda" is given in a note to Letter 66.

up his duty at Ausa, and have sent the descriptive roll of his jagir to the Court, exalted as the sky.

I shall give Uzbek Khān leave to kiss the threshold of the Caliphate.

Earlier, Mirzā Khān¹ handed over the fort of Aḥmadnagar to Shāh Beg Khān, in disregard of the general orders, (ba wajūd-i-ṣudūr-i-ḥukm-i-muqaddas) before receiving the lofty farmān addressed to him.² It is possible that Uzbek Khān might do the same.³ I have therefore sent him a letter (nishānī) on this matter, and have told Safī Khān to make sure that Uzbek Khān understands the exalted mandate (superseding him); and to request Uzbek Khān to make over the fort to Khwāja Barkhwurdār as soon as (ba mujarrad-i) he receives my letter, and (learns) the contents of the sublime farmān. If he does, well and good; if not, I shall ask that an exalted farmān be addressed to him by name.

Furthermore, Khwaja Barkhwurdar must not live outside the fort,  $^4$  as Shah Beg Khan  $^5$  does; but the prohibition should not

<sup>1.</sup> Mirza Khan Minuchihrī, "a son of Mīrza Īrij Shahnawaz Khan, son of 'Abdu'r-Rahīm Khan-i-Khanan, a memorial of the line of Bairam Khan". He was already a seasoned warrior by 1624; he was posted to Ahmadnagar in 1651; to Ellichpūr in 1654; and survived, a mansabdar of 3000/3000, until 1083/1672. M.U. III (text) 586-589.

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., the official intimation of his supersession. The episode furnishes yet another illustration of the strictness of the rules covering the handing-over charge of official posts: compare Manucci, Storia do Mogor (tr. Irvine) II, 445-6; note at IV, 439, for further references. Aurangzīb seems to have forgiven Mīrzā Khān his fault; see Letter 78, infra. He was under a slight cloud, however: see Letter 63, supra.

<sup>3.</sup> Perhaps he did; for in Ma'asiru'l-umara, I, 195, we read: "Pas az muddatha ki dar qila'darī-i-mazkūr (sc. Ausa) guzranīda, ba huzūr shitaft." In 1066/1655-56 he was sent to Gujarat, and "in the 30th year of the reign (sc. 1067/1656-57) "the morning of his life attained the evening of death." Cf Letter 66.

<sup>4.</sup> It was a general rule, that a qila'dar must remain within the fort placed in his charge until the officer superseding him arrived to take over.

<sup>5.</sup> N. inserts khwaja here; I.O., probably correctly, omits it. Shah Beg was of Uzbek origin. M.U. II (text) 665, and abstract of his career in note to Letter 50, supra. Not all Uzbeks were Khwajas, though there was a popular tendency in the eighteenth century to call Central Asians, not being Turks or "Qizilbash", Khwaja: possibly in deference to their rigid Sunni faith. To infer Shah Beg's connection with the Naqshbandī mystics and revivalists would go too far.

be couched so as to detract from his dignity.

The pen, tracing lines of happiness, wrote: "Qutbu'l Mulk's officer Mīr Jumla has returned to the Carnatic. Being unable to come over to us, (chūn īn taraf natawānad āmad) he had no recourse but to hasten away there."

Hail to my qiblah and ka'bah! The facts are these: Like 'Ādil Khān,Qutbu'l Mulk has been casting eyes upon the feeble state of the Carnatic chief for several years now. He sent Mīr Jumla to the Carnatic with most of his army, to seize a portion (barkhī) of that territory (for himself). Arriving in the Carnatic, Mīr Jumla captured various forts and districts, with treasure laid up both above and below ground (bā khazā'in wa dafā'in), and other (forms of) booty.

When it became apparent that he had consolidated his power there, (chun u-ra ba-anja quwwatī wa istiqlalī paida shuda) and had, through his good treatment and careful management of the army sent there with him, secured its loyalty to himself (sarān-i-sipāh ... az khwud sākhta) - not to mention the excellent army which he had recruited locally, Qutbu'l Mulk began to entertain doubts, (bād gumār shuda) and recalled him.

After his return, Qutbu'l Mulk formed the intention of blinding him (ki u-ra na-bīna kunad). Learning of this, Mīr Jumla used his native cunning and address to free himself from his clutches, and return to the Carnatic. He resolved never again to go near Qutbu'l Mulk, an intention of which Qutbu'l Mulk has come to know. He has summoned Mīr Jumla (again), and is making great efforts to induce him to return; but has had no success.

Mīr Jumla's suspicions have been made stronger; he is sending plausible excuses ('uzrhā'i muwajjah) (to Golconda) but he has no intention of going himself; so by degrees the curtain is dropping from the face of the affair (rafta rafta pardā az rū-i-kār baruftād).

Now he is in possession of all the districts and forts

<sup>1.</sup> Compare the use of this phrase in Letter 67. Rather ironically, Aurangzīb later uses it in a letter to Mīr Jumla as wazīr of the Mughul empire: he is speaking of Qutbu'l Mulk! I.O. fo. 121A, last line; B.M. fo. 108A.

which he captured, and Qutbu'l Mulk's army remains under his command. He has laid the foundations of amity with the Carnatic chief, and has established good relations with 'Ādil Khān. It is quite likely that these facts have not yet become known at Court (az qrār wāqi' mazkūr-i-maḥfil-i-jalāl nashuda).

Hail to the saintly protector! If Mir Jumla should obtain the grace of serving the Court, the refuge of rulers, and sets off in this direction, who would dare to stop him? (yārā'ī chīst, ki sadd-i-rāh-i-ū tawānad shud?) I have written to him many times, that if his apprehensions restrain him from acquiring the felicity of servitude to the sublime Court, he should write plainly to that effect. Then I would send an Imperial army, suitable for the purpose, (shāyista) to any place he cares to mention, to serve as an escort for him. (At present), no messenger can pass between us, (rāh-i-āmad u raft-i-qāṣidān masdūd gashta) so no reply has reached me.

If his fortune befriends him, and good luck guides him, (agar tali' yawar, wa bakht rahbar-i-u khwahad bud) it is certain that he will not cut himself off (mahrum nasakhta) from this brilliant destiny, but will contemplate no other course (than entering our service).

May the world-illuming sun of the Caliphate continue to shine from the horizon of grandeur!

<sup>1.</sup> Sc., Śrī Ranga Rāyal.

Sc., his fears of an encounter with Qutbu'l Mulk's army, or perhaps an ambush.

The Emperor has attempted to deal with Aurangzīb's remonstrances against the branding system (Letter 65, supra) by two measures: by basing the salaries of troopers on the realistic assumption that Deccan jāgīrs are yielding only a third of their assessed income, and by increasing the salaries of men in the lowest range to twenty rupees a month. Aurangzīb's detailed reply, transmitted separately, has not survived. If it had, some interesting details would have come to light, particularly of the re-distribution of jāgīrs, made necessary by this reduction of the assessment - in effect, if not in form. Was land released from khāliṣa to make up the amounts jāgīrdārs were now obliged to pay? It was not a usual practice in Shāh Jahān's time.

Unfortunately, Aurangzīb is too discreet to disclose, in this demi-official letter, the contents of Mīr Jumla's first written approach to him. That the messenger and his escort passed through Golconda territory (with the utmost circumspection) must be taken for granted. The wide sweep around the rough and then almost untraversed country on the southern confines of Orissa would have required far more than "twenty days" to pass through, and return by.

Qandahar in the Deccan, now insignificant, was then the principal fort of Mughal Telingana, and stood some twenty miles south-west of the principal town, Nander. As such, it was one of the more important forts under Aurangzīb's charge.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Short conventional salutations.

In reply to the representations which I sent to the exalted Court upon the branding regulations, it has been ordered: "As the jagīrs of most officers serving in the Deccan yield four months' (proportion of their assessed income) or even less, We determine that with effect from the first of Mihr, (az ibtidāi-i-ghurra-i Mihr) which coincides with the beginning of the autumn harvest of the seventh cyclic year, (yūnt il) the (troopers') salaries of 17½ rupees a month for each horse on the three-monthly scale, and 15 rupees a horse on the two-monthly scale, will be set at twenty rupees monthly for each horse, on the scale of four months."

This is the essence of kindness. (However), no cavalryman

<sup>1.</sup> Letter 65, supra.

<sup>2.</sup> Mihr begins on 21 or 22 September. Yunt Il fell in the 26th regnal year of Shah Jahan (solar). The alteration therefore takes effect from the autumn equinox of 1654.

whose pay ('alufa) is under twenty rupees is of much use. The new regulations will bring gain to some, and loss to others; (ba jama'ī nafa', wa ba ta'ifa nuqsān) yet all must study to fulfil the demands of the government, founded in the firmament.

As a further example of kindness to <u>murīds</u>, it was ordered: "If that <u>murid</u> were to submit any other plan conformable with financial policy, and agreeable to Us, a lofty mandate implementing it would acquire the nobility of issue."

Everything my weak wit has suggested is set out in detail in a separate statement, (bar afrād-i-judāgānī) and sent to the Court, exalted as the sky, so that Your Majesty may ascertain the real facts in the light of it. I hope that my suggestions will be found agreeable (darja-i-istihsān yāfta) and accepted.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! On the second of this month, one of my suite, whom I had sent some time ago with a conciliatory and comforting letter to Qutbu'l Mulk's officer Mīr Jumla returned, after an absence of three weeks, (dar 'arz-i-bīst rūz āmda) escorted by two of Mīr Jumla's footsoldiers. He brought a letter written in his own hand by Mīr Jumla on receipt of mine, which I have answered as well as my slight intelligence (khātir-i-fātir) allowed. With the news of Your Majesty's gracious intention to favour him, I have made him more confident than ever (ū-rā besh az pesh ... ummīdwār sakhta)<sup>2</sup>. His letter I have sent to the luminous Presence, that I may be directed how to handle him, and act accordingly.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the hopes and the <u>ka'bah</u> of the safety of slaves! Muhammad Shah, the commandant of Qandahar (in the Deccan), is an old servant of the Court. He hopes, that through the unfailing compassion (of Your Majesty) a title may be graciously conferred upon him. Were he honoured,

l. Mīr Jumla's headquarters were in the rock-bound fort of Gandikota in the Penner river gorge, some 400 miles s.e. of Aurangzīb's capital of Aurangābād. The messenger averaged some forty miles a day, a satisfactory performance.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwi's reading pesh az pesh, which he adopts without noting variants, is inferior.

by way of solicitude for insignificant beings, with this award, it would be a source of pride and distinction for him.

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate never cease to shine from the horizon of grandeur and magnificence!

This letter contains perhaps the sharpest of the prince's remonstrances to his father.

Firstly, Aurangzīb rebuts the reproach that in his manner of selecting lands for his own jāgīr he has flouted his obligations as a Muslim. The Emperor appears to have forgotten, that a few months before (Letter 59, supra) be made a partial and temporary cash grant, and suggested that Aurangzīb make up his salary "from such jāgīrdārs' maḥāls as you may choose". The depth of the prince's indignation may be measured by his sharp reproach against the wazīr Sa'du'llāh Khān, hitherto his friend and supporter, who seems to have furnished the Emperor with an unfavourable report.

Secondly, Aurangzīb deals with a complaint that he ought not have referred to Court an application for a tagawī loan for works of public utility. Undoubtedly his vexation overmastered his common sense; but his reply is not without point.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, acknowledgement of two farmans bearing royal signature, (neither dated) and short formal thanks.

In the first <u>farman</u> it acquired the honour of being written: "From the cash-book (awarcha) of the <u>pargana</u> of Asīr, sent by Multafit <u>Khān</u> to the Court, the asylum of the world, it appears that (lands yielding) forty lakhs of <u>dāms</u> (Rs. 100,000) which you took out of that <u>pargana</u> for your own <u>tuyūl</u>, are on a sixteen months' scale.

To take for yourself (only) the high-yielding villages in a pargana, and to assign to others for their salaries villages with a yield so low as  $1\frac{1}{2}$  months or two months, accords neither

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwī selects afrād, "separate sheets", as the better reading; he notes afrādcha, which seems rather barbarous, in his B. The manuscripts read āwārcha, for which see Steingass, 119, s.v. āwarja; compare Platts, 101, s.v. awārija, etc. "accountbook, day-book; note book, diary, journal; book of receipts and disbursements". See also Lambton's Landlord and Tenant in Persia (Oxford, 1953); glossary, s.v. avārij, etc. p. 423.

with what is expected of a Muslim, nor with justice (az musulmānī wa inṣāf ba'īd ast). Accordingly, twenty lakhs of dām (Rs. 50,000) in ill-yielding territories within the pargana of Asīr is substituted for (the same amount) of cash in your salary ('iwaz-i-naqd-i-tan namūda shud). The annual produce of sixty lakhs of dām (Rs 150,000) from your jāgīrs in Asīr will thus be reduced to a twelve months' scale".

Hail to the saintly protector! To the brilliant mind, piercing as the sun, it must be clear, that never in my time as a provincial governor have I perpetrated any act of injustice inconsonant with the Islamic obligations of the murīds of the most perfect of perfect guides. To the best of my ability I have striven to earn the satisfaction of God, and the approbation of His shadow on earth.

Of those forty lakhs of dam which have brought such a sharp rebuke upon me, thirty-three lakhs (Rs. 82,500) are derived from the revenues (sā'ir) of Bahādurpūr; the rest (tatimma) comes from three or four villages near the city, (of Burhānpūr) and their yield does not even reach six months proportion. I did not take them myself; upon the transfer of Shāyista Khān (to Gujarāt), Your Majesty ordered the dīwāns of

<sup>1.</sup> In his "History of Aurangzib", Vol. I, pp. 166-167, Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār abstracts this bitter and revealing exchange between father and son.

<sup>2.</sup> The B.M. text, which Nadwī follows without noting any alternative, is adopted here. I.O. omits the vital musulmanī, reading: "... ki az mūrīdān-i-murshid-i-kāmil-i-mukammal dūr ast rizā' nadāda." Thus the whole sense is lost; while Chaudhuri's manuscript appears to read: "ki az musulmānī ba'īd bāshad, murīdān-i-murshid-i kāmil-i-mukammal rizā' nadādah", destroying the sense and importing a phrase from Shāh Jahān's words in the second paragraph; no doubt because the scribe could make nothing of what he saw before him.

<sup>3.</sup> These were probably taxes levied in the manner of tamgha upon non-agricultural goods, such as saltpetre.

<sup>4. &</sup>quot;This day at Batharport (Bahādurpūr) a village two mile short of Brampore, is the storehouse of ordinance". Entry for 14 November 1615 in Sir Thomas Roe's diary (ed. Foster, Oxon., 1926; p. 68).

<sup>5.</sup> Nadwī reads hama, though he notes tatimma in his S and B.

the luminous court to enter then in the roll of my jagIrs at the same assessment. (This was done) before I was given formal leave to proceed to the Deccan.

I am surprised that those who are charged with the financial affairs of the empire, (mutakaffilān-i-muhimmāt-i-dīwānī) especially the vizier (dastūr-i-a'zam) with all his powers of memory, (ba quwwat-i-hāfiza ki dārad) laid no copy of the roll of my jāgīrs before your Majesty when the cash-book was brought forward. He himself settled that roll as my salary; but most probably not even he has the power to ensure that he brings affairs of this kind before your Majesty with all the facts correct. Against the facts, what value has the letter from him (complaining about me?) (nishān az ishān che ganjāyish dārad?).

If on such pretexts things are to be mentioned in Court, without previous investigation or enquiry, contrary to the regular practice; (bar khilāf-i rasm wa 'ādat) if the happy heart is to be grieved by the mere oral mention of such matters; if even my devotion to Islām, which is the capital fund of my eternal felicity, is to be mentioned by the truthful tongue in connection with this trifling and ephemeral affair, what recourse have I?

Even though the overall yield of (my) <u>jāgīrs</u> in the Deccan is not more than eight months' (proportion of my salary), including the forty <u>lakhs</u> of <u>dām</u> for Asīr and other fertile <u>parganas</u> granted to me in exchange for cash on a ten monthly scale, Your Majesty has gained the impression that my total income is very high. The happy heart is (therefore) pleased to deduct twenty lakhs of <u>dām</u> (Rs. 50,000) from my cash receipts. Since the life and property of <u>murīds</u> is consecrated to the true preceptor and guide, what is the point of making any exchange (for it)?

In the second <u>farmān</u> it acquired the elegance of being written: "Multafit Khān (khān-i-mazkūr) has submitted, that if forty or fifty thousand rupees were granted as a loan to the

<sup>1.</sup> Sir Jādūnāth paraphrases: "... the wazir who has a retentive memory". Sir Jādūnāth's phrase is inserted by Dr. Mu'īnu'l Haq into his version of this passage and the following paragraph: Prince Awrangzīb, pp 41-42. The Doctor's comments are well to the point.

peasants (ba tarīq-i-taqāwī marḥamat shawad) 1 to build embankments (bandhā) in Khāndesh and Berār, in the Payān Ghāt, the amount would be returned to the public treasury within two years, and a spectacular increase in prosperity would appear. But when he put the proposal to you, he was told (jawāb shunīda) that matters concerning parganas in the hands of jāgīrdārs should be referred to the Court, the refuge of the world, and that he should act on any command that might be given.

It was to be expected of you that you should have forthwith drawn the amount from the royal treasury, and should have exacted an undertaking from (Multafit Khān) that if the advance were not approved, he would repay it from his own establishment."<sup>2</sup>

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u> of murids! It is no wonder that I had neither the confidence nor the courage to act as your Majesty has suggested (ba chunīn i'timād wa i'tibār jur'at bar imtiṣāl-i-īn muqaddimāt natawānad kard). I have been made responsible for acts which I have not done, and was not capable of doing, and have found it difficult to clear myself; (barāmadan dushwār bāshad). What measure of boldness (chi sāl-i-iqdām) could I show in (undertaking) a responsibility of this kind?

When I was here before, I had some freedom and independence, and I would never have allowed an affair of this kind to be put off until the arrival of an imperial command; nor would I have waited for the <u>dīwāns</u> to report it to the exalted Court. But now I can only cut my cloth to suit the measure, (ghair az pā ba-andāza-i-gilīm darāz kardan ... gurez nīst) and keep myself beyond the range of questions (khwud-rā dar ma'raz-i-

l. "Taccavi loans" are still made for such purposes. Dr' Irfan Habib discusses the taqawi loan of Mughal times in his "Agrarian System", pp. 253-255.

<sup>2.</sup> Sir Jādūnāth abstracts this exchange too; Aurangzib I, p. 182. So does Dr. Mu'īnu'l Haq: Prince Awrangzib, p. 52.

<sup>3.</sup> Compare the popular phrase, "mā-rā az shumā gurez nīst."

baz purs dar niyawardan). I believe I have performed quite well in this post; yet I am reproached with accusations of this kind! (ba wujud-i-khwushnudī badīn mauza', ba chunīn 'itabha mu'atib mīshawad)

May the lofty shadow of the Caliphate be perpetually spread over the heads of murīds!

l. With this harsh and almost insolent reflection, Aurangzīb breaks off; the conventional conclusion appears cruelly ironic.

It is possible to descry the first ripples of doubt stirring as Aurangzīb writes that Mīr Jumla is "twisting and turning," while keeping his final intentions secret from all. If from abundance of skill and guile, he could evade Qutbu'l Mulk, and induce 'Ādil Khān to view him with favour, might he not play the Mughals false too?

The "daily allowances" referred to were those paid to salaried servants, not mansabdars. Such persons were styled rozīnadars. A distinction must be made between them and persons granted a daily stipend either in charity (e.g., Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign, no. 81 (pp. 177-78) and no. 89 from Aurangzīb himself and dated 9 January, 1654 (pp. 187-88); or for performing some public duty, such as calling the faithful to prayer (ibid, no. 86, pp. 183-84: dated a few days before Aurangzīb marched out of Burhānpūr (Letter 57, supra).

The letter ends with a reference to the Emperor's reply to the lost "separate sheet" of representations upon the new branding rules sent with Letter 71. The substance is not rehearsed, but must have been disappointing; in the terms prescribed by etiquette, Aurangzīb suggests that his submission had not been given due attention.

"There should be no reluctance even to sacrifice one's life on the path of sincerity ..." As Aurangzib was to write, somewhat peevishly, over forty years later: "... a mere piece of rhetoric and fashion of speech". (Ahkām-i-'Ālamgīrī, ed.and tr. Sarkār, p. 77).

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter with an endorsement in the Emperor's hand, (ki ... ba qalam-i-mubarak-i-raqam-i-khass zīnat-i-nigārish yāfta būd) in answer to a letter from Aurangzīb (neither dated).

### Formal thanks.

Before (this) obedience-compelling order was issued, I sent a further letter, in my own hand, to Muhammad Sa'īd (Mīr Jumla). (In it) I gave him still more ground to hope for your Majesty's grace and favour, and spared no effort in inducing him to accept the good fortune of service to the Court, exalted as the sky. I now await his reply. When it reaches me, I shall report its contents to the exalted presence.

Hail to the saintly protector! Mīr Jumla is placed between two well-armed rulers, 2 both seeking to attract him

<sup>1.</sup> Or, perhaps, "omitted no possible inducement": daqīqa az daqā'iq firo nagashta.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī adds Dakan after du duniyadar, but without manuscript authority.

to themselves. At present he is twisting and turning (before their blandishments), (ba anja kajdar wa marīz karda) while disclosing his true intentions to nobody. Until he is satisfied that they cannot harm him, he does not wish the news of his adherence to the Court, the refuge of rulers, to become public (ashkara gardad). It would be wise if these negotiations and Mīr Jumla's letters which I send to Court, both now and in future, are kept secret from everybody.

Your Majesty's gracious increase of the daily allowance and other (receipts) of the servants of the Imperial household (khanazad) was prompted by solicitous consideration for the humble, and is beyond the capacity of my thanks. Almighty God keep Your Majesty's shadow extended over the heads of <u>murIds</u> and household slaves!

The acceptance of the trifles (muhaqqarī) which I sent as peshkash by a servant of Your Majesty's is most honourable to me, and I offer the most profound thanks.

The reasons for my delay in sending my gift are, in fact, the very ones which have cast their brilliance upon the sacred heart. There should be no reluctance to sacrifice even one's life on the path of sincerity, and the value of the goods of this world is really a mere bagatelle (ma'lum ast ki mal-i-duniya chi qadr khwahad dasht). I am making every effort to collect some precious things which might gratify Your Majesty's taste; so that, recognising where my own felicity lies, I might send them to the exalted Court.

The santioning of the title I sought for Muhammad Shah has increased my confidence (of further favours), and has exalted him.

(Your Majesty's) response to the representations I made on the branding regulations, <sup>2</sup> and the separate report I attached,

l. The manuscripts read kajdar wa marīz. The phrase without the copula occurs in Steingass, p. 1017. Nadwī's S. has gurez, but he prefers garpuz, which seems unintelligible. B.M. clearly reads marīz; Chaudhurī's manuscript read marīz, which he alters to gurīz. I assume that Nadwī had the same intention, and that his reading is lapsus calami.

<sup>2.</sup> The answer to Letter 65, supra, is rehearsed at the beginning of Letter 71. That was only a partial reply, and Aurangzīb now seeks an answer to the matters raised in separate sheet", apparently sent with Letter 71; its text has not survived.

was not plainly discernible from the text of the lofty farmān. Most likely the courtiers in attendance (istādhā'ī pāya-i sarīr-i-khilāfat maṣīr) did not animadvert Your Majesty (to my communications). Had they been read out in the august assembly, a reply would have been given, satisfactorily settling the affairs of the men serving here, now in great disorder for want of attention.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate ever shine from its station of eternal duration:

The formal appointment of Mir\_Jumla to high rank in the Imperial service signalled Shah Jahan's resolve to be bound no longer by the provisions treaty of 1636 which bound him not to seduce Golconda officers from their service. The actual mansab offered at this stage does not appear, but on his coming over after the campaign against Golconda early in 1656, he was given the mansab of 5000/5000 and created Mu'azzam Khan, while on reaching Court in July and being appointed wazir he was promoted to 6000/6000.

The mission to Muhammad Amīn in Haidarābād would also have been secret.

Aurangzīb has taken another opportunity of promoting Hoshdār, the son of Multafit Khān. This time he seems resigned to leaving him below the crucial rank of hazārī, although he had earlier (in Letter 55) told the Emperor that Hoshdār well deserved it.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a <u>farman</u> "filled with advice for servants of the Court, the asylum of the world", and of a special robe of honour, "which to the sincere eyes of murids is worth more than any worldly gain."

Lengthy formal thanks, and vows for a long reign.

In (announcing) to Qutbu'l Mulk's officer Mir Jumla the mansab fixed for him I shall, God willing, act as commanded; so also in conciliating him, and conveying him (to my presence). I have sent his (latest) letter to the luminous Court. It was sent to me after his interview with the servant of the heaven-centred government, Muhammad Mumin; with it came his own report of the interview. Your Majesty will have seen (them both) by now, as I have sent them to the luminous court.

I have (also) sent to my agent at court the sealed letter (nama  $\dots$  sar bar muhr) which 'Abdu'l Latīf the brother

<sup>1.</sup> Here Chaudhuri reads nalwa for nama!

of Mu'izzu'l Mulk<sup>1</sup> addressed to Fāzil Khān.<sup>2</sup> Your Majesty will have been informed of the gist (mazāmin) of 'Abdu'l Latīf's letter; he himself has joined Mīr Jumla.

I had sent an intelligent servant of mine to encourage Mīr Jumla's son and heir (khalafu's-sadq) Muḥammad Amīn before the world-obeyed command (telling me to do so) reached me. He is to dispel any fears he might have (about joining us), and to hold out hopes (of high preferment). When his reply reaches me, I shall report to Your Majesty.

Hail to my spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah!</u>
Mīr Mūsá's sons are experienced men, and hereditary servants of good birth. My proposal for ranks and duties for them will have become known to Your Majesty through the news-writer's diary.

A <u>faujdār</u> was required in the <u>sarkār</u> of Kalam, <sup>4</sup> and I therefore gave the post to Multafit Khān's son Hoshdār, a zealous officer, with an increase of 200 <u>zāt</u> and 100 <u>sawār</u> for the duration of his employment. He now enjoys a combined rank, original and increased, of 900 <u>zāt</u> and 400 <u>sawār</u>, so that he might perform his duties efficiently. <sup>5</sup> I trust that

<sup>1.</sup> So called to distinguish him from the other 'Abdu'l Latīf, the ambassador. This man was a Golconda noble.

<sup>2. &#</sup>x27;Alau'l Mulk Tunī, Fāzil Khān, then Mīr Sāmān to Shāh Jahān. He had come from Īrān in the 8th year, and entered imperial service in the 15th. In 1658, he was Shāh Jahān's envoy to Aurangzīb outside the Āgra fort. Made wazīr in June 1663, he died two weeks later: M.U., III, 524-528(text): M.A., 41 (text).

<sup>3.</sup> I.O. (but not the other manuscripts) inserts, between mīr-i-mazkūr and yake az mardum, the words rafta būd ba nām. They seem an adventitious interpolation, and are disregarded in translation.

<sup>4.</sup> Some 12 miles N.E. of Yeotmal (Yavatmal).

<sup>5.</sup> Hoshdar was recommended for this rank by Aurangzīb in Letter 53, upon his appointment as Darogha of the Ordinance in the Deccan. Shah Jahan reduced the sawar rank to 800 (Letter 55) and confirmed the appointment; later, without assigning a reason, he ordered him dismissed, and the post given to Safī Khān, Bakhshī of the Deccan (Letter 58). The Emperor said, of the Daroghaship, khidmat-i-kalānī ast; but confirmed the appointment in the end (M.U. III, 943). He was to have more to do with Hoshdār; duly promoted by Aurangzīb on his accession, he was made governor of Agra province in 1663, and in 1665 the duties of faujdār were added. He attended the fallen Emperor's funeral, in January 1666; was posted as governor of Burhānpūr in 1671; but died soon after, the event being reported early in January 1672. Life in M.U. III, 943-945 (death, and reference to his prowess with firearms). See also Ma'āṣir-i-Ālamgīrī, (text) 111 and 114.

(the appointment) will be approved.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain ever bright!

The principal interest of this letter is the question of the jāgīrs of the recently appointed dārogha of the Ordinance, Mir Shamsu'd dīn. He had been holding a jāgīr in Bihār, which no doubt paid the greater proportion of the assessed revenue each year, if not the whole. The Emperor resumes it, and directs the assignment of a Deccani jāgīr on the seven months' scale. Aurangzīb selects Bālkonda in Berār, which since the departure of Uzbek Khān (Letter 70, supra) would have been held as khālisa, with the revenues going to the Imperial treasury; but he points out that it has become a seven-month jāgīr only since "the late reduction" in the jama', the assessed revenue. By what proportion the jama' was reduced, the correspondence does not disclose; as Bālkonda lies in the eastern part of Berār, and therefore within that part of it which falls into the Bālā Ghāt, the reduction in its assessment was doubtless part of Murshid Qulī Khān's re-organisation.

To be serving in the Deccan and have no jagir there, but only a jagir in Hindustan, would be burdensome to Shamsu'd din; but now that he has a Deccani jagir Aurangzib solicits the granting of a further jagir in Hindustan, as a sign of favour.

The use of <u>tuyul</u> to describe the totality of an officer's <u>jāgīr</u> reinforces the point made by 'Irfān Habīb (Agrarian System, p. 258, n. 2) that at this period the term was not reserved for the jāgīrs of princes.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Short conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman written (in the office of) "secretaries facile as Mercury" (no date given).

A world-obeyed order obtained the honour of issue:
"The pargana of Īkal, situated in the province of Bihār,
has been transferred from Shamsu'd dīn, dārogha of the
Ordinance in the Deccan. Give him a maḥāl in the Deccan in

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhurī reads Abkal. I.O. has no points; but in B.M. they are quite clear. In the modern district of Gaya there is an Īkal, but Abkal cannot be traced.

Mīr Shamsu'd dīn, Bakhshī of the entire Deccan in 1647 with the rank of 1000/400, then in 1649 commandant of Asīr, now replaces Safi Khan, whom the Emperor had appointed to hold this post currently with the post of Bakhshī of the Deccan (Letter 58; he was also Waqi'nawīs of the Deccan). No reference in the letters to Safi Khan's removal seems to appear. See note to Letter 74, supra. In a letter to Mir Jumla as wazīr late in 1656, when the Bijāpūr campaign of 1657 was preparing, Mīr Shamsu'd din, "darogha-i-topkhana-i-padshahi" is mentioned with approval; (B.M. 166B: I.O. 119A). He was created Mukhtar Khan before Aurangzib marched from Burhanpur in March 1658; this had been his father's title (I.O. 306B; B.M. 251A). He died about August, 1684, having succeeded Muhammad Amin Khan as governor of Gujarāt in 1682 (Ma'āṣir-i-'Ālamgīrī (text) 219-220; 246 (death); life in Ma'asiru'l Umara, III, 620-623 (text). He was the son of Mukhtar Khan Sabzawari; his own son got the title after his death, but when Aurangzib died "passed some time in the Capital (Shāhjahānābād) without employment, and died some years before this was written" (M.U. III, 625).

exchange, with receipts no less than seven months' proportion."

Hail to the saintly protector! In fulfilment of the sacred command, I have settled the pargana of Balkonda<sup>1</sup> as his salary. It used to be one of the mahals in Uzbek Khān's tuyūl, and has not yet been conferred upon anyone; its present yield, after the late reduction, 2 is about seven months' (proportion). Since Shamsu'd dīn is an experienced hereditary servant of the sublime Court, he will expend his utmost efforts in the efficient discharge of his duties. When he was sent (here), a jāgīr in prosperous Hindustān (Hindustān-i-faiz-nishān) was conferred upon him, which was hardly a favour to a man serving in the Deccan; 3 and now he holds only Deccani jāgīrs. If some (further) concession were accorded him, it would be a well-placed kindness to an old officer.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of mortal men's desires, and the <u>ka'bah</u> of their security! I have been exalted by the auspicious arrival of a special robe of honour, a gift presented to me (tabarruk) from the stores of graciousness and benevolence, and brought by that hereditary slave of the exalted court, Muhammad Sultān. I offer the salutations of a <u>murid</u>, and the thanks of a slave. The limitless bounty which (Your Majesty's) most exquisite kindness has lavished upon me, and which Muhammad Sultān has fully described to me, is more than anything for which my feeble tongue can discharge the duties of gratitude.

<sup>1.</sup> About 20 miles north-east of Nizamabad, in the quondam Hyderabad state, on the high road to Nagpur. Seven months' yield was good for a Deccani jagīr; Balkonda might have been one of the "fertile jagīrs" Shāh Jahān gave him on his accession, as a reward for joining him at Juner in 1627: M.U. (text) I, 196.

<sup>2.</sup> Ba'd-i-takhfīf. An example of the relative inferiority of Sir Jadunath Sarkar's manuscript is that it seems to read tahqīq; see Nadwi's note 2, p. 135.

<sup>3.</sup> Because the revenue would be expensive to collect and remit from a distance; he would be obliged to send men at his own expense to collect, and perhaps to transport, the takings. The basis of the complaint appears to be, that Shamsu'd-din's only jagir was in Hindustan - that is, north of the Chambal and west of Bengal. Even in Shah Jahan's reign, a jagir in Hindustan was a highly prized addition to jagirs in the Deccan.

<sup>4.</sup> The Rana submitted when Shah Jahan marched against him in September, 1654; so the expected campaign (Letter 69) was a promenade. The Emperor was back in Agra at the end of the year, and Muhammad Sultan would have received his conge soon afterwards.

May Almighty God never cease to extend the <u>murīd</u>-cherishing and slave-nourishing shadow of Your Majesty!

The sequel to Aurangzīb's remonstrances in Letter 72, supra, over his proposals for settling his jagīrs in the Deccan, seems to be a complete withdrawal of the Emperor's objections. Aurangzīb offers restrained thanks for being allowed to do what he had originally intended.

The reduction allowed in the assessment of the wild and jungly parganas of Bijagarh came no doubt as a welcome concession to reality.

Much has been made of Aurangzīb's well-argued case for the release upon security of Raja Indraman of Dhindhera, a turbulent Bundela. He was probably prompted as much by the hope of gaining a strong supporter, as by love of justice or affection for Rajputs. In any event, the Emperor refused to release the prisoner.

Muhammad Ghiyas was clearly less talented than his elder brother Muhammad Safī: see the note to Letter 55, supra.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a <u>farmān</u> written in the secretaries' office, in response to a letter of Aurangzīb (no date given for either).

Briefest possible thanks.

I thankfully acknowledge the acceptance of the proposals I originally made for exchanging (my) mahāls, and the grant of a reduction of twelve lakhs of dām (Rs. 30,000) (in the revenue assessment of) (certain) parganas in the sarkār of Bījāgarh. My limited powers of expression (zabān-i-qāṣir bayān) are quite incapable of discharging the obligations of gratitude for these most generous acts of Your Majesty. May Almighty God keep the great Caliphate-adorning shadow of the murīd-cherishing guide ever extended!

l. The common phrase, nigashta-i-khama-i dabīran-i-'Utarid raqam, is here misunderstood by Nadwi's calligrapher, who writes 'attar raqam.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of men's hope and safety! By Your Majesty's orders, Indraman, <u>zamīndār</u> of Dhindera, has long been detained in the fort of Asīr. He recently sent me a man to ask that he be freed from prison, as a pious sacrifice in Your Majesty's name. He offered to pay fifty thousand rupees into the public treasury, as a <u>peshkash</u> for the Court, sublime as the sky, and to serve in the Deccan for a year without <u>jāgīr</u> or <u>manṣab</u>, maintaining fifty horse and a hundred foot. Thereafter, he would keep up his contingent with whatever rank Your Majesty might be pleased to bestow upon him. He would remain on duty constantly and would not even think of returning to his former ancestral lands (watan-i-qadīm).

The commandant of Asīr, Nar Singh Dao, undertakes to stand surety (zāmin ... mīshawad) both for his behaviour and for the payment of the peshkash he has offered. He has now been paying for his misdeeds in prison for a long time, and has been reduced to a very low condition.

It is part of Your Majesty's nature, so tender towards

l. A series of the daily record of occurrences (siyāha-i-huzūr) in Aurangzīb's vice-regal court, when he was in charge of the Deccan for the first time, is preserved at Haidarābād; among those published is that for 13 Rajab 1047/21 November 1637. Part of it reads: "'Abū'llāh Beg, a mansabdār in the service of her Imperial Highness the Princess (Jahānārā) was directed to escort Indraman, Raja of Dhindhera, to Shāh Beg Khān at Juner. Shāh Beg Khān is to hold him in confinement at Juner." (Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign; Daftar-i-Dīwānī, Haidarābād, 1950, p. 48: the English abstract, p. 49, contains an error). Shāh Beg Khān was then qila'dār of Juner.

Aurangzīb released Indraman on his way north in 1658. Indraman fought in his battles, was promoted to 3000/2000, and finally to 4000/3000; and died in 1677 (Ma'āṣir-i-'Ālamgīrī (text) 161). See also Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā, (text) II, 265-266.

Indraman's original offence had been, to lead an armed affray against Siva Rām, a nephew of Raja Viṭṭhal Das Gaur, whom Shāh Jahān had installed in Dhindera. Viṭṭhal Das was a favourite of Shāh Jahān; there as a scrappy notice of himself and some of his sons and relatives in the Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā (text, II, 250-256). Perhaps the Emperor's heart was particularly hardened against Indraman for that reason. But Dr. Iftikhār Aḥmad Ghaurī exaggerates when he says that Indraman "remained rotting in the prison for twenty years". (War of Succession, p. 87, compare p. 84). Whether at Juner or in the high and spacious enclosure of Asīr, Indraman would not have been confined to a cell, but would have had liberty to walk about and recreate himself; and would probably have had servants, and some of his family, with him. It is quite piquant to compare Dr. Ghaurī's rose-tinted picture of Shāh Jahān's captivity in the Agra fort, "wrongly termed as such": ibid, pp. 155-156.

your subjects, to forgive offences and to accept explanations and excuses, 1 so it would not be contrary to Your Majesty's solicitous care for the least significant beings, if the line of cancellation were drawn across the page of his faults.

But anything else determined by the mind, brilliant as the sun, will be the essence of fitness.

Hail to the saintly protector! Muhammad Ghiyas, son of Islam Khan, had a jagir in glorious Hindustan which paid on an eight-monthly salary scale; but the yield of his jagir in the Deccan is no more than five months. He is an hereditary servant well worthy of favour; and I have just learned from the news-report of the sarkar of Jhakar that an officer needs to be sent there to act as faujdar. I have therefore given Muhammad Ghiyas the post of faujdar of Jhakar, and I propose that 100 zat and 100 sawar be added to his rank for such time as he continues therein; he would then enjoy the combined rank of 600 zat and 200 sawar. I have settled upon him a jagir in Jhakar with a yield of three months, to make up the salary due for his new rank. To accept my suggestion would be a kindness to an hereditary servant.

May the tents of the Caliphate's splendour be forever supported upon the poles of perpetuity!

l. This is a good example of the assimilation of of imperial qualities with the divine.

<sup>2.</sup> The report was presumably attached to this letter, M.U. III, 162-166 (text) Mīr Muhammad Ghiyas was the fourth son, and died as Ghiyasu'd dīn Khan in October 1678: ibid, 167, and M.A., 171 (text).

<sup>3.</sup> The manuscripts appear to read Jhkar and Chakar. An identification with the former Nizam Shahī fort of Chakna in the northern Konkan hills is unlikely. It was not then under Mughul administration; however, it\_was seized from Sivajī in 1660 by Shayista Khan (Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī (text) 32.

The Emperor seems to recur to Letter 60, supra, where Aurangzīb suggested that Kesrī Singh of Deogarh might benefit from the prudent clemency shown his colleague of Chanda and be allowed remission of his arrears of tribute. Aurangzīb brings up fresh arguments, but in vain. Using his favourite weapon of irony, he rather insolently dares the Emperor to name the man who alleged such vast wealth in elephants to be in Kesrī Singh's hands: a hit at the Rajah of Chanda.

It is not mere meteorological curiosity that induces Aurangzīb to mention the failure of the kharīf crop through unseasonable weather. No crop meant no share for Government, and a corresponding fall in total revenue. Aurangzīb, by giving early information, preserves himself from a renewed charge of slack administration.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Acknowledgement of a royal letter in response to one of Aurangzib's, written in the secretaries' office, with some words in the Emperor's own hand added at the beginning (dibācha).

### Formal thanks.

A world-obeyed order was issued: "There is no reason why the zamīndār of Deogarh should be excused payment of the arrears of his tribute on the grounds of poverty. Against this zamīndār marched that great warrior the late Khān-i-Daurān, relieving him of 170 elephants and a large amount in cash. Reports have recently reached Us, that he has more than 200

<sup>1.</sup> One of the Gond states south of the Nerbudda; the city stands about 60 miles N.W. of Nagpūr, and about 20 miles S.W. of Chindwara.

<sup>2.</sup> In the cold weather of 1636-37. The Emperor has possibly quoted the text of the official chronicle: compare Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's Aurangzīb, Vol. I, p. 42.

elephants, among them a male named Jayā Sangrām. The Deccan officers do not have adequate equipment; so, when the rains are over send someone with a large Imperial force, and a number of your own men, against him. If you wish, you may appoint the hereditary slave of the Court Muḥammad Sultān (to command it); if not, (choose) a reliable and experienced officer. That renowned (nāmī) elephant, and (every) other elephant, must be seized, and the arrears of the <u>zamīndār's</u> tribute collected."

Hail to the saintly protector! I am a disciple of the true guide; he has brought me up. I make a habit of collecting as many reports as I can upon the lands adjacent to this province; (wilāyat-i-atrāf wa jawānib-i-īn mamlukat) whenever an opportunity for such a profitable campaign offers itself, (agar chunān kār wa khidmatī rūī dahad). I always mobilise the army (sipāh-rā mu'attil nadāshta) and set it on the march. How therefore could I suffer the zamīndār of Deogarh, despite the resources and riches he possesses, to neglect his obligation to pay his tribute out of mere contumacy and arrogance?

Of his own accord, he came to Burhānpūr, without any army being sent against him; and waited upon me, promising to pay his set tribute. Upon this, I sent one of my men to inspect his elephants; he remained three months in the Deogarh territory, and gained an intimate knowledge of affairs there (wa jamī marātib wāqif gashta). He has reported that Kesrī Singh has no more than fourteen elephants.

Moreover, it was against this <u>zamindar's</u> father (Kukia) that the late Khan marched, when the dissipation of the (ancestral) property had not yet begun. The elephants taken from the old <u>zamindar</u> had been collected over many years; but

<sup>1.</sup> Jayā Sangrām is the form in I.C. and most likely correct. N. has Jatā Sangrām (an error) and notes two variants: Hīna Shankar (sic) in M, and Jatā Shankar in N. B.M. has Jayā Sangar throughout; but it is a less appropriate title for an elephant than Jayā Sangrām, "Victory in Battle"; "Victory in Bargain", or "Victory in the Entrenchments" is the meaning of the other title.

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., if he were really (bā wujūd) so rich and powerful?

<sup>3.</sup> Compare Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's Aurangzīb, Vol. I, p. 184.

the present <u>zamīndār</u> is very extravagant, (musrif)<sup>1</sup> and his profligate habits have brought on his ruin. He is now reduced to utter distraction through his lack of prudence and his failure to govern efficiently.

If an army be sent to exact arrears of tribute from him, the only result would be the ruin of his state. When I submitted my report to the Court of the Caliphate, I paid special attention to the importance of (ensuring that) his annual tribute be regularly paid; but now that a lofty mandate (not accepting my suggestions) has obtained the honour of issue, I recognise that my felicity lies in obeying it; and it shall be carried out.

Should it be the pleasure of the happy nature that Kesrī Singh's territories be incorporated into the protected dominions, I should be clearly commanded (to do it) (hukm-i-sarīh sharf-i-warūd yābad) I would then appoint Your Majesty's young slave (Muḥammad Sultān) (to lead the expedition) with a suitable army, as he eagerly desires to make a name for himself in such campaigns. With the grace of almighty God, and the imperishable good fortune of the saintly protector, he would soon annihilate the zamīndār, (damār az ruzgār-i-ū barāwarda) annex the maḥāls of his zamīndārī to the Imperial khāliṣa, and leave no vestiges of that insolent fellow in the land.

Should those who stand at the foot of Solomon's throne intend (this expedition) to collect elephants as well as realise arrears of tribute, then any trusted Imperial officer Your Majesty may please might be appointed to lead the local forces against Deogarh, so that every single elephant in

l. B.M. has a marginal gloss: musrif: kharj kunanda bī jā.

<sup>2.</sup> Sc., rather than relying on the uncertain fruits of a costly punitive expedition.

<sup>3.</sup> Was Aurangzīb perhaps thinking of his first campaign in 1635, when he accompanied Khān-i-Daurān, Saiyid Khān-i-Jahān, and 'Abdu'llāh Khān Bahādur against Jhujār Singh of Orchha? Then, he was not quite seventeen; Muḥammad Sultān, born on 4 Ramazan, 1049/19 December, 1639, was nearly sixteen when given this opportunity of commencing his martial career. Compare Ma'āṣir-i-'Ālamgīrī, (text) 534.

(Kesrī Singh's) hands might be seized by force, and the tribute realised.

Hail to my spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah</u>! I have sent men to search out (Kesrī Singh's) elephants, and will make every effort, despite (the results of) my previous investigation, (to ensure their success). Although nobody has heard of an elephant named Jayā Sangrām in the Deogarh territory, a hill-fort of that name is rumoured to exist; (mīguyand) it is remotely possible (mustaba'd minumāyad) that an elephant of that description might be there.

Had Kesrī Singh possessed such (fine) elephants,
'Umdatu'l Mulk Shāh Nawāz Khān would surely have taken them
in lieu of (cash) tribute when, at Your Majesty's command, he
led the entire army of the Deccan against him; (or else) at
that time Your Majesty would not have remitted a (large)
amount out of his tribute on the grounds that he was capable of
paying; nor would he have been (detained) at Burhānpūr for
six months to ensure the payment of the balance.

It would be as well if the man who reported the existence of so many elephants, and described Jayā Sangrām to Your Majesty, were to present himself to me, and guide the victorious army to the place where those elephants (afiāl) may be found.

Hail to the qiblah of the necessities of all who live on earth! When it was time for the kharīf crop to be estimated in the Bālā Ghāt, there had been no rain at all. For fifteen or sixteen days after the time for sowing, it rained incessantly and the peasants had no chance to sow; so this year there will be no kharīf crop cultivated in most mahals. In the environs of Daulatābād, rain began to fall, by the grace of God, on the fourteenth of this month; up to today, which is the twentieth, we have had good rain. I hope that the rabī' crop

<sup>1.</sup> Prince Murād Bakhsh was nominal Viceroy from November 1647 to July 1648. Shāh Nawāz Khān, a scion of the Safawī dynasty of Persia, married a sister of Aurangzīb's mother, Mumtāz Maḥāl. Aurangzīb's maternal uncle would not march north from Burhanpur with him in 1658 against his brother-in-law, Shāh Jahān. He died fighting for Dārā at Deorai in April, 1659. His life is given in Ma'āsiru'l Umarā, II (text), 670-676. Shāh Nawāz Khān's expedition, in 1649, lasted six weeks: between March and mid-April. See a certificate of service issued at the end of this muhimm-i-Deogarh to four Hindu matchlock-men, presumably Telingas, in Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign, no. 77, p. 173.

will be all we can desire, (mutabiq-i-mudda'ā nasq yāfta) and will partly make up for the loss of the kharīf crop (juz-i-nuqsān-i-kharīf numāyad).

In Bîjāpūr there has not been a drop of rain yet, and far less grain has been harvested there than usual.  $^{\rm l}$ 

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate, which holds perpetual dominion over every clime, ever shine upon the heads of murīds!

l. Were the harvests in Mughul Deccan good, and Bijapur to continue drought-stricken, the preponderating advantage in any attack might lie with the Mughuls: if they could only bring up provisions enough for themselves, which in 1657 and 1665 they failed to do.

Aurangzib's well-reasoned representations against an expedition against Deogarh have failed. The Court (actuated perhaps by a lingering impression that the doubtful successes of Balkh and Badakhshan, and the almost ludicrous triple failure before Qandahār, should be effaced from its subjects' minds by displays of prowess more cheaply staged, and less likely to end badly) has resolved to press on. Aurangzīb restates his reservations, but complies.

It is worth noting, that he cautiously steps back from his enthusiastic declarations about Muhammad Sultan's participation. His junior status, rather than his yearning for fame, is now the key note.

The Emperor nominated Hadidad Khan as supreme commander; Aurangzīb firmly yet respectfully declines to put any of his men under that elderly warrior's command.

The "connexion with several great nobles" which made Mīrzā Khan appear so suitable a commander of the second column was reinforced by his high birth; he was great-grandson of the famous minister Bairam Khān, and grandson of the first Khān-i-Khānan (1557-1628). There is a short note on him in Letter 70 (supra).

The sly dig at the mendacious Raja of Chanda emphasises the hollowness of Shah Jahan's hopes of great booty from Deogarh.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two letters, in answer to one of Aurangzīb's, delivered successively; one entirely written by the Emperor, and the other transcribed in the secretaries' office.

Acknowledgement of a special robe of honour.

Formal thanks.

A world-obeyed order was issued: "If you can seize Deogarh, and retain it, send Muhammad Sultān; otherwise send Hādīdād Khān, with a good army under him."

Hail to the saintly protector! By the limitless grace of God, and with the happy aid of Your Majesty's never-failing

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhuri omits dū, clearly by mistake.

<sup>2.</sup> Brother of Rashid Khan Ansari; succeeded him as (deputy) governor of Telingana in the 22nd year of Shah Jahan, when he was given the title of Khan, and the rank of 2500/1500. Ma'asiru'l Umara (trans.) 597. He fought at Golconda in 1656, and died after the campaign.

good fortune, it will be quite easy to take the Deogarh territory; for it can be reduced with very little effort. To retain possession, however, and introduce settled government, would not be free from difficulty.

If it is conquered we would gain nothing; rather we would spend far more each year in administering it than we would realise in revenue from it.

This is why the great nobles of the victorious state have not hitherto addressed their minds to the seizure of Deogarh, and have not incorporated it into the protected dominions. I, too, have borne these facts in mind, and have considered it inopportune to initiate a campaign against Deogarh; yet I wrote, 2 that if a definite command (hukm-i-jāzim) to mount this expedition were issued, I would send Muhammad Sultan, who is ready to assume the task.

Now I shall send the victorious Imperial army against Kesrí Singh, for such is the wish of the kingdom-adorning mind, the index of propriety. The army will exact the arrears of tribute, and the current instalment; it will seize by force (kām wa nā kām) all the elephants which the zamindar of Chanda alleges Kesrī Singh to possess, Jāya Sangram included. Officers of the Court, sublime as the sky, have already been ordered to report themselves for duty from their various posts; when they have done so, they shall be sent against Deogarh in the manner commanded.

Hail to the spiritual and temporal <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah!</u>
Hādīdād Khān is an experienced officer with a good retinue, but it is long since he has commanded a military expedition. Some officers might perhaps be reluctant, therefore, to serve with him. Non-co-operation, and enmity between them, could ruin the whole campaign.

<sup>1.</sup> More freely, "it can be easily conquered, but not so easily held or controlled ... "Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's Aurangzīb, Vol. I, P. 185.

<sup>2.</sup> Letter 77, supra.

<sup>3.</sup> He was in the largest contingent (Saiyid Khān-i-Jahan's) against Jhujar Singh in 1635, and then served with Khān-i-Dauran. The life in M.U., by 'Abdu'l Hayy, does not say that he was in the Deogarh expedition of 1637, but he well may have been; this would explain why Shāh Jahān nominated him to command now. The correspondence does not show any further reason why Aurangzīb did not want him in sole command. Personal preferences and prejudices, perhaps?

As this is the case it seems wise, that the victorious armies should march against Deogarh by two (separate) routes, and it has occured to my feeble mind, that half the Deccani forces might be placed under Mirza Khan, whose connexion with (several) great nobles (ki ba sabab-i-intisab ... ba umaraī 'azzām) will ensure, that none will disdain to act with him. My own men will march under the command of (sarkardagi) Muhammad Tahir, or of another of the nobles in Your Majesty's confidence, with Mīrza Khān.

Not long ago I despatched to the sublime Court the elephants sent by Qutbu'l Mulk. They are in the charge of Badī'u'z-zamān, a servant of the heaven-centred government, recently appointed darogha of these beasts. If his fortune favours him, and he keeps the elephants in good order (until) he parades them before Your Majesty, 4 (az nazr-i-anwar-i-athar guzranad) he will have been well worthy of his hire. Further boldness would exceed the bounds of delicacy.

The deciphered version (tarjuma) of a (coded) letter recently received from Mir Muhammad Sa'id has been sent to the luminous Presence.

May the world-warming sun of the clime-crushing Caliphate continue to coruscate from the horizon of majesty and command:

<sup>1.</sup> Two documents published in Selected Documents of Shah Jahan's Reign refer to this expedition. One, dated 7 Muharram 1066/21 October 1655, directs 'Abdu'r Rahim and other artillerymen to join Mirza Khan; (pp. 197-198) the other, dated 12 Muharram 1066/1 November 1655, relates that Muhammad Yusuf, son of Shah Beg Khan, "who was formerly in the force (fauj) under Mirza Khan, and is now in the force under Hādidad Khan" holds the rank of 300 zat and 100 sawar (p 198). It would appear that there may have been tension between Shah Beg Khan and Mirza Khan.

<sup>2.</sup> Muhammad Tahir Khurasani had served him since he first went to the Deccan in 1636, left by Aurangzib in 1658 as governor of Khandesh, was ennobled on that occasion as Wazir Khan: B.M. 251B, I.O. 307A: his life in M.U. III (text) 936-940.

<sup>3.</sup> Ba, not ya. Nadwi reads ya, obscuring the point that Aurangzib wishes to avoid favouring Hadidad Khan; why else would he have sought to divide the command? B.M. reads clearly ba; Chaudhurí has ba; I.O. is no help, as there are no dots.

<sup>4.</sup> Compare A'in-i-Akbari, Book I, No. 72.

Aurangzīb dismisses the now concluded Deogarh campaign in a few words.

The Emperor's complaint about the elephant received from Qutbu'l Mulk is neatly turned by Aurangzīb; the elephant was offered to him, he turned it over to the Imperial government as a loyal gesture; but Qutbu'l Mulk, learning of its arrival at Court, began to clamour for its being credited to his tribute. By undertaking not to "diverge from a proper course of conduct" Aurangzīb seems to suggest that he will accept no more gifts from Qutbu'l Mulk.

Danda and Rajpuri, far from the centre of Mughul power in the Deccan, and separated from it by the Western Ghats, had to wait several years before coming briefly under Mughul power. We hear no more of their proposed acquisition in this correspondence.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter written in the secretariat in response to one from Aurangzīb (no dates for either).

### Formal thanks.

Strict commands (qadghan-i-balīgh) have been issued to Mīrzā Khān and Hādīdād Khān to gather in the whole of the (outstanding) tribute, and to seize all the elephants, including Jayā Sangrām, from the zamīndār of Deogarh. Through Your Majesty's imperishable good fortune, this business shall (God willing) be brought to a satisfactory conclusion, and every single one of Kesrī Singh's elephants will be taken from him.

Upon the elephant which I took from Qutbu'l Mulk and presented as <u>peshkash</u> to the Court, the asylum of the world, a lofty mandate has found the nobility of promulgation: "We have not been pleased to accept this elephant as a <u>peshkash</u> from you; Qutbu'l Mulk shall be credited (ba mujrī dādah khwahad shud) with its value". Such practices (as yours) are far from good policy."

Hail to the saintly protector! Through my good fortune in

having been trained by the perfect guide, I have never been (even) suspected of any bad conduct (sū'ī mu'āmalat). Had I known that Qutbu'l Mulk would make difficulties, I would certainly have allowed the value of the elephant to be deducted (from his tribute), (chiguna qīmat-i-ān fīl rā ba ū mujrī namīdād). On learning what has happened, Qutbu'l Mulk has begun to entertain some hope of (Your Majesty's) favour. This is obvious from the letter he sent to his resident ambassador (at Court) which, through my agent's mediation, will have been perused by Your Majesty. I therefore accepted that elephant from him; and, thinking that it would be to the financial advantage of the exalted government, wished to present it as a peshkash. As what I have done is unsatisfactory to Your Majesty, I shall not act so again, and shall not diverge from a proper course of conduct.

The fort of Danda Rajpurī has not been added (yet) to the Imperial territories as was commanded when I was directed to proceed hither; I accordingly consider it incumbent (upon me) to submit a report on the matter now. 2

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate ever protect us!

<sup>1.</sup> This must be taken as a rhetorical flourish, in view (e.g.) of Letter 72, supra.

<sup>2.</sup> The report was presumably attached to this letter. Danda and Rājpūrī were twin forts opposite the headland where Janjīra now stands.

The campaign against Deogarh is over, and Aurangzīb turns to a less costly conquest: that of the small territory of Jawar in the mountainous country west of Nasik. But Imperial resources will not be expended: the cost will be borne by a feudatory Rajah, Rao Karan of Bikanīr.

Whatever differences Aurangzīb might have had with Hadīdad Khan, he does not evade his duty of recommending fresh honours for a capable commander; we must assume Hadīdad managed his men well against Deogarh, for otherwise the flag and drum (high distinctions still) would not have been granted.

The Emperor's command that an elephant-hunt be staged in the wilds of Sultanpur discloses another of the means by which the Imperial filkhana was replenished.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter "indited in jewelled words by the gem-scattering pen" (no date given)

Formal thanks.

I noted the most sacred commands, which must be obeyed, and the very day (the <u>farman</u> arrived) I told the wise and experienced Multafit <u>Khān</u> of its contents. Your Majesty will have read the letter which he despatched to the foot of the lofty throne as a reply to the sacred command.

A world-obeyed order acquired the elegance of promulgation: "The elephant-hunting season is here. Send one of your intelligent men to Sultanpur, to construct a stockade (to drive the elephants into) (kheda) in concert with the local jagirdar.

<sup>1.</sup> The substance of the <u>farman</u> does not appear in the surviving correspondence.

<sup>2.</sup> This is the "khedda" of Mysore elephant-hunts.

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! Last year, I made arrangements for the elephant-hunt; I sent my men to Sultanpur to organise it with the help of Sazawar Khān. But, despite kind treatment from us, the Bhīls there proved refractory, and did not do their duty as guides. They pointed out very few wild elephants, and no more than seven, male and female, were caught. As not one of them was fit to be sent to the luminous Presence, I did not feel that I could submit a report upon the hunt.

This year, none of the necessary arrangements has been made, although this is the elephant-hunting season. By the time all is ready, it will be too late. I have therefore decided, that this year will be devoted to arranging all the necessary equipment and gathering provisions. Almighty God willing, everything will be done next year exactly as your Majesty wishes.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of hopes and the <u>ka'bah</u> of desires!

Hadīdād Khān enjoys a <u>manṣab</u> of 2500 <u>zāt</u> and 2500 <u>sawār</u>, and is serving as <u>subahdār</u> of Baglāna. He is maintaining the full retinue, properly equipped. He deserves the honour of the grant of a banner and a drum. 2

Hail to the saintly preceptor! Placing his foot beyond the path of propriety the zamindar of Jawār³ has had the hardihood to commit acts worthy the deepest contempt. He shows a slothful reluctance to perform even the slightest of the services expected of him, despite the fact that no tribute has yet been fixed upon him to pay to the sublime government.

It is essential to punish such short-sighted men, as a warning to others. Rao Karan, a zealous hereditary servant of the exalted court, has undertaken to present fifty thousand

<sup>1.</sup> A post he had (presumably) recently received, as he had been serving as subahdar of Telingana since 1648-49.

<sup>2.</sup> He got it in the 29th year (1655-1656): Ma'asiru'l Umara (translation) 597. See also Letter 82, infra.

<sup>3.</sup> Jawar (Jawhar) lies on the road from Nasik through Trimbak to Dahanu on the coast, about equidistant from Nasik and Dahanu. It remained a Native State until independence. See, for further information, the note at the end of this letter.

rupees to the public treasury, and to incorporate Jawār into the protected dominions, administering it with his own men, if Your Majesty will allow him to hold it as  $\underline{\text{in'am}}$ , or by way of increased salary (as a  $\underline{\text{tan-jagir}}$ ).

I therefore hope that I may have the honour of being guided by anything which may cast the brilliance of its appropriateness upon the heart of angelic penetration.

May the sheltering shade of the Caliphate protect in perpetuity the whole human race!

The most likely explanation lies in the later career of Rão Karan. When Shāh Jahān, after the crisis of his illness was past, recalled the imperial officers sent to the Deccan on the Bījāpūr campaign, Rão Karan used the occasion to retire to Bikanīr, even though he, as one of the ta'binān-i Dakan, was not included in the order.

The displeasure of the victorious Aurangzīb was marked; and a sign of it might have been an order that the new watan jāgīr of Rāo Karan be resumed into the khāliṣa. Gradually, perhaps, the former line was able to re-instate itself, upon the usual promises of peshkash.

For Rão Karan's fate see Ma'āṣir'l Umarā, II, 289 (text). He died in disgrace at Aurangābād in 1077/1666-67.

<sup>1.</sup> The Jawar territories were in the hands of a Kolī dynasty at the beginning of the nineteenth century, and remained so until independence. That dynasty claimed that its ancestor had seized the area by a stratagem in 1294. Clearly the Rathors of Bikanīr did not long remain in possession; for in the eighteenth century the Kolīs were again in control, resisting as best they could the pressure of the Marāthas.

It is possible that Shah Jahan's anxious desire to accumulate elephants in the cold weather of 1655-1656 was stimulated by the coming completion of thirty (lunar) years as Emperor. The actual celebrations, however, were not held until March 1657. It is not likely that they were wanted for any campaign.

The proposal Shah Jahan now advances - to take elephants from Qutbu'l Mulk in lieu of half his tribute, and in addition to buy further elephants for cash, was extravagant indeed. As Aurangzīb quite delicately hints, the Emperor had made no provision for establishing a valuation!

It cannot be fear of being ignored, and must therefore be a slip of Sadiq Muttalibi's editorial pen, that Aurangzīb should appear to repeat the proposal he made for the conquest of Jawar, in almost identical language. To repeat himself thus without any explanation or excuse would have seemed to the Emperor discourteously presumptuous. It is probable that Munshī Abu'l Fath kept two versions of this particular recommendation, and that the one not used accidentally became incorporated in another of the letters he selected for preservation.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter written in the Emperor's hand (no date given)

Formal thanks.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! When the order (about the elephant-hunt) was being passed (at Court), I had already told Qutbu'l Mulk of Your Majesty's strict orders (that elephants be supplied). He had not then furnished a reply on that point, so I delayed writing to Your Majesty.

He has now been apprised of the contents of the lofty farman addressed to him and delivered by 'Abdu'l Latif the brother of Mu'izzu'l Mulk, telling him to send elephants (to Court). He has accordingly set arrangements on foot for their despatch. The servant of the heaven-centred government, Muḥammad Mūmin, had reached Golconda on his way back from Mīr Jumla's camp; so I wrote him strict orders to take every elephant, male or female, which Qutbu'l Mulk could bring in, (and to offer) a rebate of half the metallic value of his regular cash tribute in exchange ('iwaz-i-nisf-i-zar-i-muqarrarī). I have also given instructions along the same

lines to Qutbu'l Mulk's permanent resident (at my court).

A world-obeyed command has acquired the adornment of issue: "Take one lakh of rupees from the public treasury (at Daulatābād), and send it with a trustworthy and reliable escort to Golconda, to buy elephants."

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! There not so many elephants to be had at Golconda these days as before, and they are dear (girān ba dast miyāyad). In obedience, however, to the exalted command, which incorporates the felicity of fleshly and ghostly secrets (har dū sirrān), I shall soon send a confidential servant of mine to Golconda with a quantity of cash, the property of the government firm-fixed as the revolving sphere. He will buy elephants, and despatch his purchases to the Court, the asylum of the world. If the price is fair, and the elephants are satisfactory to Your Majesty, he may be sent again.

I shall do my utmost to send fine mangoes to Your Majesty. I hope my labours will be acceptable to the most sacred will, and will be a source of satisfaction to all slaves.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of hopes, and the <u>ka'bah</u> of desires! Placing his foot beyond the path of propriety, the <u>zamīndār</u> of Jawār is engaging in improper acts. Even though he pays no tribute to the sublime government, he is failing in his obligations of service to the Empire. Unless such shortsighted men are punished, others will never learn the lesson.

Rão Karan, a zealous hereditary servant of the Court, the refuge of rulers, has undertaken to present fifty thousand rupees to the public treasury, if Your Majesty will allow him to hold Jawar in in'am, or by way of increased salary as tan-jagīr. He will undertake its administration (himself), and will exert himself to the full in conciliating the peasantry, and arranging affairs in the best interests of the Empire.

I therefore hope, that I may have the honour of being guided by anything which, casting its brilliance upon the heart of angelic penetration, may be commanded out of the solicitude of the kingdom-adorning mind, brilliant as the sun.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain ever bright in its station of felicity!

This short letter reports the conclusion of the Jawar campaign, acknowledges the banner and drum conferred upon Hadidad Khan, and states that arrangements for an elephant hunt are being continued.

Shah Jahan now at last accepted Aurangzīb's recommendation that Rao Karan be promoted.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman written in the secretariat (no date given)

#### Formal thanks.

It has been a source of pride and glory to Rao Karan, that (Your Majesty) has granted him an increase of 500 sawar, and has settled Jawar on him at an assessment of 40,000 dams (Rs. 1000) as the salary appropriate to the increase.

As ordered, I have given him Jawar (in jagīr), the peshkash he offered has been received, and shall be paid into the public treasury. If a royal letter confirming the grant were to acquire the honour of being addressed to him, and if Jawar were graciously conferred upon him as a watan-jagīr, both his dignity and his zeal would be even further increased.

Hail to the saintly protector!

Some time ago, Rão Karan's <u>mansab</u> was reduced by 500 <u>zat</u>. Now that he has been so notably exalted by Your Majesty's measureless generosity, he entertains hopes that the amount deducted from his <u>zat</u> rank might be restored, (ba hal hukm shawad) and the appropriate salary for it charged upon the revenues of Jawar.

(Your Majesty's) acceptance of my request that Hadidad Khan be granted a banner and a drum has given fresh bloom to the garden of slaves' hopes. May Almighty God keep the lofty shadow, solicitous of the least significant beings, of the ka'bah of desires spread over the heads of all mankind!

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. omits hukm.

I shall use every effort and endeavour to carry out the lofty mandate upon the elephant hunt. In fact, a body of men is already detailed to make the necessary arrangements, and to search out (tafahhus) wild elephants.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain bright upon the horizon of grandeur!

<sup>1.</sup> Or, "to collect the necessary equipment": ba saranjām-i-zarūrīyāt-i-īn kār.

In this letter, Aurangzīb unfolds the desperate last efforts of the representative of once mighty Vijayanagar to secure protection for himself and his remaining territories. To such straits is he reduced, that he offers not only an impossibly generous tribute, but also to submit himself to circumcision and "the honour of Islām".

Aurangzīb descants upon the spiritual benefits and moral example of Srī Ranga's proffered conversion. What was really in his mind was the important political sequel; if he became a Muslim, and threw himself on the Imperial protection, there would be a further pretext for continued interference, and perhaps even territorial expansion, in the Carnatic.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Brief conventional salutations.

Recently Śrī Ranga Rāyal, grandson of Rāma Rāja, sent a Brahmin, one of his trusted servants, by way of Golconda to me. Srī Ranga is the principal zamīndār of the Carnatic. His grandfather (jadd) was renowned (shuhūrtī dāsht) for his wealth and splendour; yet [he sent me] but a single elephant, very lean, which will, however, be paraded before Your Majesty after fattening.

With the utmost humility, he represented [to me], that in the last few years 'Adil Khān and Qutbu'l Mulk have, with Your Majesty's gracious leave, invaded (dast-i-tasarruf ... daraz karda) the Carnatic, and have brought almost all of it under their control (ba zabt). Together they are striving to oust him [even] from those of his ancestral territories (mulk-i-maurūsī) which they have not yet occupied, and ruin him entirely (ū rā ... mutalliqan musta'sil sazand).

Now it is clear to all the world ('alamīyan) that the Deccan rulers had not the strength to do this on their own, and that all their acts, past and present, are done with the support of the Court, the asylum of the universe, which sustains them on all sides. Therefore Śrī Ranga has taken refuge at this threshold, the haven of felicity. He hopes that the gibla of men's necessities will extend patronage to him, and raise him from the dust of dejection by ordering his territories to be admitted into the protected dominions.

[He asks] that a sacred and exalted command be directed to the Deccan rulers, that they respect the engagements made by their ancestors, ('ahd wa paiman-i-padaran-i-khwud pas dashta) that they not overstep their former boundaries, and finally that they lay no hand upon Śrī Ranga's ancestral lands.

As a gift in gratitude for this kind condescension he offers to collect and present to the sublime court a <u>peshkash</u> of 50,000 <u>hun</u>, two hundred elephants, and jewels of great price. (jawahir-i-giran baha) He will also send, each year, curiosities and precious things double the value of the <u>peshkash</u> of the Deccan rulers, (iza'af-i-anchi anha peshkash mīnumāyad) which have been collected over many years. (ki andukhta-i-sālhā'ī ast)<sup>1</sup>.

Should the brilliance of Your Majesty's grace not yet be permitted to shine upon his condition out of contempt for his idolatry (ba sabab-i-'ar-i-kufr) he would, after the lofty farman has been issued granting his requests, enter the circle of the people of Islam, (ba zumra-i-ahl-i-Islam daramada) with all his followers and dependants, (ba tawabi' wa lawahiq-i-khwud) under the direction of the spiritual guide of all man-kind. Through the good fortune of becoming a slave of the court of Your Majesty, the Shadow of God, he would gain both the true religion, and its worldly benefits (kamyab-i-dīn wa duniya khwahad shud).

When the translation of his letter (tarjuma-i-'arzdasht)

<sup>1.</sup> Qutbu'l Mulk was obliged to pay two lakhs of rupees, rated in 1636 at twenty thousand hun, but slowly sinking in value against gold over the next twenty years, as Letter 94 will disclose. 'Adil Khan paid an indemnity in 1636, but no annual tribute was levied from him. Dr Mu'Inu'l Haq's attempts to show the contrary simply confuse that issue with 'Ali 'Adil Khan's subordinate status to Shah Jahan; an entirely different thing. ("Prince Awrangzib", p. 66 and note 124 thereon, where no authorities are quoted to support his contentions.) A peshkash of varying amount is not the same thing as a "peshkash-i-muqarrart" - the phrase Aurangzīb uses of Qutbu'l Mulk's annual remittance; cf. Letter 68, supra, where it appears as an item in the Deccan budget. How the poor harried old Rayal was to raise the funds to meet his promises does not appear.

<sup>2.</sup> Possibly written in Sanskrit, not Telugu; unlikely to have been written in a cipher such as Mīr Jumla used.

revealed all this<sup>1</sup>, including his request [for admission to Islam], I felt it improper (munasib ... nadīd) that the man who brought the elephant should be sent back to Śrī Ranga without my making a report to Your Majesty. I did this because it was not proper that a matter such as this, which has been entered into the official news-reports, should be concealed from Your Majesty. Moreover, I saw in the Rayal's acceptance of Islām several advantages for the state<sup>2</sup>; and certainly it would bring great spiritual benefits (mashubat-i-ukhrawiy nīz bar an marātib) if the leading zamīndār of the Carnatic should become a Muslim.

I have therefore detained the Rayal's agent, and am treating him in the most conciliatory manner. I have delayed replying to 'Adil Khan until the world-obeyed mandate should arrive<sup>3</sup>, so that I might be guided by the decision of the kingdom-adorning mind, brilliant as the sun, and act accordingly.

Hail to my gibla and ka'ba! Since the Rayal has approached the Court, the refuge of creation, in full faith as a suppliant, he has recognised that service as a loyal slave, and acceptance of Islam, are the way of his salvation; (wasila-i-najat) so he has sought shelter from the disasters of this world (hawadis-i-rozgar) at the threshold of the Caliphate, the refuge of the notables of every quarter of the globe (namwaran-i-shash jihat). It is therefore incumbent upon the guardians of the lands of Islam (bar zimmat-i-hamiyan-i-hauza-i-Islam) to propagate the principles and practices of the true religion and the firm faith and to invite the

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. has ba zahur superscript between rasid and niz. Nadwi omits it, following the tradition of B.M.: and notes no variant. Chaudhuri has ba wazuh.

<sup>2.</sup> nazr ba masalih-i-mulkī chandīn fawa'id dar zimn-ian mutasauwar ast. Nadwī that S omits mulkī; but it is present in I.O., which offers another example of the lesser reliability of the ms. Sir Jadunath Sarkar was obliged to use.

<sup>3.</sup>irsal shawad.Nadwi, probably by mistake, has irsal bashawad. The literal meaning of irsal is, of course, to be sent, not to arrive; but the intended meaning here is clear enough.

<sup>4.</sup> Mss have din-i-matin, "the firm faith" - a standard phrase. But Nadwi notes in S din-i-mutabayin, "the distinctly-explained faith".

wanderer amidst unbelief and error to forsake the desert of misdirection and disappointment  $^{1}$  for the straight path (rāh-i-rast)  $^{2}$ .

Furthermore, the question of breaking any undertaking given to even the least of the servants of this Court does not arise $^3$ .

Should Sri Ranga's request be accepted, and the brilliance of the exalted grace be bestowed upon him, it would be to our advantage in every way, both spiritual and temporal. However, anything which occurs to the heart of angelic penetration, the receptacle of sacred illuminations, is the essence of propriety, and <u>murids</u> are obliged to obey it.

May the world-warming sun of grandeur and glory shine ever undimmed from the horizon of the Sultanate's good fortune!

l. tiha-i gumrahi, the reading of B.M. I.O. has taba kari (sic) an obvious mistake. Ch. has the right reading; so has Nadwi.

<sup>2.</sup> This phrase is an echo of as-sirat-al-mustaqim of Qur'an, I,5.

<sup>3.</sup> To whom else can this refer, but Dara? Aurangzib probably means to say, that whatever hopes might have been held out to the Rayal, he was not in Mughul service, nor subject to Mughul suzerainty, and that nothing said need be regarded as binding: also, perhaps, that any doubts raised by Dara about the propriety or policy of accepting his conversion might be ignored, now that he had of himself offered even in desperation - to submit to Islam.

The inevitable result of Śri Ranga's gesture of submission follows: the two Deccan kings resolve to devour his remaining lands, before any help can come from the Mughul side. The Emperor meanwhile has cautiously sought assurances that Śri Ranga's envoy is genuine in his professions. Aurangzib hastens to settle that doubt, and to press for the instant issue to the Deccan rulers of commands, drawn up but not despatched, that they hold off Śri Ranga's territories; but the next letter shows that the entrapment of Śri Ranga was not so greatly desired by Shah Jahan.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a royal letter, signed by the Emperor, in response to Aurangzib's letter (no dates given for either)

#### Formal thanks.

A world-obeyed order acquired the adornment of issue: "Imperial farmans addressed to the Deccan rulers have been prepared, in compliance with the request of the chief of the Carnatic, ordering them to raise the hand of their plunder and pillage from his lands. Copies of those letters, ineluctable as the decree of destiny (manashir-i-qaza'ta'sir) have been sent to you. Two years and a half ago, one Rana Rao, who claimed (khwud ra ... wa namuda) to be an envoy of the Carnatic chief, laid various matters before Us through the mediation of the wise vizier. These may be read in the copy of his petition. [sent you herewith] As his suggestions were impracticable (dur az kar)-mere empty words (mahz-i-guzaf)-no steps were taken to send any farmans. If the man who has come to you is the same who came to the sublime Court, nothing he says is worth hearing. If he is another man, entrust your nishan in answer to the petition he brings to some reliable person, such as 'Abdu'l Ma'bud, or someone else [equally] trustworthy and intelligent. And then, if your envoy reports from the Carnatic that the man [given audience by you] is indeed in Śri Ranga's service, and that everything he has told you accords with Sri Ranga's instructions, the farmans already drawn up in the names of the Deccan rulers will be sent to them."

Hail to the saintly and pure-hearted preceptor! This Rana Rao came to me some time ago, making the same proposals as he had laid before the Court. They were, as Your Majesty has perceived, nothing but idle boasting, (laf wa guzafī-i-besh namud) and I did not venture to submit them to Your Majesty. But now the Rayal's confidential Brahmin [servant] Srī Nivās has come here by way of Golconda, bringing a single elephant as peshkash, and giving an undertaking on the Rayal's behalf that he would both accept Islam, and pay in cash and kind a large tribute, as specified in his letter; this matter must not be overlooked, (aghaz az an munasib nadId) and I have thought it imperative that it should be reported to Your Majesty. Now that [Your Majesty's] far-seeing wisdom and God-given knowledge, selecting always the best course, has been pleased to institute an enquiry into the matter $^1$ , I shall, as commanded, send 'Abdu'l Ma'bud or someone else to the Carnatic, with a nishan in response to the Rayal's letters. He will thoroughly investigate the true state of those parts, acquaint himself with SrT Ranga's real intentions, determine how much of his promises he can perform and how much he cannot (sidg wa kazb-i-ta'hudat-i-u ra imtihan namuda<sup>2</sup> and submit a report.

Upon hearing that the <u>zamīndār</u> of the Carnatic has, by his promise to become a Muslim, put himself under the protection (mulhiq gashta) of the Court, the refuge of Sultans, the Deccan rulers have instructed their generals (who have been in those parts for some time) to do all they can to possess themselves of the poor remnants of territory still in his hands<sup>3</sup>, and settle Śrī Ranga once and for all before any world-obeyed order should reach them. The enforcement of our policy would be greatly delayed, [if action is postponed] until my envoy reaches the

<sup>1.</sup> The reading of this passage is an amalgam of the texts transmitted by B.M. and I.O. Nadwī omits aknun at the beginning of the sentence; I.O. omits the words partau-i-tawajjuh bar tahqiq-i-īn ma'nī andākhta and.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī appears to read kazb wa ta'hudat; an error.

<sup>3.</sup> B.M. reads: ke ba jidd-i-har chi tamamtar dar intiza'-i-andak ja'ī, ki ba taṣarruf-i-u manda, kashīda. Nadwī follows this. Chaudhuri prefers the reading of I.O., which has .... dar andak intiza'-i-ja'ī ... Thus to apply andak to time, rather than the extent of Srī Ranga's land, seems less appropriate to the context.

Carnatic and makes his report, and I submit it to Your Majesty, and orders are passed that the <u>farmans</u> [already prepared] be despatched. It is likely that by then the plans of the Deccan rulers will have come to fruition. It would be best if the kingdom-adorning mind, brilliant as the sun, were to decide that they should arrest their career of plunder and rapine in the Rayal's territories until my envoy reports back to me; but of course anything which might occur to the most sacred heart is the essence of propriety.

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate continue to shine upon the heads of men!

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. seems to have tah $\overline{1}$ yat (arrangement) for nah $\overline{1}$ b (plunder).

This letter contains two disappointments for Aurangzīb. The first is the Emperor's sudden reversal: no envoy should have been sent to Śrī Ranga, but his difficulties ought instead have been made the pretext for extracting money from the Deccan kings. Aurangzīb points out that the envoy, travelling slowly, has been halted; then argues ably that direct intervention in Śrī Ranga's favour would best serve the Imperial interests. In pointing out that the Deccan kings presume too much upon the Emperor's countenance, Aurangzīb hits at the influence Dara wielded in their favour.

Next, Aurangzīb finds himself confronted with the secret arrangement, made with Shuja' during their brief meeting at Agra in December 1652 [Letter 45, supra], that a daughter of Shuja' should marry his eldest son Muhammad Sultan, while one of his daughters (perhaps Zebu'n-nisa) should marry Shuja' 's son Zainu'l 'abidīn. The best defence against the Emperor's wrath is a protestation of abject submission, which Aurangzīb accordingly makes; without, however, offering to cancel his agreement.

This letter also contains the news of the canny MTr Jumla's composition with Qutbu'l Mulk, designed to leave him in freedom in the Carnatic without being obliged to come to Golconda and put his person in his sovereign's power. Thus secure, MTr Jumla was enabled to play each of the three rulers who sought his services off against the other.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters, delivered successively (ba tawatir-i-wasul) written in the Emperor's hand throughout, (sarasar muzaiyin ba khat-i-wala namat-i-mubarak) in response to Aurangzīb's letter (no date for either.)

Short formal thanks.

The pearl-scattering pen wrote these jewelled lines: "It was a mistake (munasib nabud) to send an envoy to the Raja of the Carnatic. You should have frightened (tarsanīda) both the Deccan rulers into offering a handsome peshkash, both to yourself and to the heaven-centred Court."

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! By means of accepting the honour of Islam, the Raja seeks the protection of

<sup>1.</sup> har du duniyadar in mss; but Nadwi prefers the plural, noting the singular in S and B. Chaudhurī also has duniyadaran; his ms. appears to read dīnar!

the Court, the refuge of rulers; and he has also promised to pay a large peshkash. I have mentioned this to Your Majesty several times. Further, the Deccan rulers have occupied most of his lands, seizing all their treasures, both above ground and below. (khaza'in wa dafa'in) Out of all that great booty, they have sent no proper peshkash to the sublime Court; rather, they have failed entirely to recollect that obligation. These facts too I have represented to Your Majesty.

Furthermore, when I was commanded to send a servant of the exalted government to investigate the claims of the <a href="mailto:zamIndar">zamIndar</a> of the Carnatic, I knew well, that as soon as [the Deccan rulers] heard he had submitted to the threshold of the Court, (ruju' ... ba astan-i-khilafat) and sent his agent to me, they would fear lest the light [of success] might shine upon his plans. (kar-i-u ra raunaqī padīd ayad)

'Adil Khan in particular has redoubled his efforts to ruin him. Just recently 'Adil Khan has wrested the fort of Vellore from him, the finest fort in the Carnatic; and he is now trying to take from him the excellent elephants, assembled [for offering as] a peshkash to the lofty Court, and [finally] to exterminate him utterly.

Because the Deccan rulers rely far beyond their rights upon Your Majesty's trust and generosity, mere words will not attain our objects (az în guft-o-guha ja'ī nakhwahad girift). Unless obedience-compelling farmans are issued to them, as I have previously asked, [and unless] an officer is sent to the Carnatic to ascertain whether the beams of imperial aid and succour ought be graciously suffered to light upon the zamīndar they will slumber on in the sleep of self-delusion, and will

<sup>1.</sup> Letters 82 & 84, supra.

<sup>2.</sup> In du duniyadar (B.M. & I.O.) duniyadaran (Nadwi and Chaudhurī).

<sup>3.</sup> mss Ilur.Chaudhurī notes that his ms reads Ablu, but restores Ilur. Nadwī prefers to the reading Wilur of his own ms, N, the reading of his ms M, generally rather bad, and has qila'-i-Ilura (sic) perhaps thinking of Ellore (Eluru) between the mouths of the Godavery and the Krishna.

<sup>4.</sup> reading zamīndār-i-anjā, and discaring I.O.'s zamīndār az anjā.

<sup>5.</sup> az khwab-i-pindar bidar nagashta. Why Nadwi notes nagashta in his B (which is the mss reading, and the obvious meaning) and then prefers gashta is not clear. Chaudhuri has nagashta.

not bestir themselves 1 to prepare a peshkash for the Court.

As commanded [earlier], I have [already] despatched to the Carnatic Muḥammad Mumin, an officer of the sublime government; but I have told him to proceed slowly on his way. (dar qat'-i-mamazil ta'annī ba kār burd) Sending him might awaken the Deccan rulers from their dreams, and give them the chance of sending a worthy peshkash to the Court, exalted as the sky. The real value of the promises (sidq-i-ta'hudāt) of the Carnatic chief might also become apparent.

We have no object in this affair but (ba juz-i- ... amrī manzur nabūd) the promotion of the honour of Islām, and the interests of the everlasting state. I shall esteem above all else obedience to anything which the kingdom-adorning mind may now decide. Muhammad Mūmin has not yet crossed the border of the Golconda territories, but is awaiting fresh instructions. I have prevented him (mana' namūd) from going on to the zamīndār of the Carnatic.

Since the heart of angelic penetration has resolved to show kindness to Mir Jumla, the servant of Qutbu'l Mulk, [I might point out that] the letter from him, which I have already sent to the Court, shows that he is awaiting an envoy from us. (firistada-i-in janib) Muhammad Mumin is not wanting in wit and intelligence; so I have written to him, saying that since he is [encamped] near Mīr Jumla's army, he should go to him, and use all his art (har wajahī ki danad) to conciliate him, and assure him of our good intentions; returning after he is certain of his success.

Hail to my giblah and ka'bah! Our ambassador at Golconda, 'Abdu'l Latif, reports that Qutbu'l Mulk is reestablishing friendly relations (tarh-i-masalih andakhta) with Mīr Jumla. He has confirmed the offices and lands he previously held. Mīr Jumla has promised that after two years he will either wait upon Qutbu'l Mulk<sup>2</sup>,or resign from his service (tarik-i-naukarī karda) and set out on pilgrimage to the

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. nakhwahad; B.M. & Nadwi nakhwahand

<sup>2.</sup> ba dīdan-i-Qutbu'l Mulk biāyad; however, I.O. has biyābad very clearly; a manifest error.

Holy Places. ('azīmat-i-ziyārat-i-haramain-i-sharīfain).

His son Muhammad Amin, who appears to have both wisdom and capacity, and who acts as his father's deputy in Haidarabad, entrusted with the management of all his affairs, writes to me, however, that he is certain that if, through the boundless imperial mercy, Mīr Jumla is honoured to the extent of his hopes and desires, he would come over to the Court, the refuge of creation; for he is unsure of Qutbu'l Mulk's intentions, and his present agreement with him arises out of his immediate necessities. (In grar banabar maslihat-i-wagt ba miyan awurda). He would certainly ask help [from us] if I were to make a formal agreement (gaul-i-talib) with him upon his future mansab and other matters, since 'Adil Khan and Qutbu'l Mulk would without doubt unite in girding the loins of their enmity against him. I should now like instructions upon the degree to which I should encourage his hopes of Imperial bounty; the place to which Imperial servants should be sent, to form an escort for him; and whether the men now with me will suffice, or whether more should be requisitioned from the Court.

Out of the most perfect solicitude and particular grace with which [Your Majesty] always treats me the jewel-scattering pen condescended to write: "In Our foresight, We shall give commands for anything that We consider for the good of yourself and your sons. No occasion has yet arisen; (hanuz ham chizi narafta) but what We order will surely please you."

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! It is perfectly clear to the brilliant mind, resembling the sun, and a mirror of the splendid flashes (tajallat) of truth, that power belongs only to AlmightyGod (exalted be His might). Nothing, whether distasteful or desirable, whether honourable or degrading, can occur except by His will. To alter this situation is beyond the capacity of any man. May the climes [ruled over by Your Majesty] be exalted, and [superfluous] writing suppressed!

<sup>1.</sup> iltimās-i-imdād wa kumak kunad. Mss have kumak, "a corps of auxiliaries (S.1050); but Nadwī's emendation, kumak (S.1064- "help") seems better. But Chaudhurī retains kumak.

<sup>2.</sup> marqum-i-qalam-i-gauhar fishan karamat-i-raqam gardīda. Nadwī adds an intrusive phrase jawāhir raqm before gauhar fishan. The mss give no authority for the addition. His usually unreliable M happens to be right here.

Praised be Almighty God, that no desires remain in my heart, through the good fortune of my gibla and the provider of all my comforts! My only prayer is, that God may confirm the lofty shadow of Your Majesty in perpetual existence; and I hope that the few days of my borrowed life (hayat-i-musta'-ar) may be brought to their conclusion under Your Majesty's sheltering shadow in the same manner as I have lived so far. In such circumstances, the existence or otherwise of human relations of this kind (ba'z rawatib) (sc., marriage) should be a matter of indifference.

However, since the sole purpose of my desires is the gratification of the noble heart in all things, and since I am sure that any guidance that may be given me will be for my own good in every case, I regard the pleasure and contentment of the true preceptor and guide as my own happiness, both spiritual and temporal. To his truth-loving hands I have entrusted the reins of my own free choice, and of that of my family. Unless marriage contracts are entered into with perfect openness on both sides (In qism-i-rabtha ta az tarafain ba zahur niyayad) they will not serve to strengthen the structure of friendship and sincerity. How could Your Majesty, after hearing of the agreement I have made [with Shuja'] (maratibi ki ba miyan amad) command some other connection for my eldest son? If it be the pleasure of the most sacred heart, that such arrangements be made for my other sons, I shall not shrink from obedience to whatever decision may be reached.

[The letter lacks a formal ending]

The Emperor has at last resolved to take MIr Jumla openly under his protection. He is to come to Court, there formally to be enrolled as a mansabdar, and enter "the circle of Imperial servants."

But the Mir, whom Aurangzib's earlier letters had represented as aching for the honour of an Imperial audience, holds back. His excuse, that he must gather in the vast stores of jewels, bullion, and goods accumulated through commercial transactions and conquest, was reasonable enough; his desire, that all be done in profound secrecy, not only would protect him from an assault by his discarded master and the Sultan of Bījāpūr, but would allow him the greatest possible freedom for politic manoeuvres against them and perhaps even to bid for independence. In the next letter, the doubt crosses Aurangzīb's mind.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter written entirely by the Emperor, sent upon reading letters from Aurangzīb and Mīr Jumla (no dates given).

Further acknowledgement and formal thanks.

Your Majesty has decided to appoint a reliable man to deliver a gracious <u>farmān</u> to Mīr Jumla, and to bring him (to the Presence); and has ordered him to carry a peremptory mandate to Qutbu'l Mulk, warning him to do nothing to hinder (mushtamil bar 'adam-i-mani') Mīr Jumla and his son from entering the service of the Court, the refuge of the world.

Any decision that Your Majesty might make herein will be the essence of propriety; (but I have to state that) Muhammad Mumin, 2 that servant of the heaven-centred government, has recently reached Golconda on his way back from an interview with

<sup>1.</sup> Chaudhurī has a misprint: mushtambalar.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī writes Mīr Mūmin, by mistake.

Mīr Jumla (az pesh-i-Mīr Jumla). From his letter it appears, that Mīr Jumla, despite his true loyalty to the Court (where rulers stand as sentinels) (astān-i-salātīn pāsbān) intends to remain in the Carnatic for another year. He wants to wind up (insirām) his multitudinous affairs, and (dispose of) his goods gathered in various ports, and other places; he wants also to fulfil his promise to his former ruler. If his secret intentions have not become public (bar mala' niyuftād) in the meanwhile, and if he is preserved from all danger (maṣūn gardad) at the hands of the rulers of Bījāpūr and Golconda, he will set off, after the year has passed (ba'd az ān) to prostrate himself at the threshold of Caliphate.

For these reasons I cannot ask that those royal letters be sent, or that an officer of the Court be deputed (to escort Mīr Jumla to the Presence). After Muhammad Mūmin has returned and told me his observations of what he has seen in the Carnatic; and if I am quite certain that Mīr Jumla is firm in his intention; I shall submit to Your Majesty a report upon the situation.

Mīr Jumla has taken the most extraordinary measures to ensure that his secret is kept (mubāligh wa ilhāh dar khwāst-i-ikhfā'i-īn sirr karda). It is beyond doubt that the rulers of those parts would stick at no stratagem or trickery (ba haila wa fareb nakhwāhand guzasht) to prevent him from acquiring that great good fortune (of serving us), and once they have resolved upon united action against him the matter would be beyond remedy. Any decision, therefore, which Your Majesty might be pleased to make upon keeping Mīr Jumla's intentions secret will be in the interests of the state; but of course anything (else) resolved upon by the mind, brilliant as the sun, will be the essence of propriety.

(This letter lacks a formal ending).

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. omits Muhammad.

The apparently unsuccessful recommendation, made by Aurangzīb in this letter for a title and a banner for the Naqshbandī Khwāja Barkhwurdār, is his last contact in this correspondence with any connection of Shikh Ahmad Sirhindī.

The partial commutation of Qutbu'l Mulk's tribute into elephants has caused trouble, as Aurangzīb warned it would (Letter 81, supra; cf Letter 90, infra).

Aurangzīb's doubts upon Mīr Jumla's loyal submission have hardened into certainty; but he is cool enough to recognise that the Mughuls have nothing to gain from hasty action. So he concludes the letter with a short conspectus of the Mīr's strength, and a masterly summary of the qualities which sustained his greatness.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter of greeting from the Emperor and a gift of one hundred lengths of du damil cloth, delivered by the son of 'Arab Khan.2

Thanks, and wishes of long life to His Majesty.

Hail to the <u>qiblah</u> of the hopes and safety of all who live on earth! Khwaja Barkhwurdar is serving as <u>qil'adar</u> of the frontier fort of Ausa, with a rank of 2000 <u>zat</u> and 2000 <u>sawar</u>. He is an experienced officer, and he hopes that through Your Majesty's attentive care for even the unimportant he might be

<sup>1.</sup> Compare note to Letter 48; this is a Hindī term for a type of flowered muslin.

<sup>2.</sup> Perhaps this was Mirzā 'Alī 'Arab, who went to Court before his father died (see Letter 53, supra) but upon his death retired (manzawī) from his small mansab of 500/200. At the request of Aurangzīb he was appointed, in Shāh Jahān's 29th year (1655-56) thānadār of Trimbak and "Hāris", which is clearly the rock fort of Hārischandragarh on the scarp of the Western Ghāts, about 40 miles south of Trimbak (M.U. III, 115, (text)). He must have taken over some of the duties of Shafqatu'llāh: compare Letter 67, supra. He fought with Aurangzīb against Shujā'at Khajwa and Dārā at Deorā'i, and died as Qila'dār Khān late in 1093 (late in 1682); Ibid, 116.

distinguished by the grant of a title, and a banner. 1

Hail to the saintly preceptor! I had sent Muhammad Mumin [Safdarkhani] to Mir Jumla, and when the time had come for his return I wrote that he should take delivery from Qutbu'l Mulk of the elephants due in commutation of half his cash tribute, and bring them with him. He has now arrived, bringing 30 elephants from Qutbu'l Mulk.29 are male, and one female; but seven have certain defects, (ma'iyūb) and are unworthy the acceptance of the government founded in the firmament. the present), I shall retain all the elephants here, and ask Qutbu'l Mulk for a detailed account of the value he has put Should no difference appear between their current value at Golconda and the price agreed upon in my presence, I shall send the elephants to the Court, exalted as the sky, with an Imperial officer and one of Qutbu'l Mulk's men in charge. Otherwise, I shall report the extend of the discrepancy (to Your Majesty), and act in accordance with (any) exalted command (I may receive).

The true position of Mīr Jumla, according to Muḥammad Mūmin's report, is this: For the purposes of outward show he is asserting that he has neither support nor shelter but the Court, where Emperors stand in attendance (āstān-i-khawāqīn pāsbān). He claims, that he will set off to kiss the threshold of the Caliphate after he has collected his goods, (now) scattered about in ports and various other places; but from his acts and his demeanour (auzā' wa atwārash) it is clear, that such is not the true desire of his heart (īn irāda az samīm-i-qalb nīst). He has gained possession of a well-populated country, furnished with forts, ports, and (profitable) mines; he has fallen out (bar ham zada) with his former master; he has offended (az khwud āzurda) 'Ādil Khān by rejecting his service.

It is for policy's sake that he makes a shew of submission and loyalty to Your Majesty. So long as all the diplomatic arts in his power suffice to protect him from the enmity of the two<sup>2</sup> Deccan rulers, he would never leave the Carnatic, nor would

<sup>1.</sup> Apparently he now got neither: "At the beginning of Aurangzīb's reign he was entitled Ashraf Khan". M.U. II, 206-7 (text); compare Letter 66, supra, and note, for his appointment to Ausā.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī omits dū, on no good manuscript authority.

he offer his services in any other place. 1

He has a force of 9000 cavalry, and 5000 (other) dependants; 4000 of Qutbu'l Mulk's men have come over to him. His infantry might amount to 20,000. In bullion (zar) in cash (nagd) in precious stones, in artillery, fine elephants, horses both 'Iraqi and 'Arab, and other marks of pomp and splendour (sa'ir asbāb-i-tahammul wa ihtishām) he possesses the greatest store. Low and high he treats alike with kindliness, and much courtesy; (khwush zahir miyana bala khaili ba suluk) through his intelligence and resource, befitting a great servant of any king (änche naukarān-i-'umda-i-mulūk-rā bayad) he has acquired influence in many quarters; (bahra-iwafi ikhtisas yafta) by his obliging and agreeable manner, he has made all the chieftains of the Carnatic his partisans. With Ikhlas Habshi, commander-in-chief of 'Adil Khan's army and his governor in the Carnatic, a man of much influence, (sāhib-iikhtiyar) he has laid the foundations of friendship. In all his affairs he is acting with prudence and circumspection. 2

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain brilliant until the end of time!

<sup>1.</sup> This paragraph is translated, with immaterial omissions, by Jagadish Narayan Sarkar in his "Life of Mir Jumla", p. 71. Sir Jadunath had paraphrased it in brief but strong language: Aurangzīb I, pp 200-201.

<sup>2.</sup> Hoshdar wa khabardar ba sar miburd. I.O. has hoshyar.

This short letter begins with a report that twenty-two of the thirty elephants sent by Qutbu'l Mulk on account of his tribute have been found fit for acceptance. They appear to have been wild elephants newly taken from the jungle; not only did they need fattening\_(which might have been natural, after their journey to Daulatabad) but also they required breaking-in, than that journey required.

Aurangzīb's hopes of bringing Mīr Jumla over to the Mughul side are rising again. It is more than probable, that he had agents in various guises visiting the Mīr's camp, as well as the Golconda noble 'Abdu'l Latīf.

This letter can be dated 29 Ramazan 1065/2 August 1655 by reference to a short one written about the weather to Jahanara on 11 Shawwal [1065]/15 August 1655. "Rain began to fall on 27 Ramazan the Blessed, and has been falling constantly, sometimes heavy and sometimes light, until to-day, which is 11 Shawwal." He adds a domestic touch: "The air is very fresh; one can't be without a light tunic (qaba) and a nim-astin" (I.O. 248 B).

As always, the day of the week is one day off the date given in the tables, according to which 27 Ramazan 1065 was a Saturday, and 29 a Monday.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Lengthy conventional salutations, and effusive acknowledgements of a letter written entirely in the Emperor's hand (no date given).

Further acknowledgement, and fulsome thanks.

As commanded, I returned to his agent the seven defective elephants which Qutbu'l Mulk sent. The other twenty-two (males) I have retained, putting a mansabdar in charge of them (ba daroghagi-i-filan), and instructing Murshid Qulī Khan to watch over them with care, and see that they are soon made tractable, and well fattened (ba rūzī āsūda wa farbih sāzad). When the rainy season is over, I shall, God willing, despatch them to the Court, the asylum of the world.

Conformably to the world-obeyed command, I have written to Khwaja Barkhwurdar to send his son to Court, where he will forthwith attain the honour of kissing the sublime threshold.  $^2$ 

<sup>1.</sup> Yak mada, the one female of Letter 87, was not accounted for.

<sup>2.</sup> There is no notice of any son of Khwaja Barkhwurdar in the short life in Ma'asiru'l Umara, I, 206-7, (text).

'Abdu'l Latīf the brother of Mu'izzu'l Mulk has (recently) returned from a visit to Mīr Jumla. He has seen the Mīr's property and equipment with his own eyes, and his report upon the Mīr's establishment, and upon his abundant army, will be sent to Your Majesty. No occasion has been lost of flattering Mīr Jumla and coaxing him to join us, and those efforts are continuing. It is my hope, that he will receive the grace of service to the Court, the refuge of rulers, and feel himself fortunate in acquiring that great boon.

From the news-writer's diary, Your Majesty will have learned of the shortage of rain on the Bālā Ghāt. Through the bounty of God, and the good fortune of Your Majesty's truth-encompassing nature, rain began to fall on Sunday (27th). It is still falling as I write, at midday (nisf-i-rūz) on Tuesday (29th).

May the world-illuming sun of the Caliphate remain ever bright:

MIr Jumla's secret is out; he fears joint action against him by the two Deccan kings, and flies to AurangzIb for protection. AurangzIb's spirits are correspondingly elated, and he clearly hopes for a rapid and favourable conclusion.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, quite brief.

Mīr Muhammad Sa'īd has just written (me) a letter in the cipher agreed upon between us (ba khat-i-ma'hūd). From it it appears, that the Deccan rulers have learned of his intentions, and have resolved to send a combined force against him. Mīr Jumla, hearing of this, has been smitten with fear. As he (now) includes himself among the circle of those loyal to the Court, the refuge of the world, and as he has sought (Your Majesty's) favour (by grasping) the strong rope (habl-i-matīn) of the protection and aid of the leading nobles of Your Majesty's victorious state, he is powerless to devote himself to his own affairs without orders from Your Majesty. He hopes, that he may be relieved of his fear (asīb) of the Deccan quiers through the condescending care and grace of his true preceptor and quide.

I have accordingly sent the deciphered version of his letter to the luminous Presence, so that from it Your Majesty may ascertain the actual situation. Let any commands be given that the kingdom-adorning mind, brilliant as the sun, may decree; they shall be obeyed.

May the world-inflaming sun of the clime-subduing Sultanate remain brilliant for the balance of the duration of time!

Reading bafir sand, rather than bafir stand with

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī omits Dakan, without good manuscript authority.

Once again, the Emperor's concern for his morning mango occupies (however briefly) Aurangzib's attention.

The elephants are still the subject of correspondence; it does not appear at whose persuasion the Emperor ordered that Qutbu'l Mulk need not be paid at present.

Sultanpur, granted to Muhammad Sultan, was wild and unproductive, and one is tempted to see irony in Aurangzīb's wellturned thanks for the grant of that pargana to his son. Perhaps the Emperor intended to interest him in the rounding-up of wild elephants, since Aurangzīb had apparently failed to satisfy the Imperial needs.

Aurangzīb side-steps the Emperor's request that old Sazāwār Khan be sent to Court; the Emperor no doubt had come to know of a news-report suggesting all was not well with him. Aurangzīb's alternative proposal certainly offers the old Khan a challenge to prove, through continued administrative ability, the truth of the prince's complimentary remarks.

In fact, Aurangzīb discovers quite a talent for shrugging off unwanted responsibility. It is interesting to note, that the usual practice of resuming run-down jagīrs into the khālisa, and administering them directly for some time before releasing them as jagīrs again, has not produced very much improvement in Nadrbār or Sultānpūr.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a <u>farman</u> signed by the Emperor in response to Aurangzīb's letter (no date given).

Further acknowledgements and thanks.

Everything possible has been done to safeguard the mangoes fit for despatch to the luminous Presence. Muhammad Tahir has been strictly enjoined to take the most exquisite care in despatching the mangoes to the Court, the refuge of creation, and in ensuring that they arrive in good order.

The value of the elephants which Qutbu'l Mulk will be sending in commutation of half his annual tribute will most certainly be credited to his account (mujrī wa maḥsub khwāhad būd) at whatever amount that Your Majesty may please to determine. The withdrawal of one lakh of rupees from the public treasury to pay for the elephants has been postponed for the present, as ordered.

The gracious grant of the pargana of Sultanpur has raised

alike the dignity, and the hopes, of Muhammad Sultān, and has been a source of distinction to me. The incalculable generosity and magnanimity of the <u>murīd</u>-cherishing spiritual guide, the nourisher of hereditary servants, is too much for even a thousand tongues to discharge the obligations of thanks. May almighty God keep the shadow of Your Majesty's favour spread over the heads of murīds and <u>khānazāds</u>!

A world-obeyed command, compelling obedience throughout the universe, acquired the honour of issue: "With effect from the middle of the spring harvest of the ninth cyclic year, the pargana of Nadrbar is conferred upon you, as a substitute for cash. Send one of your trusted servants there. If Sazāwār Khān is still alive, (agar paimāna-i-hayātash pūr nagashta bāshad) send him to Court."

Hail to the saintly preceptor! When I was being sent to the Deccan in the all-fortunate service of the true qiblah and ka'bah, the parganas of Nadrbar and Sultanpur had been included in the roll of the jagirs from which my salary would be drawn. However, Your Majesty had been informed of the fact that these parganas were desolate and uncultivated and so they were taken out of the list of my jagirs. Your Majesty then directed this mandate to Multafit Khan: "It is through the neglect of the jagirdars, that these parganas have come to such a pass. a detailed report upon the reasons for their decay, and resume them into the khalisa. Do your best to implement every practicable method you know of extending cultivation and increasing population." Multafit Khan sent in a report, covering the management of those two mahals over the last ten year, both khalisa and jagir lands, to the foot of the throne, the residence of the Caliphate; but the diwans have most probably not applied themselves to that document, and Your Majesty has not been told the facts of the case.

Had it been otherwise the <u>dīwāns</u> would not have represented, in the text of the royal letter, that Nadrbār was fertile and well-populated; for, as the separate sheet (attached to this letter) shows, its receipts amount to no more than Rs. 92,000.

Meeting the pleasure of the angelic heart of my true preceptor and guide is, I know, bound up with my happiness in this world and the next. I consider the grace I gain therefrom among the Almighty's greatest gifts, and more important than any (earthly) affair.

<sup>1.</sup> ba naḥwī ki danad wa tawanad. I.O. omits wa; N.omits ba.

If it be the wish of the happy nature that I should be content to exchange a cash salary on the ten-monthly scale for a jagir on the five-monthly scale, I would have no recourse but to obey the noble command. But the old hereditary servant Sazāwār Khān is not yet past work; (az kār narafta) all his faculties remain unimpaired (hosh wa shu'ūr-i-ū bāqī ast). I should like to be commanded to confirm Nadrbār upon him, and to exchange Sultānpūr, which has been conferred in in'ām upon Muḥammad Sultān, for some other place yielding the same revenue, which may be allowed him as his salary.

Should I be ordered, however, to dismiss Sazawar Khan to the threshold where Emperors stand in waiting, Nadrbar might be entrusted to Multafit Khan on the same conditions as (Your Majesty has ordered) before this (recent) command was issued, so that he may attend to all its needs. However, anything which the kingdom-adorning mind, brilliant as the sun, may determine herein, shall be faithfully observed.

The gracious increase of 500 sawar (du aspa sih aspa) in the rank of Ilhamu'llah son of Rashīd Khan is a source of pride to him.

May the tent of the sky of the Caliphate's splendour remain firmly fixed upon the poles of perpetuity and eternity!

The "tablet of gold" (lawh-i-tila) inscribed to the Sultan of Bijapur recalls the treaty of 1636, which was like-wise inscribed upon a plate of gold. Its contents do not appear to have had any political bearing, and presumably incorporated conventionalised acknowledgements of gifts, sent to Delhi with Saiyid Walī. Generally, Muhammad 'Adil Shah of Bijapur enjoyed better relations with Shah Jahan than his colleague 'Abdu'llah Qutb Shah of Golconda; and his was the higher status in Mughul eyes.

Mīr Jumla is now in the Mughul net again; though Aurangzīb does not say so, the Mīr's "apprehension and distress" have been caused by his negotiations with the Mughuls becoming known at Golconda, and the likelihood of joint action against him by both Sultans. So he has turned again to Aurangzīb; and Aurangzīb turns to the Emperor. The farmans which he solicits will, he hopes, contain specific and exact promises.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter written in the Emperor's hand (no date given.)

#### Formal thanks.

I have perused Your Majesty's letter to me, and also the gracious <u>farmān</u>, which Your Majesty was pleased to have inscribed upon a tablet of gold at the request of 'Adil Khan, and which Nuru'd dīn Qulī son of Musawī Khān is delivering to him; which a copy has been sent to me, through superabundant tenderness toward <u>murīds</u>. With it is a copy of the letter written to 'Adil Khan at Your Majesty's command by 'Urndatu'l Mulk [Shāyista Khān].

Hail to the true preceptor and guide! The very day Nuru'd din QulT and 'Adil Khan's agent Saiyid WalT arrived [here], I dismissed them, [saying that] they should hasten on to BIjapur without delay.

Upon the affair of MTr Jumla, anything which may be determined by Your Majesty's sun-like mind, the adornment of the universe, the receptacle of remarkable communications from the invisible world, will be the essence of propriety. MTr Jumla has lately heard certain reports, which have caused him apprehension and distress. This is apparent from the deciphered version of his [recent] letter, which Your Majesty will have

read. It would be proper if obedience-compelling <u>farmans</u> were issued [to him] without delay, couched in such terms as the divinely inspired angelic heart of the saintly and pure-souled preceptor may light upon, and if they were entrusted for delivery to a confidential courtier. I have once again (mujaddidan) given him the good news of Your Majesty's kindly intentions towards him, in a letter embodying (mushtamil bar) those tidings of prosperity and good fortune, so that he may prepare himself (musta'idd...gardad) to acquire the honour of an all-happy audience of Your Majesty.

May the sun (aftab) of the Caliphate's authority, and the universe-enkindling luminary (naiyir) of the clime-conquering Sultanate, continue to shine brilliantly for the remainder of time!

This letter was written during the monsoon of 1655; this is the only conclusion that can be drawn by comparing the talk about want of rain in Letter 88 with the promise to send Qutbu'l Mulk's elephants to Court "at the beginning of Mihr": which must mean late in September, 1655.

As Dianat Khan was called to Court, despite Aurangzīb's remonstrances, before he took up his post as Governor (Letter 48 and introductory note) and as he does not appear again in these letters, the subject of the investigation may have been something to do with Murad Bakhsh's jagirs in the Deccan; for Dianat Khan was probably still serving as that prince's Diwan-i-Sarkar in 1655. It is worth observing, that we have here an instance of the employment of Brahmins in clerical duties, often imagined to have been a Kayastha preserve.

Aurangzīb's solicitations on Murshid Qulī Khan's behalf befit so strong a supporter of loyal subordinates.

The affair of Sabal Singh and Rac Karan is mentioned by Tod (Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, II, 223) but without dates. The anxious hope of "Subbul Sing" to profit from his neighbour and relative's protracted absence on active service may be explained by the long-standing rivalry of the two houses.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman concerning the despatch of Qutbu'l Mulk's elephants, and an investigation into Dianat Khan's official report (taqrīr) and that of his assistant. (pesh dast) [No date given]

#### Formal thanks.

Almighty God willing, I shall send the elephants to the luminous and most sacred Presence at the beginning of Mihr, as ordered. When Dianat Khan's assistant and the Brahmins appointed [to deal with the business] have reached me, I shall make every effort to examine and investigate (taftIsh wa taftIh) the various affairs which have been brought to Your Majesty's notice. I shall [then] send to Court a report upon what has emerged in each matter. (haqIqat-i-jam'abwab ra, nau'ī ki zāhir shawad).

<sup>1.</sup> rawana sakhta. I.O. however reads rawan, and so apparently does Chaudhurī's ms.

<sup>2.</sup> ba musami'-i-jalal, an unusual variant in these letters.

There has been heavy and continuous rain in the Bala Ghat for the last fortnight or so, in almost all mahals. I hope, that through Your Majesty's truthful nature, there will be two or three more good falls of rain so that after a good kharif crop the rabi harvest will be satisfactory.

Hail to my true preceptor and guide! Murshid Qulī Khān is an experienced servant of the sublime Court, and performs the duties of his office with honesty and integrity. He has spared no labour in his devotion to the administration of the Bālā Ghāt; had earlier dīwāns been inspired to work hards as he has done, the Bālā Ghāt would never have degenerated to the depth it has (kār-i-īn wilāyat badīn jā namīrasīd).

His income does not meet his [necessary] expenses. Through Your Majesty's generosity, he used to have a jagir in fertile Hindustan on the twelve-monthly scale, and his DeccanT jagir gives a six-month yield. This has brought his private affairs into disorder (az rahguzar-i-pareshānī ba hal-i-u rah yafta). Without an order from Your Majesty I can neither give him another jagir, nor propose an increase in his mansab; so it would not be incongruous with Your Majesty's solicitude for the least of beings, and tenderness towards servants, if he were dignified by an increase [in rank], or if I were commanded2 to grant (tan kunad) him a jagir on the eight months' scale to replace his old [Hindustanī] jagīr. Such a gracious act would set his affairs in order and augment his zeal for his work. capable is he (isti'dad-i-an darad) that he can undertake several other duties, such as the faujdari of the sarkar of Mahur and other tasks, in addition to his work as diwan3, and yet discharge the responsibilities of them all. Were he given some encouragement the jewel of his services would shine more brilliantly than ever before 4.

<sup>1.</sup> muttasil ba qadar-i-barish shuda. I.O. omits muttasil.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. appears to alter to bayad the verb in the common phrase, hukm-i-ashraf zīnat-i nifaz yābad.

<sup>3.</sup> khidmat-i-dīwānī, which Nadwī renders as khidmat-i-dīwān.

<sup>4.</sup> In the phrase besh az în ashkar khwahad gasht, Nadwi as usual, prefers pesh to besh.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! Rão Karan [of Bikanīr] is an hereditary servant of the Court, the refuge of rulers. His principal support [madār-i-ū-i-peshtar) is the income of his ancestral jagir. Recently, Sabal Singh Bhātti<sup>1</sup>, whom the Court has honoured by making him zamīndār [of his paternal territory] of Jaisalmīr, has opened hostilities against Rao Karan on the ground of the boundary dispute (munāqasha-i-hadd wa sīm) which, because Bikanīr's boundaries are contiguous with Jaisalmīr's, has long smouldered between them. Because of this, Rao Karan's ancestral lands have been thrown into confusion.

Were a command, refulgent as the sun issued to Sabal Singh, that he should not overstep his proper limits<sup>3</sup>, and should never again make bold to indulge his grudge against Rāo Karan. Rāo Karan will be exalted, and an end will be put to the [present] dispute.

May the world-warming sun of the clime-conquering Caliphate ever shine from the horizon of grandeur and authority!

<sup>1.</sup> B.M. (fo. 54A), third line, has the name clear, with points. I.O. has Sabal Singh Bhātī. So has N, noting Bhatti in S. Chaudhurī's ms reads STl Singh Bhā'ī, and in his note 2, p. 218, he effects a partial correction to Sabal Singh Bhānī.

<sup>2.</sup>B.M. clearly reads hadd wa sīm. Sīm is the Sanskrit sīman, hair-parting; suture of the skull; boundary, border, limit, margin, etc.: Monier-Williams, 1218 col.3, q.v.s.v. sīmā, ibid, 1219 col. 1, and Platts 712. Steingass does not have the word. Chaudhurī's ms reads hadd-i-sīm; making nothing of this, he emends to jidd wa sewan, "effort and service"; a similar combination of Persian and Sanskrit words, making much less sense, which he has from Nadwī, who notes the correct reading in his ms M.

<sup>3.</sup> qadam az ḥadd-i-khwesh farātar nagashta; an elegant-seeming phrase, fit for the contest at first sight because of its double meaning, literal and figurative; but open perhaps to misinterpretation if used in a farman without further qualification.

The whole purpose of this letter is to report the departure of Sazawar Khan, and to solicit promotion for his son Shafqatu'llah. Alas for old Sazawar Khan; before the end of 1065 (30 October 1655) he died, after marching from Delhi to his new appointment in Tirhut.

There appears no record of immediate promotion for Shafqatu'llah.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Briefest conventional salutations.

In conformity with the world-obeyed command, to which the entire universe submits, I have given Sazawar Khan leave to depart for the Court, the refuge of rulers; where, by kissing the threshold of the Caliphate, he will acquire perpetual felicity. As his son Shafqatu'llah is unable to bear separation from his father, I have permitted him to travel with him.

Should Shafqatu'llah possess those qualities which fit him for [Your Majesty's] service, how fortunate for him; if not, he will, as he desires, hasten back to the Deccan.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! Sazawar Khan is an old officer and a faithful hereditary servant of Your Majesty. His youthful fortune has been spent in the service of Your Majesty's court, where Emperors stand in waiting. He has always kept up the contingent required by the regulations, ready for service. I therefore hope, that he will be taken into the measureless favour of the gibla of all men to the extent that is justified by the obligations of care towards even the least of subjects, the true temper of his loyalty (husn-i-'aqīdat) and his previous services; and that when the gracious acts of his true preceptor and guide are disclosed to him - acts which are the spring blossoms of the hopes and safety of both the highest and the lowest - (bahār-i-āmāl wa amānī-i-aqās wa adānī ast). Sazāwār Khān's youth will begin again (jawānī az sar gīrad).

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain ever brilliant upon the horizon of grandeur!

l. Then serving as <u>faujdar</u> of Trimbak, to which he had been appointed quite recently: M.U. II 440 (text). He did not return to the Deccan; in the 31st year (1657-58) he was appointed "darogha" of the Bangash district. He had probably accompanied his father to Tirhut. See note to Letter 52 (supra).

Aurangzīb begins by thanking the Emperor for a gift of 100,000 rupees to the "khanazads". Chaudhurī is undoubtedly right in identifying them as Aurangzīb's children - or rather the two younger sons and four daughters so far born, for Muhammad Sultan already had his separate establishment.

The demand the Emperor suddenly makes on Qutbu'l Mulk, that he at once make up to the Mughuls the losses they had been suffering from the slow rise in the value of the Golconda hun from about four rupees to nearly five, is typical of the Imperial policy; by regularly advancing a grievance allayable with money, the resources of Golconda would be depleted, and its will to resist weakened. The treaty of 1636 had set the annual tribute at eight lakhs of rupees, then equivalent to two lakhs of hun; cf the budget in Letter 68, supra. Originally it would have made little difference, except in the cost of carriage, whether payment were made in silver or gold; but between 1636 and 1655, the ratio between gold and silver had risen from about 1:13.5 to 1:16, or even more, (cf 'Irfān Ḥabīb, Agrarian System, 385-386) not uninfluenced by contemporary movements in Europe and the Levant. The Emperor sought now to compel payment in gold for what he had been ready to accept in silver.

The treaty of 1636, and subsequent letters from Shah Jahan, were equivocal on the question of how "two lakhs of hun, equivalent to two lakhs of rupees" were to be paid: cf the abstracts in B.P. Saksena's History of Shahjahan (1988) of Dihli, 176-178.

But the arrest of Muhammad Amin, prompted by an outburst of crapulous self-indulgence in Qutbu'l Mulk's very throneroom and effected at once without thought of the consequences (cf Ma'asiru'l Umara, III, 531 (text) was turned by Aurangzib to Golconda's ruin; he was able to convince the Emperor to authorise its invasion.

"May Almighty God keep the [Imperial] shadow spread out for many years over the heads of murids and khanazads". Ironic compliment; for now Aurangzib must have been perceiving the first outlines of a strategy which would lead him to unassailable military predominance, and then to the throne.

# To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter written entirely in the Emperor's hand (no date given), announcing "the grant of one lakh of rupees by way of a gift to the hereditary servants, and the acceptance of my request upon the purchase (ibtiya'l) of jewels2, which has a source of pride to me.

In rendering thanks (shukr wa sipas) for Your Majesty's incalculable kindness and bounty, I have made my tongue melodious (ratbu'l-lisan gasht). May Almighty God keep the shadow of [my] preceptor and guide, whose generosity is boundless as the sea (darya nawal) spread out for many years over the heads of murids and khanazads! I shall disburse the lakh of rupees in the manner I have been commanded.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of desires! A mandate obeyed by all the world was issued some time ago to me<sup>3</sup>, that Murshid Qulī Khān should ascertain the deficiency (tafawut) as against the rupee in the fixed number of <u>hun</u> (nirkh-i-hun-i-muqarrari) Qutbu'l Mulk's tribute. As required by that order, I addressed a <u>nishān</u> to Qutbu'l Mulk, and sent it by the hand of one of my servants. I have just now sent to the Presence Qutbu'l Mulk's letter in reply. Whatever may be decreed herein by the mind, brilliant as the sun, shall be obeyed.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! As I was writing this letter (dar halat-i-taḥrir-i-in 'arzdāsht) a letter [came] from 'Abdu'l Laṭif, our ambassador at Golconda, revealing that on the second of this month Qutbu'l Mulk arrested Mir Jumla's

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwi notes ittila' in his S. for ibtiya', but I.O. has ibtiya'. So has B.M.

<sup>2.</sup> Chaudhuri in his note of introduction suggests that the lakh of rupees was ear-marked to buy jewels for Aurangzib's children. The text does not justify so definite a conclusion. It is more likely that Aurangzib refers to a commission from his father to buy jewels locally, perhaps from foreign merchants. Shah Jahan's passion for precious stones was celebrated.

<sup>3.</sup> in murid, as usual; I.O. has an murid, a scribe's slip; this is the Emperor's way of mentioning Aurangzib.

son Muhammad Amin, who had waited upon him according to his regular routine (ba rasm-i-ma'hud) and imprisoned him in the fort of Golconda.

This news will greatly upset Mīr Jumla, and it is not untypical of Qutbu'l Mulk's small mind (az kota andeshī-i-Qutbu'l Mulk dūr nīst) to harm Mīr Jumla's son. It would therefore be best, if I were ordered to deal with this matter urgently.

May the world-warming sun of the Caliphate remain brilliant until the end of time!

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. omits relative pronoun ki.

<sup>2.</sup> pesh-i-u rafta. Nadwi has Urdu aur for u - a slip.

<sup>3.</sup> B.M. adds a waw to the first syllable: Gulkunda.

The separate forces sent against Deogarh under Hadīdad Khan and Mīrza Khan are victorious, and stand on the north-western and northern confines of Qutbu'l Mulk's domains. The Chatiya or Jatiya of the text must refer to a city or fort in the Deogarh Raja's territories. A ruined fort Chatta in Jajpur (Imperial Gazetteer, p. 181) cannot be the one. I have located no other.

Muhammad Amin was arrested on Tuesday 2 Safar 1066/
1 December 1655. The news had been forwarded to the Emperor at once by Aurangzib, who heard it as he was writing Letter 94; and on 29 Safar/28 December, the Emperor's directions were in his hands. Over three hundred miles from Golconda to Aurangabad, (further via Nander) and over sixteen hundred thence to Delhi and back: the exchange of news had been effected over nearly two thousand miles in twenty-seven days.

No hesitation marks Shah Jahan's orders: Mīr Jumla and his son are at once to be proclaimed Imperial servants, and thus Imperial subjects. Questions of rank, title, and interview are swept aside.

The lucky disposition of the forces under his command allows Aurangzīb to mount at once the invading army which must enforce the Emperor's will upon Qutbu'l Mulk.

But all is not happy; the Emperor has obviously complained that the previous letter cannot have been written by Aurangzīb because the hand looks different. An inflamed thumb prevented me writing well, replies the prince; and I did not wish to have another write for me. Such were the trifles upon which the ill-feeling between father and son was fed fat.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a letter, written entirely in the Emperor's hand, in answer to Aurangzīb's [undated] letter, delived early on Tuesday morning, 29 Safar', by the yasawal Muhammad Sharff.

Further acknowledgements, and thanks.

control on it to the the control of the

This lofty mandate has been given: "Order the army sent

<sup>1.</sup> The mss agree on the date, but not in its rendering. B.M. has bist u nuhum-i-shahr-i Ṣafar khatm bi'z-zafr. N. follows this, noting in M a reading derived from the tradition of I.O., khatm bi'l-khair wa az-zafr. I.O. itself reads bist u nuh, and has shahr-i hal, with Ṣafar superscript. Chaudhurī's ms has another variant: khatam u'llah bi'z zafr.

towards Chatia to march to the environs of Qandahar fort, and encamp there. Go yourself, if you think fit; otherwise, send your eldest son. Write a <u>nishan</u> to Qutbu'l Mulk, saying that We have admitted MIr Muhammad Sa'Id and his son to the service of Our court, the refuge of the world, and that he must send Muhammad Amīn to Our Presence; if not, he shall [soon] learn of Our victorious army's arrival at Golconda."

Hail to the saintly preceptor! I had sent forces against Chatia from two directions. Finding himself surrounded on all sides (az jamī'jawānib ba khwud muhīt yafta) the zamtndār began begging and imploring [forgiveness] (az dar-i-'ijaz wa ilhāh dar āmada) assuring me that he would attend upon me with every elephant he had, and account for (surat dahad) the arrears of his tribute to the heaven-centred government. I expect him before me soon, escorted by (ba ittifaq) Mīrzā Khān.

Because of this [success], and through my good fortune in being a disciple of the perfect guide, I had already written to Hadidad Khan before I was informed of the world-obeyed command, telling him to march to the border of the realm of Golconda with his own men and those detachments from Mīrzā Khān's force which shall have joined him; so what Your Majesty commanded is [already] done.

Obedient to Your Majesty's order, I shall now send Qutbu'l Mulk a <u>nishan</u>, by the hand of one of my trusted servants, containing promises and threats. (mushtamil bar ummīd wa bīm). I shall tell Hadīdad Khān to break his march ('unan baz gashta) when he reaches Qandahar. Afterwards, when the Rajah of Deogarh arrives [here], I shall order Your Majesty's servant [Muḥammad Sultan] (khanazad-i-'ala'hazrat) to hasten to Qandahar with the remainder of the victorious armies. Should Qutbu'l Mulk, not receiving the grace of obedience, by his recalcitrance to the sacred command make it necessary to punish him; or should 'Ādil Khān make moves to help him (dar sudur-i-imdād-i-u darāyad<sup>2</sup>)

<sup>1.</sup> Chatia is rendered Jatya by Dr Mu'Inu'l Haq in Prince Awrangzıb, p. 60. He follows the reading of N., who notes Chanda in M. Chaudhurī emends his ms' Hatba (sic!) to Jatiya. B.M. has Jatiya, clearly pointed, while in I.O. the three points under the chīm of Chatiya are clear; though the last syllable is smudged, the word is certainly not Chanda.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwī has sadr; mss sudur.

I shall set off for the frontier myself. God willing, I shall awaken him from his dreams of self-deceiving folly; (khwab-i-ghurur wa pindar) hard will it be for him then to retain possession of his territories (wilayat-i-mut'alliqa-i-khwesh) much less prevent Mīr Jumla (chi jā'ī ānki Mīr-i-mūmá ilai-hi ... māni' tawanad āmad?¹) from attaining the happiness of service [under Your Majesty].

Hail to my temporal and spiritual <u>ka'bah!</u> My last letter, which Your Majesty will have read, was written in my own hand. (<u>khat-i-In fidwI ast<sup>2</sup></u>). As I have an inflammation (<u>azar</u>) in my right thumb, (nar angusht) it was ill written. Although Your Majesty's servant [Muhammad Sultan] does not write badly for his age (ba muqtazā'I sinn wa sāl bad namīnawīsad<sup>3</sup>). I could hardly allow my letter to be written by him, or by anybody else. As far as I could, I have throughout my service (dar In muddat) excluded the pens of others from the writing of my letter [to Your Majesty].

May the world-warming sun of the clime-conquering Caliphate remain brilliant upon the horizon of divine assistance!

l. It is possible to conjecture that pisar-i has dropped out before Mīr-i-muma ilai-hi, on the ground that Mīr Jumla was not in Qutbu'l Mulk's power; while his son was. This seems a quibble; Aurangzīb;s whole aim was to entice the father into Mughul service. The son was of far less consequence, then and later. In the next letter, Aurangzīb calls Mīr Jumla Mīr-i-mazkūr in a similarly constructed sentence.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. has in fidwī-rā; rā has been struck out.

<sup>3.</sup> Muhammad Sultan had turned sixteen (solar) years a few days before. In Persia now, as in the West, a boy's handwriting would be expected to be fully formed at that age; but Aurangzib is referring to that careful and controlled calligraphic elegance, to which every man of education aspired, and few attained. His own writing was much admired, especially his naskh.

This letter was written shortly after 8 Rabi'I 1066/5 January 1656. Qutbu'l Mulk's reliance on help from 'Adil Shah must have had Aurangzīb\_rubbing his hands in gleeful anticipation. Bīdar, the great 'Adil Shahi fort that was to fall to Aurangzīb in 1657, lay a little over\_fifty miles south-east of the formerly Nizam Shahi fort of Udgir; in a direct line, indeed, between Udgir and Haidarabad.

The manuscripts' confusion over the place whence Hadidad Khan and Mirza Khan are marching continues: but the text of this letter confirms that they cannot have been in the Chanda territories. Mirza Khan was bringing in the defeated Deogarh raja.

Multafit Khan's promotion by 500/500 at Aurangzib's request leads the prince to request more jagirs. Probably his jagir in Hindustan was now taken away; were his jagirs in the Deccan, the incidence of the regular deductions made from Deccani officers' pay, as well as the effects of low yields, would pinch him hard. The sudden resumption of Patudhi before the principal harvest (take relative) had been gathered, would nave been another blow to his finances. References to the results of such rapid transfers of jagirs are collected by 'Irfan Habib, Agrarian System, pp. 320-323.

By recommending that Patudhi be placed in khalisa, Aurang-zib seems to admit that it was not settled enough to be a reliable element in any ordinary jagirdar's salary. After being improved under Imperial administration, land so resumed was often returned to paibaqi, and once again granted in jagir.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

As I reported earlier, I had written a <u>nishan</u> to our resident ambassador (hajib-i-muqimi<sup>1</sup>) at Golconda before [Your Majesty's] last <u>farman</u> reached me. I told him to acquaint Qutbu'l Mulk with the clear purport of the command already issued, and to say that he must release Mir Jumla's son from prison with his family, and must not interfere with him (muta'arriz nakunad) until an order should arrive from Court. From our ambassador's letters it is now clear, that Qutbu'l Mulk, stubbornly refusing to accept this decision, is unwilling to free Mir Jumla's son. As the smoke of his delusive dreams conceals from his eye the vision of the proper path, he still intends to do all he can to prevent Mir Jumla himself (Mir-i-mazkur) from setting out to kiss the threshold of the Caliphate.

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwi has muqisi (a slip).

To deprive him, therefore, of any further ground for excuse (baraye itmam-i-hujjat) I have sent Qutbu'l Mulk a <u>nishan</u>, as Your Majesty has commanded. I have entrusted it to the <u>mushrif</u> of the imperial artillery, Abu'l Qasim, who is not wanting in intelligence, and to one of my own confidents.

At dusk on Wednesday, the eighth of this month, I gave formal leave to Muhammad Sultan, the hereditary servant of the Court, the refuge of rulers, to march to Nander and encamp there, with several imperial officers who were here, and some of my own I have written to Hadidad Khan, telling him to join Muhammad Sultan with all the Imperial troops that were sent against Deogarh and have gathered under his command. I have sent Mir Fazlu'llah's son Asadu'llah<sup>2</sup>, who is an hereditary servant with a good record, (ki khanazad-i-sabiq-i-khwush suluk ast) to the zamindar of Chanda with five hundred cavalry, to take him and all his forces under his own command, and march them to the Chanda side of the Golconda territories, (badan sarhadd4) to convey Mir Jumla to the Court, extensive as the sky; should he set out by that route. Mirza Khan is now on the march with the zamindar of Deogarh<sup>5</sup>. When he arrives, (muta'agib) I shall order him, too, to join Muhammad Sultan.

Although he knows the contents of the letter which Your Majesty ordered the model of viziers to write to him, 'Ādil Khān has sunk himself in the sleep of forgetfulness, and is even thinking of preparing forces to aid the ruler of Golconda. It may be that Qutbu'l Mulk is so drunk with the wine of heedlessness (ba muqtazā'i-i-badmastī-i-badah-i-ghaflat) that he thinks fit to disregard (tahawun ja'iz darad<sup>6</sup>) with the command, obedience to which is imperative, in confidence of 'Ādil Khān's aid. I therefore intend to proceed quite soon to the vicinity of their joint frontier in accordance with Your Majesty's command, under the pretext of hunting. Should Qutbu'l Mulk

l. B.M. appears to read Jatiya; I.O. has bar Chanda (omitting sar-i). Nadwi has Janiya (sic) Chaudhuri has bar Jatiya; his ms. apparently has Jayat or Ja'it.

<sup>2.</sup> Who was to die accidentally during the siege: see note to Letter 49, supra.

<sup>3.</sup> Chanda is clear in all mss. The ruler of Chanda, whose representations were part cause of the Deogarh campaign, marched with the Mughuls.

<sup>4.</sup> sc.,on the northern bank of the Godavery, some 130 miles N-N-E of Haidarabad.

<sup>5.</sup> B.M. Jatiya. The scribe of I.O. now omits all points. N. and Ch. have Jatiya; Ch's ms.again has Jayat or Ja'it.

<sup>6.</sup> By a slip, I.O. has nadarad.

[further] delay sending Mīr Muhammad Sa'īd's son [to Court] after my nishan reaches him, I shall, God willing, bring home to him the consequences of his insolence of ingratitude.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of men's hopes! As ordered, I have granted Multafit Khan 23 lakhs of dam (Rs 57500) on the eight months' scale, being the salary for his increased rank of 500 <u>zat</u>. However, his <u>jagIr</u> before his promotion was 94 lakhs of dam (Rs 235,000) in this unremunerative province, and he was helped to carry on by the income from a <u>jagIr</u> in Hindustan. (ba imdad-i-mahsul-i-jagIr-i-Hindustan ba sar mīburd).

But now, the total receipts from his jagirs (hasil-i tamamī-i-tuyūl-i-ū) do not even reach six months' proportion; yet, despite this, he is obliged by the regulations of the Deccan<sup>3</sup> to bring ninety more horsemen to the branding muster than before. In exchange for their jagīrs in Hindustan, which pay them well, (sair hasil) many officers have before now received jagīrs in the Deccan on the seven months' scale. Multafit Khan is an experienced officer, and it would not stretch Your Majesty's generosity to sanction the grant of his whole salary in this province at the seven months' rate.

The pargana of Patudhī<sup>4</sup> was given him as [part of] his salary with effect from the beginning of the [last] autumn harvest. Its yield was [then] very small, compared with the spring harvest; yet at the beginning of spring, Patudhī was transferred from him, which will cause him great loss. (nuqsan-i-kullī). I hope that this pargana might be resumed to the khalisa, with effect from the [last] autumn harvest, and another granted him in the Deccan with effect from that time; but anything that is commanded enhances the reputation of khanazads.

# [no formal ending]

<sup>1.</sup> mss. qibla-i-amal. Nadwī has qibla-i-amanī.

<sup>2.</sup> The promotion, and his qila'darī of Ahmadnagar vice Shah Beg Khan, are noted in Ma'asiru'l Umara, I. 502 (text). He was now 2500/1500.

<sup>3.</sup> ba zabt-i-In suba (mss) ba (Nadwī).

<sup>4.</sup> This is the modern Patoda, once a crown taluq in the Nizam's dominions. It lies near the source of the Manjra river, some twelve miles N.-E. of the former British enclave of Jamkhed, and separated from that town by an arm of the Balaghat Range.

One letter from the Emperor has taken a fortnight to reach Aurangabad; the other has taken only nine days to cover the eight hundred odd miles, a splendid example of the organisation and efficiency of the Imperial dak-chaukI.

The submissive ruler of Deogarh's slow march with his Mughul escort and his surrendered elephants, must be received by Aurangzīb in person, in accordance alike with good policy and Mughul practice. Aurangzīb probably still expected that Qutbu'l Mulk would crumple at the approach of Muhammad Sultan's army, and that he himself would not need to stir yet.

But he is determined to make Mughul power supreme in the Deccan; that power, so long as he remained <u>subahdar</u>, was his power. He was already sensitive to the possibility that Dara might undermine his position by impeding his chances of spectacular success. He therefore goes over to the offensive, to hint that he is aware that not all at Court would look kindly on a decisive military solution.

Going further, Aurangzib urges upon the Emperor the wealth of Qutbu'l Mulk's domains, the richness of its fabulous mines, its treasures, and its\_trade. Do not let anyone dilute your determination, Aurangzib seems to be saying; the gain to the Empire and to your pocket will far outweigh any loss that some people might fear to their ambitions.

The coarse language used of 'Adil Shah reflects Aurangzīb's resentment at that monarch's hitherto successful cultivation of Shah Jahan's favour.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters, entirely written by the Emperor, in answer to Aurangzīb's letter, delivered late in the afternoon of Tuesday, 28 Dī, equivalent to 20 Rabī'I; the first written on 14 Dī, and the second on 19th; together with a copy of a farman directed to Qutbu'l Mulk in the care of two mace-bearers.

#### Formal thanks.

The world-obeyed command issued [to Qutbu'l Mulk] accords exactly with my hope and desire; I have therefore acquired a second life (hayat-i-du barah yaft). Since, as Your Majesty knows, I have gained some degree of understanding through the

<sup>1.</sup> N. prefers chun; but I.O. has chi, which N. notes in the modern copy S. B.M. however, reads chi, with Chaudhurī's ms.

good fortune of my training by the perfect guide, I had relied upon the sublime command delivered by the yasawal Muḥammad Sharif¹, and had intended to march quickly off towards Ḥaidarabad, with light kit (bar jināh) on 8 Rabi¹I. But the zamindar of Deogarh², encumbered the elephants he is offering in tribute, has been marching slowly, and had not yet arrived. I accordingly moved the date of my own departure forward from 8 Rabi¹I, (an tārīkh) and directed Your Majesty's servant [Muḥammad Sultān] to march to Ḥaidarabad by the route I had intended to take. If Qutbu¹l Mulk has not released Mir Jumla's son and family by the time he has reached the border, he is to march straight to Ḥaidarabad, and carry out Your Majesty's orders to liberate the prisoners forthwith, whether Qutbu¹l Mulk wishes it or not; (kam wa na kam) and Qutbu¹l Mulk will soon afterwards learn, that I have come [to Ḥaidarabad] myself.

The <u>zamindar</u> of Chanda, accompanied by Mirza <u>Khan</u> is now to wait upon me on the 23rd of this month<sup>3</sup>. I have thus been looking forward to receiving this universe-obeyed command, to which the whole world submits; and its issue [at this moment] is nothing short of a miracle. (az ru-i-maḥz-i-karamat) I was afraid lest those deceitful Deccani rulers might devise some cunning plan full of deceit and dissimulation, to induce [Your Majesty] to accept a heavy fine, and so ruin our present policy, which a most fortunate chance has made practicable. (ki az ittifaq-i-husna ast) I feared, too, lest I be obliged to retreat from the border, covered in shame<sup>4</sup>; which would have made me contemptible in the eyes of those rulers, and inflated their self-importance and conceit. But [the imperial command] has laid my anxiety to rest.

I shall send my baggage in advance, as ordered, on the 24th of this month<sup>5</sup>. God almighty willing; but for my own departure the astrologers claim that there is no auspicious day

<sup>1.</sup> sc., the one he had received on 29 Safar/28 December, and answered by Letter 96.

<sup>2.</sup> B.M. Jatiya. I.O. has only Cha legible. Nadwi has Chatiya, Chaudhuri Jatiya (noting the consistent misreading of his ms).

<sup>3.</sup> Rabī I, and not  $\overline{D}i$  - 20 January 1656.

<sup>4.</sup> Dara is the object here.

<sup>5. 21</sup> January 1656.

sooner (sa'at-i-nazdiklar ... nishan namidahand) than Tuesday, 3 Rabi'II, so I am compelled to wait until then. (zaruratan, ta an zaman tawaqquf namuda) On the day they have chosen, I shall set forth without baggage, (jarida) and march with all speed to Haidarabad, not pausing until I reach it. Through God's grace, and my true preceptor and guide's imperishable good fortune, I shall punish that unmannerly boldness (in biadabi wa jasarat) so typical of Qutbu'l Mulk's ingratitude, and bring it home to him as he deserves.

Muhammad Sultan reached Nander on Tuesday, [28th Dī], the same day as the royal letters arrived. He will soon enter the Golconda territories. Muhammad Tahir has made satisfactory arrangements for administering Burhanpur [in his absence], and came to me on 15th [Dī]. On the 17th, I sent him, as a precaution, (baraye ihtiyat) to march as quickly as he could to join Muhammad Sultan.

Hail to the saintly preceptor, the pure-hearted guide! Your Majesty knows well the extent of Qutbu'l Mulk's well-populated domains<sup>3</sup>, rich in mines of diamond, crystal (ballur) and other minerals. Qutbu'l Mulk does not recognise how much of Your Majesty's grace and favour has been lavished upon him, repaying instead kindness with insolence ('uquq); and he has tried to deviate (inhiraf justa) from the royal road of obedience.

From the other side [of Golconda] Mir Jumla is marching with a large army, good artillery, and many elephants. After so long (pas az muddati) this opportunity has emerged (inchunin qabu ba dast uftada) it may, perhaps, never occur again, (shayad digar muyassar nashawad) and it would be highly prudent to take it now.

Provided that further petitions and requests from the Deccan rulers to the sublime court [seeking to avert] their punishment are rejected, further promises of tribute ignored, and no interference from any quarter is allowed to affect the

<sup>1.</sup> sc., 30 January 1656.

<sup>2.</sup> sc., 17 January, 1656.

<sup>3.</sup> mamlukat in B.M. followed by N. and Ch. mulk in I.O.

<sup>4.</sup> az tarafi dar in muhimm dakhil nashawad. Thus summarily is Dara disposed of!

plan, then by God's grace and the favour of the true perceptor and guide, not only Qutbu'l Mulk's dominions, but also Mīr Jumla's conquests in the Carnatic, which are no less than than the Golconda territory in extent, and which abound in curious rarities (infas wa nawadir) jewels, elephants, incalculable quantities of buried treasure, mines and trading posts will easily be occupied by the imperial forces; and a resounding victory (fath-i-numayanīl) replete with benefits both spiritual and temporal will fall to the lot of the well-wishers of the everlasting empire. However, anything decreed by the world-conquering mind, the adornment of the universe, will be the essence of propriety.

Hail to the spiritual guide of the two worlds! letter from our ambassador at Bijapur discloses, that although 'Adil Khan knows of [Your Majesty's] peremptory order, he has conceived, out of the baseness of his nature, (khubs-itinat) the notion of aiding Qutbu'l Mulk, and of sending an army to reinforce him. I have therefore sent a nishan to our ambassador, telling him to put 'Adil Khan in fear of the unhealthy results of a diet of disobedience (az wakhamati-'aqibal-i-nafarmanburdari takhwif namuda) and to make him Should he fail to extract the cottonwithdraw his decision. wool of irresponsibility from the ear of circumspection, and continue to adhere to his intention then by the grace of God; through that fortunate favour of the temporal and spiritual qibla and ka'ba which is ever extended to murias, and with the co-operation of the vizier, the model of felicity, (Khani-sa'adat nishan) I shall make him hear well enough.

May the lofty shadow of the Caliphate remain spread over the heads of slaves until the end of time!

<sup>1.</sup> Nadwi prefers numayan, without sound ms authority.

Muhammad Mirak well deserved his rest, after over a week of riding nearly a hundred miles a day.

The appearance of the Deogarh ruler with only twenty elephants amply justifies Aurangzīb's original doubts of the wisdom of the expedition against Deogarh - at least from the point of finance, if not Imperial prestige.

The appointment of a Maratha to administer <u>manals</u> of Deogarh territory on behalf of the rajah offers a precedent often used by the British government of India in its relations with feudatory rulers, not least the Nizam. It also, unconsciously, foreshadows the final grim destiny of the Gond kingdoms at Maratha hands in the eighteenth century.

The mention of the young Sivaji's raids upon Mughul Juner offers another foretaste of the change that was to come over the Deccan during the next generation.

The Jawar affair has been satisfactorily concluded; in mentioning it now, Aurangzīb perhaps wishes to anticipate criticism for not at once summoning Rao Karan to serve in the Golconda campaign, by referring to his administrative settlement of his new conquest.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

On the evening of 22 [Rabī'I¹], I sent my answer to the two royal letters to the sublime Court by the hand of Muhammad Murad, the <u>yasawal</u> to whom the first letter had been given for delivery. As the mace-bearer Muhammad Mīrak, who brought the second letter, travelled very quickly, I detained him for two or three days to recuperate his strength, (baraye nafs rast kardan) and then let him go.

Hail to the saintly preceptor! The <u>zamindar</u> of Deogarh<sup>2</sup>, escorted by Mirza Khan, has waited upon me. He brought twenty elephants, male and female, which were in his possession; and he swears that he has none but these. Should [the contrary] appear, or should anyone give [contrary] information, he will deserve punishment [for deceiving Your Majesty] (wa agar zahir shawad, ya kase nishan dahad, mujrim bashad).

<sup>1. 20</sup> January, 1656.

<sup>2.</sup> mss have Chatiya clearly; so also N. and Ch. (where the ms. reads Jayat or  $J_{\overline{\alpha}}$  it, as usual.)

The <u>zamindar</u> of Chanda, and his agent Deva Nayak<sup>1</sup>, who has [already] reached the Court, both say that they have no knowledge of Jaya Sangram or of any other elephants in Deogarh<sup>2</sup>. Hadidad Khan concurs with them. He has written me a letter on the matter, and if any contrary report has reached Your Majesty, it is a patent lie. Your Majesty will have read Hadidad Khan's letter to me.

The position of the tribute, arrears and current, owed by the <u>zamindar</u> of Deogarh is, that this year he will pay five lakhs of rupees in cash and kind to the public treasury. He has undertaken to pay the whole of the arrears incurred under previous governors next year. Thereafter, he will pay his regular tribute punctually each year, without any delay. [He further undertakes] to detach several <u>mahals</u> from his territories, and entrust the collection of their revenue to Kar Talab Khan, thanadar of Kherla<sup>3</sup>; he will provide the money for the tribute out of the revenue of those <u>mahals</u>, and is agreeable to finding the balance himself (mustaqbil ast ki u az 'uhda-i-janab ast).

The <u>zamindar</u> has brought a good body of troops with him; he desires, out of a true sense of loyal devotion to take part in this campaign with the great nobles (auliya-i-daulat) and especially wishes to march at my side. (az in murid juda nashawad) I have [therefore] retained him by me, with his men. Were it proper to make some concession (ri'ayati) in the five lakhs of rupees he must pay this year<sup>4</sup>, I would do it.

Hail to my temporal and spiritual <u>qiblah</u> and <u>ka'bah!</u>
Rao Karan, who had marched against Jawar, invaded the place with true martial ardour [bisyar sipahana], and conducted an excellent campaign. Rendered powerless by Your Majesty's im-

l. B.M. and I.O. spell this man's name Danayak; Ch. has Wanayak, a distortion of that reading. N. has Deva (dal,waw, alif) Nayak and notes no variants. The confusion appears to originate from an anomalous spelling of Chanda with a final alif, not a he-i-hauwaz. The sentence begins: Wa Zamindār-i-Chānda wa Devā Nāyak wakīl-i-ū....

<sup>2.</sup> And so the jealous spite of the ruler of Chanda (Letters 77 and 78) is proved to have been the source of reports of his Deogarh rival's wealth in elephants, as Aurangzib had all along suspected. How he expressed his displeasure does not emerge from this correspondence; Aurangzib had other preoccupations.

<sup>3.</sup> A Maratha, originally named Baswant Rao, who had entered Mughul service under Jahangir. He had a mansab of 2000/1000, and on conversion to Islam, he got his title in Shah Jahan's third year and was promoted to 3000/2000. He marched against Shahji under Khan-i-Zaman in 1636 (Ma'asiru'l Umara III,153-154(text). Shah Nawaz

#### Footnotes Continued

Khan's next entry is this very assignment - a gap of twenty years. Aurangzib took him north in 1658; he fought at Dharmat and Samugarh; and died on his way back to the Deccan: ibid. 154.

- 4.I.O. once again makes the error imsal for imsal.
- 5. In 1667, Dilir Khan Da'udza'I, fresh from a successful expedition to extract arrears of tribute (and a huge fine of one crore) from Manji Mallar of Chanda, got fifteen lakhs of arrears from the Deogarh rajah's successor, and raised his annual tribute by three lakhs (Ma'asir-i- 'Alamgiri (text) 59-60.

perishable good fortune, the local <u>zamindar</u> came and interviewed, Rao Karan wishes to devote a few more days to putting the affairs of Jawar in order, and then to wait upon me.

Late on 24th (Rabi'I) I sent my tents and baggage (peshkhana) in advance. God willing, I shall set out myself on the appointed day. With a number of Imperial officers, Your Majesty's servant [Muhammad Sultan] marched quickly from Nander for Haidarabad on 23rd (Rabi'I). By God's grace I shall march with all speed, as Your Majesty has commanded; I shall reach Haidarabad soon after him.

A letter just received from our ambassador at Bijapur discloses that 'Adil Khan is bent upon his own destruction, (pai-juma'-i-asbab-i-idbar-i-khwesh ast) and has called up his military forces from every side. Urged on by his improvident courtiers, he actually (albatta) intends to send an army to help Qutbu'l Mulk.

Siva the son of Sahu Bhonsle is in his own territories, which border the district of Juner, and is making forays (shorish andakhta) against it. Our adjoints district of Juner

May the lofty shade of the Caliphate be ever spread over the heads of the inhabitants of the known world!

This letter announces Aurangzīb's departure for Golconda on 30 January 1656, and some of the arrangements he has made for his absence.

The failure of Qutbu'l Mulk to propitiate the Mughuls by releasing Muhammad Amin certainly seems quite as foolish as Aurangzib indignantly calls it. The indiscipline of the great nobles of the Deccan states would have made an 'Adil Shahi demonstration of problematical value in the face of so rapid and determined a Mughul advance.

A condition of the submission of Rana Raj Singh of Mewar (1652-1680) to Shah Jahan in the last months of 1654 was the despatch of a son to the Imperial court; thus did the Rana preserve his proud record, never broken by any of his dynasty until the end of British rule, of never attending any other king's audience; as chief of the Solar race of Rajputs his, too, was a "Court where rulers stood in waiting". By sending an infant son at the head of five hundred horse, Raj Singh was fulfilling his recently-renewed obligations to the Mughuls.

The supersession of Multafit Khan from his diwani of the Payan Ghat is not a reflection upon his capacity, but rather an endorsement of the unrivalled capacity of Murshid Quli Khan. Multafit Khan was henceforth employed on military duties, and remained high in Aurangzib's favour.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutation.

As I have already written, I marched out of Daulatabad (relying upon the grace of the Almighty and the imperishable good fortune of my true preceptor and guide) on Monday, 3 Rabi'u's - sani. On this day, Muhammad Sultan (khanazad) was to have reached the environs of Golconda; and I set forth in that direction myself. I shall make haste to complete the march, as commanded; and should arrive there within fourteen or fifteen days.

Hail to my spiritual and temporal qibla! Although apprised of the purport of the world-obeyed command. Qutbu'l Mulk is still hoping for help from 'Adil Khan; such is his vain pride and self-delusion (nakhwat wa pindar) even though Muḥammad Sultan had already reached Nander [at the time of the last report]

<sup>1.</sup> du jahani is omitted from the text of I.O., and placed in the margin.

Relying on these hopes, Qutbu'l Mulk has not yet released Mir Jumla's son from prison (bar niyawurda<sup>1</sup>) and he appears disinclined to let him go (dast az wai baz nadashta). So entirely is he overcome with foolish dreams of his own glory (khwab-i-ghurur wa ghaflat<sup>2</sup>) that he has no thought for the consequences ('aqibat) of his womanish fantasies (wahamat) of disobedience and ingratitude.

Meanwhile, the state of affairs in Bijapur, and the intentions of its ruler, are plain from the reports of our resident envoy. I have shown all the documents to Safi Khan<sup>3</sup>; if he incorporates them in the news-letter, they will be brought to Your Majesty's notice in the daily diary which he sends.

The son of the Rana, sent by his father with a contingent to the Deccan, has arrived. He has waited upon me; although a child of only five, he is quite intelligent. He will accompany me on this victorious expedition with his entire contingent<sup>4</sup>, which is said to consist of five hundred horse.

Hail to the <u>ka'ba</u> of mankind's hopes<sup>5</sup>! Shah Beg <u>Khan</u>, an officer with a high <u>mansab</u><sup>6</sup> and a large following, was stationed without employment (mu'attal) in the fort of Ahmadnagar. His men will be useful at this juncture; so I have summoned him to my presence. He is a trusted officer, schooled at court (az khanazadan-i-mu'atamid) and very fit for services of this kind. In my view (dar huzur-i-in fidwi) there is none to equal him but Multafit <u>Khan</u>. Shah Beg Khan wishes to serve

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. has niyawurd.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwi reverses the mss reading and has ghaflat wa ghurur, with no variant reading noted.

<sup>3.</sup> Chaudhuri's ms apparently reads Saif Khan. He has emended his text.

<sup>4.</sup> Jamiyat appears in Nadwi by mistake for jam'iyat.

<sup>5.</sup> Chaudhuri omits amal.

<sup>6.</sup> for besh mansab Nadwi, as usual, has pesh mansab.

in this campaign

with me (mikhwast...juda nashawad), so I have willingly (although not without difficulty (tau'an wa karhan) gratified him by making over the formal charge of Ahmadnagar to Multafit Khan. I have also approved an increase of his mansab by 500 sawar, of which 200 are du aspa sih aspa. His mansab (original and increased) now stands at 2500 zat and 1500 sawar (200 du aspa sih aspa); and thus honoured he will devote himself to performing worthy service.

Murshid Qulī Khan has performed his duties as diwan of the Bala Ghat exactly as he ought have. I think he could also act as diwan of the Payan Ghat; indeed, there is nobody in view who could manage that business. (tamshiyat) I have therefore made him Diwan of the Payan Ghat in place of Multafit Khan, and added 500 zat and 200 sawar to his mansab. Original and increased, he is now honoured with a mansab of 2000 zat and 1000 sawar, and will be able to render acceptable service.

If my proposal be accepted, it would be a specimen of kindness to murids and consideration for slaves.

May the world-illumining sun of the Caliphate and its dominion over all the climes remain for ever bright!

<sup>1.</sup> In fact, he was to remain with 3000 horse, guarding the Golconda frontier, for six months after Aurangzib withdrew. (Ma'asiru'l Umara (text) II, 666; cf note to Letter 50, supra).

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwi here omits pansad, making the <u>sawar</u> rank 1000. He also omits the word <u>zat</u> after 2500. This promotion, and appointment to Ahmadnagar at Aurangzib's request, are duly recorded in Ma'asiru'l Umara III, 502 (text) but the 200 2h 3h are not mentioned.

<sup>3.</sup> Not to Aurangzib's complete satisfaction, for in the early stages of the Bijapur campaign he left Ahmadnagar without a commandant (khali guzashta) to join in driving out the raiders of the young Sivajī, breaking out from 'Adil Shahi Konkan (B.M. 171 B; I.O. 202A) "No commandant has ever done anything like it before; a completely improper manoeuvre." Soon, however, Aurangzib forgot his anger in his pleasure at Sivajī's defeat, and Multafit Khan's protection of Ahmadnagar from plunder; (B.M. 173 B; I.O. 205 B-206 A.)

Aurangzīb wrote this letter on 4 February 1656, four days' march out of Daulatabad. The continued detention of Muhammad Amīn appears even more unbelievable, despite the succinct account of Muhammad 'Ādil Shāh's warlike preparations; for his forces are scattered throughout his dominions, while Muhammad Sultan is at the gates of Qutbu'l Mulk's capital.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of two royal letters; the first written in the secretariat, in reply to a letter of Aurangzib's; the second, written by the emperor, and accompanied by two copies [each] of certain imperial farmans, and a [full] robe of honour, brought by Qazī 'Ārif.

Formal acknowledgements, and thanks.

Trusting in the divine support 1, and in the countenance of the heart of my spiritual and temporal gibla and ka'ba 2, which teems with inspiration, (faiz mawatin) I marched out of Aurangabad late on Monday, the third of this month. That was the happy day, on which the arrival of Your Majesty's noble letter, and the gift of a full suit of honour raised me to exaltation. I did not halt the next day, and I have marched steadily onwards 3. God Almighty willing, I shall soon reach the outskirts of Golconda. To-day is Friday, 7th, and [Muḥammad Sultan], Your Majesty's household slave [ought to have] entered Haidarabad [today.]

Hail to my <u>qibla</u>! Qutbu'l Mulk has still not released Mir Jumla's son, although he is well aware of the purport of my <u>nishan</u>, which I sent him some time ago, as required by the world-obeyed order.

Mir Jumla's letter in reply to my [latest] <u>nishan</u> [to him], which contained [further] assurances of Imperial grace and favour, arrived to-day. A decoded transcript will pass before Your Majesty's eye with this letter of mine.

<sup>1.</sup> mss i'tiqad; but Chaudhuri has the much rarer i'tizad.

<sup>2.</sup> Nadwi omits wa ka'ba.

<sup>3.</sup> for the kuch dar kuch of the mss. Chaudhuri has kuch ba kūch.

Hail to the saintly protector! A letter has recently come from our resident envoy at Bijapur. It would seem (ba wazuh paiwasta) that 'Adil Khan wishes it to appear that he has no intention (ki u ra ...kari nist) of offering aid or assistance to Qutbu'l Mulk; yet his real intention (pinhani) is to collect the means of helping him. He has recalled his forces from the [southern] frontiers; men are being summoned from everywhere (ja ba ja); he is hard at work (ba jidd ast) strengthening the bastions and walls (burj wa bara) of Bijapur and other forts in his territories, and gathering a park of artillery. Khan Muhammad Ghulam, commander of his army, was in the Carnatic; he has returned, has joined 'Adil Khan, and is busily forming a contingent.

So it is an affair of double-faced hypocrisy (madar-i-kar bar du rui wa nafaq guzashta): on one side is what passes 'Adil Khan's lips, and on the other the quite different intentions of his heart.

I hope that by the mercy of the Almighty—glorified be his power-! these ungrateful and unthankful men, (in haqq na shinasan wa na sipas) who have turned away their heads from obedience and conformity to exalted orders, will be awakened from the depth of their dreams of delusion. May they receive the just reward (padash) of their deeds, so that not one of them will ever again be capable of even contemplating a career of opposition to commands. (ki min ba'd aḥadī ra majal-i-andesha-i-irtikab-i-khilaf-i-farman namanad).

And may the sheltering shade of the Caliphate's universal rule remain ever expanded!

This important letter begins by announcing Muhammad Sultan's arrival at Haidarabad, and the successful repulse of a cavalry and artillery assault mounted against him.

The tardy release of Muhammad Amin awakens Aurangzib to the possibility of successful intrigues at Court in Qutbu'l Mulk's interest: the purpose of the invasion has been fulfilled, so why not call it off? As directly as he dares, Aurangzib begs the Emperor to ignore such requests, especially if they come from Dara, who is clearly included in the slighting title "ahl-i 'arz." To strengthen the Emperor's resolution, Aurangzib indites the first of his "grotesquely mixed appeals to orthodoxy and cupidity, humanity and compassion," as Sir Jadunath Sarkar so rightly calls them (Aurangzīb I, 212). Let the Emperor annex Golconda; if he will not go so far, let him at least remember the lands and goods and hoards of Mir Jumla, and the not inconsiderable property of his son; and let him not forget the "monstrous crimes" of Qutbu'l Mulk - for such his persistence in his Shi'a faith must be reckoned.

To round off his arguments, Aurangzib composes a more than usually fulsome encomium upon the Emperor's superb spiritual qualities, and his own loyal submission.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations.

As commanded, I have marched by regular stages and have reached Nander in six marches from Aurangabad; <sup>1</sup> I moved with despatch. [There] I received a letter from the servant of the sublime Court (Muhammad Sultan) saying that when he had drawn to within two stages from Haidarabad, Qutbu'l Mulk released the son of Mir Jumla; with his family and all his property, (haman libasi<sup>2</sup>) which had been seized. He handed them over to our resident envoy "Abdu'l LatIf and my deputies Abu'l Qasim and Saiyid 'Alī.

So I wrote back to Muḥammad Sultan, saying that as Qutbu'l Mulk had released Mir Jumla's son, he should select a place near Haidarabad fit for an encampment and there await Mir Jumla's arrival, as Your Majesty has commanded.

<sup>1.</sup> Az Aurangabad ta Nander manzil-i-shashum qrar dada. B.M. omits ta and puts Nander into the accusative case. The distance so covered was about 130 miles; quick marching indeed.

<sup>2.</sup> Chaudhuri omits this phrase.

On the evening of 22 Rabi'u'l-awwal I sent a letter by the yasawal Muhammad Murad, but Your Majesty's reply has not yet arrived. I had intended to await that obedience-compelling farman for two or three days, as [I presume that] it has already crossed the Ganges<sup>1</sup>.

But I have just now (hal) received news, that before Muhammad Sultan had reached the confines of Haidarabad, Qutbu'l Mulk, seized with terror and consternation, had fled, shutting himself up (muthasin) in the fort of Golconda. [This happened on] Tuesday evening 5th (Rabī'lī)<sup>2</sup>.

Muhammad Sultan [forthwith] wished to move up to the Husain Sagar tank, which is between a cos and a cos and a half from the city. He is under orders to maintain himself there until Mīr Jumla joins him. About six thousand of Qutbu'l Mulk's cavalry, and ten 4 or twelve thousand infantry -matchlockmen, mortar-men, and so on - about equal in number to the victorious army, [forthwith] appeared; carrying their insolence (bi baki) beyond all proper limits, they advanced, discharging their weapons. Hearing of this, Muhammad Sultan had no option but draw up his forces and advance to take post in front of the camp. He sent, to chastise those short-sighted men, the commandant of my artillery, Muhammad Beg, who was in the vanguard went with him. They had not their fill of [slaying] the accursed enemy, even though the fire of their rockets and rifles and the clash of their well-tempered swords quite deprived them of spirit (damar az rozgar-i-anha bar miyawurand<sup>5</sup>) four or five thousand rockets and other explosive devices (barut) with firearms too, fell into our hands. Our men finally scattered them (munhadim gardanida) and drove 6 them to the

<sup>1.</sup> The mss all read ab-i-Gang guzashta. This would seem corrupt, since even if the Emperor were at Garh Muktesvar, or his new hunting lodge of Faizabad to the north of it (both lying on the western bank of the Ganges) there would be no need for a letter to cross the Ganges. The Chambal or the Nerbudda, both lying athwart the high road to the Deccan, must be meant.

<sup>2.</sup> sc., 1 February, 1656.

<sup>3.</sup> I.O. has a curious misspelling, which Nadwi follows: talab-i-Husain Saghir.

<sup>4.</sup> Nadwi omits dah.

<sup>5.</sup> This somewhat stereotyped phrase seems to be used slightly differently from the boastful sense of (e.g.) the letter to Multafit Khan already cited (B.M. 171B; I.O. 202A).

<sup>6.</sup> For randa Chaudhuri has dar amda, which does nothing for the sense.

rear wall of the city. The din of slaughter and combat lasted some time, but finally the loyal and victorious army returned, and encamped by the Husain Sagar tank.

I have also heard, that 'Adil Khan, heedless of his final destiny, has despatched fifteen or twenty thousand men to the aid of Qutbu'l Mulk. In command is one Afzal, an inn-keeper, (bhatiyara) formerly a drummer (sar-i-nawbat andoz) and now dignified with the title of Khan. To him he has entrusted his adopted son (pisar-i-khwandah) the heir to his kingdom; and he has now reached within twenty cos of Haidarabad. I therefore resolved, that to delay was unwise; I have marched out of Nander, and, relying upon the grace of the Almighty and the favour of my true preceptor and guide, I am on my way to Golconda. I shall remain there until Mir Jumla arrives.

If the noble command be issued, I shall (under the Lord's guidance, and through the imperishable good fortune of my spiritual and temporal gibla and ka'ba) with the greatest ease take into our control and possession every part of Qutbu'l Mulk's domains. What sort of a country it is is quite clear to your Majesty's luminous mind. And furthermore, if the men sent by 'Adil Khan approach with the intention of causing trouble I shall (God willing) apply myself to breaking them and chastising them in an appropriate manner.

Such disregard has the tiny-minded Qutbu'l Mulk shown for [our] rights, and such ingratitude; more, he has ventured upon acts which are [now] clearly seen to be enormous crimes. (taqsiri-i-'azim) For these alone (quite apart from his obstinacy and opposition to [our] authority) he deserves to be deprived of all his domains. Your Majesty might see fit to give an appropriate command; and I shall take from him the jewels, elephants (afial) cash, and other goods he confiscated upon the confinement of Mir Jumla's son, which now belong to our celestial government. [I shall also recover] twenty-two

<sup>1.</sup> I.O. has naubat randaula; Chaudhuri, naubat andaula. Thus scornfully does Aurangzib dismiss the famous general, treacherously murdered by Śivaji in November, 1659.

<sup>2.</sup> Aurangzib never admitted the legitimacy of Muhammad 'Adil Shah's chosen heir, 'Alī 'Adil Shah (1656-1672).

<sup>3.</sup> Nadw $\overline{i}$  notes the mangling of this passage in S, but in I.O. it is quite clear.

lakhs of rupees remaining unpaid from the regular tribute he is obliged to pay, and exact as well a large additional contribution.

Neither of the Deccan rulers wishes Mir Jumla to take with him to Court his vast property and effects of every kind, rich in the rare specialities of those regions. Some time ago, Qutbu'l Mulk despatched Mulla 'Abdu's samad as his envoy to the threshold of the Caliphate. He has submitted many supplicatory petitions; and now that his [master's] position is difficult, and the dissolution of his kingdom is in sight, he has been forced to a position of helpless complaining. To save himself, he will certainly address further petitions to the exalted Court; he will enter into [new] agreements; he will beg that an exalted farman be issued, graciously [protecting] his domains, and will endeavour to secure references in it to certain facts, and accumulations of treasure which Mir Jumla, with great expenditure of effort and energy, acquired as his own (mutakhallis sakhta) from the zamindars of the Carnatic. Mir Jumla's acceptance [of our wishes] in the management of this important affair, and his coming [to me] are alike opportune.

I hope that until Muḥammad Amīn actually comes [to me] and makes disclosure of various signs of loyalty, the receipt of his petitions may be delayed; but also that the insimuations of artful people (ahl-i-'arz) about him may have no bearing. This is a most important matter, and has [so far] fallen out very satisfactorily. Until it is concluded, in a manner conformable to the desires of the heart of angelic penetration, the perfect guide, the wise soul through whose God-given wisdom every affair is brought from beginning to end, our interests may be weakened though the interposition of Qutbu'l Mulk's envoys with Your Majesty. [Thus] the matter will be brought to a conclusion acceptable to Your Majesty, and will be an occasion for this servant to perform worthy service.

<sup>1.</sup> preferring majal to the mukhill of the mss, and the mahal of Chaudhuri.

<sup>2.</sup> mss hasul; Nadwi wasul.

The true preceptor and guide has more profound understanding than <u>murids</u> and slaves of the way in which the good fortune and felicity of this most lucky conjuncture of events may be put to use.

I have sent Mir Jumla many letters summoning him, through trusted messengers. Before he comes, how can the envoys of that ungrateful and wicked fellow Qutbu'l Mulk be given countenance at Court? How can their inappropriate importunings (multamisat-i-dur az kar), possibly obtain acceptance? I merely wish for fruitful results, and I am bending all to that end. Deceitful and disloyal persons are revolving projects marked with chicanery and fraud (andaza-i-ta'sir-i-makar wa tazwir).

May the sun of the Caliphate remain resplendent upon the horizon of felicity!

#### LETTER 102

This letter stands out of the main stream of the reports on the Golconda campaign. While its true place might be immediately after Letter 96, it occurs here in all mss, and could quite well have been prepared without reference to the campaign.

It seems that the Emperor has rectified the anomalies in Multafit Khan's position after his promotion to 2500/1500, mentioned by Aurangzib in Letter 96.

To save the trouble of sending Jahanara her cash under escort from Burhanpur to Court, and divert to her Aurangzib's cash salary secured upon the Malwa treasury, would have saved some hundreds of miles of carriage, and appears a reasonable step in the interests of economy. It would also have put a large cash income under Aurangzib's direct control, without depriving his sister of a dam; so it was a prudent suggestion in the interests of his own ambition.

Great nobles usually sought the Emperor's blessing and favour upon the marriages of their sons. Mirza Khan was closely connected with the Imperial house, though not related by blood; but Najabat Khan (c. 1600-1664) was a collateral\_relation, the great-grandson of Humayun's cousin and feudatory, Mirza Sulaiman (c. 1511-1585), who intermittently ruled, for nearly sixty years, the ancestral domains of Balkh and Badakhshan.

To His Majesty, the Shadow of God!

Conventional salutations, and acknowledgement of a farman written in the secretariat.

No dates given.

#### Brief thanks.

Your Majesty, actuated by benevolence towards <u>murids</u>, has graciously accorded Multafit Khān an increase in the income of his <u>jagir</u> by substituting Patudhi<sup>1</sup>, and has raised his <u>zāt</u> rank by five hundred. It shall be done as Your Majesty has commanded.

It was also ordered that I should every year send to 'Umdatu'l Mulk Shayista Khan, in the charge (mashub) of a company of my own retainers, the revenue from the market (mandvi²) of Jahanabad in Burhanpur, which is an appanage (sarkar) of Begam Sahib Ji.

<sup>1. &#</sup>x27;iwaz-i-pargana-i-Patudhi. What he received in exchange to make up his jagir does not appear. I.O. here reads Paludhi.

<sup>2.</sup> note the use of the vernacular word, in its local Marathi and Gujarati form. Chaudhuri has mandvi. The regular Hindi form is mandi: Platts, p.1075,col.2,s.v.

Hail to the saintly protector! I most certainly wish to submit to the world-obeyed order. One lakh and ninety thousand rupees are already to hand (ma jud) from the proceeds of the market-tax, and I am ready to despatch them to Shayista Khan.

However, I am obliged to pay a sum to convey all this cash (ba wajah-i-kiraya); and my cash salary is secured (muqarrar gashta) upon the public treasury of the province of Malwa. It occurs to my feeble understanding that 'Umdatu'l Mulk<sup>2</sup> might take from that source<sup>3</sup> cash in lieu of the market-tax, and remit it to the luminous Presence; this would not be wanting in advantages. However, anything which the mind of Your Majesty, brilliant as the sun, may decree, will be the essence of propriety.

Hail to the <u>qibla</u> of the necessities of mortal men!

Mirza Khan<sup>4</sup> has a young daughter (sabiya) who has now reached the age of discretion (ba sinn-i-tamīz). Should Your Majesty deign to approve it, as a gesture of tenderness towards those brought up in your service, he would like her to be united with Isma'īl, the second son of Najabat Khan<sup>5</sup>, who has not yet married (ta hal kadkhuda'ī nashuda).

Mirza Khan has, however, sent his dependants to the Court, the refuge of the world, and has not the means of celebrating the occasion as he ought. He hopes that a noble order may be issued in the matter; and he will obey it.

May the curtains of the Caliphate remain affixed to the tent-ropes (atnab) of perpetuity:

<sup>1.</sup> This and the next paragraph are, in the text, a longish agarche... lekin sentence. Chaudhuri mistakenly begins with agar.

<sup>2.</sup> I.O. has an odd error: Ahmadu'l Mulk.

<sup>3.</sup> Shayista Khan was then governor of Malwa.

<sup>4.</sup> Nadwī has Marza Khan, a scribe's mistake. For notes on Mirza Khan, son of Mirza Irij Shah Nawaz Khan (1586-1619) see Letters 70 & 78.

<sup>5.</sup> see separate sheet for this note.

<sup>6.</sup> for the not uncommon saradiqat, Chaudhuri has sar-i-auqat!

NOTE to page 2 of LETTER 102

Mirza Shuja' Najabat Khan's descent was:

Mirza Sulaiman
Mirza Ibrahim
Mirza Shah Rukh
Mirza Shuja' Najabat Khān

There is a rather scrappy life in Ma'asiru'l Umara, III, 821-828 (text) where it is said that he was Mirza Shah Rukh's Irvine follows this in his note to Manucci, Storia, third son. II, 24, and others in their turn: e.g., Dr.I.A. Ghauri, War of Succession, 21. But Jahangir, who ought have known, enumerates four sons acknowledged by Shah Rukh before he died as Subahdar of Malwa in September 1606: Hasan and Husain (twins), Mirza Sultan, and Mirza Badi'u'z-zaman (Tuzuk, trans. by Rogers and Beveridge, I, 119-120). But at Delhi on 21 March 1607 "four boys and three girls... whom he had not mentioned to my father, were brought" (ibid, 137) Mirza Shuja' must have been one of these, and it is possible that Shah Nawaz Khan was also mistaken in saying that he was born "in the time of Jinnat Makani (Jahangir). He received his title of Najabat Khan, and "a mansab of 2000," at Shah Jahan's hands in 1629-30, and rose to 5000/5000.

Though India-born, Najabat Khan was contemptuous of Indians; anecdotes of his pride and bold self-confidence were current in the seventeenth century: Ahkam-i-'Alamgiri, ed. and tr. Sarkar, pp. 41-42: Manucci, Storia, II, 23-24. He was with Aurangzib in the Bijapur campaign, and supported him in his bid for the throne; 7000/7000 at Burhanpur in February 1658, Khan-i-Khanan Sipah Salar after Dharmat; but soon Aurangzib, displeased at his failure to support him totally, disgraced him. In 1663 he was again given service, with his old title Najabat Khan, and given the rank of 5000/4000. He was sent as governor to Malwa later in the year, when Ja'far Khan was called to Court to be wazir, but died at his post in 1664. (Ma'asir-i-'Alamgiri (text) 42, 47,48.)

Only four letters to Najabat Khan are preserved in the Adab-i-'Alamgiri. They are all short, and relate to the Bijapur campaign.

#### APPENDIX A

## CONCORDANCE

of the letters as arranged in this collection and other sources

| This Edition        | Nadwī               | Chaudhurī           | British                                    |                                  |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| number of<br>letter | number of<br>letter | number of<br>letter | Museum ms folio on which the letter begins | folio on which the letter begins |
| 1                   | 6                   | 1                   | 3A   | 3A                               |
| 2                   | 9                   | 3                   | 4A   | 3A                               |
| 3                   | 1                   | 2                   | 3B   | 4A                               |
| 4                   | 2                   | 5                   | 5A   | 4B                               |
| 5                   | 3                   | 4                   | <b>4</b> B                                 | 4B                               |
| 6                   | 4                   | 6                   | 5A   | 5A                               |
| 7                   | 5                   | 7                   | 5B   | 5A                               |
| 8                   | 7                   | 8                   | 5B   | <b>5</b> B                       |
| 9                   | 8                   | 9                   | 6A   | 5B                               |
| 10                  | 10                  | 11                  | 7A   | <b>6</b> B                       |
| 11                  | 11                  | 12                  | 7A   | 7A                               |
| 12                  | 13                  | 10                  | 6B   | 6A                               |
| 13                  | 12                  | 17                  | 10A  | 10A                              |
| 14                  | 14                  | 13                  | -  | 7B                               |
| 15                  | 15                  | 14                  | 8B   | 8B                               |
| 16                  | 16                  | 16                  | 9B   | 9B                               |
| 17                  | 17                  | 15                  | 9A   | 9A                               |
| 18                  | 18                  | 20                  | 11B  | 11B                              |
| 19                  | 19                  | 18                  | 10B  | 10B                              |
| 20                  | 20                  | 19                  | 11A  | 11A                              |
| 21                  | 21                  | 21                  | -  | 12A                              |
| 22                  | 22                  | 22                  | 12A  | 12A                              |
| 23                  | 23                  | 23                  | 12A  | 12B                              |
| 24                  | 24                  | 24                  | 13A  | 13A                              |
| 25                  | 25                  | 25                  | 14A  | 14A                              |
| 26                  | 26                  | 26                  | 15A  | 15A                              |
| 27                  | 27                  | 28                  | 17B  | 18B                              |
| 28                  | 28                  | 27                  | 15B  | 16B                              |
| 29                  | 29                  | 29                  | 18A  | 19A                              |
| 30                  | 30                  | 30                  | 19A .                                      | 20A                              |

| his Edition | Nadwī  | Chaudhurī | British<br>Museum_ms | India Office |
|-------------|--------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|
| number      | number | number    | folio                | folio        |
| 31          | 31     | 31        | 20B                  | 21B          |
| 32          | 32     | 32        | 21A                  | 22B          |
| 33          | 33     | 33        | 21B                  | 23A          |
| 34          | 34     | 34        | 22A                  | 23B          |
| 35          | 35     | 35        | 22B                  | 24A          |
| 36          | 36     | 36        | 23A                  | 24B          |
| 37          | 37     | 37        | 24A                  | 25B          |
| 38          | 38     | 38        | 24B                  | 26A          |
| 39          | 39     | 39        | 25A                  | 26B          |
| 40          | 40     | 40        | 25A                  | 26B          |
| 41          | 41     | 41        | 25B                  | 27A          |
| 42          | 42     | 42        | 25B                  | 27A          |
| 43          | 43     | 43        | 26A                  | 27B          |
| 44          | 44     | 44        | 26B                  | 28A          |
| 45          | 45     | 45        | 27A                  | 28B          |
| 46          | 46     | 46        | 27B                  | 29A          |
| 47          | 47     | 47        | 28A                  | 30A          |
| 48          | 48     | 48        | <b>28</b> B          | 30B          |
| 49          | 49     | 49        | 29A                  | 31A          |
| 50          | 50     | 50        | 30A                  | 31B          |
| 51          | 51     | 54        | 31B                  | 34A          |
| 52          | 52     | 53        | 31B                  | 34A          |
| 53          | 53     | 63        | 37A                  | 39B          |
| 54          | 54     | 57        | 33B                  | 36A          |
| 55          | 55     | 64        | 37B                  | 39В          |
| 56          | 56     | 52        | 31A                  | 33A          |
| 57          | 57     | .55       | 33A                  | 35A          |
| 58          | 58     | 56        | 33A                  | 35A          |
| 59          | 59     | 70        | 40A                  | 42B          |
| 60          | 60     | 69        | 39B                  | 42A          |
| 61          | 61     | 91        | 51B                  | 55A          |
| 62          | 62     | 59        | 35A                  | 37A          |
| 63          | 63     | 58        | 34B                  | 37A          |
| 64          | 64     | 60        | 35B                  | 38A          |
| 65          | 65     | 61        | 36A                  | 38A          |
| 66          | 66     | 51        | 30B                  | 32B          |
| 67          | 67     | 62        | 36B                  | 39B          |

| This Ed | lition | Nadwī  | Chaudhurī | British<br>Museum ms | India Office |
|---------|--------|--------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|
| numbe   | r      | number | number    | folio                | folio        |
| 68      |        | 68     | 65        | 38A                  | 40B          |
| 69      |        | 69     | 97        | 54A                  | 58A          |
| 70      |        | 71*    | 77        | 45A                  | 47B          |
| 71      |        | 72     | 74        | 43B                  | 46B          |
| 72      |        | 73     | 90        | 50B                  | 54A          |
| 73      |        | 74     | 81        | 47B                  | 50A          |
| 74      |        | 75     | 75        | 44A                  | 47A          |
| 75      |        | 76     | 76        | 49A                  | 52A          |
| 76      |        | 77     | 78        | 45B                  | 48B          |
| 77      |        | 78     | 79        | 46A                  | 55A          |
| 78      |        | 79     | 94        | 53A                  | 56B          |
| 79      |        | 80     | 96        | 54A                  | 57B          |
| 80      |        | 81     | 68        | 39B                  | 41B          |
| 81      |        | 82     | 79        | 46A                  | 49A          |
| 82      |        | 83     | 85        | 49A                  | 52A          |
| 83      |        | 84     | 72        | 42A                  | 44B          |
| 84      |        | 85     | 71        | 41A                  | 43B          |
| 85      |        | 86     | 73        | <b>42</b> B          | 45A          |
| 86      |        | 87     | 82        | 48A                  | 51A          |
| 87      |        | 88     | 83        | 48A                  | 51A          |
| 88      |        | 89     | 66        | 38B                  | 41A          |
| 89      |        | 90     | 88        | 50A                  | 53A          |
| 90      |        | 91     | 80        | <b>46</b> B          | 49B          |
| 91      |        | 92     | 76        | 44B                  | 47A          |
| 92      |        | 93     | 95        | 53B                  | 57A          |
| 93      |        | 94     | 67        | 41A                  | 41B          |
| 94      |        | 95     | 98        | 55A                  | 59A          |
| 95      |        | 96     | 99        | 55B                  | 59B          |
| 96      |        | 97     | 100       | 56A                  | 60A          |
| 97      |        | 98     | 101       | 56B                  | 61A          |
| 98      |        | 99     | 103       | 57B                  | 62A          |
| 99      |        | 100    | 104       | 58B                  | 62B          |
| 100     |        | 101    | 114       | 60A                  | 64B          |
| 101     |        | 102    | 115       | 60B                  | 65A          |
| 102     |        | 103    | 86        | 49B                  | 52B          |

<sup>\*</sup> Nadwi's 70th letter is actually from Md. Sultan, soliciting a call to Court. See Ch. no.102; B.M. fo. 57B; I.O. fo.62.

## APPENDIX B

# Chronology of the Letters

| Number in this edition | Page | Date of writing               | Place of writing                     | Means of establishing date   |
|------------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1                      | 1    | July, 1650                    | Multān                               | does not refer<br>to any previous<br>letter                              |
| 2                      | 4    | before 7 Dec.<br>1650         | Multãn                               | inference from subject-matter  |
| 3                      | 7    | on or after<br>7 Dec. 1650    | outside<br>Multān                    | see p.7, ad fin.   |
| 4                      | 11   | on or after<br>19 Dec. 1650   | Harappa                              | acknowledges a farman received there on 19 Dec. 1650                     |
| 5                      | 14   | probably 26<br>Dec. 1650      | one stage<br>south-east<br>of Lahore | acknowledges the<br>gift of a <u>khilat</u><br>on Christmas Day,<br>1650 |
| 6                      | 17   | on or after<br>6 March 1651   | Sirhind or its environs              | reports visit to<br>Imperial gardens<br>in Sirhind on<br>6 March 1651    |
| 7                      | 20   | on or after<br>22 March 1651  | Sadhardālu<br>or near it             | acknowledges<br>receipt there of<br>farman on 22<br>March 1651           |
| 8                      | 22   | on or after<br>8 August 1651  | Multān                               | acknowledges <u>farmār</u><br>received on<br>8 August 1651               |
| 9                      | 24   | late August,<br>1651          | Multãn                               | acknowledges<br>another farman<br>(no date)                              |
| 10                     | 27   | early September,<br>1651      | Multān                               | acknowledges farman in reply to Letter 8                                 |
| 11                     | 30   | on or after<br>2 January 1652 | Multān                               | acknowledges a <u>farman</u> received on that date                       |
| 12                     | 34   | on or after<br>14 Feb, 1652   | Multān                               | acknowledges<br>receipt of a<br>farman on 14 Feb.<br>1652                |
| 13                     | 37   | on or after<br>31 Jan, 1652   | Multān                               | acknowledges receipt of farman and rings on that date                    |
| 14                     | 42   | on or after<br>18 Feb, 1652   | Multān                               | acknowledges a farman received on that date                              |

| Number in<br>this<br>edition | Page      | Date of writing                         | Place of writing                         | Means of establishingdate   |
|------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 15                           | 44<br>bis | late Feb. or early March 1652           | still in<br>Multān?                      | acknowledges farman and further gifts (no date)                               |
| 16                           | 49        | on or after<br>26 Feb., 1652            | in camp<br>outside<br>Multān             | acknowledges<br>two <u>farmans</u>  |
| 17                           | 52        | on or after<br>8 March 1652             | in camp<br>outside<br>Multān             | acknowledges farman and kalgī on 7 March, further gifts on 8th                |
| 18                           | 55        | on or after 17<br>March 1652            | in camp<br>outside<br>Multān             | acknowledges<br>manshur received<br>on 17 March                               |
| 19                           | 57        | on or after<br>25 March 1652            |  | acknowledges farmān received on that day                                      |
| 20                           | 60        | probably 30<br>March 1652               | on the<br>right bank<br>of the<br>Chenāb | _   |
| 21                           | 62        | probably<br>5 April 1652                | on the<br>right bank<br>of the<br>Indus  | reports crossing<br>on 5 April  |
| 22                           | 64        | 16 April 1652                           | Chacha                                   | announces<br>arrival that day   |
| 23                           | 66        | 23 April 1652                           | Dukī                                     | see p.67, para 1  |
| 24                           | 70        | 7 May 1652                              | western end<br>of Panj<br>Mandrak pass   | see p.74, para 3  |
| 25                           | 76        | evening of 12<br>May 1652<br>(probably) | outside<br>Qandahar                      | acknowledges two manshurs received on arrival that day                        |
| 26                           | 83        | 28 May 1652                             | outside<br>Qandahār                      | dated by<br>Aurangzīb, p.83   |
| 27                           | 90        | evening<br>(probably) of<br>5 June 1652 | u  | reports explosion in fort at about 8 am that day                              |
| 28                           | 94        | evening 18<br>June 1652                 | n  | reports capture of enemy mail that evening, during engrossment of this letter |
| 29                           | 102       | 24 June, 1652                           | п  | dated by Aurangzīb<br>p.103   |
| 30                           | 107       | on or after<br>1 July, 1652             | ,  | acknowledges two yarlighs on 28 June, and two more on 1 July.                 |
|                              |           |   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |

| Number in<br>this<br>edition | Page | Date of writing                         | Place of writing                             | Means of estab-<br>lishing date                                       |
|------------------------------|------|---|--|---|
|                              |      |   |  |   |
| 31                           | 118  | on or after<br>6 July, 1652             | tt   | acknowledges<br>manshur received<br>on that date                      |
| 32                           | 121  | on or after<br>13 July, 1652            | ti   | acknowledges<br>manshur received<br>on that date.                     |
| 33                           | 124  | evening<br>(perhaps) of<br>17 July 1652 | on the road<br>to Ghaznī                     | acknowledges<br>manshur received<br>on 17 July                        |
| 34                           | 126  | on or after<br>21 July, 1652            | 11   | acknowledges farmāns received on 20 and 21 July                       |
| 35                           | 130  | on or after<br>26 July, 1652            | н  | acknowledges manshūr received on that date                            |
| 36                           | 133  | on or after<br>1 August 1652            | in or near Ghaznī (p.138, para. 4)           | acknowledges two yarlīghs received on l August                        |
| 37                           | 140  | on or after<br>4 August, 1652           | on the march from Ghaznī to                  | acknowledges a farman received on                                     |
|                              |      |   | Kābul, two<br>days'<br>journey<br>from Kābul | 4 August  |
| 38                           | 145  | on or about<br>5 September,<br>1652     | near<br>Nowshera                             | implication of text   |
| 39                           | 148  | on or about<br>7 September<br>1652      | near<br>Nowshera<br>(? same<br>encampment)   | acknowledges manshur received on 7 September                          |
| 40                           | 151  | on or after<br>4 October<br>1652        | -  | inference from text and imperfectly dated acknowledgement of a farman |
| 41                           | 154  | probably<br>8 October 1652              | near the right bank of the Chenāb, opposite  | acknowledges manshur delivered on "Monday".                           |
|                              |      |   | Wazīrābād                                    |   |
| 42                           | 156  | 11 October<br>1652                      | at the<br>crossing                           | inference from text   |

| Number in this | Page | Date of writing                      | Place of writing                           | Means of estab-<br>lishing date  |
|----------------|------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| _edition_      |      |                                      |  |  |
| 43             | 159  | on or after<br>12 November,<br>1652  | south of<br>Lahore<br>(near<br>Ludhiāna?)  | imperfectly dated acknowledgement of a manshur                                 |
| 44             | 162  | 2 December,<br>1652                  | Akbarpūr<br>(? the                         | dated by<br>Aurangzīb (p.169)  |
|                |      |                                      | present<br>Chhāta?)                        | *  |
| 45             | 171  | 9 December,<br>1652                  | "the<br>purlieus<br>of Dholpūr"            | dated by Aurangzīb, p.175  |
| 46             | 177  | 28 December,<br>1652                 | near<br>Narwar                             | dated by Aurangzīb p.180; cf. acknow-ledgement of a                            |
|                |      |                                      |  | manshur received<br>on Wed, 24 Dec.,<br>1652                                   |
| 47             | 181  | 11 January,<br>1653                  | the<br>northern<br>bank of<br>the Nerbudda | acknowledges a farman received on 3 Jan, 1653; a and dated by Aurangzīb, p.183 |
| 48             | 184  | about 15<br>January, 1653            | close to<br>the<br>Nerbudda<br>(?          | not exactly dated  |
|                |      |                                      | southern bank?)                            |  |
| 49             | 187  | on or after<br>2 March 1653          | Burhānpūr                                  | acknowledges <u>farman</u> of that date  |
| 50             | 191  | 20 April 1653<br>(probably)          | Burhānpūr                                  | reports audience<br>given that day<br>to Bījāpur and                           |
|                | -    |                                      |  | Golconda<br>ambassadors  |
| 51             | 196  | on or after<br>10 April 1653         | Burhānpūr                                  | acknowledges two farmans received on 8 and 10 April                            |
| 52             | 200  | on or after<br>14 May 1653           | Burhānpūr                                  | manshur received on that date  |
| 53             | 204  | May-June 1653                        | п  | undated  |
| 54             | 206  | on or after<br>11 July 1653          | 11   | acknowledges a farmān received on that date                                    |
| 55             | 209  | between July<br>and October,<br>1653 | 11   | undated  |

| Number in<br>this<br>edition | Page | Date of writing                               | Place of writing               | Means of estab-<br>lishing date   |
|------------------------------|------|---|--------------------------------|---|
|                              |      |   |                                |   |
| 56                           | 213  | middle or late<br>October 1653                | "                              | speaks of post-<br>poning march from<br>31 October to<br>8 November   |
| 57                           | 215  | on or after<br>6 December 1653                | Daulatābād                     | reports entry on 6 Dec, 1653  |
| 58                           | 217  | some time in 1654                             | "<br>(presumably               | ) undated   |
| 59                           | 220  | some time in<br>1654 (perhaps<br>early 1655?) | Daulatābād<br>or<br>Aurangābād | crop of qū'i 11   |
| 60                           | 224  | some time in 1654                             | н                              | undated   |
| 61                           | 227  | last days of<br>1654                          | u                              | acknowledges manshūr describing Imperial visit to Āgra  |
| 62                           | 228  | some time in 1654                             | •                              | reference to Murād's appoint- ment to Gujarāt as sūbahdār   |
| 63                           | 231  | early in 1654                                 |                                | reference to rabi's eason of yūnt il (7th of cycle)   |
| 64                           | 235  | 1654 - after<br>Letter 63                     | n                              | reply to a proposal in Letter 63 acknowledged (Rao Karan to Ellichpūr)  |
| 65                           | 238  | during 1654                                   | 11                             | not dated, but perhaps early: submission on dagh  |
| 66                           | 242  | early in 1654                                 | n                              | see Siyaha Huzur<br>(call no. 3896)<br>dated ll January<br>1654 on Üzbek<br>Khan's audience &<br>offerings - State<br>Archives, Hyderabad |
| 67                           | 246  | early in 1654<br>(probably)                   |                                | first mention of<br>Mīr Jumla's<br>overtures  |
| 68                           | 249  | during 1654<br>(latter part)                  | "                              | reference to recent reduction in jama'  |
| 69                           | 252  | October, 1654                                 | "                              | Muhammad Sultan<br>being sent to<br>Court on 24<br>October  |

| Number in<br>this<br>edition | Page | Date of writing                   | Place of writing               | Means of estab-<br>lishing date   |
|------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
|                              |      | ~~                                | _~                             |   |
| 70                           | 258  | during 1654                       | Daulatābād<br>or<br>Aurangābād | apparent reply to<br>request in Letter<br>66 (Kh. Barkhwurdar                           |
| 71                           | 263  | about<br>September 1654           | n                              | acknowledges reply to Letter 65, and action to be taken from the autumn equinox of 1654 |
| 72                           | 266  | end of 1654                       | u                              | Aurangzīb's remonstrances against criticism   |
| 73                           | 271  | end of 1654                       | 11                             | doubts of Mīr<br>Jumla's intent-<br>ions expressed                                      |
| 74                           | 274  | early 1655                        | 11                             | forwards papers<br>relating to<br>Mīr Jumla's<br>manṣab (but cf<br>Letter 87)           |
| 75                           | 277  | early 1655                        | 11                             | acknowledges gift<br>brought by Md.<br>Sultān returning<br>from Court                   |
| 76                           | 280  | early 1655                        | 11                             | Aurangzīb<br>acknowledges<br>action on<br>Letter 72                                     |
| 77                           | 283  | during 1655<br>(? about<br>July?) | Daulatabad<br>(probably)       | reference to failure of rains, and consequently of kharīf crop                          |
| 78                           | 288  | about<br>October, 1655            | п                              | references in n.1, p.290  |
| 79                           | 291  | November-<br>December, 1655       | 11                             | Deogarh campaign<br>concluded   |
| 80                           | 293  | about<br>December, 1655           | 11                             | an elephant-<br>hunt ordered  |
| 81                           | 296  | about<br>December, 1655           | 11                             | Emperor's appetite for elephants; Jawār campaign proposed                               |
| 82                           | 299  | Dec, 1655 -<br>Jan, 1656          | n                              | acknowledges pro-<br>motion for Hādīdād<br><u>Kh</u> ān in Letter 80                    |

| Number in this edition | Page | Date of writing                    | Place of writing         | Means of estab-<br>lishing date   |
|------------------------|------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| _60101011              |      |                                    |                          |   |
| 83                     | 301  | late 1653 or early 1654?           | Daulatābād<br>(probably) | first overture<br>of Śrī Ranga<br>Rāyal                                       |
| 84                     | 305  | early 1654?                        | ii                       | acknowledges<br>Emperor's<br>reaction   |
| 85                     | 308  | early 1654?                        | 11                       | Emperor's second thoughts; marriage plans for Md. Sultan                      |
|                        |      |                                    |                          | (cf Letter 69)<br>and agreement<br>with Shujā'                                |
| 86                     | 313  | early 1655                         | "                        | Mīr Jumla called to Court   |
| 87                     | 315  | March or<br>April, 1655            | "                        | Mīr Jumla hot<br>and cold (perhaps<br>a sequel to Letter<br>75)               |
| 88                     | 318  | 2 August 1655                      | "                        | see introductory<br>note; day of week<br>only given by<br>Aurangzīb           |
| 89                     | 320  | September-<br>October, 1655        | п                        | not dated; but<br>cf. notes of<br>Jagadīsh Sarkar,<br>Mir Jumla, 73-74        |
| 90                     | 321  | early 1656?                        | н                        | undated, but<br>acknowledges<br>apparent reply<br>to Letter 81                |
| 91                     | 324  | late 1655<br>(before<br>October?)  | п                        | undated   |
| 92                     | 326  | August-<br>September 1655          | "                        | tribute elephants<br>to be sent to<br>Court about 21<br>September             |
| 93                     | 329  | before the rains of 1655           | "                        | see notes to<br>Letter  |
| 94                     | 330  | early December<br>1655             | "                        | Arrest of<br>Muḥammad Amīn  |
| 95                     | 333  | on or after<br>28 December<br>1655 | "<br>(or<br>Aurangābād)  | acknowledges farmar delivered on that day                                     |
| 96                     | 336  | on or after<br>5 January 1656      | Daulatābād?              | Muḥammad Sultān<br>sent against<br>Golconda on that<br>date; cf. Letter<br>97 |

| Number in this edition | Page | Date of writing                                    | Place of writing                            | Means of estab-<br>lishing date                              |
|------------------------|------|--|---|--|
| 97                     | 339  | between 17 January and 20 January, 1656            | probably<br>outside<br>Aurangābād           | internal evidence  |
| 98                     | 343  | after 20<br>January, 1656                          | perhaps in<br>Daulatābād                    | refers to letter (not preserved) sent that evening           |
| 99                     | 345  | on or after<br>30 January 1656                     | outside<br>Daulatābād                       | reports departure<br>thence on that<br>day                   |
| 100                    | 349  | 4 February,<br>1656                                | Four days'<br>march out<br>of<br>Aurangābād | dated by Aurangzīb   |
| 101                    | 351  | probably some<br>days after<br>6 February,<br>1656 | six days'<br>out of<br>Aurangābād           | acknowledgement<br>of letters<br>received                    |
| 102                    | 356  | January or<br>February,<br>1656                    | uncertain                                   | acknowledges<br>promotion of<br>Multafit Khān<br>(Letter 96) |

#### NOTE ON DATES

Three eras were in common use in the Mughul empire; the Muslim lunar calendar, the Persian solar calendar linked to the years of the Emperor's reign and still known by the name Ilahī, given it by Akbar when he introduced it for official use, and the ancient Turko-Mongol duodenary cycle.

Aurangzīb preferred the Muslim calendar, and when Emperor did all he could to propagate it, ignoring its inconvenience in an administration based not upon the motions of the moon, but on the regular succession of the seasons. He almost always gives a Muslim date; occasionally an Ilahī date, very rarely an Ilahī date alone; and uses the Turkish cycle in isolation to mark off the agricultural year.

The years 1060 to 1066 cover the span of these letters. The usual Mughul practice in using the Muslim calendar was to give the day of the week, the date, the month, but not the year; that was referred to the regnal year of the Emperor, but Aurangzīb in writing to his father omits both the year of the reign and the year of the Hijra. In converting his dates to Christian dates I have relied upon the Tables of Wüstenfeld and Mahler. Ilahī dates have been used as corroborative evidence only.

Until he reaches the Deccan, the days and dates given by Aurangzīb synchronise perfectly with the tables. In the Deccan, however, he is sometimes one day ahead, (Letter 54) and sometimes one day behind (Letter 88). As these discrepancies can often arise because of the different times at which the new moon of a month may be observed, I have preferred to adhere to the tables, based as they are upon uniform astronomical calculations.

Similarly I have followed the tables in adhering to the New Style. Most authorities who have written in English have used the Old Style because it was still prevalent in England, and used perforce by Englishmen operating in India. Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār's considered decision to continue with it has been automatically followed by those who came after. But it is now time to change; English is no longer the only, though still the leading, lanaguage

of Mughul scholarship; all the other nations trading to India had adopted the New Style long before the rise of Aurangzīb; for the sake of uniformity in historical writing, it seems better to restrict the Old Style to a specifically English environment.

The Ilahī calendar is the same, basically, as that revived and reformed in Persia in 1925, and in use in Afghānistān with the Arabic zodiacal names of the months; the year begins with Farwardīn at the vernal equinox, that is on the 20, 21, or 22 March. As the modern authorised form of the calendar varies from the older form with intercalary days, I consulted Dr G.P. Taylor's calculations.

The Ilahī months are:

| Farward <b>ī</b> n | March-April      | Mihr                                      | September-October |
|--------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|
| UrdIbihisht        | April-May        | Aban (or Aban                             | )October-November |
| Khurdād            | May-June         | Ā <u>z</u> ar                             | November-December |
| Tīr                | June-July        | Dī (or De)                                | December-January  |
| Amardad            | July-August      | Bahman                                    | January-February  |
| Shahrīwar          | August-September | Isfandármuz<br>(or Isfandár<br>or Isfand) | February-March    |

The Turko-Mongol cycle is a relation of the sexagenary cycle of China; beginning in the spring of each year, (and thus coinciding with the Iranian <a href="nauroz">nauroz</a>) it names each year after an animal. The totemic origin of this calendar cannot have escaped the Mughuls, but their orthodoxy did not suppress the calendar, which flourished until the Empire and its administration fell to pieces in the eighteenth century. 3

<sup>1.</sup> Lambton, Grammar, 255; cf Phillott, 207.

<sup>2.</sup> Whitehead, Catalogue of Coins in the Panjab Museum (vol. II) appendix B.

<sup>3.</sup> In Kābul it is said to have lingered to the 1920's in popular use, and in the Afghān Hazārajāt still later: Schurmann, The Mongols of Afghānistān, 292 (where the rendering of some of the names in the mnemonic poem varies).

In these letters, the sixth and seventh years of the cycle are mentioned. The full cycle is:

Sīchgān īl year of the mouse Ūd īl year of the cow Pārs īl year of the leopard Tawishqān īl year of the hare Lū'i īl year of the crocodile Īlān īl year of the snake Yūnt il year of the horse Oū'i Il year of the sheep Bīchi īl year of the monkey Takhāqū'i īl year of the fowl Īt īl year of the dog Tungūz il year of the hog

The use of this animal calendar, while perhaps inconsonant with strict orthodoxy, was not tainted with the stain Aurangzīb appears to have seen in the Ilahī calendar introduced by his great-grandfather. Its convenience for calculating revenue periods, and many private matters like the length of agriculturalleases was obvious.

<sup>1.</sup> See the documents in Goswamy and Grewal's The Mughal and Sikh Rulers and the Vaishnavas of Pindori, from Appendix B of which the above table is taken. Documents from the first (1107H/1695/6) to the 36th (1873S/1816) use the cycle. Cf their note 2 to Document 35 (p.273) and also note 97 on p.73. Further references to the duwazda sal-i-Turkī are in note 10 to p.82. Phillott, Higher Persian Grammar, 203-204 has a table with transliteration, and additional information.

#### APPENDIX C

List of Letters in which the Emperor's Correspondence is Acknowledged, with analysis of mode of delivery

| Letter in which acknow- ledged | Class of<br>Letter | How written                                     | By whom<br>brought                                | Name                                  | Gifts and other things                      |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
|                                |                    |   |   |                                       |   |
| 2                              | farmān             | by munshī signed (muzaiyin) by Emperor          | -   |                                       | (farman<br>addressed<br>to Isma'īl<br>Haut) |
| 4                              | farman             | -   | -   |                                       | astrological calculations & charts          |
| 5                              | none<br>mentioned  | -   | _   |                                       | khil'at-i-<br>khassa-i-<br>zamestānī        |
| 7                              | <u>farmān</u>      | <pre>by Emperor (qalam-i- khujisha raqam)</pre> | -   | -                                     | -   |
| 8                              | farman             | -   | garawal   | Imām<br>Qulī                          | khil'at-<br>i-khassa<br>& road maps         |
| 9                              | farman             | -   |   |                                       | -   |
| 10                             | farmān             | - 1 <del>-</del> 2                              | 7   | -                                     | -   |
| 11                             | farmān             | signed by<br>Emperor                            | chela   | Tahmurās                              | s -   |
| 12                             | manshur            | -   | chela   | Khusrau                               | two rosaries<br>& two<br>armlets            |
| 13                             | farman             | written in secretariat?                         | chela   | Farīdūn                               | four rings                                  |
| 14                             | farmān             | -   | y <u>asāwal</u> -<br>i <u>-sarkār</u> -<br>i-'alà | -                                     | emerald & pearl sarpec                      |
| 15                             | farmān             |   | -   | -                                     | incense-<br>dust etc.                       |
| 16                             | farmān             | <del>-</del>                                    | jalaudārs   |                                       | -   |
|                                | <u>farmān</u>      |   | [yasawal]   | Muhammad<br>Sharīf<br>(cf.<br>Letters |   |

| Letter in which acknow- | Class of<br>Letter                  | How written           | By whom<br>brought      | Name   | Gifts and other things                                   |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| ledged                  |                                     |                       |                         |  |  |
| 17                      | farman                              | -                     | gurz-<br>burdār?        | Muhammad<br>Mirak<br>(see<br>Letter 9            | d diamond kalgī  |
|                         | none<br>mentioned                   |                       | -                       | Muhammad<br>Safi                                 | robe,<br>perfume,<br>elephant &<br>two horses            |
| 18                      | manshūr                             | by Emperor            | two<br>y <u>asāwals</u> | -  | 7-1  |
| 19                      | farman                              |                       | jalaudārs               | -  | - 1 <del>-</del>   |
| 20                      | manshur                             | -                     | jalaudārs               | -  | -  |
| 23                      | <u>farmān</u>                       | perhaps by<br>Emperor | -                       | -  | <pre>(this letter arrived three weeks late)</pre>        |
| 25                      | manshūr                             | -                     | wazīr                   | Sa'du'<br>11āh<br><u>Kh</u> ān                   | <pre>(this letter announced Aurangzīb's promotion)</pre> |
|                         | manshūr                             | -                     | <u>yasawals</u>         | -  | Rs 5,00,000<br>as musā'ida                               |
| 26                      | farman                              | -                     | Aurangzib'<br>gāṣids    | s -  |  |
| 28                      | manshur                             |                       | <u>yasāwal</u>          | Sāqī Beg   | -  |
|                         | farmān                              | <del>-</del>          | gurzburdār              | Jaushan<br>Beg                                   | -  |
| 29                      | manshūr                             | -                     | <u>yasāwals</u>         | Muḥammad<br>Sharīf &<br>Rustam<br>(cf<br>Letters |  |
| 30                      | yarlîg <u>h</u><br>(four in<br>all) | -                     | <u>yasāwals</u>         | -  |  |
| 31                      | manshūr                             | -                     |                         | -  | -  |
| 32                      | manshūr                             | by Emperor            | yasāwals                |  | 7  |

| Letter<br>in<br>which<br>acknow- | Class of<br>Letter | How written                        | By whom brought | Name               | Gifts and other things |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| <u>ledged</u>                    |                    |                                    |                 |                    |                        |
| 33                               | manshūr            | -                                  | <u>yasawals</u> | Abū Tāl<br>& Aḥmad |                        |
| 34                               | two<br>farmāns     | -                                  | yasāwals        | -                  | -                      |
| 35                               | manshūr            | -                                  | yasāwal         | Amīr Be            | g <b>-</b>             |
| 36                               | two<br>yarlīghs    | -                                  | chelas          | ÷                  | -                      |
| 37                               | farman             | _                                  | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 39                               | manshūr            | -                                  | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 40                               | farman             | -                                  | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 41                               | manshūr            | -                                  | -               | -                  | gift of<br>deer killed |
| 42                               | manshur            | -                                  | -               | Brindāb            | an -                   |
| 43                               | manshūr            | - :                                | -               | Fatḥu'l            | lāh -                  |
| 46                               | -                  | by Emperor                         | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 47                               | <u>yarlīgh</u>     | -                                  | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 48                               | manshür            | signed<br>(muzaiyin)<br>by Emperor | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 49                               | farman             | munshī                             | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 51                               |                    | Emperor<br>munshī                  |                 | -                  | -                      |
| 52                               | manshūr            | -                                  | yasawal         | Fāzil              |                        |
| 5 4                              | farmān             | -                                  | -               | 'Alī<br>Rizā'      | khil'at-<br>i-sar-o-pa |
| 55                               | manshūr            | signed by<br>Emperor               | -               | -                  | -                      |
|                                  | manshūr            | written by<br>Emperor              | -               | -                  | khil'at-i-<br>sar-o-pā |
| 56                               | two<br>manshūrs    | -                                  | -               | -                  | -                      |
| 58                               | two<br>manshurs    | -                                  | -               |                    | -                      |

| Letter<br>in<br>which<br>acknow-<br>ledged | Class of<br>Letter | How written                                      | By whom brought | Name | Gifts and other things |
|--|--------------------|--|-----------------|------|------------------------|
| 59   | three<br>manshūrs  | last signed<br>by Emperor                        | -               | -    | -                      |
| 60   | farmān             | signed<br>(perhaps<br>written) by<br>the Emperor | +               | -    |                        |
| 61   | manshūr            | signed<br>(muzaiyin)<br>by the<br>Emperor        | -               | -    | -                      |
| 62   | manshūr            | Emperor  | -               | -    | -                      |
| 63   | two<br>farmans     | signed<br>(muzaiyin)<br>by the<br>Emperor        | -               |      | -                      |
| 64   | manshūr            | signed by<br>the Emperor                         | -               | =    | -                      |
| 69   | two<br>manshūrs    | one signed,<br>one written<br>by Emperor         | -               | -    | -                      |
| 70   | manshūr            | signed by<br>Emperor                             | -               | -    | -                      |
| 72   | two<br>farmāns     | signed<br>(muzaiyin wa<br>muhalla) by<br>Emperor | -               | -    | -                      |
| 73   | manshūr            | Emperor  | -               | -    | -                      |
| 74   | farmān             | -  | -               | -    | khil'at-<br>i-khāşsa   |
| 75   | farmān             | secretariat                                      | -               | -    | -                      |
| 76   | farman             | secretariat                                      | -               | -    | -                      |
| 77   | manshūr            | secretariat with dibacha in Emperor's hand.      | -               | -    | -                      |
| 78   | two<br>manshūrs    | one by Emperor one by secreta                    |                 | -    | *                      |
| 79   | manshūr            | in secretariat                                   | -               | -    | -                      |

| Letter<br>in<br>which<br>acknow- | Class of<br>Letter                             | How written                        | By whom<br>brought                | Name   | Gifts and<br>other<br>things          |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| <u>ledged</u>                    |  |                                    |                                   |  |                                       |
| 80                               | farman   | Emperor                            | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 81                               | manshūr  | Emperor                            | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 82                               | farmān   | in secretaria                      | t -                               | -  |                                       |
| 84                               | manshūr  | signed<br>(muzaiyin)<br>by Emperor | -                                 | -  | ( <del>- 2</del> 0                    |
| 85                               | two<br>manshūrs                                | both by<br>Emperor                 | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 86                               | manshur  | Emperor                            |                                   | -  | -                                     |
| 87                               | special<br>greetings<br>(tabarrukāt<br>i-khās) |                                    | a son of<br>'Arab<br><u>Kh</u> ān | ?Mīrzā<br>'Alī<br>'Arab,<br>later<br>Qila'dār <u>K</u> r | 100 lengths of du dami cloth          |
| 88                               | manshūr  | Emperor                            | -                                 | _  | _                                     |
| 90                               | farman   | signed by<br>the Emperor           | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 91                               | manshūr  | Emperor                            | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 92                               | farmān   | in Secretaria                      | t? -                              | -  | -                                     |
| 94                               | manshūr  | Emperor                            | -                                 | -  | -                                     |
| 95                               | manshūr  | Emperor                            | yasāwal                           | Muhammad<br>Sharif<br>(cf.<br>Letters                    |                                       |
| 97                               | two<br>manshūrs                                | both by the Emperor                | -                                 | -  | copy of a farman sent to Qutbu'l Mulk |
| 98                               | manshūr  | both<br>perhaps by                 | <u>yasāwal</u>                    | Muhammad<br>Murad  | 1                                     |
|                                  | manshūr  | Emperor                            | gurzburdā                         | Mirak<br>(see Let  |                                       |
| 100                              | manshūr  | in Secretaria<br>by Emperor        |                                   | Qāzī 'Ārif:  | copy farmans<br>& khil'at             |
| 102                              | farman   | in Secretaria                      | t -                               | -  | -                                     |

A farman was (next to the 'ahd-nama or treaty, a rare instrument) the most formal kind of communication in the Mughul empire. It bore the seal of the Emperor, which was impressed in the haram where it was in the keeping of the senior lady of the Court<sup>1</sup>; perhaps as a mark of special favour and solemnity, an impression representing the Emperor's outspread right hand (panja) would be added. The wazir's seal was also affixed. If the Emperor wished to add a few words in his own hand, he would place them at the top of the instrument.

A <u>nishān</u> was in effect a <u>farmān</u> of a prince; a letter from a prince to his inferior, whether a subject, or a foreign river<sup>2</sup>.

A manshur was a farman without the imperial seal. The term is generally but not always used for the letters Shah Jahan wrote to Aurangzīb himself. For a subject to receive a letter in the monarch's hand was a great honour; the higher a man's rank, the more likely he was to receive such attention. The frequency of such communications was a measure of a noble's favour at Court. While out of favour in the Deccan (from his complaints about the system of assessment and his own sufferings under it at the beginning of 1654, to the Deogarh campaign at the end of 1655,) Aurangzīb appears to have received no letters written by his father, and only a single trifling gift.<sup>3</sup>

A <u>yarligh</u> seems not to have been a term of art, but rather a loose term for a short and relatively informal letter embodying an order from the Emperor. The word is of Mongol origin. Clearly a <u>yarligh</u> was a solemn declaration of the ruler's will in Mongol times, and Boyle is right to homologate

l. Akbar's great seal, the <u>Uzak</u>, was in his ladies' charge; Ibn Hasan, Central Structure of the Mughal Empire, 100; cf. remarks in Rizvī and Flynn, Fathpur-Sikrī, 47. Aurangzīb entrusted it first to Roshanara, and after she joined his Court in 1666 to Jahanara, who had guarded it from her mother's death in 1631 until Shāh Jahan's imprisonment. Each Emperor made a new seal, with his name in the middle, and his ancestors' names around it.

<sup>2.</sup> Aurangzīb sent a <u>nishān</u> to Isma'īl Haut (Letter 2) but he calls a <u>nishān</u> from Dārā simply <u>nawishta</u>. His communications with Qutbu'l Mulk were also <u>nishāns</u> (Letter 95) and so were those to the Mughul ambassador at Golconda (Letters 96 and 97)

<sup>3.</sup> see Appendix C.

it with a firman. 1

A <u>hasbu'l</u> hu<u>km</u> was the usual means of conveying the Emperor's wish to an official, or a subject; and "letter by order" exactly describes it. The <u>wazīr</u>, or a lesser officer, wrote it to embody commands given orally by the Emperor, usually in open Court. The <u>hasbu'l</u> hu<u>km</u> Aurangzīb acknowledges in Letter 52 would have been felt deeply, as a sharp rebuke.

l. History of the World-Conqueror, XVII. A yarlīgh was Chingiz Khan's means of recording his achievements, 145; yarlighs were read out in solemn assembly, ibid, 255, 491. The word yasāwal may well mean "one who enforces the king's yasa," the custom, or law, upheld by the ruler.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- PERSIAN SOURCES manuscript and printed
- Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī: B.M. Or. 177 ms. dated 1128 H Rieu, page 399
- Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī: India Office ms. dated 1151 H Ethé no.371
- Adab-i-'Alamgīrī: Rizā'Library, Rāmpūr ms. no. 3835, dated 1120 H. (hand-written and typed catalogues)
- Ādab-i-'Ālamgīrī: Sir Sālār Jang Library, Ḥaidarābād ms. no. 864, Persian (hand-written catalogue)
- Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī: text edited by 'Abdu'l Ghafūr Chaudhurī Pākistān Histl. Society, University of the Punjab 2 volumes, Lahore, 1971
- Ruqa'āt-i-'Ālamgir: being a selection from the Ādāb-i-'Ālamgīrī, arranged and edited by Saiyid Najīb Ashraf Nadwī'Azamgarh, (1929-30)
- Jahangīr, Nūru'd-dīn Muḥammad: Tūzuk-i-Jahangīrī: Translated
  by Alexander Rogers; edited by Henry Beveridge
  Fisher & Unwin, London, 1909 & 1914 reprint,
  Munshīrām Manoharlāl, Delhi, 1968 (two volumes
  in one)
- Jai Singh, Mīrzā Rāja: Haft Anjuman, as translated and annotated by Jagadīsh Nārāyan Sarkār in The Military Dispatches of a Seventeenth Century Indian General;

Scientific Book Agency, Calcutta, 1969

- Muhammad Saqī Musta'idd Khan: Ma'asir-i-'Alamgīrī edited by Agha Ahmad 'Alī Bibliotheca Indica (A.S.B.)
  - Calcutta, 1871 (on title page) 1873 (on outer cover): microfiche, Zug, 1970
- translated into Urdū by Maulvī Muhammad Fidā' 'Alī Tālib : Nafīs Academy, Bellasis St., Karāchī [1967]
- Sālih Kamboh, Muḥammad 'Amal-i-Ṣāliḥ, ed. by Ghulām Yāzdānī:
  vol. III only available
  Bibliotheca Indica (A.S.B.) 1939; microfiche, Zug,
  1970

- Sarkār, Sir Jādūnāth Anecdotes of Aurangzib (English translation of the Ahkām-i-'Ālamgīrī)
  - M.E. Sarkar & Sons, Calcutta fourth edition, 1949
- Shāh Nawāz Khān, Samsamu'd-daula: Ma'āṣiru'l Umarā

  3 vols., edited by Maulvī 'Abdu'r-raḥīm

  Bibliotheca Indica, (A.S.B.) 1888, 1890 & 1891

  (microfiche, Zug, 1970)
- Yusuf Husain Khan: Selected Documents of Aurangzīb's Reign Central Records Office, Haidarabad 1958
- Yūsuf Ḥusain Khān: Selected Documents of Shāh Jahān's Reign Daftar-i-Dīwānī, Haidarābād, 1950

### OTHER CONTEMPORARY SOURCES - European travellers

- Bernier, Francois: Travels in the Mogul Empire, 1956-68 Constable's Oriental Miscellany London, 1891
- Foster, Sir William: The Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe, 1615-19 London, 1925
- Manucci, Niccolao: Storra do Mogor edited and translated by William Irvine, 4 volumes

  John Murray, London, 1907-08

#### DICTIONARIES & REFERENCE WORKS

- a) dictionaries and grammars
- Husain bin Khalaf Tabrīzī, Muḥammad : Burhān-i-Qat'i, edited by T. Roebuck (title page wanting, but) Hindustani Press, Calcutta, 1818
- Lambton, Ann K.S.: Persian Grammar

  Cambridge University Press 1963
- Monier-Williams, Sir Monier:Sanskrit English Dictionary:
  Oxford University Press: reprint, 1960, of the
  first edition, 1899
- Phillott, Douglas Craven: Higher Persian Grammar ... with notes on rhetoric

  Calcutta University, Calcutta 1919

- Platts, John T.: Hindustani Dictionary (Oxford University Press)

  reprinted, 1965, as "Urdu, Classical Hindi, and English Dictionary"
- Steingass, F.: A Comprehensive Persian-English Dictionary:
  Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, 1957 (reprint
  of the first edition, 1892)
- Vullers, Johann August: Lexicon Persico-latinum, Graz 1962 (reprint of the first edition of Marcus, Bonn, 1855-64)
- Wilson, Horace Hayman: A Glossary of Judicial and
  Revenue Terms, and of Useful Words occurring
  in Official Documents .....
  W.H. Allen (for the Directors of the E.I.C.),
  London, 1855
- b) catalogues
- 'Abdu'l Qadir-i-Sarfaraz, Shaikh, Khan Bahadur

  A Descriptive Catalogue of the Arabic, Persian
  and Urdu manuscripts of the University of Bombay
  University of Bombay: Qayyumia Press, Bombay, 1935
- Ethé, Carl Hermann: A Catalogue of the Persian Manuscripts in the Library of the India Office vol. I
  Oxford University Press, 1903
- Rieu, Charles Pierre Henri: Catalogue of the Persian

  Manuscripts in the British Museum London:

  reprint by the Trustees, 1966, of the first
  edition, 1879
- Whitehead, R.B.: Catalogue of Coins in the Panjab Museum vol. II, Coins of the Mughal Emperors London, 1914
- Wüstenfeld und Mahler: Vergleichungstabellen der muhammadischen und christlichen Zeit-rechnung Leipzig, 1926 photographic copy of relevant sheets
- Ziā'u'd-dīn Ahmad Shakīb Descriptive Catalogue of the Mughul records pertaining to the Reign of Shāh Jahān, held in the Central Records Office of the Government of Āndhra Pradesh in preparation (seen in draft form, May 1972)

- A History of the Freedom Movement (collective)

  Government of Pākistān, Lahore 1957
- Athar 'Alī, Muhammad: The Mughal Nobility under Aurangzib.

  Bombay. Asia, (Bombay,) 1966
- Beames, John: Memoirs of a Bengal Civilian: with an introduction by Philip Mason, and an epilogue by Christopher Cooke
  Chatto & Windus, London, 1961
- Boyle, John Andrew: The History of the World Conqueror:
  translation of the Tarikh-i-Jahan Gushā of 'Alāu'ddīn 'Aṭā-Malik Juvainī
  Manchester University Press, 1958
- Cambridge History of India: planned by Sir Wolseley Haig,
  edited by Sir Richard Burn: Volume IV
  The Mughul Period
  Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1937
- Chaudhurī, Nirād C.: Autobiography of an Unknown Indian Macmillan, London, 1951
- Dryden, John Aureng-Zebe (first published 1675) edited by Frederick M. Link
  University of Nebraska, Lincoln, 1971
- Fārūqī (Faruki) Zahīru'd-dīn : Aurangzib and his Times (title-page wanting, but)

  Bombay, 1935
- Fergusson, James: History of Indian and Eastern Architecture
  John Murray, London, 1876
- Ghaurī, Iftikhār Ahmad: The War of Succession between the Sons of Shah Jahan Publishers United Ltd., Lahore, 1964
- Goswamy, B.N. and Grewal, J.S.: The Mughal and Sikh Rulers and The Vaishnavas of Pindori
- Indian Institute of Advanced Study, Simla: 1969
  Habīb, 'Irfān: The Agrarian System of Mughal India (1556-1707)
  Asia, Bombay, 1963
- Hansen, Waldemar: The Peacock Throne: the Drama of Moghul India
  - Weidenfeld & Nicholson, London, 1972
- Ibn Ḥasan: The Central Structure of the Mughul Empire
  Oxford University Press, Karachi 1967
- Intelligence Branch, Army H.Q., India: The Second Afghan War, 1878-80: Abridged Official Account John Murray, London, 1908

- Irvine, William: The Army of the Indian Moghuls: its
  Organization and Administration
  Eurasia Publishing House, New Delhi, 1962 (reprint
  of the first edition, London, 1903)
- Irvine, William: The Later Mughals, completed and edited by Sir Jādūnāth Sarkār

reprint, New Delhi, 1972 (two volumes in one)

- Lambton, Ann K.S.: Landlord and Peasant in Persia
  Oxford University Press, 1953
- Lane-Poole, S.: Aurangzib in the Rulers of India series
  Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1893
- Moreland, W.H.: The Agrarian System of Moslem India Heffer, Cambridge, 1929
- Mu'īnu'l-ḥaq (Moinul Haq), S.: Prince Awrangzib: A Study
  Pakistan Historical Society Karachi, 1962
- Mujeeb, Muhammad (Muhammad Mujīb) The Indian Muslims Geo. Allen & Unwin, London, 1967
- Oak, P.N.: Some Blunders of Indian Historical Research
  Delhi, 1966
- Qānūngo, Kālīkaranjan: Dara Shikoh Calcutta, 1952
- Qureshī, Ishtiāq Husain: Mughul Administration (first published in 1942)

  Pakistan Historical Society, Karachi: fourth edition, 1958
- Qureshī, Ishtiāq Ḥusain: The Muslim Community of the Indo-Pakistan Sub-Continent Mouton, The Hague, 1962
- Riyāzu'l Islām (Riazul Islam): Indo-Persian Relations
  Iranian Culture Foundation, Lahore, 1970
- Riyazu'l-Islam (Riyazul Islam) Chapter in A History of the Freedom Movement, q.v., entitled Symptoms of Decline
- Rizvī, Saiyid Athar 'Abbās: Muslim Revivalist Movements in Northern India Agra University, 1965
- Rizvī, S.A.A., and Flynn, V.J.A.: Fathpūr-Sīkrī

  Taraporevala, Bombay (to be published in 1975)
- Sarkār, Sir Jādūnāth: History of Aurangzib (5 volumes)

  M.C. Sarkār & Sons, Calcutta

  vols I & II in one, second edition, 1925

  vol. III (title page wanting)

  vol. III second edition, 1930

vol. V second edition, 1952

- Sarkar, Jagadīsh Narayan: The Life of Mir Jumla Thacker, Spink & Co., Calcutta, 1951
- Sarkar, Jagadish Narayan: The Military Despatches of a
  Seventeenth Century Indian General
  Scientific Book Agency, Calcutta, 1969
  and see under Haft Anjuman
- Schurmann, H.F.: The Mongols of Afghanistan Mouton, The Hague, 1962
- Sharma, Śrī Rām: The Religious Policy of the Mughal Emperors
  - Asia Publishing House, London; second edition 1962
- Shiblī Nu'mānī, Maulānā: Aurangzīb 'Ālamgīr par ek Nazr Urdū Academy of Sind, Karāchī, 1960
- Tripathī, Rām Prasād: Rise and Fall of the Mughal Empire
  Allahabad, Central Book Depot; third edition,
- Saksena, Banarsi Prasad: History of Shahjahan of Dihli
  (a thesis presented to London University in 1931)
  Central Book Depot, Allahabad, 1958

#### ERRORS AND CORRECTIONS

| Letter | Page  | Place                      |  |
|--------|-------|----------------------------|--|
|        | xvi   | 3rd from end               | read "by" for "from"   |
|        | xvii  | note 4                     | add at the end: "Chaudhurī may have been led into error by the Urdū version of M.A. put out by Md. Fidā' 'Alī Tālib, which states that Mu'azzam was born on 30 Rajab 1050/15 November 1640, not 30 Rajab 1053/14 October 1643 (p.428); and places Akbar's birth on 12 Zi Hijja 1062/15 November 1652 (p.430). Similar mistakes abound. |
|        | xxxvi | note 5 line 9              | read Aḥkām for "Ahkam".  |
| 2      | 6     | note 1 line 2              | read <u>mansabdar</u> not <u>mansabdar</u>   |
| 5      | 14    | note 1                     | Add at end: Nadwī appears to read Alchera. Cf note 3 to Letter 7.  |
| 5      | 16    | note 16                    | read uftāda for uftada.  |
| 6      | 17 ·  | note 1 note 3              | read Shattarī for Shattarī<br>read Umarā for Umara<br>and Ma'āṣir for Ma'asir.   |
| 8      | 22    | note 1                     | Add: "Chaudhuri dates this<br>letter Wednesday 2 Sha'ban.<br>2 Sha'ban 1061 fell on Friday,<br>21 July, 1651."   |
| 9      | 24    | introduction,<br>last line | A life of Mughul Khan Īrānī is in M.U. III, 490-492.   |
| 9      | 26    | line 18                    | read <u>murid</u> not <u>murid</u>   |
| 11     | 31    | note 2                     | read wāqi'a for waqi'a.  |
| 16     | 49    | note 1                     | add at the end: "Chaudhurī has an error: Isfandārnuz".   |
| 20     | 60    | note 3                     | add at end: Chaudhurī reads<br>Mīr Muḥammad Māzanderānī, a<br>manifest error."   |
| 22     | 64    | note 1                     | add at the end: "For Chacha,<br>Chaudhurī reads Chaja".  |
| 24     | 72    | note 5                     | underline ragam and manshur  |
| 28     | 101   | notes                      | add the following note 1, renumbering the other three:   |
|        |       |                            |  |

"This Shujā'at Khān appears to have been a son of Najābat Khān, a Timurid (see note to Letter 102) A little younger than Aurangzīb, he appears to have survived him (M.A., 512) His long and strange career, and his succession to the titles Khān-i-'Ālam, Ghairat Khān, and Khān-i-'Ālam again, are inadequately summarised in M.U., II 869-872. Manucci's story of his being walled up to die a disgusting death after Akbar's

Letter Page Place

#### (contd.)

87

315

first paragraph

rebellion in 1681 must be false (Storia, II, 250); but he appears to have been in disgrace after Deorai, and again throughout the 1660's. He may well have remained in prison from 1681 to 1696: M.A., 205 (confinement). His name was Muḥammad Ibrāhīm; he should not be confounded with the enrgetic sūbahdār of "Gujarāt, Shujā'at Khān Muhammad Beg (d. 1701; M.U. II, 706-708.)"

| Sujarāt, | Shujā'a | at Khān Muḥammad Bed | g (d. 1701; M.U. II, 706-708.)  |
|----------|---------|----------------------|---|
| 35       | 130     | line 3 of text       | make a note 1 after Amīr Beg:<br>Confusing bīstum with<br>hashtum, Ch. dates this letter<br>8 Sha'ban/Sunday 14 July 1652.<br>He must be wrong; see Letters<br>33 and 34.                                 |
| 39       | 149     | note 3               | for Afghans read Afghans.   |
| 40       | 152     | note 1, last word    | for Avadh read Avadh (Oude).  |
| 42       | 157     | note 1               | add at end: The mss. render Brindāban's name as Bindrāban.  |
| 44       | 163     | note 4, ad fin.      | Read Bahā'u'd-dīn.  |
| 45       | 174     | note 1               | supply a full stop after tahkari, where first occuring.   |
| 49       | 190     | note 1               | delete block letters in Sauda.  |
| 50       | 191     | first line of        | read Jumādà I.  |
|          | 193     | text<br>note 4       | add at end: Ziā'u'd-dīn<br>Husain was made Himmat <u>Kh</u> ān,<br>and then Islām <u>Kh</u> ān after<br>Dharmat: I.O., 310A.  |
| 51       | 199     | fourth paragraph     | read qibla and ka'ba.   |
| 52       | 201     | third paragraph      | read qibla.   |
| 56       | 213     | last word            | read <u>murīds</u> not " <u>murids</u> "  |
| 57       | 215     | note 1               | read Aurangzīb.   |
| 63       | 231     | introduction line 7  | read Ellichpur not Elichpur.  |
|          |         | beginning of text    | make a note: "Chaudhurī omits<br>du in the phrase ba warud-i-<br>dū farmān-i-khujista 'anwān.   |
| 70       | 261     | second paragraph     | read qibla and ka'ba.   |
| 73       | 272     | second paragraph     | read Muhammad Shāh.   |
| 76       | 281     | first line           | read qibla.   |
| 80       | 295     | note 1               | add to end of last paragraph "In a note on Baharjī, the title borne by each of these rulers, the author of M.U. mentions the inaccessibility of the tract, and says nothing about Rao Karan's incursion." |
| 81       | 297     | fourth paragraph     | read <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> .  |

read

qibla

| Letter | Page | Place                             |   |
|--------|------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 87     | 315  | after "is an experienced officer" | add a note 3: "Chaudhurī by mistake has banda-i-kārāmad nīst. His ms' rendering of kārāmadī ast might have misled him."         |
| 87     | 316  | seventh line                      | substitute twenty-nine for 29.  |
| 90     | 322  | sixteenth line                    | read <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u> .  |
| 94     | 330  | introduction,<br>line 2           | read <u>kh</u> ānazāds not<br>"khanazads".  |
| 95     | 334  | para. 3 line 5                    | read Rāja for Rājah.  |
|        | 335  | para. 2 line l                    | read <u>ka'ba</u> for <u>ka'bah</u> .   |
| 96     | 338  | note 4                            | underline ta'lūq.   |
| 97     | 342  | first line                        | read preceptor for "perceptor"  |
| 98     | 344  | para. 4                           | read <u>qibla</u> and <u>ka'ba</u>  |
|        | 345  | note 5                            | read Diler Khān   |
|        |      | penultimate para.                 | should read: "Sivā the son of Sāhū Bhonsle is in his own territories, making forays against (our) adjoining district of Juner." |

THE END